# Geometry of universal local lifting rings and some deformation problems 

## Notation

## Notation.

$L / \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ finite extension with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}=\mathcal{O}_{L}$, uniformizer $\lambda$ and residue field $\mathbb{F}$.
$\Gamma$ is a profinite subgroup satisfying condition $\Phi_{\ell}, \bar{\rho}: \Gamma \longrightarrow G L_{n}(\mathbb{F})$ is a continuous representation.
$K$ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ with residue field $k$.
The reference [BLGGT] is T. Barnet-Lamb, T. Gee, D. Geraghty, and R.
Taylor, Potential automorphy and change of weight.

## Recollections

In the previous weeks we've seen that given a deformation problem $\mathcal{S}=\left(F, S, \mathcal{O}, \bar{\rho}, \chi,\left\{\mathcal{D}_{v}\right\}_{v \in S}\right)$ and $T \subseteq S$, then $R_{\mathcal{S}}^{\square_{T}}$ is the quotient of a power series ring in $d=\operatorname{dim} H_{\mathcal{S}, T}^{1}\left(G_{F, S}, \operatorname{ad}^{0} \bar{\rho}\right)$ variables over

$$
R_{\mathcal{S}, T}^{\text {loc }}=\widehat{\bigotimes}_{v \in T}\left(R_{\left.\bar{\rho}\right|_{G_{v}}, \chi} / I\left(\mathcal{D}_{v}\right)\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \geq & \# T-\sum_{v \mid \infty} \operatorname{dim} H^{0}\left(G_{F_{v}}, \operatorname{ad}^{0} \bar{\rho}\right) \\
& +\sum_{v \in S \backslash T}\left(\operatorname{dim} L\left(\mathcal{D}_{v}\right)-\operatorname{dim} H^{0}\left(G_{F_{v}}, \operatorname{ad}^{0} \bar{\rho}\right)\right) \\
& -\operatorname{dim} H^{0}\left(G_{F, S}, \operatorname{ad}^{0} \bar{\rho}(1)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Today we will do two things:
(1) We'll study the generic fibers of universal lifting (or deformation) rings.
(2) We will consider certain deformation problems that we are interested in for applications.

## Generic fibers of deformation rings

We will start by looking at $\operatorname{Spec} R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square}\left[\frac{1}{\ell}\right]$.
There is a bijection
\{maximal ideals of $\left.R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square}\left[\frac{1}{\ell}\right]\right\} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad\left\{\phi: R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{L^{\prime}}\right.$ where $L^{\prime}=L\left(\phi\left(R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square}\right)\right)$ is a finite extension of $L\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{m} & \longmapsto\left(R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square} \longrightarrow R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square}\left[\frac{1}{\ell}\right] \longrightarrow R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square}\left[\frac{1}{\ell}\right] / \mathfrak{m}\right) \\
(\operatorname{ker} \phi)\left[\frac{1}{\ell}\right] & \longleftrightarrow \phi
\end{aligned}
$$

So closed points of Spec $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square}\left[\frac{1}{\ell}\right]$ correspond to lifts of $\bar{\rho}$ to finite extensions of $L$.

If $x \in \operatorname{Spec} R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square}\left[\frac{1}{\ell}\right]$ is a closed point, write $\phi_{x}: R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square} \longrightarrow L_{x}$ for the corresponding map and $\rho_{x}: \Gamma \longrightarrow R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square} \xrightarrow{\phi_{x}} \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{L_{x}}\right)$ for the corresponding lift.

## Lemma.

Let $\mathcal{C}_{L_{x}}$ be the category of Artinian local $L_{x}$-algebras with residue field $L_{x}$. Then, the completion of $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square}\left[\frac{1}{\ell}\right]$ at $x$,

$$
R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square}\left[\frac{1}{\ell}\right]_{x}^{\wedge}:=\lim _{\lim _{j}} R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square}\left[\frac{1}{\ell}\right] /\left(\operatorname{ker} \phi_{x}\left[\frac{1}{\ell}\right]\right)^{j}
$$

represents the functor

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{R}_{\rho_{x}}^{\square}: \mathcal{C}_{L_{x}} & \longrightarrow \text { Sets } \\
A & \longmapsto\left\{\rho: \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n}(A) \mid \rho \quad \bmod \mathfrak{m}_{A}=\rho_{x}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

What does Spec $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square}\left[\frac{1}{\ell}\right]$ look like?
In the unobstructed case, $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square} \simeq \mathcal{O}\left[\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d}\right]\right]$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}\left[\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d}\right]\right]\right)\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\ell}\right)=\{0\} \\
& \left(\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}\left[\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d}\right]\right]\right)\left(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}| | x_{i} \mid<1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Fact.

