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Abstract. Let \( kG \) be the completed group algebra of a uniform pro-\( p \) group \( G \) with coefficients in a field \( k \) of characteristic \( p \). We study right ideals \( I \) in \( kG \) that are invariant under the action of another uniform pro-\( p \) group \( Γ \). We prove that if \( I \) is non-zero then an irreducible component of the characteristic support of \( kG/I \) must be contained in a certain finite union of rational linear subspaces of \( \text{Spec } \text{gr} kG \). The minimal codimension of these subspaces gives a lower bound on the homological height of \( I \) in terms of the action of a certain Lie algebra on \( G/G^p \). If we take \( Γ \) to be \( G \) acting on itself by conjugation, then \( Γ \)-invariant right ideals of \( kG \) are precisely the two-sided ideals of \( kG \), and we obtain a non-trivial lower bound on the homological height of a possible non-zero two-sided ideal. For example, when \( G \) is open in \( \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p) \) this lower bound equals \( 2n - 2 \). This gives a significant improvement of the results of Ardakov, Wei and Zhang [2] on reflexive ideals in Iwasawa algebras.

1. Introduction

1.1. Prime ideals in Iwasawa algebras. In recent years, several attempts have been made to understand the structure of prime ideals in non-commutative Iwasawa algebras. These are the completed group algebras \( \Omega_G \) of compact \( p \)-adic analytic groups \( G \) with coefficients in the finite field \( \mathbb{F}_p \); we refer the reader to the survey paper [1] for definitions and more details about these algebras.

Perhaps the first result in this direction was obtained by Venjakob in [15] with the classification of all prime ideals in \( \Omega_G \) where \( G \) is a non-abelian soluble pro-\( p \) group of rank two. After this, the second author showed in [16] that if \( G \) is a Heisenberg pro-\( p \) group with centre \( Z \) then every non-zero prime ideal in \( \Omega_G \) must contain the kernel of the map \( \Omega_G \to \Omega_{G/Z} \). More significantly in [2] and [3] Wei, Zhang and the first author showed that there are no reflexive ideals in \( \Omega_G \) if \( G \) is a uniform pro-\( p \) group of Chevalley type. The methods of this paper are very much in the spirit of the latter works.

1.2. Γ-invariant right ideals. Our basic set-up is that we have a uniform pro-\( p \) group \( G \) and a group \( Γ \) acting on \( G \) by group automorphisms. This action will induce an action of \( Γ \) on \( \Omega_G \) and we are interested in studying the \( Γ \)-invariant right ideals in \( \Omega_G \). When \( Γ = G \) and the action is the natural conjugation action then of course the \( Γ \)-invariant right ideals of \( \Omega_G \) are just ordinary two-sided ideals.

As in [2] and [3] the basic strategy is to show that under certain conditions, a \( Γ \)-invariant right ideal is controlled by the subring \( \Omega_{G_2} \), where \( G_2 \) is the second term of the lower \( p \)-series of \( G \). Since \( G_2 \) is a characteristic subgroup, the action of \( Γ \) on \( G \) restricts to an action on \( G_2 \) and the intersection of our ideal with \( \Omega_{G_2} \) is still \( Γ \)-invariant. We then aim to show inductively that any ideal satisfying our
conditions is controlled by \( \Omega_{G_n} \) for every \( n \). In this way we deduce that our original ideal is 0.

In order to prove a control theorem of this type we associate to each right ideal \( I \) in \( \Omega_G \) a “failure of control module” \( F_I := I/(I \cap \Omega_{G_2})\Omega_G \). Then \( I \) is controlled by \( \Omega_{G_2} \) precisely if \( F_I = 0 \).

1.3. **Microlocalisation.** Whenever we have a complete filtered ring \( A \) whose associated graded ring \( B \) is commutative noetherian, for each multiplicatively closed subset \( T \) of \( B \) consisting of homogeneous elements we can define the microlocalisation of \( A \) at \( T \), which is a certain completion of an Ore localisation of \( A \). Heuristically, this gives rise to a ‘sheaf’ \( O_A \) of complete filtered non-commutative rings on \( \text{Spec}_{gr}(B) \) — the set of homogeneous prime ideals in \( B \) — viewed as a subspace of \( \text{Spec}(B) \) with its usual topology. Similarly, for each finitely generated \( A \)-module \( M \) we can define a ‘sheaf’ \( M \) of \( O_A \)-modules by microlocalisation in an analogous way. As usual we say such a sheaf is supported on a subset \( C \) if each stalk \( M_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0 \) for each \( \mathfrak{p} \) not in \( C \). We call the minimal such set \( \text{Ch}(M) \), the characteristic support of \( M \); see §2.4.

1.4. **Main results.** Now the associated graded ring of \( A = \Omega_G \) with respect to its natural filtration may be naturally identified with the symmetric algebra \( B = \text{Sym}(V) \), where \( V = G/G_2 \). To study \( F_I \) we consider it as the global sections of the ‘sheaf’ \( F_I \); we show in one of our main results, Theorem 4.5, that if \( I \) is \( \Gamma \)-invariant then \( F_I \) must be supported on the set \( \mathcal{X} := \{ \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}_{gr}(B) : g \cdot v \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \text{ for some } v \in V \setminus 0 \} \).

Here \( g \) is a certain Lie algebra that acts naturally on \( V \), constructed from the action of \( \Gamma \) on \( G \) — see §4.2 for details. Since in this case \( B \) is a polynomial algebra and since \( V \) is a finite set, \( \mathcal{X} \) is contained in the union of finitely many rational (that is, defined over \( \mathbb{F}_p \)) linear subspaces of the affine space \( \text{Spec}(B) \).

This result puts a restriction on the characteristic support of \( F_I \): the codimension of \( \text{Ch}(F_I) \), which coincides with the grade of the module \( F_I \), is bounded below by the minimal dimension of a \( g \)-orbit of a non-zero element of \( V \).

Suppose now that in addition \( I \) is a prime ideal of \( A \) which is not controlled by \( \Omega_{G_2} \). Then \( F_I \) has the same dimension as \( A/I \) by Proposition 2.6 and moreover \( \text{Ch}(A/I) \) is geometrically pure by Gabber’s purity theorem. Since \( \text{Ch}(F_I) \) is always contained in \( \text{Ch}(A/I) \) by Proposition 2.5, it follows that an irreducible component of \( \text{Ch}(A/I) \) must be contained in one of the aforementioned rational linear subspaces. This gives us a severe restriction on the possible characteristic support of \( A/I \). We can then deduce in Theorem 4.8 that the grade of \( A/I \), also known as the homological height of \( I \), is bounded below by

\[
\mu := \min \{ \dim g \cdot v : v \in V \setminus 0 \}.
\]

In fact, the conclusion of Theorem 4.8 holds if we only assume that \( I \) is non-zero and \( \Gamma \)-invariant.

1.5. **Consequences for Iwasawa algebras.** The effect of this for the Iwasawa algebras of Chevalley type discussed in [3] is that not only are there no non-zero reflexive prime ideals in \( \Omega_G \), (that is, ideals of homological height 1), but in fact non-zero prime ideals of homological height strictly less than \( \mu \) cannot exist: see
Theorem 5.3. We compute the lower bound $u$ in this case in §5.2; here is a table of the values it takes for each root system $\Phi$:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\Phi$</th>
<th>$A_n$</th>
<th>$B_n$</th>
<th>$C_n$</th>
<th>$D_n$</th>
<th>$E_6$</th>
<th>$E_7$</th>
<th>$E_8$</th>
<th>$F_4$</th>
<th>$G_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dim $G$</td>
<td>$n^2 + 2n$</td>
<td>$2n^2 + n$</td>
<td>$2n^2 + n$</td>
<td>$2n^2 - n$</td>
<td>$78$</td>
<td>$133$</td>
<td>$248$</td>
<td>$52$</td>
<td>$14$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u$</td>
<td>$2n$</td>
<td>$4n - 4$</td>
<td>$2n$</td>
<td>$4n - 6$</td>
<td>$22$</td>
<td>$34$</td>
<td>$58$</td>
<td>$16$</td>
<td>$6$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We include the dimensions of the associated Chevalley groups $G$ in this table, for the convenience of the reader.

