

A Dimensional Improvement of the Entropy Power Inequality under Marginal Assumptions

Matthieu Fradelizi (Univ Gustave Eiffel), Lampros Gavalakis (Cambridge), Martin Rapaport
(Carnegie Mellon)

ISIT 2025 Ann Arbor (Michigan), USA

24 June 2025



Entropy and Volume

The **entropy power** of a random vector $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is

$$N(X) = \frac{1}{2\pi e} \exp\left(\frac{2}{n} h(X)\right)$$

► Effective **variance**

Entropy and Volume

The **entropy power** of a random vector $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is

$$N(X) = \frac{1}{2\pi e} \exp\left(\frac{2}{n} h(X)\right)$$

► Effective **variance**

Entropy Power Inequality (EPI)

For independent X, Y

$$N(X + Y) \geq N(X) + N(Y)$$

with “=” iff X, Y Gaussian with **proportional** covariances

Entropy and Volume

The **entropy power** of a random vector $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is

$$N(X) = \frac{1}{2\pi e} \exp\left(\frac{2}{n} h(X)\right)$$

► Effective **variance**

Entropy Power Inequality (EPI)

For independent X, Y

$$N(X + Y) \geq N(X) + N(Y)$$

with “=” iff X, Y Gaussian with **proportional** covariances

$$h(\sqrt{1-\lambda}X + \sqrt{\lambda}Y) \geq (1-\lambda)h(X) + \lambda h(Y)$$

“=” iff X, Y Gaussian with **equal** covariances

Entropy and Volume

Brunn-Minkowski inequality

If $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ measurable, then

$$\text{Vol}^{1/n}(A + B) \geq \text{Vol}^{1/n}(A) + \text{Vol}^{1/n}(B)$$

$A + B := \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$ (Minkowski sum)

Entropy and Volume

Brunn-Minkowski inequality

If $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ measurable, then

$$\text{Vol}^{1/n}(A + B) \geq \text{Vol}^{1/n}(A) + \text{Vol}^{1/n}(B)$$

$A + B := \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$ (Minkowski sum)

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{Vol}^{1/n}(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B) \geq \lambda \text{Vol}^{1/n}(A) + (1 - \lambda) \text{Vol}^{1/n}(B)$$

Entropy and Volume

Brunn-Minkowski inequality

If $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ measurable, then

$$\text{Vol}^{1/n}(A + B) \geq \text{Vol}^{1/n}(A) + \text{Vol}^{1/n}(B)$$

$A + B := \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$ (Minkowski sum)

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{Vol}^{1/n}(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B) \geq \lambda \text{Vol}^{1/n}(A) + (1 - \lambda) \text{Vol}^{1/n}(B)$$

► Effective radius

Entropy and Volume

Brunn-Minkowski inequality

If $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ measurable, then

$$\text{Vol}^{1/n}(A + B) \geq \text{Vol}^{1/n}(A) + \text{Vol}^{1/n}(B)$$

$A + B := \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$ (Minkowski sum)

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{Vol}^{1/n}(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B) \geq \lambda \text{Vol}^{1/n}(A) + (1 - \lambda) \text{Vol}^{1/n}(B)$$

► Effective radius

Correspondence:

$\text{Vol}^{1/n}$	N
sets A, B	independent RVs
Minkowski sum	Sum of RVs

Entropy and Volume

Brunn-Minkowski inequality

If $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ measurable, then

$$\text{Vol}^{1/n}(A + B) \geq \text{Vol}^{1/n}(A) + \text{Vol}^{1/n}(B)$$

$A + B := \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$ (Minkowski sum)

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{Vol}^{1/n}(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B) \geq \lambda \text{Vol}^{1/n}(A) + (1 - \lambda) \text{Vol}^{1/n}(B)$$

► Effective radius

Correspondence:

$\text{Vol}^{1/n}$	N
sets A, B	independent RVs
Minkowski sum	Sum of RVs

Review in [Dembo, Cover, Thomas '91]

Entropy and Volume

Intuition: Typical set has volume $\approx e^{nh(X_1)}$ (Note the missing 2-power!)