The closed points of Spec $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square}\left[\frac{1}{\ell}\right]$ are Zariski dense.

In order to say anything meaningful about Spec $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square}\left[\frac{1}{\ell}\right]$, we must specialize to the case when $\Gamma=G_{K}$ is the absolute Galois group of a finite extension $K$ of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$.

We must treat the cases $\ell \neq p$ and $\ell=p$ separately.

## The case $\ell \neq p$

Assume $\ell \neq p$.

## Lemma.

Each irreducible component of Spec $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square}\left[\frac{1}{\ell}\right]$ is generically formally smooth over $L$ of dimension $n^{2}$.

## Idea of proof.

The first step is to show that the points $x$ such that $H^{0}\left(G_{K},\left(\operatorname{ad} \rho_{x}\right)(1)\right)=0$ is Zariski dense [BLGGT, Lemma 1.3.2 (2)]. For such an $x$, local Tate duality and the Euler-Poincaré characteristic formula show $\operatorname{dim} H^{0}\left(G_{K}\right.$, ad $\left.\rho_{x}\right)=\operatorname{dim} H^{1}\left(G_{K}\right.$, ad $\left.\rho_{x}\right)$ and $H^{2}\left(G_{K}, \operatorname{ad} \rho\right)=0$.
The same argument we did for $\bar{\rho}$ works for liftings of $\rho_{x}$ to show $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square}\left[\frac{1}{\ell}\right]_{x}^{\wedge} \simeq L_{x}\left[\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n^{2}}\right]\right]$.

We can similarly show:

## Lemma.

If $\chi: \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\times}$lifts $\operatorname{det} \bar{\rho}$, then each irreducible component of Spec $R_{\bar{\rho}, \chi}^{\square}\left[\frac{1}{\ell}\right]$ is generically formally smooth over $L$ of dimension $n^{2}-1$.

## Lemma.

If $\bar{\rho}$ is Schur, then each irreducible component $\operatorname{Spec} R_{\bar{\rho}, \chi}^{\square}\left[\frac{1}{\ell}\right]$ is generically formally smooth over $L$ of dimension 1

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a non-empty subset of irreducible components of $\operatorname{Spec} R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square}\left[\frac{1}{\ell}\right]$ and $\chi$ a lift of $\operatorname{det} \bar{\rho}$.

## Definition.

We write $R_{\bar{\rho}, \chi, \mathcal{C}}^{\square}$ for the largest reduced and $\ell$-torsion free quotient of $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square}$ such that Spec $R_{\bar{\rho}, \chi, \mathcal{C}}^{\square}$ is contained in $\mathcal{C}$.

## Lemma.

If $I_{\mathcal{C}}=\operatorname{ker}\left(R_{\bar{\rho}, \chi}^{\square} \longrightarrow R_{\bar{\rho}, \chi, \mathcal{C}}^{\square}\right)$, then $\mathcal{D}\left(I_{\mathcal{C}}\right)$ is a deformation problem. Moreover, $R_{\bar{\rho}, \chi, \mathcal{C}}^{\square}$ is equidimensional of dimension $n^{2}$.

## Proof.

The hard part is showing that $\operatorname{ker}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(R_{\bar{\rho}, \chi}^{\square}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{F})\right)$ preserves the connected components of $\operatorname{Spec} R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\text {univ }}\left[\frac{1}{\ell}\right]$, which is shown in [BLGGT, Lemma 1.2.2].

Let $W_{K}$ be the Weil group of $K$ and $I_{K} \subseteq W_{K}$ the interia subgroup. Recall that a Weil-Deligne representation is a triple $(V, r, N)$ where $r: W_{K} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ is a continuous representation (i.e. has open kernel) satisfying for $\sigma \in W_{K}, r(\sigma) N r(\sigma)^{-1}=(\# k)^{-v(\sigma)} N$.

## Definition

An interial type is an object of the form $\left(V,\left.r\right|_{I_{K}}, N\right)$ where $(V, r, N)$ is a Weil-Deligne representation. A Weil-Deligne representation has type $\tau=\left(V, r_{0}, N\right)$ if it is isomorphic to a Weil-Deligne representation $(V, r, N)$ with $r_{0}=\left.r\right|_{I_{K}}$.

If $\left(V, r_{0}, N\right)$ is an interial type, then $r_{0}$ has open kernel and $r_{0}$ and $N$ commute, but the converse isn't true in general.

## Example.

There are four cases for (types of) 2-dimensional Weil-Deligne representations over $\mathbb{C}$ :
(1) Unramified up to twist: $\tau=(\psi \oplus \psi, 0)$ for some character $\psi: I_{K} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$.
(2) Steinberg: $\tau=\left(\psi \oplus \psi,\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)\right)$ for $\psi$ as above.
(3) Split ramified: $\tau=\left(\psi_{1} \oplus \psi_{2}, 0\right)$ for distinct characters $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}: I_{K} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$.
(4) Irreducible: $\tau=\left(r_{0}, 0\right)$ where $r_{0}$ is the restriction of some irreducible Weil-Deligne representation.