1.6. **An outline of the paper.** This paper should be viewed as an appropriate generalisation and strengthening of the method introduced in [2] and [3]. In Section 2, we recall basic facts about the Frobenius pairs framework developed in [2], and discuss the notions of microlocalisation and characteristic support of modules. We also prove some ‘geometric’ properties of Frobenius pairs in §2.5.

The goal of Section 3 is to establish Theorem 3.5, a control theorem for invariant ideals; this result should be viewed as a generalisation of the control theorem for normal elements, [2, Theorem 3.1]. We introduce a new notion of a ‘source of derivations’ $S$ in §3.2 and an appropriate notion of $S$-closure $J^S$ of a graded ideal $J$ in §3.4; with these in place, the analogue of the derivation hypothesis of [2, §3.5] simply becomes $D(J^S) \subseteq J$.

In Section 4, we apply Theorem 3.5 to microlocalisations of Iwasawa algebras and prove our main result, Theorem 4.5. The key step in the proof is Proposition 4.3, which shows that the ‘derivation hypothesis’ holds for the microlocalisation of the Iwasawa algebra at any graded prime ideal $P$ not in $X$. This step relies heavily on the linear algebra calculations performed in [3, §1]. The geometric properties of Frobenius pairs established in §2 can now be used to prove the lower bound Theorem 4.8, essentially in the way sketched above.

We discuss the two obvious applications of our methods in Section 5, and compute the invariant $u$ for uniform pro-$p$ groups of Chevalley type in §5.2.
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2. **Microlocalisation, characteristic support and purity**

2.1. **Frobenius pairs.** Throughout, $k$ will denote an arbitrary base field of characteristic $p$. Let $B$ be a commutative $k$-algebra. The Frobenius map $x \mapsto x^p$ is a ring endomorphism of $B$ and gives a ring isomorphism of $B$ onto its image

$$B^{[p]} := \{ b^p : b \in B \}$$

in $B$ provided that $B$ is reduced.

Let $t$ be a positive integer. Whenever $\{y_1, \ldots, y_t\}$ is a $t$-tuple of elements of $B$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_t)$ is a $t$-tuple of nonnegative integers, we define

$$y^\alpha = y_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots y_t^{\alpha_t}.$$  

Let $[p - 1]$ denote the set $\{0, 1, \ldots, p - 1\}$ and let $[p - 1]^t$ be the product of $t$ copies of $[p - 1]$. 

Definition. [2, Definition 2.2] Let $A$ be a complete filtered $k$-algebra and let $A_1$ be a subalgebra of $A$. We always view $A_1$ as a filtered subalgebra of $A$, equipped with the subspace filtration $F_nA_1 := F_nA \cap A_1$. We say that $(A, A_1)$ is a Frobenius pair if the following axioms are satisfied:

(i) $A_1$ is closed in $A$,
(ii) $\text{gr} A$ is a commutative noetherian domain, and we write $B = \text{gr} A$,
(iii) the image $B_1$ of $\text{gr} A_1$ in $B$ satisfies $B^{[p]} \subseteq B_1$, and
(iv) there exist homogeneous elements $y_1, \ldots, y_t \in B$ such that

$$B = \bigoplus_{a \in [p-1]} B_1 y^a.$$ 

2.2. Microlocalisation. As in [2], one of our main tools is microlocalisation. We refer the reader to [2, §4] for the necessary background.

Lemma. Let $(A, A_1)$ be a Frobenius pair, let $T$ be a homogeneous multiplicatively closed set in $B$ and let $T_1 := T \cap B_1$. Then

(a) $(Q_T(A), Q_{T_1}(A_1))$ is also a Frobenius pair, and
(b) $Q_T(A) = Q_{T_1}(A)$.

Proof. For any $s \in A$, we let $\text{gr} s$ denote its principal symbol in $B$. Recall [2, §4.2] that the microlocalisation $Q_T(A)$ is the completion of the localisation $A_S$ of $A$ at the Ore set $S$ in $A$ defined as follows:

$$S = \{ s \in A : \text{gr} s \in T \}.$$ 

The microlocalisation $Q_{T_1}(A_1)$ is defined similarly. Because the proof of part (a) is very similar to that of [2, Proposition 5.1(a)], we will omit the details. For part (b), note that by [2, Lemma 2.3] we can find a finite set $X$ that simultaneously generates $A$ as a (free) $A_1$-module, and also $Q_T(A)$ as a (free) $Q_{T_1}(A_1)$-module:

$$A = X \cdot A_1 \quad \text{and} \quad Q_T(A) = X \cdot Q_{T_1}(A_1).$$ 

Now $Q_{T_1}(A) = A \otimes_{A_1} Q_{T_1}(A_1)$ by definition of the microlocalisation of a module, so

$$Q_{T_1}(A) = X \cdot Q_{T_1}(A_1) = Q_T(A)$$

as required. \hfill \Box

Corollary. Let $M$ be a finitely generated $A$-module. Then $Q_T(M) \cong Q_{T_1}(M)$ as $Q_{T_1}(A_1)$-modules.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of part (b) of the lemma:

$$Q_T(M) = M \otimes_A Q_T(A) = M \otimes_A A_1 \otimes_{A_1} Q_{T_1}(A_1) = M \otimes_{A_1} Q_{T_1}(A_1) = Q_{T_1}(M),$$

and these identifications respect the right $Q_{T_1}(A_1)$-module structures. \hfill \Box

2.3. Control of ideals. Quite generally, if $A_1$ is a subring of the ring $A$, and $I$ is a right ideal of $A$, we will write $I_1$ for the right ideal $I \cap A_1$ of $A_1$, and say that $I$ is controlled by $A_1$ if and only if $I = I_1 \cdot A$. Controlled ideals are in some sense “understood”, as they “come from” the smaller ring $A_1$.

Frequently we will be able to prove that the microlocalisation of $I$ is controlled by the microlocalisation of $A_1$. The next result tells us how to “lift” this information back from the microlocalisation.
Lemma. Let \((A, A_1)\) be a Frobenius pair, let \(T\) be a homogeneous multiplicatively closed set in \(B\) and let \(I\) be a right ideal of \(A\). Then \(Q_T(I)\) is controlled by \(Q_T(A_1)\) if and only if the “failure of control” module \(F := I/I_1A\) satisfies \((\text{gr } F)_T = 0\).

Proof. The ideas in this proof were already present in the proof of [2, Theorem 5.2], but we include the details for the convenience of the reader.

Write \(A' = Q_T(A), A'_1 = Q_T(A_1)\) and \(I' = Q_T(I) = I \cdot A'\). Note that \(I'\) can be identified with a right ideal of \(A'\), by [2, Lemma 4.4(e)]. Since microlocalisation preserves pullbacks [2, Lemma 4.4(e)], \(Q_T(I) \cap Q_T(A_1) = Q_T(I \cap A_1)\), so
\[
(I \cdot A'_1) \cap A'_1 = (I \cap A_1) \cdot A'_1.
\]
By Corollary 2.2, \(I' = I \cdot A'_1\), so
\[
(I' \cap A'_1) \cdot A' = (I \cap A_1) \cdot A'_1 \cdot A' = (I \cap A_1)A \cdot A'.
\]
This shows that \(I'\) is controlled by \(A'_1\) if and only if \(I \cdot A' = I_1A \cdot A'\). Since microlocalisation is exact in our setting by [2, Proposition 4.3(d)], this is equivalent to \(Q_T(F) = 0\). But \(\text{gr } Q_T(F) = (\text{gr } F)_T\), so the result follows from the fact that \(Q_T(A)\) is a complete filtered ring. \(\square\)

2.4. The characteristic support. Let \(A\) be a filtered ring whose associated graded ring \(B = \text{gr } A\) is commutative noetherian. Let \(\text{Spec}_{\text{gr}}(B)\) denote the set of all graded prime ideals of \(B\).

Definition. Let \(M\) be a finitely generated \(A\)-module. The characteristic support of \(M\) is the following subset of \(\text{Spec}_{\text{gr}}(B)\):
\[
\text{Ch}(M) := \{P \in \text{Spec}_{\text{gr}}(B) : \text{Ann}(\text{gr } M) \subseteq P\}.
\]

Lemma. \(\text{Ch}(M)\) is independent of the choice of good filtration on \(M\) that defines the associated graded module \(\text{gr } M\).