Entropy and Volume

Intuition: Typical set has volume $\approx e^{nh(X_1)}$ (Note the missing 2-power!)
Moreover

Theorem (Sharp form of Young)

If $\frac{1}{r} + 1 = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$ with $1 < p, q, r < \infty$, then

$$\|f * g\|_r \leq C_{p,q,r} \|f\|_p \|g\|_q$$

where $C_{p,q,r}$ corresponds to Gaussian functions (extremizers)

Entropy and Volume

Intuition: Typical set has volume $\approx e^{nh(X_1)}$ (Note the missing 2-power!)
Moreover

Theorem (Sharp form of Young)

If $\frac{1}{r} + 1 = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$ with $1 < p, q, r < \infty$, then

$$\|f * g\|_r \leq C_{p,q,r} \|f\|_p \|g\|_q$$

where $C_{p,q,r}$ corresponds to Gaussian functions (extremizers)

- Common proof through Rényi entropies

Linear refinements of Brunn-Minkowski

$$|\cdot| := \text{Vol}(\cdot), \quad |\cdot|_{n-1} := \text{Vol}(\cdot) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$$

Linear refinements of Brunn-Minkowski

$|\cdot| := \text{Vol}(\cdot)$, $|\cdot|_{n-1} := \text{Vol}(\cdot)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$

Bonnesen's Inequality

$$\frac{|A+B|}{\left(|P_{\theta^\perp} A|^{\frac{1}{n-1}} + |P_{\theta^\perp} B|^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\right)^{n-1}} \geq \frac{|A|}{|P_{\theta^\perp} A|_{n-1}} + \frac{|B|}{|P_{\theta^\perp} B|_{n-1}}$$

where $P_{\theta^\perp} A$ is the **orthogonal projection** of A onto the **hyperplane with normal vector θ**

Linear refinements of Brunn-Minkowski

$|\cdot| := \text{Vol}(\cdot)$, $|\cdot|_{n-1} := \text{Vol}(\cdot)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$

Bonnesen's Inequality

$$\frac{|A+B|}{\left(|P_{\theta^\perp} A|_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} + |P_{\theta^\perp} B|_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\right)^{n-1}} \geq \frac{|A|}{|P_{\theta^\perp} A|_{n-1}} + \frac{|B|}{|P_{\theta^\perp} B|_{n-1}}$$

where $P_{\theta^\perp} A$ is the **orthogonal projection** of A onto the **hyperplane with normal vector θ**

$\Rightarrow$ If the sets have **same volume along some projection**,
 $|P_{\theta^\perp} A|_{n-1} = |P_{\theta^\perp} B|_{n-1}$, then

$$|(1-\lambda)A + \lambda B| \geq (1-\lambda)|A| + \lambda|B|$$

Linear refinements of Brunn-Minkowski

$|\cdot| := \text{Vol}(\cdot)$, $|\cdot|_{n-1} := \text{Vol}(\cdot)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$

Bonnesen's Inequality

$$\frac{|A+B|}{\left(|P_{\theta^\perp} A|_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} + |P_{\theta^\perp} B|_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\right)^{n-1}} \geq \frac{|A|}{|P_{\theta^\perp} A|_{n-1}} + \frac{|B|}{|P_{\theta^\perp} B|_{n-1}}$$

where $P_{\theta^\perp} A$ is the **orthogonal projection** of A onto the **hyperplane with normal vector θ**

$\Rightarrow$ If the sets have **same volume along some projection**,
 $|P_{\theta^\perp} A|_{n-1} = |P_{\theta^\perp} B|_{n-1}$, then

$$|(1-\lambda)A + \lambda B|^{1/n} \geq \left((1-\lambda)|A| + \lambda|B|\right)^{1/n}$$

Refinements of EPI

Notation: $X_1^{n-1} = (X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$

Theorem

$X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ independent

$$\frac{N(X + Y)^n}{N_{n-1}(X_1^{n-1} + Y_1^{n-1})^{n-1}} \geq \frac{N(X)^n}{N_{n-1}(X_1^{n-1})^{n-1}} + \frac{N(Y)^n}{N_{n-1}(Y_1^{n-1})^{n-1}}$$

Refinements of EPI

Notation: $X_1^{n-1} = (X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$

Theorem

$X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ independent

$$\frac{N(X + Y)^n}{N_{n-1}(X_1^{n-1} + Y_1^{n-1})^{n-1}} \geq \frac{N(X)^n}{N_{n-1}(X_1^{n-1})^{n-1}} + \frac{N(Y)^n}{N_{n-1}(Y_1^{n-1})^{n-1}}$$

