

The material in this document is non-examinable.

## 1 Portmanteau

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $X_n$  and  $X$  be random vectors on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with distribution functions  $F_n, F$ . The following are equivalent.*

1.  $F_n(x) \rightarrow F(x)$  whenever  $F$  is continuous at  $x$ . That is,  $X_n \rightarrow X$  in distribution.
2.  $\mathbb{E}g(X_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}g(X)$  for any bounded continuous function  $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .
3.  $\mathbb{E}g(X_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}g(X)$  for any bounded 1-Lipschitz function  $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .
4.  $P(X_n \in A) \rightarrow P(X \in A)$  for any Borel set  $A$  such that  $P(X \in \partial A) = 0$ . Here  $\partial A = \overline{A} \setminus \text{int}(A)$  is the boundary of  $A$ .

*Proof.* (1 $\rightarrow$ 2). Let  $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous with  $M = \sup |g| < \infty$ , fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and denote  $D$  the set of discontinuity points of  $F$ . Since  $D$  is countable the set of “discontinuity coordinates”

$$B = \{d_i : d \in D\} \subset \mathbb{R}$$

is countable. Call  $x$  a strict continuity point of  $F$  if  $x_i \notin B$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, d$ ; such an  $x$  is also a continuity point of  $F$ . Let  $x_1 \leq x_2$  be strict continuity points of  $F$  such that  $F(x_1) \leq \epsilon$  and  $F(x_2) \geq 1 - \epsilon$ . Since  $g$  is uniformly continuous on  $K = [x_1, x_2] = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_1 \leq x \leq x_2\}$ , we can split  $K$  into smaller rectangles  $R_j = [y_j, z_j]$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$  such that  $y_j, z_j$  are strict continuity points of  $F$  and

$$M_j - m_j \leq \epsilon, \quad M_j = \sup_{x \in R_j} g(x), \quad m_j = \inf_{x \in R_j} g(x).$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}g(X) \leq \mathbb{E}g(X)[1\{X \leq x_1\} + 1\{X \not\leq x_2\}] + \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}g(X)1\{X \in R_j\} \leq 2M\epsilon + \sum_{j=1}^k M_j P(X \in R_j)$$

and similarly

$$\mathbb{E}g(X) \geq \mathbb{E}g(X)[1\{X \leq x_1\} + 1\{X \not\leq x_2\}] + \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}g(X)1\{X \in \text{int}(R_j)\} \geq -2M\epsilon + \sum_{j=1}^k m_j P(X \in \text{int}(R_j))$$

Notice however that  $P(X \in \text{int}(R_j)) = P(X \in R_j)$  since  $y_j$  and  $z_j$  are continuity points of  $F$ . Now use the same decomposition to obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}g(X_n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} M[P(X_n \leq x_1) + P(X_n \not\leq x_2)] + \sum_{j=1}^k M_j P(X_n \in R_j)$$

and

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}g(X_n) \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} -M[P(X_n \leq x_1) + P(X_n \not\leq x_2)] + \sum_{j=1}^k m_j P(X_n \in \text{int}(R_j)).$$

We now claim that  $P(X_n \in R_j) \rightarrow P(X \in R_j)$  and  $P(X_n \in \text{int}(R_j)) \rightarrow P(X \in \text{int}(R_j))$ . Admitting this momentarily, we conclude

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}g(X_n) - \mathbb{E}g(X) \leq 4M\epsilon + \sum_{j=1}^k [M_j - m_j] P(X \in R_j) \leq 4M\epsilon + \epsilon$$

and

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}g(X_n) - \mathbb{E}g(X) \geq -4M\epsilon - \sum_{j=1}^k [M_j - m_j] P(X \in \text{int}(R_j)) \geq -4M\epsilon - \epsilon.$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary conclude that  $\mathbb{E}g(X_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}g(X)$ . It remains to show the claim. Let  $R = [y, z]$  where  $y$  and  $z$  are strict continuity points of  $F$ . The inclusion-exclusion formula yields

$$P(X \in R) = \sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, d\}} (-1)^{|I|} F(v_I), \quad (v_I)_i = \begin{cases} y_i & i \in I \\ z_i & i \notin I. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Since  $y$  and  $z$  are strict continuity points of  $F$  this gives

$$P(X_n \in R) \rightarrow P(X \in R).$$

For the interior of  $R$ , assume that  $z_i > y_i$  for all  $i$  (otherwise the interior is empty). First notice that

$$\limsup P(X_n \in \text{int}R) \leq \limsup P(X_n \in R) = P(X \in R).$$

There exist sequences  $y_k \searrow y$  and  $z_k \nearrow z$  such that  $y_k > y$  coordinatewise,  $z_k < z$  coordinatewise and both  $y_k$  and  $z_k$  are strict continuity points of  $F$ . Letting  $R_k = [y_k, z_k]$  gives

$$\liminf P(X_n \in \text{int}R) \geq \liminf P(X_n \in R_k) = P(X \in R_k)$$

for all  $k$ . As  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , formula (??) shows that  $P(X \in R_k) \rightarrow P(X \in R)$  because  $y$  and  $z$  are strict continuity points of  $F$ . The last two inequalities combined yield

$$P(X_n \in \text{int}R) \rightarrow P(X \in \text{int}(R)) = P(X \in R)$$

for any  $R = [y, z]$  with  $y, z$  strict continuity points of  $F$ . This completes the proof.