Proof. This is well-known; see, for example, [12, Chapter III, Lemma 4.1.9]. \(\square\)

Any graded prime ideal \(P\) of \(B\) gives rise to the homogeneous multiplicatively closed set \(T_P\), which consists of all homogeneous elements of \(B\) not in \(P\). We can then form the localisation \(B_{T_P}\) of \(B\) and the microlocalisation \(Q_{T_P}(A)\) of \(A\). By abuse of notation, we will always write \(B_P := B_{T_P}\) and \(A_P := Q_{T_P}(A)\) in this case. Furthermore, if \(M\) is a finitely generated \(A\)-module, then we will write \(M_P := Q_{T_P}(M)\) for the microlocalisation of \(M\) at \(T_P\).

Proposition. For any finitely generated \(A\)-module \(M\), we have
\[
\text{Ch}(M) = \{P \in \text{Spec}_{\text{gr}}(B) : M_P \neq 0\}.
\]

Proof. Let \(P \in \text{Spec}_{\text{gr}}(B)\) and let \(N = \text{gr } M\); then \(M_P = 0\) if and only if \(N_P = 0\). Now \(N\) is a quotient of a direct sum of copies of \(B/\text{Ann}(N)\), and \(B/\text{Ann}(N)\) is a submodule of a direct sum of copies of \(N\), so \(N_P = 0\) if and only if \((B/\text{Ann}(N))_P = 0\). However the last condition is easily seen to be equivalent to \(\text{Ann}(N) \not\subseteq P\), and the result follows. \(\square\)

Corollary. If \(0 \to L \to M \to N \to 0\) is a short exact sequence of finitely generated \(A\)-modules, then \(\text{Ch}(M) = \text{Ch}(L) \cup \text{Ch}(N)\).

Proof. Microlocalisation is exact in our setting: see [2, Proposition 4.3(d)]. \(\square\)
2.5. Characteristic support and Frobenius pairs. Let \((A, A_1)\) be a Frobenius pair. The inclusion \(ι : B_1 \hookrightarrow B\) induces a map \(ι_* : \text{Spec}_\text{gr}(B) \to \text{Spec}_\text{gr}(B_1)\), given by \(ι_*(P) = P \cap B_1\).

**Proposition.** The map \(ι_*\) is a bijection. Let \(I\) be a right ideal of \(A\), and let \(I_1 = I \cap A_1\). Then \(\text{Ch}(A/I) = \text{Ch}(A/I_1A) = ι_*^{-1}(\text{Ch}(A_1/I_1))\).

**Proof.** Since \(B\) is a finitely generated \(B_1\)-module by definition, \(B\) is integral over \(B_1\). Hence \(ι_*\) is surjective. Because \(b^p \in B_1\) for all \(b \in B\), we see that \(ι_*\) is injective. In fact, it is easy to see that the inverse of \(ι_*\) is given explicitly by the formula

\[ι_*^{-1}(p) = \sqrt{pB},\]

for any \(p \in \text{Spec}_\text{gr}(B_1)\).

Let \(P \in \text{Spec}_\text{gr}(B)\) and write \(P_1 = ι_*(P)\). Since \(B_1 \setminus P_1 = (B \setminus P) \cap B_1\), Corollary 2.2 implies that \(M_P = M_{P_1}\) for any finitely generated \(A\)-module \(M\). We will use Proposition 2.4 without further mention in what follows.

Since \(A/I\) is a quotient of \(A/I_1A\), \(\text{Ch}(A/I) \subseteq \text{Ch}(A/I_1A)\) by Corollary 2.4. Now if \(P_1 \notin \text{Ch}(A/I_1)\), then \((A_1/I_1)_{P_1} = 0\), so

\[(A/I_1A)_{P_1} = (A_1/I_1) \otimes_A A \otimes_A A_{P_1} = (A_1/I_1) \otimes_A A_{P_1} = 0\]

whence \(P \notin \text{Ch}(A/I_1A)\). This shows that \(\text{Ch}(A/I_1A) \subseteq ι_*^{-1}(\text{Ch}(A_1/I_1))\).

Finally, if \(P \notin \text{Ch}(A/I)\) then \((A/I)_P = 0\) and hence \((A/I)_{P_1} = 0\). Since \(A/I_1\) is an \(A_1\)-submodule of \(A/I\), Corollary 2.4 implies that \(P \notin ι_*^{-1}(\text{Ch}(A_1/I_1))\). Hence \(ι_*^{-1}(\text{Ch}(A_1/I_1)) \subseteq \text{Ch}(A/I)\) and the proof is complete. \(\square\)

2.6. Purity of modules. Let \(A\) be an Auslander-Gorenstein ring and let \(M\) be a finitely generated \(A\)-module. Recall that \(M\) has a grade \(j_A(M)\) defined by the formula

\[j_A(M) = \min\{j : \text{Ext}_A^j(M, A) \neq 0\}.\]

Recall that if \(0 \to L \to M \to N \to 0\) is a short exact sequence, then we have

\[j_A(M) = \min\{j_A(L), j_A(N)\}.\]

\(M\) is said to be pure if \(j_A(N) = j_A(M)\) for all non-zero submodules \(N\) of \(M\); clearly any submodule of a pure module is itself pure.

**Lemma.** Let \((A, A_1)\) be a Frobenius pair such that \(B\) and \(B_1\) are Gorenstein, and let \(M\) be a finitely generated \(A\)-module.

(a) \(A\) and \(A_1\) are also Auslander-Gorenstein.

(b) The grade of \(M\) equals the codimension of the characteristic support of \(M\):

\[j_A(M) = j_B(\text{gr} M) = \min\{\text{ht} P : P \in \text{Ch}(M)\}.\]

(c) \(j_A(M) = j_{A_1}(M|_{A_1})\).

(d) \(M\) is pure if and only if \(M|_{A_1}\) is pure.

**Proof.** (a) Use [7, Theorem 3.9].

(b) For the first equality, use [8, Remark 5.8]. For the second equality, see [5].

(c) The map \(ι_* : \text{Spec}_\text{gr}(B) \to \text{Spec}_\text{gr}(B_1)\) extends to an order preserving bijection between the usual spectra \(\text{Spec}(B)\) and \(\text{Spec}(B_1)\); this shows that \(\text{ht} \ ι_* P = \text{ht} P\) for any \(P \in \text{Spec}_\text{gr}(B)\). Since \(M\) is finitely generated, \(M\) is a finite extension of cyclic \(A\)-modules; using Corollary 2.4 and Proposition 2.5, we see that

\[ι_* \text{Ch}(M) = \text{Ch}(M|_{A_1}).\]
Part (c) now follows from part (b).

(d) \( \Rightarrow \) This is easy, given part (c).

(d) \( \Leftarrow \) Let \( N \) be a non-zero \( A_1 \)-submodule of \( M \). Since \( N \otimes A_1 \) is surjects onto the non-zero \( A \)-submodule \( N \cdot A \) of \( M \) and since \( M \) is pure, we have

\[
j_{A_1}(M) = j_A(M) = j_A(N \cdot A) \geq j_A(N \otimes A_1) A = j_{A_1}(N) \geq j_{A_1}(M)
\]

Here we have used the fact that \( A \) is a free \( A_1 \)-module [2, Lemma 2.3]. Hence \( j_{A_1}(N) = j_{A_1}(M) \) and \( M|_{A_1} \) is pure.

\[ \Box \]

**Proposition.** Let \( (A, A_1) \) be a Frobenius pair such that \( B \) and \( B_1 \) are Gorenstein. Let \( I \) be a right ideal of \( A \), let \( I_1 = I \cap A_1 \) and suppose that \( A/I \) is pure. Then either \( I/I_1 \) is zero, or it is pure of the same grade as \( A/I \).

**Proof.** By part (d) of the lemma, \( A_1/I_1 \) is pure, being an \( A_1 \)-submodule of the pure \( A_1 \)-module \( A/I \). It will be enough to show that \( A/I_1A \cong (A_1/I_1) \otimes_{A_1} A \) is also pure, and has the same grade as \( A/I \).

Recall [8, Theorem 2.12] that a finitely generated module \( M \) over an Auslander-Gorenstein ring \( R \) is pure if and only if \( \text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Ext}_R^j(M, R), R) = 0 \) for all \( i \neq j(M) \). Hence \( \text{Ext}_A^i(A_1/1A, 1A_1) = 0 \) for all \( i > j_A(A_1/1A) \).

