

TALK 4: VOJTA'S INEQUALITY

21 MAY

JACK

§ Warm-up

Theorem (Thue 1909) $b > 1$ squarefree, $\beta = b^{1/3}$, $c > 0$

Then

(*) $\{ P/Q \in \mathbb{Q} : |P/Q - \beta| \leq c/Q^3 \}$ is finite.

Sketch of proof: let $H(P, Q) = \max |P_i|$ ($P_i \in \mathbb{Z}$)

$h(P) = \log H(P)$.

Claim 1 if $m+1 > \frac{2}{3}n$, $m > 3$ integers, then $\exists F(x, y) = P(x) + yQ(x)$ w, $\deg_x F \leq m+n$ such that $\partial_k F = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^k F}{\partial x^k}$ vanishes at (β, β) for all $0 \leq k \leq n$ and $h(F) \leq c_1 (m+n)$

constant that only depends on b

This is proved using Siegel's lemma: let $N > M$ and take $A: \mathbb{Z}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^M$, then $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}^N - 0$, $Ax = 0$ with

$$h(x) \leq \frac{M}{N-M} (\log N + h(A)).$$

(follows from Minkowski's theorem applied to $\ker A$)

How does it imply the claim?

/

Have $3n$ equations in $2(m+n+1)$ variables w ,
 coeffs bounded by $(4b)^{n+m}$

Claim 2 $\exists c_2$ s.t. if $P_1/q_1, P_2/q_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$, then
 $\exists 0 \leq t \leq 1 + c_2^n / \log q_1$ such that

$$\partial_t F(P_1/q_1, P_2/q_2) \neq 0 \quad (\text{"Roth's lemma"})$$

Idea: one-variable case: $P(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. If $\partial_t P(P/q) = 0$
 for $t = 0, \dots, k$, then $(qX - p)^{k+1} \mid P$.
 $\Rightarrow k \leq h(P) / h(P/q)$

General case: use induction on P . \checkmark

Conclusion of proof: Let $P_1/q_1, P_2/q_2$ be "good"
 rational approximations to β .

Then Claim 2 gives t s.t. $\partial_t F(P_1/q_1, P_2/q_2) \neq 0$, so
 it has absolute value $\gg \frac{1}{q_1^{m+n}} q_2$

Expand using Taylor series $\Rightarrow \left| \partial_t F(P_1/q_1, P_2/q_2) \right|$
 $\leq C_3^n \left(\left(\frac{C}{q_1^3} \right)^{n-t} + \left(\frac{C}{q_2^3} \right) \right)$

If our set (*) is infinite, it's possible to choose
 P_i, q_i, m, n so these inequalities ① & ② contradict
 each other

Today

C/\mathbb{Q} curve of genus $g \geq 2$

$$J = \text{Jac}(C)$$

Assume $\exists P_0 \in C(\mathbb{Q})$ s.t. $(2g-2)P_0$ is canonical

Then $j: C \hookrightarrow J, P \mapsto [P - P_0]$

$$\otimes = \underbrace{C + \dots + C}_{g-1 \text{ times}} \text{ is symmetric}$$

Theorem (Vojta): $\exists k_1, k_2 > 0$ s.t. if $z, w \in C(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$

$$|z| = \hat{h}_\theta(z)^{1/2} > k_1, \quad |w| > k_2 |z|, \text{ then}$$

$$\frac{\langle z, w \rangle}{|z||w|} \leq 3/4 \quad (\text{so angle is "big"})$$

Basic idea: consider the divisor

$$\Omega = \Omega(d_1, d_2, d) = (d_1 - d) \otimes (P_0 \times C) + (d_2 - d)(C \times P_0) + d\Delta$$

on $C \times C$. Then a calculation shows

$$\otimes \hat{h}_\Omega(z, w) = \frac{d_1 |z|^2}{g} + \frac{d_2 |w|^2}{g} - 2d \langle z, w \rangle + O(1)$$

Consider the quadratic form

$$\frac{d_1}{g} x^2 + \frac{d_2}{g} y^2 - 2dxy$$

it fails to be positive definite when $d_1, d_2 < g^2 d^2$.

Case of Mumford gap principle: $d_1 = d_2 = d = 1$,
 $\Omega = \Delta$ & $h_\Omega \geq 0$ when $z \neq w$.

Vojta's inequality: choose

$$d_1 \sim \sqrt{g} |w|^2$$

$$d_2 \sim \sqrt{g} |z|^2$$

$$d \sim |z||w|$$

Rearranging $(*)$:

$$\frac{\langle z, w \rangle}{|z||w|} \lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} + O\left(\frac{1}{|z|^2} \frac{1}{|w|^2}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{h_\Omega(z, w)}_{\substack{\text{should be} \\ \geq 0(1)}}$$

If $d_1, d_2 > g d^2$, then RR shows $h^0(\Omega) > 0$ - 'eff. $\Omega \sim V, V$

Would like to plug this in $(**)$ & use $h_\Omega(z, w) \geq 0$.

But many difficulties!