► $N_{n-1}(X_1^{n-1} + Y_1^{n-1})^{n-1}$ instead of $(N_{n-1}(X_1^{n-1}) + N_{n-1}(Y_1^{n-1}))^{n-1}$

Refinements of EPI

Notation: $X_1^{n-1} = (X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$

Theorem

$X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ independent

$$\frac{N(X + Y)^n}{N_{n-1}(X_1^{n-1} + Y_1^{n-1})^{n-1}} \geq \frac{N(X)^n}{N_{n-1}(X_1^{n-1})^{n-1}} + \frac{N(Y)^n}{N_{n-1}(Y_1^{n-1})^{n-1}}$$

- ▶ $N_{n-1}(X_1^{n-1} + Y_1^{n-1})^{n-1}$ instead of $(N_{n-1}(X_1^{n-1}) + N_{n-1}(Y_1^{n-1}))^{n-1}$
- ▶ Equivalently $e^{2h(X_n + Y_n | X_1^{n-1} + Y_1^{n-1})} \geq e^{2h(X_n | X_1^{n-1})} + e^{2h(Y_n | Y_1^{n-1})}$

Refinements of EPI

Notation: $X_1^{n-1} = (X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$

Theorem

$X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ independent

$$\frac{N(X + Y)^n}{N_{n-1}(X_1^{n-1} + Y_1^{n-1})^{n-1}} \geq \frac{N(X)^n}{N_{n-1}(X_1^{n-1})^{n-1}} + \frac{N(Y)^n}{N_{n-1}(Y_1^{n-1})^{n-1}}$$

- ▶ $N_{n-1}(X_1^{n-1} + Y_1^{n-1})^{n-1}$ instead of $(N_{n-1}(X_1^{n-1}) + N_{n-1}(Y_1^{n-1}))^{n-1}$
- ▶ Equivalently $e^{2h(X_n + Y_n | X_1^{n-1} + Y_1^{n-1})} \geq e^{2h(X_n | X_1^{n-1})} + e^{2h(Y_n | Y_1^{n-1})}$
- ▶ Proof: Chain rule,

Refinements of EPI

Notation: $X_1^{n-1} = (X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$

Theorem

$X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ independent

$$\frac{N(X + Y)^n}{N_{n-1}(X_1^{n-1} + Y_1^{n-1})^{n-1}} \geq \frac{N(X)^n}{N_{n-1}(X_1^{n-1})^{n-1}} + \frac{N(Y)^n}{N_{n-1}(Y_1^{n-1})^{n-1}}$$

- ▶ $N_{n-1}(X_1^{n-1} + Y_1^{n-1})^{n-1}$ instead of $(N_{n-1}(X_1^{n-1}) + N_{n-1}(Y_1^{n-1}))^{n-1}$
- ▶ Equivalently $e^{2h(X_n + Y_n | X_1^{n-1} + Y_1^{n-1})} \geq e^{2h(X_n | X_1^{n-1})} + e^{2h(Y_n | Y_1^{n-1})}$
- ▶ Proof: Chain rule, conditioning reduces entropy, and

Refinements of EPI

Notation: $X_1^{n-1} = (X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$

Theorem

$X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ independent

$$\frac{N(X + Y)^n}{N_{n-1}(X_1^{n-1} + Y_1^{n-1})^{n-1}} \geq \frac{N(X)^n}{N_{n-1}(X_1^{n-1})^{n-1}} + \frac{N(Y)^n}{N_{n-1}(Y_1^{n-1})^{n-1}}$$

- ▶ $N_{n-1}(X_1^{n-1} + Y_1^{n-1})^{n-1}$ instead of $(N_{n-1}(X_1^{n-1}) + N_{n-1}(Y_1^{n-1}))^{n-1}$
- ▶ Equivalently $e^{2h(X_n + Y_n | X_1^{n-1} + Y_1^{n-1})} \geq e^{2h(X_n | X_1^{n-1})} + e^{2h(Y_n | Y_1^{n-1})}$
- ▶ **Proof:** Chain rule, conditioning reduces entropy, and **conditional EPI** [Stam]

Refinements of EPI

Bergström's Inequality

$A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ symmetric, PSD. A_i : remove i -th row and column

$$\frac{\det(A + B)}{\det(A_i + B_i)} \geq \frac{\det(A)}{\det(A_i)} + \frac{\det(B)}{\det(B_i)}$$