Since \( A \) is a free right and left \( A_1 \)-module by [2, Lemma 2.3], [2, Proposition 1.2] implies that \( j_A(A/I_1A) = j_{A_1}(A/I_1A) \) and also that

\[
\text{Ext}_A^i(\text{Ext}_A^j(A_1/I_1A, A), A) \cong \text{Ext}_{A_1}^i(\text{Ext}_{A_1}^j(A_1/I_1A, A_1), A_1) \otimes_{A_1} A = 0
\]

for all \( i > j_A(A/I_1A) \). So \( A/I_1A \) is pure, and our result follows. \[ \Box \]

### 3. A control theorem for \( S \)-invariant right ideals

#### 3.1. Inducing derivations on \( \text{gr} A \)

Let \( A \) be a filtered ring with associated graded ring \( B \) and let \( \alpha \) be a ring endomorphism of \( A \). Suppose that there is an integer \( m_\alpha \geq 1 \) such that

\[
(\alpha - 1)(F_n A) \subseteq F_{n-m_\alpha} A
\]

for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \). This induces additive maps

\[
d_\alpha : \quad \frac{F_n A}{F_{n+1} A} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{F_{n-m_\alpha} A}{F_{n+1-m_\alpha} A}
\]

\[
x + F_{n+1} A \quad \mapsto \quad \alpha(x) - x + F_{n-m_\alpha-1} A
\]

for each \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \), which patch together to give a graded endomorphism \( d_\alpha \) of the abelian group \( B \).

**Lemma.** \( d_\alpha \) is a graded derivation of \( B \) of degree \( m_\alpha \).

**Proof.** Let \( x \in F_mA \) and \( y \in F_nA \), so that \( X = x + F_{m+1} A \) and \( Y = y + F_{n+1} A \) are homogeneous elements of \( B \) of degree \( m \) and \( n \) respectively. Then

\[
d_\alpha(X \cdot Y) = \alpha(xy) - xy + F_{m+n-m_\alpha-1} A.
\]

\[
d_\alpha(X) \cdot Y = \alpha(x)y - xy + F_{m+n-m_\alpha-1} A \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
X \cdot d_\alpha(Y) = \alpha(y)x - xy + F_{m+n-m_\alpha-1} A.
\]

Because \( m_\alpha \geq 1 \), \( (\alpha(x) - x)(\alpha(y) - y) \in F_{m+n+2m_\alpha} \subseteq F_{m+n-m_\alpha-1} A \). Hence

\[
\alpha(xy) - xy \equiv \alpha(x)y - xy + x\alpha(y) - xy \quad \text{mod} \quad F_{m+n-m_\alpha-1} A
\]

and the result follows. \[ \Box \]
3.2. New sources of derivations. We now introduce a new notion of “source of derivations” for a Frobenius pair, which is slightly different from the one introduced in [2, §3.3]. We hope that the inconsistency in terminology will not cause any confusion; it is just a matter of language. After reading this paper, the reader may get the feeling that the “real” source of our derivations — at least for our applications — is the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ defined below in §4.2.

Definition. A source of derivations for a Frobenius pair $(A, A_1)$ is a set $a = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots\}$ of endomorphisms of $A$ such that there exist functions $\theta, \theta_1 : a \to \mathbb{N}$ satisfying the following conditions:

(a) each source of derivations $a$ of $(A, A_1)$ induces a source of derivations $\mathfrak{a}_T$ of $(Q_T(A), Q_T(A_1))$.

(b) the derivations of $B_T$ induced by $\mathfrak{a}_T$ coincide with the extensions to $B_T$ of the derivations of $B$ induced by $a$.

Proof. Let $\alpha$ be a ring endomorphism of $A$ such that $(\alpha - 1)(F_nA) \subseteq F_{n-1}A$ for some integer $m \geq 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $x \in A$: since $\deg(\alpha(x) - x) < \deg x$, we have $\text{gr } \alpha(x) = \text{gr } x$ and in particular $\deg \alpha(x) = \deg x$. Hence $\alpha(S) \subseteq S$, where $S$ denotes the Ore subset of $A$ determined by $T$, see §2.2. Thus $\alpha$ extends to an endomorphism $\alpha$ of the Ore localisation $A_S$.

Now if $r \in A$ and $s \in S$, then the formula

$$\alpha(rs^{-1}) - rs^{-1} = (\alpha(r) - r)\alpha(s)^{-1} - rs^{-1}(\alpha(s) - s)\alpha(s)^{-1}$$

together with the explicit description of the filtration on $A_S$ given in [2, Lemma 4.2] shows that

$$(\alpha - 1)(F_nA) \subseteq F_{n-1}A_S$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Because $m \geq 1$, it follows from this that $\alpha$ preserves the filtration on $A_S$ and hence extends to a ring endomorphism $\alpha$ of the completion $Q_T(A)$ such that $(\alpha - 1)F_nQ_T(A) \subseteq F_{n-1}Q_T(A)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Similarly, if $(\alpha - 1)F_nA_1 \subseteq F_{n-1}A$, then $(\alpha - 1)F_nQ_T(A_1) \subseteq F_{n-1}Q_T(A)$. Part (a) follows, and part (b) is clear.

3.3. The delta function. Let $(A, A_1)$ be a Frobenius pair and $n$ be an integer.

Each filtered part $F_nA_1$ is closed in $A_1$ by definition of the filtration topology, and $A_1$ is closed in $A$ by assumption. Hence $F_nA_1$ is closed in $A$, which can be expressed as follows:

$$F_nA_1 = \bigcap_{m \geq 0} (F_nA_1 + F_{n-m}A).$$

We can now define a key invariant of elements of $A \setminus A_1$:

Definition. For any $w \in A \setminus A_1$, let $n = \deg w$ and define

$$\delta(w) := \max\{m : w \in F_nA_1 + F_{n-m}A\}.$$
Clearly $\delta(w) \geq 0$. Note that if $w \in F_n A \setminus A_1$, then $w \notin F_n A_1 + F_{n-m} A$ for some $m > 0$ by the above remarks, so the definition makes sense. The number $\delta(w)$ measures how closely the element $w$ can be approximated by elements of $A_1$. It should be remarked that $\delta(w) > 0$ if and only if $\text{gr } w \in B_1$, since both conditions are equivalent to $w \in F_n A_1 + F_{n-1} A$.

Now suppose that $w \in A \setminus A_1$. By the definition of $\delta := \delta(w)$, we can find elements $x \in F_n A_1$ and $y \in F_{n-\delta} A$ such that $w = x + y$; if $\delta = 0$ we take $x$ to be zero. Note that $y \notin F_{n-\delta-1} A$ by the maximality of $\delta$ and hence

$$Y_w := \text{gr } y = y + F_{n-\delta-1} A.$$  

In view of our assumption on $x$, we have $Y_w = \text{gr } w$ when $\delta = 0$.

### 3.4. $S$-closures.

Let $(A, A_1)$ be a Frobenius pair and let $S$ be a fixed set of sources of derivations of $A$. If $I$ is a right ideal of $A$, we say that $I$ is $S$-invariant if for all $a \in S$, $\alpha_r(I) \subseteq I$ for all $r \gg 0$.

**Definition.** Let $(A, A_1)$ be a Frobenius pair, let $S$ be a set of sources of derivations of $A$ and let $J$ be a graded ideal of $B$. The $S$-closure $J^S$ of $J$ is defined to be

$$J^S := \{ Y \in B : \forall a \in S, \ d_{a_r}(Y) \in J \text{ for all } r \gg 0. \}$$

Because $d_{a_r}$ is a $B_1$-linear derivation of $B$ for large enough $r$, we see that $J^S$ is a $B_1$-submodule of $B$ containing $B_1$. It is in fact a graded $B_1$-submodule.

**Proposition.** Let $I$ be an $S$-invariant right ideal of $A$ and write $J := \text{gr } I$. Then for any $w \in I \setminus A_1$, $Y_w \in J^S$.

