

# Amoeba Forcing and inaccessible cardinals

Raiean Banerjee (joint work with Lucas Wansner and Benedikt Löwe)

Fachbereich Mathematik - Universität Hamburg

*raiean.banerjee@uni-hamburg.de*

15th February, 2024

## 1 Introduction

- Regularity properties and Forcing
- What we can and what we cannot
- Ikegami's theorems
- Amoebas
- A general framework for regularity properties

## 2 Inaccessibility and regularity properties

- Brendle-Labedzki
- Implications between regularities

## 3 References

A set of reals  $A$  is said to be Lebesgue measurable iff for every Borel set  $B$  there is a Borel subset  $C$ , such that either  $C \setminus A$  or  $A \setminus C$  is of measure zero.

A set of reals on the other hand is said to have the Baire property iff for every Borel set  $B$ , there is a Borel subset  $C$ , such that either  $C \setminus A$  or  $A \setminus C$  is a meager set.

Notice that both the collection of meager sets and that of Lebesgue measure zero sets form sigma ideals.

If  $I$  is a  $\sigma$  ideal (which is  $\Sigma_2^1$ ) and  $\mathbb{P}_I$  consists of Borel sets not in  $I$ , then we can define  $I$  regularity as follows: A set of reals  $A$  is  $I$  regular iff for every Borel set  $B$  there is a subset  $C$  such that either  $C \setminus A$  or  $A \setminus C \in I$ .

If  $B$  and  $C$  are elements of  $\mathbb{P}_I$ , then we say that  $C \leq B$  iff  $C \subseteq B$ .  
This way  $\mathbb{P}_I$  can be seen as a forcing notion.

## Theorem

All analytic sets are regular

## Proof.

Folklore



## Theorem

Regularity of  $\Sigma_2^1$  sets of reals is however independent of ZFC

## Definition

A real  $x$  is said to be a quasi-generic over a model  $V$  iff it is not contained in any borel set  $B \in I$ , such that  $B$  is coded in  $V$

## Theorem (Ikegami)

If  $I$  is a  $\sigma$  ideal with  $\Sigma_2^1$  definition and  $\mathbb{P}_I$  is a proper forcing notion, then

- all  $\Delta_2^1$  sets are  $I$  regular iff for every real  $r$  there is an  $I$  quasi-generic over  $L[r]$ .
- all  $\Sigma_2^1$  sets are  $I$  regular iff for every real  $r$  the complement of the set of quasi-generics over  $L[r]$  is in  $I$

## Theorem

Forcing with  $\mathbb{P}_I$  adds an  $I$  generic over the ground model and  $I$  generics are  $I$  quasi-generics.

This shows us a way to obtain  $\Delta_2^1$  regularity.



If  $\mathbb{P}$  is a forcing notion as in Ikegami's theorem, one way to obtain  $\Sigma_2^1(\mathbb{P})$  is to iteratively add co-null sets of quasigeneric reals in an iteration of length  $\omega_1$ . In order to do this, we would like to have natural forcing notions adding these large sets of quasigenerics, usually called *amoebas* of the original forcing.

## Definition

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a tree forcing notion and for  $T \in \mathbb{P}$ , let  $[T]$  denote the set of branches through  $T$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$  any other forcing notion. Then we say that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is an amoeba for  $\mathbb{P}$  iff for any  $T \in \mathbb{P}$ , any  $\mathbb{Q}$ -generic  $G$ , and any model  $M \supseteq V[G]$ , we have that

$$M \models \exists T' \leq T \forall x (x \in [T'] \rightarrow x \text{ is } \mathbb{P}\text{-generic over } V).$$

Some examples of amoeba forcing notions are as follows:  
*Amoeba forcing*, denoted by  $\mathbb{A}$ , consists of the set of all pruned trees  $T \subseteq 2^{<\omega}$  such that  $\mu([T]) > \frac{1}{2}$ , ordered by inclusion.

For Hechler forcing and eventually different forcing Brendle and Löwe [BL99, BL11] proved that one cannot achieve  $\Sigma_2^1$  regularity via forcing and that it infact implies that  $\aleph_1$  is inaccessible by reals. The result about Hechler forcing will be used later to show that  $\Sigma_2^1$  regularity of amoeba forcing implies that  $\aleph_1$  is inaccessible by reals.