Refinements of EPI

Bergström's Inequality

$A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ symmetric, PSD. A_i : remove i -th row and column

$$\frac{\det(A + B)}{\det(A_i + B_i)} \geq \frac{\det(A)}{\det(A_i)} + \frac{\det(B)}{\det(B_i)}$$

$\Rightarrow$ If $\det(A_i) = \det(B_i)$, then

$$\det(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B) \geq \lambda \det(A) + (1 - \lambda) \det(B)$$

Refinements of EPI

Bergström's Inequality

$A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ symmetric, PSD. A_i : remove i -th row and column

$$\frac{\det(A + B)}{\det(A_i + B_i)} \geq \frac{\det(A)}{\det(A_i)} + \frac{\det(B)}{\det(B_i)}$$

$\Rightarrow$ If $\det(A_i) = \det(B_i)$, then

$$\det(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B) \geq \lambda \det(A) + (1 - \lambda) \det(B)$$

- 1 Our theorem **implies** Bergström by taking X, Y **Gaussian**.

Refinements of EPI

Bergström's Inequality

$A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ symmetric, PSD. A_i : remove i -th row and column

$$\frac{\det(A + B)}{\det(A_i + B_i)} \geq \frac{\det(A)}{\det(A_i)} + \frac{\det(B)}{\det(B_i)}$$

$\Rightarrow$ If $\det(A_i) = \det(B_i)$, then

$$\det(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B) \geq \lambda \det(A) + (1 - \lambda) \det(B)$$

- 1 Our theorem **implies** Bergström by taking X, Y **Gaussian**.
Generalizes [Dembo,Cover,Thomas '91]

Refinements of EPI

- ① Our theorem **implies** Bergström by taking X, Y **Gaussian**.
Generalizes [Dembo,Cover,Thomas '91]
- ② **Implies** the EPI, $N(X + Y) \geq N(X) + N(Y)$, **for $n > 1$** :

Refinements of EPI

- ① Our theorem **implies** Bergström by taking X, Y **Gaussian**.
Generalizes [Dembo,Cover,Thomas '91]
- ② **Implies** the EPI, $N(X + Y) \geq N(X) + N(Y)$, **for $n > 1$** :
Using the EPI for $n - 1$ and **convexity** of $x \rightarrow x^n$

$$\begin{aligned} N(X + Y)^n &\geq \theta \frac{N(X)^n}{\theta^n} + (1 - \theta) \frac{N(Y)^n}{(1 - \theta)^n} \\ &\geq (N(X) + N(Y))^n \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \theta = \frac{N_{n-1}(X_1^{n-1})}{N_{n-1}(X_1^{n-1}) + N_{n-1}(Y_1^{n-1})}$$

Refinements of EPI

- ① Our theorem **implies** Bergström by taking X, Y **Gaussian**.
Generalizes [Dembo,Cover,Thomas '91]
- ② **Implies** the EPI, $N(X + Y) \geq N(X) + N(Y)$, **for $n > 1$** :
Using the EPI for $n - 1$ and **convexity** of $x \rightarrow x^n$

$$\begin{aligned} N(X + Y)^n &\geq \theta \frac{N(X)^n}{\theta^n} + (1 - \theta) \frac{N(Y)^n}{(1 - \theta)^n} \\ &\geq (N(X) + N(Y))^n \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta = \frac{N_{n-1}(X_1^{n-1})}{N_{n-1}(X_1^{n-1}) + N_{n-1}(Y_1^{n-1})}$

- ③ Remains **true**, via a change of axes, **for any projection** $A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$

Refinements of EPI

- ① Our theorem **implies** Bergström by taking X, Y **Gaussian**.
Generalizes [Dembo,Cover,Thomas '91]
- ② **Implies** the EPI, $N(X + Y) \geq N(X) + N(Y)$, **for $n > 1$** :
Using the EPI for $n - 1$ and **convexity** of $x \rightarrow x^n$

$$\begin{aligned} N(X + Y)^n &\geq \theta \frac{N(X)^n}{\theta^n} + (1 - \theta) \frac{N(Y)^n}{(1 - \theta)^n} \\ &\geq (N(X) + N(Y))^n \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta = \frac{N_{n-1}(X_1^{n-1})}{N_{n-1}(X_1^{n-1}) + N_{n-1}(Y_1^{n-1})}$

- ③ Remains **true**, via a change of axes, **for any projection** $A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$
- ④ If $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, write $X_I = \{X_i\}_{i \in I}$. For $|I| = k$