**Proof.** Let us write $w = x + y$ as in the previous subsection and let $a \in S$. We can find an integer $r_0 \geq 1$ such that $\theta_1(a_r) - \theta(a_r) > \delta := \delta(w)$ for all $r \geq r_0$. Therefore

$$\alpha_r(x) - x \in F_{n-\theta_1(a_r)} A \subseteq F_{n-\theta(a_r)-1} A \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_r(y) - y \in F_{n-\theta(a_r)-1} A,$$

for all $r \geq r_0$. Hence

$$\alpha_r(w) - w \in F_{n-\theta(a_r)} A, \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_r(w) - w \equiv \alpha_r(y) - y \mod F_{n-\theta(a_r)-1} A$$

for all $r \geq r_0$. We can rewrite the above as follows:

$$\alpha_r(w) - w + F_{n-\theta(a_r)-1} A = \alpha_r(y) - y + F_{n-\theta(a_r)-1} A = d_{a_r}(Y_w)$$

for $r \geq r_0$. Since $w \in I$ and $I$ is $S$-invariant, $d_{a_r}(Y_w)$ must lie in the ideal $J = \text{gr } I$ of $B$ for $r \gg 0$, and hence $Y_w \in J^S$ as required.

Let $D$ denote the set of all $B_1$-linear derivations of $B$.

**Corollary.** Suppose that $D(J^S) \subseteq J$. Then $J$ is controlled by $B_1$: $J = (J \cap B_1) B$.

**Proof.** By [2, Proposition 2.4(d)], it is enough to show that $D(J) \subseteq J$. So let $X \in J$ be a homogeneous element. If $X \in B_1$ then $D(X) = 0 \in J$, so assume $X \notin B_1$. Choose $w \in I$ such that $X = \text{gr } w$; then $\delta(w) = 0$ by definition and $X = Y_w$. Hence $X \in J^S$ by the Proposition and hence $D(X) \subseteq J$ by the assumption on $J$. The result follows. \qed
3.5. The control theorem. We can now state and prove our first main result, a control theorem for $S$-invariant ideals. It should be viewed as a generalization of the control theorem for normal elements [2, Theorem 3.1].

**Theorem.** Let $(A, A_1)$ be a Frobenius pair, let $S$ be a set of sources of derivations, let $I$ be a $S$-invariant right ideal of $A$ and let $J := \text{gr} I$. If $D(J^S) \subseteq J$ then $I$ is controlled by $A_1$:

\[ I = (I \cap A_1) \cdot A. \]

**Proof.** We will first show that $J \cap B_1 \subseteq \text{gr}(I \cap A_1)$. Let $X \in J \cap B_1$ be homogeneous of degree $n$ say, and choose $w \in I$ such that $\text{gr} w = X$. If $w \in A_1$ then $X = \text{gr} w \in \text{gr}(I \cap A_1)$ as required, so assume that $w \notin A_1$. Write $w = x + y$ as in §3.3; by Proposition 3.4, $Y := Y_w = \text{gr} y \in J^S$, so $D(Y) \subseteq J$ by assumption on $J$.

Since $J$ is controlled by $B_1$ by Corollary 3.4, applying [2, Proposition 2.4(c)] to the image of $Y$ in $B/J$ shows that $Y \in J + B_1$.

Write $\delta = \delta(w)$, so that $\text{deg} y = n - \delta$. Note that $\delta > 0$ because $X \in B_1$. We can find some $s \in I \cap F_{n-\delta} A$, $z \in F_{n-\delta} A_1$ and $\epsilon \in F_{n-\delta - 1} A$ such that $y = s + z + \epsilon$. Then $w' := w - s \in I$ and $\text{gr} w' = \text{gr} w = X$ because $s \in F_{n-\delta} A$ and $\delta > 0$. Moreover, $w' = x + z + \epsilon \in F_n A_1 + F_{n-\delta - 1} A$, so that $\delta(w') > \delta(w)$.

Iterating the above argument, we can construct a sequence $w_1, w_2, w_3, \ldots$ of elements of $I$ having the following properties:

- $\text{gr} w_i = X$,
- $w_i \notin A_1$,
- $w_{i+1} \equiv w_i \mod F_{n-\delta(w_i)} A$, and
- $\delta(w_{i+1}) > \delta(w_i)$

for all $i \geq 1$. Note that we may always assume that $w_i \notin A_1$, because if any $w_i$ does happen to lie in $A_1$ then $X = \text{gr} w_i \in \text{gr}(I \cap A_1)$ and we’re done.

This sequence converges to an element $u \in A$ such that $\text{gr} u = X$. Since the filtration on $A$ is complete and since $B = \text{gr} A$ is noetherian, $I$ is closed in the filtration topology by [12, Chapter II, Theorem 2.1.2(6)] so $u \in I$. Given an integer $m > 0$, $\delta(w_i) > m$ and $u - w_i \in F_{n-m} A$ for sufficiently large $i$, so

\[ u = w_i + (u - w_i) \in (F_n A_1 + F_{n-\delta(w_i)} A) + F_{n-m} A \subseteq F_n A_1 + F_{n-m} A \]

for all $m > 0$. Because $A_1$ is closed in $A$, $u \in A_1$ and therefore $X = \text{gr} u \in \text{gr}(I \cap A_1)$.

Thus $J \cap B_1 \subseteq \text{gr}(I \cap A_1)$ as claimed. Because $\text{gr}(I \cap A_1)$ is obviously contained in $J \cap B_1$, we have the equality $J \cap B_1 = \text{gr}(I \cap A_1)$. Now

\[ \text{gr}((I \cap A_1) A) = \text{gr}(I \cap A_1) \cdot \text{gr} A = (J \cap B_1) \cdot B = J = \text{gr} I \]

and therefore $I = (I \cap A_1) A$ by [12, Chapter II, Lemma 1.2.9].

4. Iwasawa algebras

4.1. Uniform $\Gamma$-actions. Let $p$ be an odd prime and let $\Gamma$ and $G$ be uniform pro-$p$ groups. We assume that $\Gamma$ acts on $G$ by group automorphisms and that the action is uniform:

\[ \gamma \cdot g \equiv g \mod G^p \]

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $g \in G$. Let $\tau : \Gamma \to \text{Aut}(G)$ be the associated group homomorphism; note that $\tau$ is automatically continuous by [10, Corollary 1.21(i)]. Let $L_G$ denote the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-Lie algebra of $G$ — this is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of rank $d = \dim G$. 
Any automorphism of $G$ gives rise to an automorphism of $L_G$: this gives rise to a natural injection
\[ \iota : \text{Aut}(G) \hookrightarrow \text{GL}(L_G). \]
Clearly $\Gamma$ acts uniformly on $G$ if and only if the image of $\iota \tau$ is contained in the first congruence subgroup $\Gamma_1(\text{GL}(L_G)) := \ker(\text{GL}(L_G) \to \text{GL}(L_G/pL_G))$ of $\text{GL}(L_G)$. Since $\Gamma_1(\text{GL}(L_G))$ has finite index in $\text{GL}(L_G)$, we see that if $\Gamma$ is any pro-$p$ group of finite rank acting on $G$ by group automorphisms, then $\Gamma$ always has a uniform pro-$p$ subgroup $\Gamma_1$ of finite index that acts uniformly. Of course this last fact is also implied by the finiteness of the group $G/G^p$.

4.2. Some Lie theory. The category of uniform pro-$p$ groups is isomorphic to the category of powerful Lie algebras by [10, Theorem 9.10], so the homomorphism $\iota \tau$ gives rise to a Lie algebra homomorphism
\[ \sigma = \log \circ \iota \circ \exp : L_\Gamma \to p \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(L_G) \]
since $p \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(L_G)$ is the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-Lie algebra of $\Gamma_1(\text{GL}(L_G))$. In other words, $L_G$ is naturally a $L_\Gamma$-module, acting by derivations and moreover
\[ x \cdot L_G \subseteq pL_G \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in L_\Gamma. \]
Let $N_\Gamma = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p L_\Gamma : x \cdot L_G \subseteq L_G\}$ be the inverse image of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(L_G)$ under the homomorphism
\[ \sigma : \mathbb{Q}_p L_\Gamma \to \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathbb{Q}_p L_G). \]
Note that $\mathbb{Z}_p L_\Gamma \subseteq N_\Gamma$. $N_\Gamma$ also contains ker $\sigma$ and $N_\Gamma/\ker \sigma$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module. Hence
\[ \mathfrak{g} := N_\Gamma/pN_\Gamma \]
is a finite dimensional $\mathbb{F}_p$-Lie algebra. Define
\[ V := L_G/pL_G, \]
an $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector space of dimension $d$. Letting $\overline{\cdot} : L_G \to V$ and $\overline{\iota} : N_\Gamma \to \mathfrak{g}$ denote the natural surjections, $V$ becomes a $\mathfrak{g}$-module via the rule
\[ \overline{x} \cdot \overline{y} = \overline{x \cdot y} \]
for all $x \in N_\Gamma$ and $y \in L_G$. Let $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \to \text{End}(V)$ be the associated homomorphism.

