Demystifying the boundary to bound correspondence with Kerr geodesics

Maarten van de Meent Niels Bohr International Academy, University of Copenhagen



Workshop on Nonlinear Aspects of General Relativity, Princeton, 12 October 2023





Double copy

Amplitudes for gravitational scattering can be obtained as a "double copy" of gauge theory amplitudes.

Gives access to

- a wealth of powerful loop integration techniques
- a new scientific community

Rapid Progress:

2018 2PM Hamiltonian [Cheung et al.]

2019 3PM Hamiltonian [Bern et al.]

2021 4PM Hamiltonian [Bern et al.] + many more results including spin, radiation, etc.

Natural setting

Post-Minkowskian scattering

 χ





Amplitude techniques

• Natural setting: scattering



Gravitational wave observations

• Need: Bound inspirals



One approach: Boundary-to-Bound correspondence [Kälin&Porto, 2019+]



Scattering angle and Periapsis precession are related...

$$\psi(E,L) = \chi(E,L) + \chi(E,-L)$$



One approach: Boundary-to-Bound correspondence [Kälin&Porto, 2019+]



Scattering angle and Periapsis precession are related...

$$\psi(E, L, \mathbf{a}) = \chi(E, L, \mathbf{a}) + \chi(E, -L, -\mathbf{a})$$





Geodesic Equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 x^\mu}{\mathrm{d}\tau^2} + \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\mathrm{d}x^\alpha}{\mathrm{d}\tau} \frac{\mathrm{d}x^\beta}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = 0$$

Why look at geodesics?

- All orders in G, $\frac{1}{c}$, M, and a (non-linear)
- "Oth order" in secondary mass *m* and secondary spin *s*. (not-even-linear)
- Integrable system with explicit solutions available.

Goals

- Improve intuitive understand of B2B map
- Generalizations/alternative formulations
- Understand limitations



Constants of Motion

Norm 4-velocity

$$-1 = \frac{\mathrm{d}x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\tau}g_{\mu\nu}\frac{\mathrm{d}x^{\nu}}{\mathrm{d}\tau}$$

Symmetries

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E} &:= -\frac{\mathrm{d}x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\tau}g_{\mu\nu}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{\nu} \quad \text{energy} \\ \mathcal{L} &:= \frac{\mathrm{d}x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\tau}g_{\mu\nu}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\right)^{\nu} \quad \text{angular momentum} \end{split}$$

Hidden symmetry and Carter constant

$$Q := \frac{\mathrm{d}x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\tau} \mathcal{K}_{\mu\nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\tau}$$

First order form of geodesic equations:

$$\begin{split} \Sigma^2 \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\right)^2 &= \left(\mathcal{E} - a(\mathcal{L} - a\mathcal{E})u^2\right)^2 \\ &- (1 - 2GMu + a^2u^2) \left(1 + (Q + (\mathcal{L} - a\mathcal{E})^2)u^2\right) \\ &= -a^2Q(u - u_1)(u - u_2)(u - u_3)(u - u_4) =: U(u) \\ \Sigma^2 \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}z}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\right)^2 &= Q - z^2 \left(a^2(1 - \mathcal{E}^2)(1 - z^2) + \mathcal{L}^2 + Q\right) \\ &= a^2(1 - \mathcal{E}^2)(z^2 - z_1^2)(z^2 - z_2^2) =: Z(z) \\ \Sigma \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}\tau} &= a\frac{\mathcal{E} - a(\mathcal{L} - a\mathcal{E})u^2}{1 - 2GMu + a^2u^2} + \frac{\mathcal{L}}{1 - z^2} - a\mathcal{E}, \\ \Sigma \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\mathrm{d}\tau} &= \frac{(1 + a^2u^2)\left(\mathcal{E} - a(\mathcal{L} - a\mathcal{E})u^2\right)}{(1 - 2GMu + a^2u^2)u^2} - a^2\mathcal{E}(1 - z^2) + a\mathcal{L}, \end{split}$$

with

$$\begin{split} u &:= 1/r & z := \cos\theta\\ \Sigma &:= r^2 + a^2 \cos^2\theta = u^{-2} + a^2 z^2 \end{split}$$



Demystifying the boundary to bound correspondence with Kerr geodesics

New (non-affine) parameter

$$d\lambda = \frac{1}{\Sigma} d\tau$$

Crucial bonus feature

Geodesics reach infinity in finite Mino time:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\lambda}{\mathrm{d}u} = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{U(u)}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^2 - 1}} + \mathcal{O}(u)$$

