

# SEMINAR ON COBORDISM CATEGORIES AND THE MADSEN–WEISS THEOREM

OSCAR RANDAL-WILLIAMS

The goal of this seminar is to understand a proof of a major recent theorem of Madsen and Weiss [MW07]. This theorem concerns the cohomology of “the space  $\mathcal{M}_g$  of all of orientable genus  $g$  surfaces”, in the limit as  $g \rightarrow \infty$ . With rational coefficients the answer takes a particularly simple form:

$$\lim_{g \rightarrow \infty} H^*(\mathcal{M}_g; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3, \dots]$$

where  $\kappa_i \in H^{2i}(\mathcal{M}_g; \mathbb{Q})$  are specific cohomology classes, the Miller–Morita–Mumford classes. This result had been conjectured in 1983 by Mumford, from the point of view of algebraic geometry, where the space  $\mathcal{M}_g$  also arises. The proof however is entirely topological.

A second proof of the theorem was given by Galatius, Madsen, Tillmann, and Weiss [GTMW09], based on an earlier relationship discovered by Tillmann [Til97] between the spaces  $\mathcal{M}_g$  and the 2-dimensional cobordism category (the category whose objects are compact 1-manifolds, and whose morphisms are compact surfaces with incoming and outgoing boundary, where the composition law is by gluing surfaces together). A third proof was given by Galatius and myself [GRW10], also based on cobordism categories but involving several technical simplifications. An exposition of this proof (though not quite identical) has been written by Hatcher [Hat11], and is very accessible.

In this seminar we will go through this last proof, using [GRW10, Hat11] as our main references. As our focus will be on oriented surfaces, we will be able to forget about “ $\theta$ -structures” as described in [GRW10], and just work with oriented manifolds everywhere. This leads to many simplifications, and [Hat11] is written with many of these simplifications implemented: although most references below are to [GRW10] I suggest also reading [Hat11] in parallel.

We will meet 13:30 - 15:00 in MR13 (in the basement of Pavilion E) on the following days; talks are nominally 1 hour, followed by half an hour of discussion and clarification. Please contact me on [or257@cam.ac.uk](mailto:or257@cam.ac.uk) or come and see me in E2.06 if you are unsure about any aspect of your talk.

**Talk 0.** (Introduction, ORW, October 12) In this introductory talk, I will carefully explain the statement of the Madsen–Weiss theorem that we shall aim for. This means that I will explain the definitions of the spaces  $\mathcal{M}_{g,1} = B\text{Diff}_\partial(\Sigma_{g,1})$  and  $\Omega^\infty\text{MTSO}(2)$  involved.

**Talk 1.** (Spaces of manifolds, NP, November 6) This talk will introduce the space  $\psi_d(U)$  of  $d$ -dimensional submanifolds of an open set  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , following Section 2. You should define the topology on this space, but you should not try to prove all of its properties; however, it is important to state Theorems 2.7, 2.9, and 2.11, as these are what one uses in practice. You should avoid saying anything about  $\theta$ -structures, and instead simply define an analogous space  $\psi_{d,+}(U)$  of oriented manifolds. You should define what a smooth map into  $\psi_{d,+}(U)$  is, and state the smooth approximation result (Lemma 2.18).

The conclusion of this talk should be proving Theorem 3.22, identifying the homotopy type of  $\psi_{d,+}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Talk 2.** (The cobordism category, KH, November 9) This talk will start by defining the embedded oriented  $d$ -dimensional cobordism categories  $\mathcal{C}_{d,+}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{d,+} = \text{colim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{C}_{d,+}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , following [GRW10, §3.2], and should then mention the relation between the spaces  $\mathcal{C}_{d,+}(P, Q)$  of morphisms in this category and the spaces  $B\text{Diff}_{\partial}(W)$  introduced in Talk 0.

You should then describe the notion of a simplicial set and simplicial space, explain how the nerve of a topological category (such as  $\mathcal{C}_{d,+}$ ) gives a simplicial space, define the geometric realisation of a simplicial space and establish its basic properties. A reference for this and many further (semi-)simplicial things, adapted to what we need, is [ER16], but see also [Seg68] (though note that what Segal calls “semi-simplicial” is what is called “simplicial” these days).

**Talk 3.** (The “Main Theorem” of GMTW, DC, November 13) You should explain the proofs of Theorem 3.9 and Theorem 3.10 in detail, arriving at an equivalence  $BC_{d,+}(\mathbb{R}^n) \simeq \psi_{d,+}(n, 1)$ . You should then *state* Theorem 3.13, and show how to put several things together to prove the “Main Theorem” of [GTMW09],

$$\Omega BC_{d,+} \simeq \Omega^{\infty} \text{MTSO}(\mathbf{d}).$$

Then, in preparation for the next talk, you should state Lemma 3.14 and say something about its proof, e.g. following [Seg74, Proposition 1.5] (or [ER16, Theorem 2.15], but discuss it with me).

**Talk 4.** (Proof of Theorem 3.13, NP, November 16) Explain the proof of the missing ingredient, Theorem 3.13. This involves Proposition 3.6 and Corollary 3.11, expressing  $\pi_0(\psi_{d,+}(n, k))$  in terms of oriented cobordism so that we know it is a group, but the main geometric content is Proposition 3.21, which should be explained in detail, with many good pictures.