4.3. The derivation hypothesis. As explained in [3, §1.3], every endomorphism $\varphi$ of $V$ extends to a derivation of $\text{Sym}(V)$ and for each $r \geq 0$ we also have the deformed derivations $\varphi^{[p^r]}$ of $\text{Sym}(V)$, defined by the rule
\[ \varphi^{[p^r]}(v) = \varphi(v)^{p^r} \quad \text{for all} \quad v \in V. \]
Let $B = \text{Sym}(V \otimes k)$ and let $P$ be a graded prime ideal of $B$. Let $\mathcal{D} = \text{Der}_k(B)$ denote the space of all $k$-linear derivations of $B$; in fact, $\mathcal{D}$ is also the space of all $B_1$-linear derivations of $B$ if we set $B_1 = kB^{[p]}$. Also, $\mathcal{D}$ is canonically isomorphic to $B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V^*$ and $\text{Der}_k(B_P)$ is canonically isomorphic to $B_P \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V^* = \mathcal{D}_P$ (see §2.4 for the notation). The derivations $\varphi^{[p^r]}$ extend to $B_P$, so we can also think of them as lying in $\mathcal{D}_P$.

We can view $V$ as a $\mathfrak{g}$-module via $\rho$; in this way, $V^*$ is also naturally a $\mathfrak{g}$-module. The next result gives a sufficient condition that ensures that a local analogue of the derivation hypothesis of [2, §3.3] holds.
Proposition. Let $P$ be a graded prime ideal of $B$ which does not contain $g.v$ for any $v \in V \setminus 0$. Let $J$ be a graded ideal of $B_P$ and let $Y \in B_P$ be such that for all $x \in g$, we have

$$\rho(x)^{|p^n|}(Y) \in J \quad \text{for all} \quad r \gg 0.$$  

Then $D_P(Y) \subseteq J$.

Proof. Fix $x \in g$ and $f \in V^*$. By [3, Proposition 1.4], some $B$-linear combination of the derivations $\rho(x)^{|p^n|}$ equals $U(x \cdot f)$, where $U$ is some product of elements $u$, each lying in $x, V \setminus \ker f$. If $f(P \cap V) = 0$ then every such $u$ lies outside of $P$ and is hence a unit in $B_P$. Let $W = (P \cap V)^+$ be the annihilator of $P \cap V$ in $V^*$; then

$$(x \cdot f)(Y) \in J \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in g \quad \text{and} \quad f \in W.$$  

Now if $g \cdot W < V^*$ then there exists $v \in V \setminus 0$ such that $(g \cdot W)(v) = 0$. But then $W(g.v) = 0$, which forces $g.v \subseteq P \cap V$ and hence contradicts our assumption on $P$.

Hence $g \cdot W = V^*$; however $D_P$ is generated by $V^*$ as a $B_P$-module, so $D_P(Y) \subseteq J$ as required. $\Box$

Note that for “most” $P$, the intersection $P \cap V$ will be zero and then $P$ can only contain $g.v$ if $v$ lies in the space of $g$-invariants $V^g$ of $V$. Since $V^g$ can be arranged to be zero in many interesting cases, this means that the condition on $P$ imposed above is not very strong.

4.4. Derivations for Iwasawa algebras. Let $A = kG$ and $A_1 = kG^p$ be the completed group algebras of $G$ and $G^p$, with coefficients in our ground field $k$.

As usual, we equip $A$ with the $m$-adic filtration, where $m := (G - 1)kG$ is the augmentation ideal of $kG$:

$$F_n A := \begin{cases} m^{-n} & \text{if} \quad n \leq 0 \\ A & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

It is not hard to see that this is the same filtration as the one considered in [2, §6.6]. Now by [2, Proposition 6.6], $(A, A_1)$ is a Frobenius pair, and by [2, Lemma 6.2(d)] and Proposition 6.4, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\text{Sym}(V \otimes_{F_p} k) \overset{\cong}{\longrightarrow} \text{gr} A.$$  

Compare the following result with [3, Proposition 3.3].

Proposition. Let $x \in g$ be non-zero, and choose a lift $\tilde{x}$ of $x$ in $N_I \setminus pN_I$. Let $m \geq 1$ be such that $p^m \tilde{x} \in L_I$. Let $\alpha = \tau(\exp(p^m \tilde{x})) \in \text{Aut}(G)$ and view $\alpha$ as an algebra endomorphism of $A = kG$. Then

(a) $(\alpha - 1)F_n A \subseteq F_{n-p^m+1} A$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

(b) $(\alpha - 1)F_n A_1 \subseteq F_{n-p^m+1} A_1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and

(c) $d_\alpha = \rho(x)^{|p^n|}$ as derivations of $\text{gr} A$.

Proof. Let $C$ be the procyclic subgroup of $\Gamma$ generated by $\gamma := \exp(p^m \tilde{x}) \in \Gamma$. Let $H$ be the semidirect product of $G$ and $C$ with $\gamma$ acting on $G$ by the automorphism $\alpha$. So inside this new group $H$, we have the relation

$$\gamma g \gamma^{-1} = \gamma \cdot g$$  

for all $g \in G$. The group $H$ is uniform and $L_H$ is the semidirect product of $L_G$ and $L_C = p^m \tilde{x} \mathbb{Z}_p$, with $p^m \tilde{x}$ acting via the derivation $\sigma(p^m \tilde{x}) : L_G \to L_G$:

$$L_H = L_G \rtimes L_C.$$
Because $L_G$ is abelian and $\tilde{x} \cdot L_G \subseteq L_G$, $[p^m \tilde{x}, L_H] \subseteq p^m L_H$. Hence by [2, Proposition 6.7], the following relations hold in $kH$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$[\gamma, F_n kH] \subseteq F_{n-1} kH$$
$$[\gamma, F_n kH^p] \subseteq F_{n-1} kH^p.$$

Now $(\alpha - 1)(b) = \gamma b \gamma^{-1} - b = [\gamma, b] \gamma^{-1}$ for all $b \in kG$ and

$$F_n kH \cap kG = F_n kG$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Parts (a) and (b) follow.

Finally, part (c) follows from [2, Theorem 6.8]: one only needs to note that $d_\alpha$ coincides with the restriction to $gr kG$ of the derivation $\{\gamma, -\}_{p^m - 1}$ of $gr kH$.

\[ \square \]

4.5. The support of the “failure of control” module. We can now put the main pieces together and prove a refined version of [2, Theorem 5.2]. The theorem below placesa severe restriction on the characteristic support of the failure of control module of any $\Gamma$-invariant right ideal of $kG$; see §2.4 for the notation.

**Theorem.** Let $I$ be a $\Gamma$-invariant right ideal of $kG$ and let $F = I/(I \cap kG^p)kG$ be the failure of control module. Then for any $P \in Ch(F)$ there exists $v \in V \setminus 0$ such that $g.v \subseteq P$.

**Proof.** Suppose for a contradiction that $P$ does not contain any subspace of $V$ of the form $g.v$ for $v \in V \setminus 0$. Let $P_1 = \iota_* P$; by Lemma 2.2, $(A_P, (A_1)_{P_1})$ is a Frobenius pair and we plan to apply the Control Theorem, Theorem 3.5 to it.

Let $x \in g$ and choose a lift $\tilde{x} \in N_\Gamma$ for $x$. There exists $m_x \geq 1$ such that $p^{m_x} \tilde{x} \in L_\Gamma$; then $\gamma_x := \exp(p^{m_x} \tilde{x})$ lies in $\Gamma$, so

$$a(x) = \{\tau(\gamma_x), \tau(\gamma_x^p), \tau(\gamma_x^{p^2}), \ldots\}$$

is a source of derivations of $(A, A_1)$ by Proposition 4.4(a) and (b). We’re only interested in the derivations of $B$ induced by $a(x)$; by Proposition 4.4(c) these derivations do not depend on the choice of $\tilde{x}$, being precisely the $\rho(x)[r^r]$ for $r \geq m_x$.

