

Minimal automorphy lifting

Dmitri Whitmore

06/05/2021

Automorphic Galois representations

Let F be a totally real number field and fix weights (k, η) . We recall that for π a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$ of weight (k, η) , there exists a CM field $L_\pi \subset \mathbb{C}$ and Galois representations

$$r_\lambda(\pi) : G_F \rightarrow GL_2(\overline{L_{\pi, \lambda}})$$

for every finite place λ of L_π .

Definition

A semisimple representation $\rho : G_F \rightarrow GL_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l})$ is automorphic if $\rho \cong \iota \circ r_\lambda(\pi)$ for some $\iota : \overline{L_{\pi, \lambda}} \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ or $\rho \cong \chi_1 \oplus \chi_2$.

A semisimple representation $\bar{\rho} : G_F \rightarrow GL_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}_l})$ is automorphic if $\bar{\rho} \cong (\iota \circ r_\lambda(\pi) \bmod l)^{\text{ss}}$

Automorphy lifting statements

Conjecture

Suppose $\rho : G_F \rightarrow GL_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ is unramified almost everywhere and de Rham, with distinct Hodge-Tate weights for every $i : F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$. If $(\rho \bmod l)^{ss}$ is automorphic, then ρ is automorphic.

Over the next three talks, we will prove a weaker version of this result. Firstly introduce the following notation. Let L be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_l , ring of integers \mathcal{O} and uniformizer λ and residue field k .

Definition

Let $\rho, \rho_0 : G_F \rightarrow GL_2(L)$ and suppose $\chi := \det \rho = \det \rho_0$ and that $\bar{\rho} := \rho \bmod \lambda = \rho_0 \bmod \lambda$. For v a finite place of F we say $\rho_v \sim (\rho_0)_v$ if $\rho_v, (\rho_0)_v$ belong to the same irreducible component of $\text{Spec } R_{\rho_v, \chi}^{\square}[1/l]$. (Recall this was the universal framed deformation ring for the residual representation $\bar{\rho}_v$ of character χ).

Main automorphy lifting theorem

We will prove:

Theorem

Suppose $|F(\zeta_l) : F| \geq 2$ (so necessarily $l \geq 5$). Let $\rho, \rho_0 : G_F \rightarrow GL_2(L)$ be unramified outside $S \sqcup T_l$ where S is a finite set of places not dividing l of F and T_l is the set of places dividing l . Suppose that ρ_0 is automorphic, $\rho \bmod \lambda \cong \rho_0 \bmod \lambda$ is absolutely irreducible. Suppose further that ρ, ρ_0 are crystalline for every $v|l$ and that the Hodge-Tate weights of ρ, ρ_0 are equal, and distinct integers for every embedding $F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}$. Finally, suppose for every finite $v \in S \sqcup T_l$ that $\rho_v \sim (\rho_0)_v$. Then ρ is automorphic.

(While not stated in the notes, I think we might also need irreducibility of $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F(\zeta_l)}}$ or some other image condition to prove the existence of sets of Taylor-Wiles primes, which we will see in the subsequent lectures).

Finite solvable base change

We will reduce the theorem to proving such a result with additional conditions. Recall firstly the result on finite solvable base change:

Proposition

Let E/F be a finite solvable extension of totally real fields. Then $\rho : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ is modular if and only if $\rho|_{G_E}$ is.

We then have the following fact.

Proposition

Let F a number field and S a finite set of places. Fix for each $v \in S$ a finite Galois extension L_v/F_v . Then there exists a finite solvable extension M/F such that for each place w of M above v , $L_v \cong M_w$ over F_v .

Some reductions

By passing to such a finite solvable extension of totally real fields (the totally real condition given by taking $L_v = F_v$ for every infinite place v) we may make the following assumptions on our representation:

- $|F : \mathbb{Q}|$ is even (e.g. by choosing a quadratic extension at some finite place)
- If ρ or ρ_0 are ramified at some place $v \nmid l$ then $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}$ is trivial and $\#k(v) \equiv 1 \pmod{l}$ (for such v set $L'_v = F_v^{\ker \bar{\rho}}$ and then let L_v be an unramified extension of L'_v of degree the order of $\#k(v)$ in $(\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})^\times$)
- $\rho(l_v)$ and $\rho_0(l_v)$ are unipotent for all $v \nmid l$ (proof the same as in l -adic monodromy)
- $\chi := \det \rho = \det \rho_0$ (since ρ, ρ_0 are crystalline of equal HT weights, $\det \rho / \det \rho_0$ is unramified at $v|l$ and since $\rho(l_v), \rho_0(l_v)$ is unipotent at $v \nmid l$, $\det \rho / \det \rho_0$ is unramified everywhere so finite order and trivial mod l . So it has l -power order so its kernel defines a finite abelian totally real ($l \neq 2$ so complex conjugation acts trivially) extension unramified away from l).