Problems: (a) In $(*)$, the $O(1)$ depends on d_1, d_2

(b) V depends on d_1, d_2, d

(c) Might have (z, w) on V

Solutions: (a) Need to explicitly normalize h_{Ω} .
 Choose $N \geq 1$ s.t. NP_0 very ample, w , embedding

$$\phi: C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$$

Choose M such that $(M+1)(P_0 \times C + C \times P_0) - \Delta$
 is very ample on $C \times C$, & contr. to

$$\psi: C \times C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$$

Given d, d_1, d_2 , let

$$h_{\Omega}(z, w) = \delta_1 h_{\phi}(z) + \delta_2 h_{\phi}(w) - d h_{\psi}(z, w)$$

where $\delta_1 = (d_1 + Md)/N$, $\delta_2 = (d_2 + Md)/N$, are
 assumed integers. ~~So we fixed (a)!~~

Let $x_0, \dots, x_n \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1))$

$y_1, \dots, y_m \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^m, \mathcal{O}(1))$

& write their ~~restrictions~~ to C & $C \times C$ by
~~same letters~~

$$x_i = \text{res } p_i^* (\text{res}|_C) \quad , \quad x'_i = p_2^* (\text{res}|_C)$$

$$y_i = \text{res}|_{C \times C}$$

IFZ all ~~*~~ sections on $C \times C$.

If d_1, d_2, d all large enough, then

$$H^0(C \times C, \mathcal{O}(d_1, d_2))$$

is spanned by monomials in x_i, x'_j

Theorem: if $s \in H^0(C \times C, \mathcal{O}(\Omega))$ (recall $\mathcal{O}(\Omega) = \mathcal{O}(d_1, d_2) \otimes \mathcal{O}(d)^{-1}$) then $\forall j, y_j^d s \in H^0(C \times C, \mathcal{O}(d_1, d_2))$, so can rewrite

$$s = F_j(x, x') / y_j^d \Big|_{C \times C}, \quad F_j \in \mathbb{Q}[x_i, x'_j]$$

$\deg = (d_1, d_2)$

and $F_i / y_i^d = F_j / y_j^d$ on $C \times C$.

Conversely, given $\{F_i\}_{i=0, \dots, m}$ s.t. $F_i / y_i^d \Big|_{C \times C}$ indep. of i , then they give a section s on $H^0(C \times C, \mathcal{O}(\Omega))$

↳

Proposition:

$$h_{\Omega}(z, w) \leq \frac{d_1 |z|^2}{g} + \frac{d_2 |w|^2}{g} - 2d \langle z, w \rangle + c, (d_1 + d_2 + d)$$

\uparrow indep. of d_1, d

no Problem (a) solved

(b) Suppose $s \leftrightarrow (F_i)$, $s \neq 0$, $\text{div}(s) = V \geq 0$
 $\in H^0(\mathcal{O}(\Omega))$ "F"

Then if $s(z, w) \neq 0$,

$$h_{\Omega}(z, w) \geq -h(F) - n \log((d_1 + n)(d_2 + n))$$

(Proof elementary).

To control $h(F)$, use Siegel's lemma!

Prop: Fix $\gamma > 0$ & suppose $d_1, d_2 - \gamma d^2 \geq \gamma d_1, d_2$

Then $\exists s \in H^0(\mathcal{O}(\Omega)) - 0$ s.t. $h(F) \leq c_2 \left(\frac{d_1 + d_2}{\gamma} \right)$

(Proof technical ~~but~~ but ultimately uses Siegel)

(c) Suppose $(z, w) \in V$. Let $\partial_t = \frac{1}{t} \partial^t$ where ∂ is a derivation at z . Similarly define ∂'_t at w . Then we define the index of s at (z, w)

$$\text{Ind}_{(z, w)}(s) = \min \left\{ \frac{i_1}{d_1} + \frac{i_2}{d_2} \mid i_1, i_2 \geq 0 \right. \\ \left. \partial_{i_1} \partial'_{i_2}(s)(z, w) \neq 0 \right\}$$

Say (i_1, i_2) is admissible for s if it achieves the minimum

Proposition: \exists a finite subset $Z \subset C(\mathbb{Q})$ s.t. if $z, w \notin Z$, then

$$h_{\Omega}(z, w) \gg -h\left(\frac{F}{z}\right) - C_3 (i_1 |z|^2 + i_2 |w|^2) \\ - C_4 (i_1 + i_2 + d_1 + d_2 + 1)$$

Proposition: Let $0 < \varepsilon, \delta < 1$ and suppose

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon^2 d_1 \gg d_2 \\ \min(d_2 |w|^2, d_1 |z|^2) \gg \frac{C_5}{\delta \varepsilon^2} d_1 \\ d_1 d_2 - \delta d^2 \gg \delta d_1 d_2 \end{cases}$$

then $\exists (i_1, i_2)$ admissible for $s, (z, w)$ s.t.

$$\frac{i_1}{d_1} + \frac{i_2}{d_2} \leq 12N\varepsilon$$

no Enough to prove
Vojta