Decoupled equations:

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}\right)^2 &= -a^2 Q(u-u_1)(u-u_2)(u-u_3)(u-u_4) = U(u) \\ \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}z}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}\right)^2 &= a^2(1-\mathcal{E}^2)(z^2-z_1^2)(z^2-z_2^2) = Z(z) \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} &= a\frac{\mathcal{E}-a(\mathcal{L}-a\mathcal{E})u^2}{1-2GMu+a^2u^2} + \frac{\mathcal{L}}{1-z^2} - a\mathcal{E}, \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} &= \frac{(1+a^2u^2)\left(\mathcal{E}-a(\mathcal{L}-a\mathcal{E})u^2\right)}{(1-2GMu+a^2u^2)u^2} - a^2\mathcal{E}(1-z^2) + a\mathcal{L} \end{split}$$



New (non-affine) parameter

$$d\lambda = \frac{1}{\Sigma} d\tau$$

Crucial bonus feature

Geodesics reach infinity in finite Mino time:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\lambda}{\mathrm{d}u} = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{U(u)}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^2 - 1}} + \mathcal{O}(u)$$

Decoupled equations:

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}\right)^2 &= -a^2 Q(u-u_1)(u-u_2)(u-u_3)(u-u_4) = U(u) \\ \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}z}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}\right)^2 &= a^2(1-\mathcal{E}^2)(z^2-z_1^2)(z^2-z_2^2) = Z(z) \\ &\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} = a\frac{\mathcal{E}-a(\mathcal{L}-a\mathcal{E})u^2}{1-2GMu+a^2u^2} + \frac{\mathcal{L}}{1-z^2} - a\mathcal{E}, \\ &\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} = \frac{(1+a^2u^2)\left(\mathcal{E}-a(\mathcal{L}-a\mathcal{E})u^2\right)}{(1-2GMu+a^2u^2)u^2} - a^2\mathcal{E}(1-z^2) + a\mathcal{L} \end{split}$$



Radial Solution

$$u = \frac{(u_2 - u_1)u_3 \operatorname{sn}^2(Aq_r|k_r) - u_2(u_3 - u_1)}{(u_2 - u_1)\operatorname{sn}^2(Aq_r|k_r) - (u_3 - u_1)}$$

with

$$q_r = \Upsilon_r \lambda + q_{r,0}$$

Polar Solution

 $z = z_1 \operatorname{sn}(Bq_z | k_z)$

with

 $q_z = \Upsilon_z \lambda + q_{z,0}$

Definitions:

- $A, B, k_T, k_Z, \Upsilon_T, \Upsilon_Z, \Upsilon_\phi, \Upsilon_t$ Functions of $a, GM, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{L},$ and Q
- $K(\cdot), E(\cdot), \Pi(\cdot|\cdot)$: Complete elliptic functions
- $F(\cdot|\cdot), E(\cdot|\cdot), \Pi(\cdot;\cdot|\cdot)$: Incomplete elliptic functions
- $sn(\cdot|\cdot),am(\cdot|\cdot)$ Jacobi elliptic sine and amplitude

Azimuthal solution

$$\phi(q_{\phi},q_r,q_z) = q_{\phi} + \phi_r(q_r) + \phi_z(q_z)$$
 with $q_{\phi} = \Upsilon_{\phi}\lambda + q_{\phi,0}$, and

$$\begin{split} \phi_{T}(q_{T}) &:= \tilde{\phi}_{T} \left(\operatorname{am} \left(\mathsf{K}(k_{T}) \frac{q_{T}}{\pi} \, \big| \, k_{T} \right) \right) - \frac{\tilde{\phi}_{T}(\pi)}{2\pi} q_{T}, \\ \tilde{\phi}_{T}(\xi_{T}) &:= \frac{\mathcal{L}u_{+}(u_{3} - u_{2})(u_{+} - \frac{2GM\mathcal{L}}{a\mathcal{L}}) \, \Pi(h_{+};\xi_{T}|k_{T})}{A(u_{+} - u_{2})(u_{+} - u_{3})(u_{-} - u_{+})} + (+ \leftrightarrow -), \\ \phi_{z}(q_{z}) &:= \tilde{\phi}_{z} \left(\operatorname{am} \left(\mathsf{K}(k_{z}) \frac{2q_{z}}{\pi} \, \big| \, k_{z} \right) \right) - \frac{\tilde{\phi}_{z}(\pi)}{\pi} q_{z}, \quad \tilde{\phi}_{z}(\xi_{z}) := -\frac{\mathcal{L}}{z_{2}} \, \Pi(z_{1}^{2};\xi_{z}|k_{z}). \end{split}$$