## Assume $n=2$.

## Theorem.

After possibly enlarging $L$ :
(1) Every irreducible component $\mathcal{C}$ of $\operatorname{Spec} R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square}\left[\frac{1}{\ell}\right]$ has an associated type $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}$ such that:

- If $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}$ is not Steinberg, then closed points correspond to $\rho_{x}$ whose Weil-Deligne representation is of type $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}$.
- If $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}$ is Steinberg, then closed points correspond to (possibly split) extensions

$$
0 \longrightarrow \psi(1) \longrightarrow \rho_{x} \longrightarrow \psi \longrightarrow 0
$$

for some $\psi: G_{K} \longrightarrow L_{x}^{\times}$.
(2) Each case (1)-(4) occurs in at most one component, except if $\bar{\rho}=\bar{\psi}_{1} \oplus \bar{\psi}_{2}$ for distinct $\bar{\psi}_{1}, \bar{\psi}_{2}$ such that $\bar{\psi}_{1} \bar{\psi}_{2}^{-1}$ is unramified, in which case there are two components which are split ramified (case (3)).

## Theorem (continued).

(3) Two components intersect only when one is unramified and the other is Steinberg and for any $x$ in the intersection, $\rho_{x}=\psi(1) \oplus \psi$ for some character $\psi: G_{K} \longrightarrow L_{x}^{\times}$.
(9) Each component is formally smooth

## Proof.

See Section 4 of Vincent Pilloni's The study of 2-dimensional p-adic Galois deformations in the $\ell \neq p$ case.

## Example: Taylor-Wiles liftings

## Proposition.

Assume $\bar{\rho}: G_{K} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{F})$ is unramified with distinct eigenvalues, that $\chi$ is an unramified lift of $\operatorname{det} \bar{\rho}$ and that $\# k \equiv 1 \bmod \ell$. Let $\ell^{m}$ be the largest power of $\ell$ dividing $\# k-1$. Then

$$
R_{\bar{\rho}, \chi}^{\square} \simeq \mathcal{O}[[x, y, B, u]] /\left((1+u)^{\ell^{m}}-1\right)
$$

## Proof.

For any lift $\rho: G_{K} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n}(A)$, the image of wild inertia $P_{K} \subseteq G_{K}$ lands in $\operatorname{ker}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}(A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{F})\right)$, so its image is trivial. We can choose a lift of the Frobenius $\varphi \in G_{K}$ and a topological generator of tame inertia $I_{K} / P_{K}$ satisfying $\varphi^{-1} \sigma \varphi=\sigma^{\# k}$. $\rho$ is determined by $\rho(\sigma), \rho(\varphi)$.

## Proof (continued).

Let $\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}$ be the eigenvalues of $\bar{\rho}(\varphi)$, and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{O}$ some lifts. An application of Hensel's lemma shows there exist $B, C, u, v, w, z \in \mathfrak{m}_{A}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho(\varphi)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & y \\
x & 1
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha+B & 0 \\
0 & \beta+C
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & y \\
x & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
& \rho(\sigma)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & y \\
x & 1
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+u & v \\
w & 1+z
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & y \\
x & 1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From $\varphi^{-1} \sigma \varphi=\sigma^{\# k}$ we deduce $v=w=0$. If $\operatorname{det} \rho=\chi$ then $C=-\beta+\chi(\psi) /(\alpha+B), 1+z=(1+u)^{-1}$ and we see $(1+u)^{\# k}=1+u$. This implies $(1+u)^{\ell^{m}}=1$.

## Proof (continued).

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho(\varphi)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & y \\
x & 1
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha+B & 0 \\
0 & \chi(\psi) /(\alpha+B)
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & y \\
x & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
& \rho(\sigma)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & y \\
x & 1
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+u & 0 \\
0 & (1+u)^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & y \\
x & 1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

These formulas can be used to define $\rho$.

## The case $\ell=p$

As is to be expected, the case $\ell=p$ is more complicated. There are many more $p$-adic representations of $G_{K}$ than $\ell$-adic representations (for $\ell \neq p$ ) as wild inertia can act in complicated ways. In order to study them, we need to recall the basis of $p$-adic Hodge theory.
$p$-adic Hodge theory defines a hierarchy of $p$-adic representations crystalline $\Longrightarrow$ semistable $\Longrightarrow$ de Rham $\Longrightarrow$ Hodge-Tate and attaches to a representation in each of these categories some semilinear algebraic data.