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{\frac{2}{k} h(\sqrt{1-\lambda} X_I + \sqrt{\lambda} Y_I | \sqrt{1-\lambda} X_{I^c} + \sqrt{\lambda} Y_{I^c})} \\ &\geq (1 - \lambda) e^{\frac{2}{k} h(X_I | X_{I^c})} + \lambda e^{\frac{2}{k} h(Y_I | Y_{I^c})} \end{aligned}$$

Refinements of EPI

Theorem (*Improved EPI under marginal assumptions*)

$X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If $h(X_1^{n-1}) = h(Y_1^{n-1})$, then

$$e^{2h(\sqrt{1-\lambda}X + \sqrt{\lambda}Y)} \geq (1-\lambda)e^{2h(X)} + \lambda e^{2h(Y)}$$

Refinements of EPI

Theorem (*Improved EPI under marginal assumptions*)

$X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If $h(X_1^{n-1}) = h(Y_1^{n-1})$, then

$$e^{2h(\sqrt{1-\lambda}X + \sqrt{\lambda}Y)} \geq (1-\lambda)e^{2h(X)} + \lambda e^{2h(Y)}$$

“=” iff Gaussians with the same covariance **except possibly the last element of the diagonal**

Refinements of EPI

Theorem (*Improved EPI under marginal assumptions*)

$X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If $h(X_1^{n-1}) = h(Y_1^{n-1})$, then

$$e^{2h(\sqrt{1-\lambda}X + \sqrt{\lambda}Y)} \geq (1-\lambda)e^{2h(X)} + \lambda e^{2h(Y)}$$

“=” iff Gaussians with the same covariance **except possibly the last element of the diagonal**

- ▶ Not true in general without the assumption

Refinements of EPI

Theorem (*Improved EPI under marginal assumptions*)

$X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If $h(X_1^{n-1}) = h(Y_1^{n-1})$, then

$$e^{2h(\sqrt{1-\lambda}X + \sqrt{\lambda}Y)} \geq (1-\lambda)e^{2h(X)} + \lambda e^{2h(Y)}$$

“=” iff Gaussians with the same covariance **except possibly the last element of the diagonal**

- ▶ Not true in general without the assumption
- ▶ Equality case by **linear algebra**. What about the general inequality?

Refinements of EPI

Theorem (*Improved EPI under marginal assumptions*)

$X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If $h(X_1^{n-1}) = h(Y_1^{n-1})$, then

$$e^{2h(\sqrt{1-\lambda}X + \sqrt{\lambda}Y)} \geq (1-\lambda)e^{2h(X)} + \lambda e^{2h(Y)}$$

“=” iff Gaussians with the same covariance **except possibly the last element of the diagonal**

- ▶ Not true in general without the assumption
- ▶ Equality case by **linear algebra**. What about the general inequality?
- ▶ When is the **improvement** significant?

Refinements of EPI

Theorem (*Improved EPI under marginal assumptions*)

$X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If $h(X_1^{n-1}) = h(Y_1^{n-1})$, then

$$e^{2h(\sqrt{1-\lambda}X + \sqrt{\lambda}Y)} \geq (1-\lambda)e^{2h(X)} + \lambda e^{2h(Y)}$$

“=” iff Gaussians with the same covariance **except possibly the last element of the diagonal**

- ▶ Not true in general without the assumption
- ▶ Equality case by **linear algebra**. What about the general inequality?
- ▶ When is the **improvement** significant? n **large** and $h(X) \ll h(Y)$

Improved Isoperimetric Inequality for Entropy

$$I(X)N(X) \geq n \quad (\text{Stam})$$

where $I(X) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(f'(x))^2}{f(x)} dx$ is the **Fisher Information**

Improved Isoperimetric Inequality for Entropy

$$I(X)N(X) \geq n \quad (\text{Stam})$$

where $I(X) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(f'(x))^2}{f(x)} dx$ is the **Fisher Information**

Corollary

$$I(X)N(X) \geq \left(\frac{N(X_1^{n-1})}{N(X)} \right)^{n-1} + (n-1) \frac{N(X)}{N_{n-1}(X_1^{n-1})}$$

Improved Isoperimetric Inequality for Entropy

$$I(X)N(X) \geq n \quad (\text{Stam})$$

where $I(X) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(f'(x))^2}{f(x)} dx$ is the **Fisher Information**