Time solution

$$t(q_t,q_r,q_z) = q_t + \phi_r(q_r) + \phi_z(q_z)$$
 with $q_t = \Upsilon_t \lambda + q_{t,0}$,and

$$\begin{split} t_r(q_r) &:= \tilde{t}_r \left(\operatorname{am} \left(\mathbb{K}(k_r) \frac{q_r}{\pi} \mid k_r \right) \right) - \frac{\tilde{t}_r(\pi)}{2\pi} q_r, \\ \tilde{t}_r(\xi_r) &:= \mathcal{E} \left(\frac{u_3 - u_2}{A} \left(\frac{2\mathcal{E}^2 - 3}{u_2 u_3 (\mathcal{E}^2 - 1)} \operatorname{\Pi}(h_r; \xi_r \mid k_r) - \frac{2}{a^2} \left\{ \frac{u_+ (4(GM)^2 - a(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{E} + 2aGMu_+)}{(u_- u_+)(u_+ - u_2)(u_+ - u_3)} \operatorname{\Pi}(h_+; \xi_r \mid k_r) + (+ \leftrightarrow -) \right\} \right) \\ &- \frac{2A}{GM(\mathcal{E}^2 - 1)} \left(\mathbb{E}(\xi_r \mid k_r) - h_r \frac{\sin \xi_r \cos \xi_r}{1 - h_r \sin^2 \xi_r} \sqrt{1 - k_r \sin^2 \xi_r} \right) \right) \\ t_z(q_r) &:= \tilde{t}_z \left(\operatorname{am} \left(\mathbb{K}(k_z) \frac{2q_z}{\pi} \mid k_z \right) \right) - \frac{\tilde{t}_z(\pi)}{\pi} q_z, \quad \tilde{t}_z(\xi_z) := -\frac{\mathcal{E}}{1 - \mathcal{E}^2} z_2 \operatorname{E}(\xi_z \mid k_z), \end{split}$$

The Kerr background

 $\mathsf{Mass}\ M \text{ and } \mathsf{spin}\ a$

Initial conditions

 $x^{\mu}(0)$ and $\frac{\mathrm{d}x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d}\tau}(0)$

Constants of motion

 ${\mathcal E},~{\mathcal L},~{\rm and}~Q$ plus initial phases $q_{r,0},~q_{z,0},~q_{t,0},~{\rm and}~q_{\phi,0}$

Turning points

 u_1 , u_2 , and z_1 plus initial phases $q_{r,0}$, $q_{z,0}$, $q_{t,0}$, and $q_{\phi,0}$

(p, e, x)

$$e:=rac{2}{u_1+u_2}$$
, $e:=rac{u_2-u_1}{u_1+u_2}$, and $x:=\mathrm{sign}(\mathcal{L})\sqrt{1-z_1^2}$

Scattering variables

Impact parameter $b^{\mu},$ and velocity v^{μ}_{∞}

Notes

- All parameters linked by <u>analytic</u> relationships
- Geodesic solutions are analytic in all parameters
- $q_{t,0}$ and $q_{\phi,0}$ can be freely fixed using global symmetries
- $q_{r,0}$ can be fixed by letting $\lambda = 0$ at periapsis
- Relationship between $(b^{\mu}, v^{\mu}_{\infty})$ and $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{L}, Q)$ features $q_{z,0}$.



 Bound and scatter solutions belong to the same class of geodesic solutions with root structure:

 $u_1 < u_2 < u_3 < u_+ < u_- < u_4$

• Can analytically deform bound $(u_1 > 0, \mathcal{E} < 1, e < 1)$ to scatter $(u_1 < 0, \mathcal{E} > 1, e > 1)$ solutions.





- Analytical continuation of bound orbit consists of two scattering events
- One event in u > 0 universe
- One event in u < 0 universe
- Need <u>both</u> to reconstruct bound solution!

Question:

Given knowledge of scattering in u > 0, can we reconstruct scattering in u < 0?