We have the following analogy:

| abelian var. over $K$ | $\ell$-adic rep. | $p$-adic rep. | semilinear data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| any red. $\Uparrow$ semistable red. $\Uparrow$ good red. | all rep. <br> 介 unipotent $\Uparrow$ unramified |  | graded vector spaces filtered vector spaces adm. filtered $(\varphi, N)$-modules adm. filtered $\varphi$-modules |

We say that a representation is potentially $*$ if it becomes $*$ after restricting to $G_{K^{\prime}}$ for some finite extension $K^{\prime} / K$.

For example, potentially de Rham is the same as de Rham, and the $p$-adic monodromy theorem asserts that potentially semistable is the same as de Rham.

For example, the category of $p$-adic representations with coefficients in $L$ of $G_{K}$ which become semistable after restriction to $G_{K^{\prime}}$ for a finite extension $K^{\prime} / K$ is equivalent to a certain subcategory of filtered $\left(\varphi, N, \operatorname{Gal}\left(K^{\prime} / K\right)\right.$ )-modules over $L$ (for $L$ sufficiently large), defined as follows:

Let $K_{0}^{\prime}$ be the maximal unramified subextension of $K^{\prime} / K$, and let $\varphi_{0}: K_{0}^{\prime} \longrightarrow K_{0}^{\prime}$ be the absolute Frobenius. Then a filtered $\left(\varphi, N, \operatorname{Gal}\left(K^{\prime} / K\right)\right)$ is a tuple $\left(D, \varphi, N, \mathrm{Fil}^{\bullet} D_{K^{\prime}}\right)$ where:

- $D$ is a finite free $K_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} L$-module,
- $\varphi: D \longrightarrow D$ is a $\varphi_{0} \otimes 1$-semilinear bijection,
- $N: D \longrightarrow D$ is a nilpotent operator satisfying $N \varphi=p \varphi N$,
- $D$ has a semilinear action of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K^{\prime} / K\right)$ commuting with $\varphi$ and $\rho$,
- Fil $D_{K^{\prime}}$ is a decreasing filtration of $D \otimes_{K_{0}^{\prime} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} L}\left(K^{\prime} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} L\right)$.

To such an object we can associate a Weil-Deligne representation. Hence, we can associate a Weil-Deligne representation to any potentially semistable representation.

If $\sigma: K^{\prime} \hookrightarrow L$ is an embedding, the filtration $\mathrm{Fil}^{\bullet} D_{K^{\prime}}$ induces a filtration on $D \otimes_{K_{0}^{\prime}, \sigma} L$. The set $H T_{\sigma}$ of indices where this filtration jumps are called the Hodge-Tate weights of $\left(D, \varphi, N, \mathrm{Fil}^{\bullet} D_{K^{\prime}}\right)$.

Everything is the same for (potentially) crystalline representations; this corresponds to the case $N=0$.

## Example.

(1) The $p$-adic cyclotomic character is crystalline and all its Hodge-Tate weights are -1 .
(2) The $p$-adic Tate module $V$ of an abelian variety over $K$ has $\sigma$-Hodge-Tate weights $\{0, \ldots, 0,-1, \ldots,-1\}$. If $K$ has good (resp. semistable, resp. any) reduction, then $V$ is crystalline (resp. semistable, resp. de Rham).
(3) If $f$ is an eigenform of weight $N$, then the associated Galois representation has Hodge-Tate weights $\{0, k-1\}$. It always de Rham, and crystalline if $p \neq N$.

## Theorem.

For each $\sigma: K^{\prime} \hookrightarrow L$, let $H_{\sigma}$ be a (multi)set of $n$ integers. There exists a unique $p$-torsion free reduced quotient $R_{\bar{\rho}, \chi,\left\{H_{\sigma}\right\}, K^{\prime} \text {-st }}^{\square}$ (resp.
$R_{\bar{\rho}, \chi,\left\{H_{\sigma}\right\}_{\sigma}, K^{\prime} \text {-cris }}^{\square}$ ) of $R_{\bar{\rho}, \chi}^{\square}$ such that a geometric point $x$ of Spec $R_{\bar{\rho}, \chi}^{\square}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]$ lies in the corresponding subscheme if and only if $H T_{\sigma}\left(\rho_{x}\right)=H_{\sigma}$ for all $\sigma$ and $\left.\rho_{x}\right|_{G_{K^{\prime}}}$ is semistable (resp. crystalline).
Moreover, $\operatorname{Spec}\left(R_{\bar{\rho}, \chi,\left\{H_{\sigma}\right\}, K^{\prime} \text {-st }}^{\square}\right)$ is equidimensional of dimension $n^{2}+\left[K: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right] \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ and its generic fiber is generically smooth.