Some regularity properties are not defined in terms of  $I$ -regularity or  $\mathbb{P}$ -measurability; e.g., the Baire property was originally defined in topological terms.

A general framework has been developed by Lucas in his PhD thesis with the help of weak category bases[Wan23]

## Definition

Let  $X$  be a set and  $C \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ . We call  $(X, C)$  is a *weak category base* if and only if

- 1  $X = \bigcup C$  and
- 2 for every  $A, A' \in C$ ,  $A \cap A'$  contains an element of  $C$  or for every  $c \in C$ , there is some  $c' \in C$ , such that  $c' \subseteq c$  and  $c' \cap (A \cap A') = \emptyset$ .

- We refer to the elements of  $C$  as *regions*
- $A \subseteq X$  is *C-singular* if and only if for every region  $c$  there is a region  $c' \subseteq c$  such that  $c' \cap A = \emptyset$
- a subset  $A \subseteq X$  is *C-small* if it is a countable union of *C-singular* sets
- $A \subseteq X$  is said to be *C-measurable* if and only if for every region  $c$ , there is a region  $c'$  such that  $c' \subseteq c$  and either  $c' \setminus A$  or  $c' \cap A$  is *C-small*.

For a region  $c$ , we say that

- $A \subseteq X$  is *C-not small in  $c$*  if  $c \cap A$  is not C-small
- it is *C-not small everywhere in  $c$*  if  $c' \cap A$  is not C-small for any region  $c' \subseteq c$
- The ideal  $I_C^*$  is defined to be the collection of all subsets of  $X$  such that there is no region  $c$  for which  $A$  is C-not small everywhere in  $c$ .



- The set  $C$  is a partial order with the ordering  $\subseteq$ ; we say that the weak category base  $(X, C)$  has the *countable chain condition* if the partial order  $(C, \subseteq)$  does.
- A weak category base  $(X, C)$  is called *proper* if  $(C, \subseteq)$  is a proper forcing notion,  $\mathcal{I}_C$  is a proper  $\sigma$ -ideal, and every region is not  $C$ -small.

If  $X$  is a Polish space and  $(X, C)$  is a weak category base, we say that  $C$  is *Borel compatible with  $X$*  if every region is Borel and every Borel set is  $C$ -measurable.

## Definition

*Amoeba infinity forcing*, denoted by  $\mathbb{A}_\infty$  consists of the set of all pairs  $(O, \epsilon) \in \mathbf{O} \times \mathbb{R}_\infty^+$  such that  $\mu(O) < \epsilon$  ordered by  $(O', \epsilon') \leq (O, \epsilon)$  iff  $O \subseteq O'$  and  $\epsilon' \leq \epsilon$ .

This forcing notion lives on the Polish space of all open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  denoted by  $\mathbf{O}$ .

$$[O, \epsilon] := \{U \in \mathbf{O} ; O \subseteq U \text{ and } \mu(U) \leq \epsilon\}$$

for  $(O, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{A}_\infty$ . The collection of sets  $[O, \epsilon]$  is denoted by  $C_{\mathbb{A}_\infty}$ .

The pair  $(\mathcal{O}, C_{\mathbb{A}_\infty})$  is a c.c.c category base which is Borel compatible with  $\mathcal{O}$  and the ideal of  $C_{\mathbb{A}_\infty}$ -small sets is Borel generated.

## Definition

*Amoeba forcing for category*, also known as *universally meagre forcing* and denoted by  $\mathbb{UM}$ , consists of the set of all  $(\sigma, E)$  such that  $\sigma = (\sigma(0), \dots, \sigma(n-1))$  is a finite sequence of elements of  $2^{<\omega}$  and  $E$  is an open dense subset of  $2^{<\omega}$ . This set is partially ordered as follows:

$$(\sigma', E') \leq (\sigma, E) \text{ iff } \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \text{ and } \forall n \in \text{dom}(\sigma' \setminus \sigma) (\sigma'(n)) \in E.$$

Amoeba forcing for category is an Amoeba for  $\mathbb{C}$ . It lives on the Polish space  $\mathbf{U}$  which is the collection of all  $x \in (2^{<\omega})^\omega$  such that for all  $s \in 2^{<\omega}$  there are infinitely many  $m$  with  $s \subseteq x(m)$ , via the collection  $U$  of sets  $[\sigma, E]$  for  $(\sigma, E) \in \mathbb{UM}$ .