(2→3) is obvious.

(3→4) By linearity  $\mathbb{E}g(X_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}g(X)$  for any  $L > 0$  and any  $L$ -Lipschitz function  $g$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $A$  as in 4. Denote  $d(x, B) = \inf_{y \in B} \|x - y\|$  for any nonempty set  $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  and any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . If  $A = \emptyset$  or  $A = \mathbb{R}^d$  then the assertion holds trivially, so assume  $\emptyset \subset A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ . Define  $g_k(y) = 1 - \min(1, kd(x, A))$  and  $h_k(y) = \min(1, kd(x, \mathbb{R}^d \setminus A))$ . These functions are bounded and  $k$ -Lipschitz on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Observe that  $g_k$  equals 1 on  $A$  and vanishes on the closed set

$$B_k = \left\{ x : d(x, A) \geq \frac{1}{k} \right\}.$$

and  $h_k$  vanishes on  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus A$  and equals 1 on the closed set

$$C_k = \left\{ x : d(x, \mathbb{R}^d \setminus A) \geq \frac{1}{k} \right\}.$$

Hence  $B_k$  and  $C_k$  are measurable and

$$P(X_n \in C_k) \leq \mathbb{E}h_k(X_n) \leq P(X_n \in A) \leq \mathbb{E}g_k(X_n) \leq P(X_n \notin B_k).$$

The same holds with  $X_n$  replaced by  $X$ . Thus

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(X_n \in A) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}g_k(X_n) = \mathbb{E}g_k(X) \leq P(X \notin B_k)$$

and

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(X_n \in A) \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}h_k(X_n) = \mathbb{E}h_k(X) \geq P(X \in C_k).$$

Since  $k$  is arbitrary and  $B_k \subset B_{k+1}$ ,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(X_n \in A) \leq P(X \notin \cup B_k) = P(d(X, A) = 0) = P(X \in \bar{A}),$$

and since  $C_k \subseteq C_{k+1}$ ,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(X_n \in A) \geq P(X \in \cap C_k) = P(d(X, \mathbb{R}^d \setminus A) = 0) = P(X \in \text{int}(A)).$$

The assumption that  $P(X \in \partial A) = 0$  now gives  $P(X_n \in A) \rightarrow P(X \in A)$ .

(4→1). Define  $A = (-\infty, x]$  for  $x$  continuity point of  $F$ . Then

$$P(X \in \text{int}(A)) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P(X \leq x - 1/k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F(x - 1/k) = F(x) = P(X \in A).$$

Since  $A$  is closed this implies that  $P(X \in \partial A) = 0$  and consequently

$$F_n(x) = P(X_n \in A) \rightarrow P(X \in A) = F(x)$$

as desired. □

## 2 Gluing lemma

Let  $(X_1, Y_1) \in \mathbb{R}^{d_X} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_Y}$  have joint distribution  $P_{XY}$  and  $(Y_2, Z_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{d_Y} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_Z}$  have joint distribution  $P_{YZ}$ . Suppose that  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  have the same distribution. The gluing lemma asserts that we can “glue” the two joint distributions using the common marginal distribution (of  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$ ).

**Lemma 2.1.** *There exists a random vector  $(X, Y, Z) \in \mathbb{R}^{d_X} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_Y} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_Z}$  such that  $(X, Y) \sim P_{XY}$  and  $(Y, Z) \sim P_{YZ}$ .*

*Proof.* (sketch) Let  $A, B, C$  be Borel subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^{d_X}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^{d_Y}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{d_Z}$  respectively. Define

$$f_A(y) = \mathbb{P}[X_1 \in A | Y_1 = y], \quad g_C(y) = \mathbb{P}[Z_2 \in C | Y_2 = y].$$

Then

$$P_{XY}(A \times B) = E[1_B(Y)f_A(Y)], \quad P_{YZ}(B \times C) = E[1_B(Y)g_C(Y)].$$

Define

$$P_{XYZ}(A \times B \times C) = E[1_B(Y)f_A(Y)g_C(Y)].$$

Since  $f_{\mathbb{R}^{d_X}}(y) = 1 = g_{\mathbb{R}^{d_Z}}(y)$  we have  $P_{XYZ}(A \times B) = P_{XY}(A \times B)$  and  $P_{XYZ}(B \times C) = P_{YZ}(B \times C)$ . Therefore  $P_{XYZ}$  has the correct marginals  $P_{XY}$  and  $P_{YZ}$ . The rigorous mathematical justification for this construction comes from the disintegration theorem, as given in Theorem 5.4 in Kallenberg’s book [Foundations of Modern Probability].  $\square$