Let $S := \{a(x) : x \in g\}$; then $S_P := \{a(x)_{r_P} : x \in g\}$ is a set of sources of derivations of $(A_P, (A_1)_{P_1})$ by Proposition 3.2(a).

Since $I$ is $\Gamma$-invariant, $I$ is clearly $S$-invariant in the sense of §3.4, and the definition of $a(x)_{r_P}$ shows that the microlocalised right ideal $I_P$ of $A_P$ is $S_P$-invariant.

Let $J = gr I_P$. In view of Proposition 4.4(c) and Proposition 3.2(b), if $Y \in B_P$ lies in the $S_P$-closure $J^{S_P}$ of $J$, then for all $x \in g$,

$$\rho(x)[r^r](Y) \in J \quad \text{for all} \quad r \gg 0.$$

It now follows from Proposition 4.3 that $D_P(Y)$ must be contained in $J$, and hence all the conditions of Theorem 3.5 are satisfied. We can therefore deduce from Theorem 3.5 that $I_P$ is controlled by $(A_1)_{P_1}$. Now Lemma 2.3 implies that $(gr F)_P = 0$ and hence $P \notin Ch(F)$, a contradiction.

\[ \square \]

4.6. Another control theorem. Recall the definition of purity from §2.6.

**Theorem.** Let $I$ be a $\Gamma$-invariant right ideal of $kG$ and suppose that $kG/I$ is pure. Suppose that no minimal prime $P$ above $gr I$ contains $g.v$ for any $v \in V \setminus 0$. Then $I$ is controlled by $kG^p$. 

Proof. Let \( F = I/I_1A \) be the failure of control module, and let \( \mathcal{X} \) denote the set of all graded prime ideals of \( B \) that contain some \( g \cdot v \) for \( v \in V \backslash \{ 0 \} \). By Corollary 2.4, Proposition 2.5 and Theorem 4.5,

\[
\text{Ch}(F) \subseteq \text{Ch}(A/I_1A) \cap \mathcal{X} = \text{Ch}(A/I) \cap \mathcal{X}.
\]

Since \( A/I \) is pure, Gabber’s Purity of the Characteristic Variety theorem [8, Corollary 5.21] implies that every prime in \( \text{min Ch}(A/I) \) has the same height. Because none of these primes lie in \( \mathcal{X} \) by assumption, it now follows from Lemma 2.6(b) that \( j(F) > j(A/I) \). Therefore \( F = 0 \) by Proposition 2.6, as required. \( \square \)

4.7. Consequences for \( \text{Ch}(A/I) \) when \( A/I \) is pure. The group \( \Gamma \) acts naturally on \( G^p \), so \( L_\Gamma \) acts on \( L_{G^p} = pL_G \). It is easy to see that the normaliser \( N_\Gamma \) for this action is the same as before, meaning that \( g \) is unchanged. Now \( g \) also acts on \( V_1 := L_{G^p}/L_{G^p^2} = pL_G/p^2L_G \). Clearly the map \( x \mapsto px \) induces an isomorphism of \( g \)-modules between \( V \) and \( V_1 \); if we view \( V \) and \( V_1 \) as being embedded into \( B \) and \( B_1 \) respectively, then this isomorphism is given by \( v \mapsto v^p \) for any \( v \in V \).

Lemma. Let \( \mathcal{X}_1 = \{ p \in \text{Spec}_{gr}(B_1) : p \supseteq g \cdot v_1 \text{ for some } v_1 \in V_1 \backslash \{ 0 \} \} \). Then \( \mathcal{X}_1 = \iota_+(\mathcal{X}) \).

Proof. This is immediate, using the fact that \( \iota_+(P) = P \cap B_1 \) for \( P \in \text{Spec}_{gr}(B) \), and that \( \iota_+^{-1}(p) = \sqrt{pB} \) for \( p \in \text{Spec}_{gr}(B_1) \). \( \square \)

Proposition. Let \( I \) be a proper, non-zero \( \Gamma \)-invariant right ideal of \( kG \) such that \( kG/I \) is pure. Then there exists a minimal prime \( P \) above \( grI \) and \( v \in V \backslash \{ 0 \} \) such that \( g \cdot v \subseteq P \).

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that \( \text{min Ch}(A/I) \cap \mathcal{X} = \emptyset \); we will show that \( I = 0 \). By Theorem 4.6, \( I \) is controlled by \( A_1 : I = I_1A \). Since \( \iota_+(\text{Ch}(A/I)) = \text{Ch}(A_1/I_1) \) by Proposition 2.5 and since \( \iota_+(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{X}_1 \) by the lemma, we see that

\[
\text{min Ch}(A_1/I_1) \cap \mathcal{X}_1 = \emptyset;
\]

moreover \( A_1/I_1 \) is pure by Lemma 2.6(c). Thus \( I_1 \) satisfies the same conditions as \( I \). We can now apply the above argument repeatedly and deduce that \( I \) is controlled by \( kG^p \) for all \( n \geq 0 \). Since \( I \) is proper and since \( kG^p \) is scalar local, \( I = (I \cap kG^p)kG \subseteq (G^p)^n - 1)kG \) for all \( n \geq 0 \). The intersection of these augmentation ideals is zero, so \( I = 0 \). This is the required contradiction. \( \square \)

Corollary. Let \( I \) be a proper, non-zero \( \Gamma \)-invariant right ideal of \( kG \) such that \( kG/I \) is pure. Then

\[
j(kG/I) \geq u := \min\{ \dim g \cdot v : v \in V \backslash \{ 0 \} \}.
\]

Proof. By the theorem, we can find \( P \in \text{min Ch}(A/I) \) such that \( P \in \mathcal{X} \). Then \( P \) contains \( (g \cdot v)B \), which is clearly a prime ideal of height \( \dim g \cdot v \). Hence \( \text{ht} P \geq \dim g \cdot v \geq u \). Since every prime in \( \text{min Ch}(A/I) \) has the same height by Gabber’s purity theorem, we can now use Lemma 2.6(b) to deduce that \( j(A/I) = \text{ht} P \geq u \) as required. \( \square \)

In fact, the assumption that \( kG/I \) is pure is unnecessary:
4.8. **Theorem.** Let \( I \) be a proper, non-zero \( \Gamma \)-invariant right ideal of \( kG \). Then there exists a minimal prime ideal \( P \) above \( \text{gr} I \) and \( v \in V \setminus 0 \) such that \( \mathfrak{g} \cdot v \subseteq P \).

It follows that \( j(kG/I) \geq \min \{ \dim \mathfrak{g} \cdot v : v \in V \setminus 0 \} \).

**Proof.** Let \( \mathcal{T}/I \) be the largest submodule of \( A/I \) of grade strictly bigger than \( j(A/I) \). We claim that the right ideal \( \mathcal{T} \) is still \( \Gamma \)-invariant. For any \( \gamma \in \Gamma \), we saw in the proof of Proposition 3.2 that \( \text{gr} \gamma(x) = \text{gr} x \) for any \( x \in A \). This means that \( \text{gr} \gamma(\mathcal{T}) = \text{gr} \mathcal{T} \), so

\[
\mathcal{J}_A(\gamma(\mathcal{T})/I) = j_B(\text{gr} \gamma(\mathcal{T})/\text{gr} I) = j_B(\text{gr} \mathcal{T}/\text{gr} I) = \mathcal{J}_A(\mathcal{T}/I) > \mathcal{J}_A(A/I)
\]

by Lemma 2.6(b). Since \( \gamma(\mathcal{T}) \) contains \( \gamma(I) = I \), it follows that \( \gamma(\mathcal{T}) \subseteq \mathcal{T} \). Hence \( \mathcal{T} \) is \( \Gamma \)-invariant as claimed, it is still proper and non-zero, but now \( A/\mathcal{T} \) is also pure.

Let \( P \in \text{min Ch}(A/\mathcal{T}) \); then \( P \in \text{Ch}(A/I) \) by Corollary 2.4. If \( Q \subseteq P \) also lies in \( \text{Ch}(A/I) \), then \( \text{ht} Q \geq j(A/I) = j(A/\mathcal{T}) = \text{ht} P \) by Lemma 2.6(b), forcing \( Q = P \). Hence

\[
\text{min Ch}(A/I) \cap X \neq \emptyset
\]

Therefore \( \text{min Ch}(A/I) \cap X \neq \emptyset \) by Proposition 4.7, and \( j(A/I) = j(A/\mathcal{T}) \geq u \) by Corollary 4.7.