Corollary

$$I(X)N(X) \geq \left(\frac{N(X_1^{n-1})}{N(X)} \right)^{n-1} + (n-1) \frac{N(X)}{N_{n-1}(X_1^{n-1})}$$

- By our general inequality, de Bruijn's identity and a first order Taylor expansion

A Fisher Information Analogue

Blachman-Stam inequality

$$I(X + Y)^{-1} \geq I(X)^{-1} + I(Y)^{-1}$$

A Fisher Information Analogue

Blachman-Stam inequality

$$I(X + Y)^{-1} \geq I(X)^{-1} + I(Y)^{-1}$$

Definition (*Projective Fisher Information*)

$$I_{P_n}(X) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\langle \nabla f, e_n \rangle^2}{f(x)} dx,$$

A Fisher Information Analogue

Blachman-Stam inequality

$$I(X + Y)^{-1} \geq I(X)^{-1} + I(Y)^{-1}$$

Definition (*Projective Fisher Information*)

$$I_{P_n}(X) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\langle \nabla f, e_n \rangle^2}{f(x)} dx,$$

Definition (*Conditional Fisher Information*)

$$I(X|Y) := \int f_Y(y) I(f_{X|Y}(\cdot|y)) dy,$$

A Fisher Information Analogue

1

$$I_{P_n}(X) = I(X_n | X_1^{n-1})$$

A Fisher Information Analogue

1

$$I_{P_n}(X) = I(X_n | X_1^{n-1})$$

2 Since for any vector u , $\langle u, e_n \rangle^2 \leq \|u\|^2$, we have,

$$I(X_n | X_1^{n-1}) = I_{P_n}(X) \leq I(X)$$

A Fisher Information Analogue

1

$$I_{P_n}(X) = I(X_n | X_1^{n-1})$$

2 Since for any vector u , $\langle u, e_n \rangle^2 \leq \|u\|^2$, we have,

$$I(X_n | X_1^{n-1}) = I_{P_n}(X) \leq I(X)$$

Theorem (*Conditional Blachman-Stam Inequality*)

$$I(X_n + Y_n | X_1^{n-1} + Y_1^{n-1})^{-1} \geq I(X_n | X_1^{n-1})^{-1} + I(Y_n | Y_1^{n-1})^{-1}$$

A Fisher Information Analogue

1

$$I_{P_n}(X) = I(X_n | X_1^{n-1})$$

2 Since for any vector u , $\langle u, e_n \rangle^2 \leq \|u\|^2$, we have,

$$I(X_n | X_1^{n-1}) = I_{P_n}(X) \leq I(X)$$

Theorem (*Conditional Blachman-Stam Inequality*)

$$I(X_n + Y_n | X_1^{n-1} + Y_1^{n-1})^{-1} \geq I(X_n | X_1^{n-1})^{-1} + I(Y_n | Y_1^{n-1})^{-1}$$

► In the spirit of Bergström

A Fisher Information Analogue

1

$$I_{P_n}(X) = I(X_n | X_1^{n-1})$$

2 Since for any vector u , $\langle u, e_n \rangle^2 \leq \|u\|^2$, we have,

$$I(X_n | X_1^{n-1}) = I_{P_n}(X) \leq I(X)$$

Theorem (*Conditional Blachman-Stam Inequality*)

$$I(X_n + Y_n | X_1^{n-1} + Y_1^{n-1})^{-1} \geq I(X_n | X_1^{n-1})^{-1} + I(Y_n | Y_1^{n-1})^{-1}$$

- ▶ In the spirit of Bergström
- ▶ Implies Blachman-Stam

A Fisher Information Analogue

1

$$I_{P_n}(X) = I(X_n | X_1^{n-1})$$

2 Since for any vector u , $\langle u, e_n \rangle^2 \leq \|u\|^2$, we have,

$$I(X_n | X_1^{n-1}) = I_{P_n}(X) \leq I(X)$$

Theorem (*Conditional Blachman-Stam Inequality*)

$$I(X_n + Y_n | X_1^{n-1} + Y_1^{n-1})^{-1} \geq I(X_n | X_1^{n-1})^{-1} + I(Y_n | Y_1^{n-1})^{-1}$$

- ▶ In the spirit of Bergström
- ▶ Implies Blachman-Stam
- ▶ Obtained by applying the Fisher information inequality to a particular operator

Thank you!