This finishes the proof of the “Main Theorem” of [GTMW09]. From now on we specialise to  $d = 2$ . The goal from now on is to show that a certain subcategory  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{C}_{2,+}$  having a single object (so  $\mathcal{D}$  is a monoid) has the same classifying space as the whole category  $\mathcal{C}_{2,+}^+$ . The group-completion theorem then relates the homology of  $\Omega B\mathcal{D} \simeq \Omega BC_{2,+} \simeq \Omega^{\infty} \text{MTSO}(\mathbf{2})$  with a stabilisation of the homology of  $\mathcal{D}$ , and the Madsen–Weiss theorem will be immediate from this.

**Talk 5.** (The group-completion theorem, BM, November 20) You should present a proof of the group-completion theorem, in particular the low-tech proof given in Appendix D of [Hat11], which uses nothing beyond Hatcher’s book.

**Talk 6.** (The controlled cobordism category, KL, November 23) You should start by defining all of the objects that we will need from the beginning of Section 4 ( $\psi_{2,+}(n, 1)^{\bullet}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{2,+}^{\bullet}$ ,  $\psi_{2,+}^{nc}(n, 1)^{\bullet}$ ,  $\psi_{2,+}^{nc}(n, 1)^{\bullet}_{\mathbf{Conn}}$ ) and then quickly explain the equivalence  $BC_{2,+}^{\bullet} \simeq \psi_{2,+}^{nc}(\infty, 1)^{\bullet}_{\mathbf{Conn}}$  of Theorem 4.5 by discussing how the steps in Talk 3 go through. You should then give the proofs of Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7, and adapt the proof of Proposition 4.26 to show that  $\mathcal{C}_{2,+}^{\bullet}$  has the same classifying space as the full subcategory  $\mathcal{D}$  on any of its objects. Hence explain how  $\Omega B\mathcal{D} \simeq \Omega BC_{2,+} \simeq \Omega^{\infty} \text{MTSO}(\mathbf{2})$  will follow if the inclusion

$$\psi_{2,+}^{nc}(\infty, 1)^{\bullet}_{\mathbf{Conn}} \longrightarrow \psi_{2,+}^{nc}(\infty, 1)^{\bullet}$$

is a homotopy equivalence.

**Talk 7.** (Parameterised surgery, ORW, November 27) I will explain why the last map is a homotopy equivalence, following Section 4.2.

**Talk 8.** (Putting it all together and calculations, NP, November 30) You should start by putting everything we have done together to show that

$$\operatorname{colim}_{g \rightarrow \infty} H_*(\mathcal{M}_{g,1}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_*(\Omega_0^\infty \mathbf{MTSO}(\mathbf{2}); \mathbb{Z}),$$

(to verify the hypothesis of the group-completion theorem, adapt the discussion on p. 1295). You should then follow Appendix C of [Hat11] and show that the cohomology ring  $H^*(\Omega_0^\infty \mathbf{MTSO}(\mathbf{2}); \mathbb{Q})$  as the polynomial algebra on certain classes  $\kappa_i$  of degree  $2i$ . You should also mention that the spaces  $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$  have *homological stability* (see [Wah13]) and perhaps also calculate and interpret  $H_1(\Omega_0^\infty \mathbf{MTSO}(\mathbf{2}); \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  (I can explain ways to do this).

## REFERENCES

- [ER16] Johannes Ebert and Oscar Randal-Williams, *Semi-simplicial spaces*, arXiv:1705.03774, 2016.
- [GRW10] Søren Galatius and Oscar Randal-Williams, *Monoids of moduli spaces of manifolds*, *Geom. Topol.* **14** (2010), no. 3, 1243–1302.
- [GTMW09] Søren Galatius, Ulrike Tillmann, Ib Madsen, and Michael Weiss, *The homotopy type of the cobordism category*, *Acta Math.* **202** (2009), no. 2, 195–239.
- [Hat11] Allen Hatcher, *A short exposition of the Madsen-Weiss theorem*, <https://www.math.cornell.edu/~hatcher/Papers/MW.pdf>, 2011.
- [MW07] Ib Madsen and Michael Weiss, *The stable moduli space of Riemann surfaces: Mumford’s conjecture*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **165** (2007), no. 3, 843–941.
- [Seg68] Graeme Segal, *Classifying spaces and spectral sequences*, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1968), no. 34, 105–112.
- [Seg74] ———, *Categories and cohomology theories*, *Topology* **13** (1974), 293–312.
- [Til97] Ulrike Tillmann, *On the homotopy of the stable mapping class group*, *Invent. Math.* **130** (1997), no. 2, 257–275.
- [Wah13] Nathalie Wahl, *Homological stability for mapping class groups of surfaces*, *Handbook of moduli*. Vol. III, *Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM)*, vol. 26, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2013, pp. 547–583.

*E-mail address:* o.randal-williams@dpmms.cam.ac.uk

CENTRE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, WILBERFORCE ROAD, CAMBRIDGE CB3 0WB, UK