\( \square \)

5. **Applications.**

5.1. **Linear actions.** One obvious example of a situation where our results are applicable is the case of a uniform \( p \)-group \( \Gamma \) acting linearly on some free abelian pro-\( p \) group \( G \) of finite rank \( n \). Because \( G \) is abelian, \( \Gamma \) automatically acts by group automorphisms, and we only have to assume that the image of the action is contained in the first congruence subgroup of \( \text{Aut}(G) \cong \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p) \) to ensure the action is uniform.

**Theorem.** Suppose that the image of the action of \( \Gamma \) is open in \( \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p) \). Then the only \( \Gamma \)-invariant prime ideals of \( kG \) are the zero ideal and the augmentation ideal.

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, we may assume that the image of \( \Gamma \) is equal to a congruence subgroup of \( \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p) \). Then it is easy to see that the normaliser \( \mathcal{N}_p \) defined in §4.2 is the full linear Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p) \). Hence \( \mathfrak{g} = N_p / pN_p = \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{F}_p) \) and \( V = G/G^p = \mathbb{F}_p^n \) is the natural \( \mathfrak{g} \)-module. It is now clear that \( \text{min} \{ \dim \mathfrak{g} \cdot v : v \in V \setminus 0 \} = n \), so Theorem 4.8 implies that a non-zero \( \Gamma \)-invariant prime ideal \( I \) of \( kG \) must satisfy \( j(kG/I) = n \). This forces \( I \) to be the augmentation ideal of \( kG \) since \( kG \) is local, and the result follows.

An obvious modification of the above proof shows that the result is also true if we only assume that the image of \( \Gamma \) is an open subgroup of \( \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p) \). These results provide some very weak evidence towards the following conjecture, which is inspired by Roseblade’s theorem [13, Theorem D]:

**Conjecture.** Let \( I \) be a \( \Gamma \)-invariant prime ideal of \( kG \) which is faithful, that is \( (1 + I) \cap G = 1 \). Then \( I \) is controlled by the subgroup of \( \Gamma \)-fixed points of \( G \), \( G^\Gamma \):

\[
I = (I \cap kG^\Gamma)kG.
\]

A more conceptual proof of Roseblade’s theorem can be found in [6, §1.3].
5.2. Calculations with Chevalley Lie algebras. Let \( \Phi \) be an indecomposable root system. We fix a set of fundamental roots \( \Pi \) and the corresponding set of positive roots \( \Phi^+ \) of \( \Phi \). Let \( \rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in \Phi^+} s \) and let \( \theta \) be the highest root of \( \Phi^+ \). Recall that the root system \( \Phi \) is by definition a finite subset of some real Euclidean space, so the inner product \((2\rho, \theta)\) makes sense.

Let \( g_k = \Phi(k) \) be the Chevalley Lie algebra over our field \( k \) of characteristic \( p \). Recall [9, \S4] that by definition, \( g_k \) has a basis consisting of the root vectors \( e_s \) \((s \in \Phi^+)\), and the fundamental co-roots \( h_r \) \((r \in \Pi)\). Let \( b_k \) denote the (positive) Borel subalgebra of \( g_k \), spanned by all of the co-roots and the \( e_s \) where \( s \in \Phi^+ \).

Recall [3, \S0.3] that we call \( p \) a nice prime for \( \Phi \) if \( p \geq 5 \) and if \( p \nmid n+1 \) when \( \Phi \) is the root system \( A_n \). We would like to thank Alexander Premet for providing the proof of the following result.

**Proposition.** Suppose that \( p \) is a nice prime for \( \Phi \). Let

\[
u_k := \min\{\dim_k(g_k, x) : x \in g_k \setminus 0\}.
\]

Then \( u_k = (2\rho, \theta) \).

**Proof.** We first assume that the field \( k \) is algebraically closed. Let \( G \) denote the Chevalley group over \( k \) associated to \( \Phi \). This is a connected, adjoint, simple algebraic group over \( k \), whose Lie algebra equals \( g_k \). Hence \( G \) acts naturally on \( g_k \) by Lie algebra automorphisms. For each \( n \geq 0 \), let \( X(n) = \{ x \in g_k : \dim_k(g_k, x) \leq n \} \). Then clearly

\[
u_k = \min\{n : X(n) \neq \{0\}\}.
\]

Now \( X(u_k) \) is a closed subset of \( g_k \) in the Zariski topology, which is moreover conical, \( G \)-stable and contains at least one line. Let \( B \) be the Borel subgroup of \( G \) whose Lie algebra equals \( b_k \). By Borel’s fixed point theorem [4, Chapter III, Theorem 10.4], \( X(u_k) \) contains a \( B \)-stable line \((x)\) for some nonzero \( x \in g_k \). By our choice of \( B \), \( x \) is then a highest weight vector for the \( G \)-module \( g_k \), so \( x \) is also a highest weight vector for the \( g_k \)-module \( g_k \).

Our assumption on \( p \) implies that \( g_k \) is a simple Lie algebra — see [14, p. 187]. Hence this \( g_k \)-module is irreducible. It now follows (essentially from the PBW theorem) that \( x \) must be a non-zero scalar multiple of \( e_\theta \), where \( \theta \) is the highest root of \( \Phi^+ \). Following [17], we call \( S := \{ \beta \in \Phi^+ : \theta - \beta \in \Phi \} \) the set of special roots. Since \( p \geq 5 \) by assumption, Chevalley’s basis theorem [9, Theorem 4.2.1] implies that \( [e_r, e_s] \neq 0 \) whenever \( r, s \) and \( r + s \in \Phi \). We can therefore compute

\[
[g_k, e_\theta] = (e_\theta, h_\theta) \oplus (e_{\theta - \beta} : \beta \in S),
\]

whence \( u_k = \dim_k(g_k, e_\theta) = |S| + 2 \). It is shown in the proof of [17, Lemma 3] that \( |S| + 2 = (2\rho, \theta) \), so \( u_k = (2\rho, \theta) \) when \( k \) is algebraically closed.

Returning to the general case, let \( \overline{k} \) denote an algebraic closure of \( k \). The computation of \( [g_k, e_\theta] \) performed above does not require \( k = \overline{k} \), so

\[
(2\rho, \theta) = \dim_k([g_k, e_\theta]) \geq u_k \geq a_\theta = (2\rho, \theta)
\]

and the proposition follows. \( \square \)

5.3. Iwasawa algebras of Chevalley type. Here is our main result.

**Theorem.** Let \( p \) be a nice prime for \( \Phi \), let \( G = \exp(p^t\Phi(\mathbb{Z}_p)) \) be the uniform pro-\( p \) group of Chevalley type for some \( t \geq 1 \), and let \( I \) be a non-zero two-sided ideal of \( kG \). Then

\[
j(kG/I) \geq (2\rho, \theta).
\]
Proof. \( \Gamma = G \) acts on \( G \) by conjugation, and this action is uniform in the sense of §4.1 because \( G \) is uniform. Clearly \( I \) is a \( \Gamma \)-invariant right ideal of \( kG \). Following the proof of [3, Theorem 3.4], we see that \( N_\Gamma = \Phi(\mathbb{Z}_p) \) and that \( g = \Phi(\mathbb{F}_p) \). Moreover, \( x \mapsto p^t x \) induces a \( g \)-module isomorphism between the adjoint \( g \)-module \( g \) and the \( g \)-module \( V = G/G^t \).

We can now use Theorem 4.8 to deduce that \( j(kG/I) \) is bounded below by \( \min \{ \dim[\mathfrak{g}, x] : x \in \mathfrak{g} \setminus 0 \} \). But this number equals \( (2\rho, \theta) \) by Proposition 5.2. \( \square \)

It can be shown [11, Exercise 6.2] that \( (2\rho, \theta) = 2\hat{h} - 2 \), where \( \hat{h} \) is the dual Coxeter number of \( \Phi \) that arises in the study of affine Lie algebras. A list of values of this invariant can be found in [11, §6.1]; we used this list to construct the table given in §1.5.
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