- Solutions are analytic in λ
- Given a partial solution on some interval, full solution can be recover through analytic continuation
- Beware branch cut for t

 (and τ) solution

Fix

 $M, a, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{L}, Q$



- Solution <u>not</u> periodic in λ
- Geodesics depends on λ through (q_t, q_r, q_z, q_ϕ)
- (q_t, q_ϕ) dependence from background symmetry
- Scattering in u > 0 gives solution for $-q_{r,\infty} < q_r < q_{r,\infty}$ and <u>all</u> q_z
- Full solution by anal. cont. on (q_r, q_z) -torus

Fix

M, a, \mathcal{E} , \mathcal{L} , Q





Exchange			
$u_1 \leftrightarrow u_2$			
Equivalent			
$e\leftrightarrow -e$			
Fix			
<i>M</i> , <i>a</i> , <i>z</i> ₁			



Option 3: Relating the universe to the anti-universe $GM \rightarrow -GM$



Option 4: Invert angular momenta $(1/\mathcal{L} \rightarrow -1/\mathcal{L} \text{ and } a \rightarrow -a)$

- As in [Kälin&Porto,2019+] prescription
- Needs $z_1 \rightarrow -z_1$ for precessing orbits

Fix		
M , ${\cal E}$		





The accumulated azimuthal phase per radial period depends on q_z at radial turning points. Not coordinate independent!

Gauge invariant definition

$$\begin{split} \psi &:= \Lambda_r \langle \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \rangle \\ &= \Lambda_r \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} \frac{1}{2\Lambda} \int_{-\Lambda}^{\Lambda} \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \,\mathrm{d}\lambda \\ &= \frac{\Lambda_r}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \,\mathrm{d}q_r \,\mathrm{d}q_z \text{ [Drasco&Hughes, 2003]} \end{split}$$



Scattering angle

$$\begin{split} \chi &= \int_{-\Lambda_{\infty}}^{\Lambda_{\infty}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \,\mathrm{d}\lambda \\ &= \frac{\Lambda_r}{2\pi} \int_{-q_{r,\infty}}^{q_{r,\infty}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \,\mathrm{d}q_r \end{split}$$

Define:

$$\bar{\chi} = \frac{\Lambda_r}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{-q_{r,\infty}}^{q_{r,\infty}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \,\mathrm{d}q_r \,\mathrm{d}q_z$$

B2B relationship

$$\begin{split} \psi &= \frac{\Lambda_r}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \,\mathrm{d}q_r \,\mathrm{d}q_z \\ &= \frac{\Lambda_r}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{-q_{r,\infty}}^{q_{r,\infty}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \,\mathrm{d}q_r \,\mathrm{d}q_z + \frac{\Lambda_r}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{q_{r,\infty}}^{2\pi - q_{r,\infty}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \,\mathrm{d}q_r \,\mathrm{d}q_z \\ &= \bar{\chi}(u > 0) + \bar{\chi}(u < 0) \end{split}$$





Gravitational Self Force (GSF) Formalism

Expansion of relativistic two body dynamics around geodesic solutions

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}\vec{q}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} &= \vec{\Upsilon}(\vec{P}) + \epsilon \vec{f}_1(\vec{P},\vec{q}) + \epsilon^2 \vec{f}_2(\vec{P},\vec{q}) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3) \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}\vec{P}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} &= 0 + \epsilon \vec{F}_1(\vec{P},\vec{q}) + \epsilon^2 \vec{F}_2(\vec{P},\vec{q}) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3) \end{split}$$

- If $\vec{f_i}$ and $\vec{F_i}$ are analytic functions of \vec{P} and \vec{q} , should be able to follow the same routes to related scattering to bound orbits.
- However, are they?



GSF depends on history

Bound orbits:

$$F_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\lambda_0} \mathcal{F}G(x^{\mu}(\lambda_0), x^{\mu}(\lambda)) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda$$

Scattering orbits:

$$F_1 = \int_{-\Lambda_{\infty}}^{\lambda_0} \mathcal{F}G(x^{\mu}(\lambda_0), x^{\mu}(\lambda)) \,\mathrm{d}\lambda$$

Unlikely to analytically continue into each other.

Corroboration

4PM tail terms for scattering orbits and 4PN tail terms for (near) circular orbits contain incompatible transcendental numbers.

Open question:

Can the fully analytic continuation of F_1 to scattering orbits be recovered from scattering results alone?





2 distinct relations:

- 1 relating bound and unbound
- 1 relating scattering and anti-scattering

4-ways to relate (anti)-scattering

- Analytic continuation of Mino time
- Exchange of radial roots $(e \leftrightarrow -e)$
- Inversion of gravitational constant $(G \rightarrow -G)$
- Reversal of angular momenta $(1/\mathcal{L} \rightarrow -1/\mathcal{L}, a \rightarrow -a \text{ and } z_1 \rightarrow -z_1)$