## 3 Prokhorov theorem

This theorem establishes a useful relation between distributional convergence and tightness. A sequence of random vectors  $(X_n)$  is tight if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $M > 0$  such that

$$\sup_n P(\|X_n\| > M) < \epsilon.$$

**Theorem 3.1** (Prokhorov 1956). *If  $X_n \rightarrow X$  in distribution, then  $(X_n)$  is tight. If  $(X_n)$  is tight, then there exists a subsequence  $n_k$  and a random variable  $X$  such that  $X_{n_k} \rightarrow X$  in distribution.*

*Proof.* Let  $F_n$  and  $F$  denote the corresponding distribution functions. Assume  $X_n \rightarrow X$  in distribution and fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $P(\|X\| > M) \rightarrow 0$  as  $M \rightarrow \infty$ , there exist  $x_1 \leq x_2$  such that  $F(x_1) < \epsilon/4$  and  $F(x_2) \geq 1 - \epsilon/4$ . Replacing  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  if needed, we may assume that  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are continuity point of  $F$ . Then there exists  $N$  such that  $F_n(x_1) < \epsilon/2$  and  $F_n(x_2) \geq 1 - \epsilon/2$  for all  $n > N$ . There exists  $x_3 \leq x_1$  and  $x_4 \geq x_2$  such that  $P(X_i \leq x_3) \leq \epsilon/2$  and  $P(X_i \leq x_4) \geq 1 - \epsilon/2$  for all  $i \leq N$ . These inequalities hold also hold for  $i > N$ .

Hence when  $M$  is large enough such that the ball of radius  $M$  centred at the origin contains  $[x_3, x_4] = \{x : x_3 \leq x \leq x_4\}$ ,

$$\sup_n P(\|X_n\| > M) < \epsilon.$$

The converse is more difficult. By a diagonal argument one can extract a subsequence  $n_k$  such that  $F_{n_k}(q) \rightarrow G(q)$  for all  $q \in \mathbb{Q}^d$ . Define

$$F(x) = \liminf_{q_k \searrow x, q_k \in \mathbb{Q}^d} G(q).$$

By  $q_k \searrow x$  we mean that  $q_{k+1} \geq q_k > x$ , and the last inequality is strict in any coordinate. We shall show that  $F_{n_k}(x) \rightarrow F(x)$  for all continuity points  $x$  of  $F$  and that  $F$  is a distribution function.

a) It is clear that  $0 \leq F(x) \leq 1$  for all  $x$  and that  $F(x_2) \geq F(x_1)$  for all  $x_2 \geq x_1$ . This implies that  $F$  has at most countably many discontinuity points.

b)  $F$  is right-continuous. Fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . There exists  $q > x$  rational such that  $F(x) \leq G(q) \leq F(x) + \epsilon$ . Then for all  $x \leq y < q$  we have  $F(x) \leq F(y) \leq F(x + \epsilon)$  implying right-continuity.

c) Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $(X_n)$  is tight there exists  $q \in \mathbb{Q}^d$  such that  $F_n(q) > 1 - \epsilon$  for all  $n$ . This implies that  $F(q) \geq 1 - \epsilon$ . Thus  $f(x) \rightarrow 1$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  on each coordinate. Now tightness of  $(X_n)$  also implies tightness of each coordinate projection  $(e_i^t X_n)$  where  $e_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is the  $i$ -th unit vector. Thus there exists  $q_i \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $F_n^i(q_i) < \epsilon$  for all  $n$ , where  $F_n^i$  denotes the  $i$ -th marginal distribution. Consequently  $F_n(x) < \epsilon$  whenever  $x_i \leq q_i$ . This implies that  $F(x) \leq \epsilon$  whenever  $x_i < q_i$ . Since  $i$  is arbitrary we conclude that  $F(x) \rightarrow 0$  if  $\min_i x_i \rightarrow -\infty$  (note that it is enough if merely *one* coordinate of  $x$  goes to  $-\infty$ .)

d) Conditions a)–c) suffice for  $F$  being a distribution function, so that there exists a random vector  $X \sim F$ . See Theorem 2.25 in Kallenberg's book [Foundations of Modern Probability].

e) Suppose that  $F$  is continuous at  $x$ . Let  $q > x$  such that  $G(q) \leq F(x) + \epsilon$ . Then

$$\limsup F_{n_k}(x) \leq \limsup F_{n_k}(q) = G(q) \leq F(x) + \epsilon.$$

Now let  $q < x$  such that  $F(q) > F(x) - \epsilon$ . Pick  $q < q' < x$ . Then

$$\liminf F_{n_k}(x) \geq \liminf F_{n_k}(q') = G(q') \geq F(q) > F(x) - \epsilon.$$

Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary we have  $F_{n_k}(x) \rightarrow F(x)$ . Thus  $X_{n_k} \rightarrow X$  in distribution as required.  $\square$