Deformation conditions

Set $T_l = \{v | l\}$ and $T_r = \{v \nmid l, \infty : \rho \text{ or } \rho_0 \text{ ramified at } v\}$. Let $T = T_l \sqcup T_r$. We will impose deformation conditions \mathcal{D}_v for $v \in T$ as follows:

- For $v \in T_r$, \mathcal{D}_v is all lifts (of fixed determinant χ_v)
- For $v \in T_l$, \mathcal{D}_v corresponds to the ideal $\ker(R_{\bar{\rho}_v, \chi_v}^\square \rightarrow R_{\bar{\rho}_v, \chi_v, \text{cr}, \text{HT}}^\square)$ where $R_{\bar{\rho}_v, \chi_v, \text{cr}, \{\text{HT}\}}^\square$ is the maximal quotient which is l -torsion free, reduced and whose char 0 points give crystalline representations with HT weights $\{\text{HT}\}$

Our global deformation problem will be $\mathcal{S} = (F, T, \bar{\rho}, \chi, \{\mathcal{D}_v\}_{v \in T})$. Let $R^{\text{univ}} = R_{\mathcal{S}}^{\text{univ}}$ be the universal deformation ring for this deformation problem.

Space of automorphic forms

Let π_0 be the automorphic representation corresponding to ρ_0 , unramified outside T . We will take automorphic forms on D^\times where D is the quaternion algebra with $S(D) = \{v|\infty\}$ (we may since $|F : \mathbb{Q}|$ is even). Then our level subgroup

$$U = \prod_{v|\infty} U_v \subset GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$$

will be a compact open subgroup given by

$$U_v = \begin{cases} GL_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_v}) & v \notin T_r \\ U_v \text{ such that } \pi_{0,v}^{U_v} \neq 0 & v \in T_r \end{cases}$$

Set $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(U, \mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{S}_{k,\eta,\chi|\cdot|_{\mathbb{A}_F^\times}}^U$ to be our space of automorphic forms. k, η here are determined by the HT weights of ρ_0 .

Hecke algebra

Let \mathbb{T}_U be the Hecke algebra at level U (generated by the images of the unramified Hecke operators T_v, S_v for $v \notin T$ acting on $\mathcal{S}(U, \mathcal{O})$). \mathbb{T}_U is a commutative \mathcal{O} -algebra which is finite free over \mathcal{O} and reduced. We recall by strong multiplicity one that we had a bijective correspondence

$$\{\mathbb{T}_U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\} \leftrightarrow \{\pi \in \mathbf{S}_{k, \eta, \chi} \text{ s.t. } \pi^U \neq 0\}.$$

The kernel of the composition

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}_U &\rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow k \\ T_v &\mapsto \text{tr } \rho_0(\text{Frob}_v) \\ S_v &\mapsto q^{-1} \det \rho(\text{Frob}_v) \end{aligned}$$

(arising from the eigenvalue on π_0^U) defines a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} = \langle \lambda, \text{tr}(\bar{\rho}(\text{Frob}_v)) - T_v, \det \bar{\rho}(\text{Frob}_v) - q_v S_v \rangle \subset \mathbb{T}_U$. Then $\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}} \cong \bar{\rho}$ and we saw the existence of a lift

$$\rho_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{mod}} : G_F \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{T}_{U, \mathfrak{m}})$$

with $\text{tr } \rho_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{mod}}(\text{Frob}_v) = T_v$ and $\det \rho_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{mod}}(\text{Frob}_v) = T_v$

The $R \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ map

The representation ρ_m^{mod} satisfies the deformation conditions $\{\mathcal{D}_v\}_{v \in T}$ (since all such $\pi \subset \mathcal{S}_{k, \eta, \chi}$ s.t. $\pi^U \neq 0$ give rise to Galois representations satisfying the deformation conditions) and is unramified outside T . Hence by the defining property of R^{univ} , we get a map $R^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{U, m}$. The map is surjective, as $\text{tr } \rho^{\text{univ}}(\text{Frob}_v) = T_v$ and $\det \rho^{\text{univ}}(\text{Frob}_v) = q_v S_v$.

To prove the theorem, it will suffice to show that for any $\rho : G_F \rightarrow GL_2(\mathcal{O})$ of type \mathcal{S} lifting $\bar{\rho}$ that the map $R^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ factors through $\mathbb{T}_{U, m}$. Indeed, the map $\mathbb{T}_{U, m} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defines an automorphic representation π_ρ , and the Galois representation arising from π_ρ is isomorphic to ρ (as the eigenvalues of Frob_v for $v \notin T$ agree). The map $R^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{U, m}$ factors through the reduced quotient $R^{\text{univ, red}} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{U, m}$ and so since \mathcal{O} is reduced it will suffice to show that this map is an isomorphism.

Thanks for listening and feel free to ask any questions.