The pair  $(\mathbf{U}, U)$  is a proper weak category base which is Borel compatible with  $\mathbf{U}$ .

## Definition

*Localisation forcing*, denoted by  $\mathbb{LOC}$ , consists of the set of all pairs  $(\sigma, F)$  such that  $\sigma = (\sigma(0), \dots, \sigma(n-1))$  is a finite sequence of elements of  $[\omega]^{<\omega}$  and  $F$  is a finite set of elements of  $\omega^\omega$  such that for every  $k < n$ ,  $|\sigma(k)| = k + 1$  and  $|F| \leq n + 1$ . The set is partially ordered as follows:

$$(\sigma', F') \leq (\sigma, F) \text{ iff } \sigma \subseteq \sigma' \text{ and } F \subseteq F' \text{ and } \forall x \in F$$

$$\forall n \in \text{dom}(\sigma' \setminus \sigma)(x(i) \in \sigma'(i)).$$

We define **Loc** to be the Polish space of all slaloms that is of functions  $f : \omega \rightarrow [\omega]^{<\omega}$  such that for all  $n \in \omega$ ,  $|f(n)| \leq n + 1$ .

The pair  $(\mathbf{Loc}, L)$  forms a proper weak category base such that it is Borel compatible with **Loc**.



As mentioned earlier the  $\Sigma_2^1$  regularity of Hechler and Eventually different Forcings both imply the inaccessibility of  $\aleph_1$  by reals. Up next we shall show that the  $\Sigma_2^1$  regularity of Amoeba Forcing, Amoeba for Category and the **Loc** all imply the inaccessibility of  $\aleph_1$  by reals.

The general strategy for proving it in case of the two amoeba forcing notions involves an indirect approach in which we show that  $\Sigma_2^1(\mathbb{P})$  implies  $\Sigma_2^1(\mathbb{D})$ , where  $\mathbb{P} \in \{\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{UM}\}$ .

On the other hand for  $\mathbb{LOC}$ , we develop a Brendle-Labedzki style lemma to prove it.

Let us first outline what a Brendle-Labedzki style lemma is and also the mechanism of the indirect proofs and we shall proceed to the specifics in the next section.

## Definition

An *assignment* is a pair of formulas  $(\Psi, \Phi)$  such that in every transitive model of set theory  $M$ , we have that if  $A \in M$  and  $M \models \Psi(A)$ , then, in  $M$ ,  $\Phi$  defines an injection  $a \mapsto c_a^A$  with domain  $A$ .

For an ideal  $I$  on a Polish space  $X$ ,

## Definition

An assignment  $(\Psi, \Phi)$  is called  *$I$ -canonical* if for each transitive model  $M$  of set theory, we have that

$$M \models \exists A(\Psi(A) \wedge |A| = 2^{\aleph_0})$$

and if  $M \models \Psi(A)$  and  $a \in A$ , then  $c_a^A$  is a Borel code in  $M$  such that the Borel set  $B_a^A$  coded by  $c_a^A$  is in  $I$ .

## Definition

A forcing notion defined as  $\mathbb{P}_I$  on a Polish space  $X$  is said to satisfy a Brendle-Labedzki lemma if, there is an  $I$ -canonical assignment  $(\Phi, \Psi)$  such that for each  $Z \in I$  and any set  $A$  we have that

$$\{B_a^A; a \in A \wedge B_a^A \subseteq Z\}$$

is countable.

## Theorem

*Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a forcing notion satisfying the conditions of Ikegami's theorem that in addition also satisfies a Brendle-Łabędzki lemma, then  $\Sigma_2^1(\mathbb{P})$  implies that  $\aleph_1$  is inaccessible by reals.*

## Proof.

Fix any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Due to Ikegami's theorem, the assumption gives us that the set

$$N_x := \{z \in X; z \text{ is not } I\text{-quasigeneric over } L[x]\}$$

is small. By definition of quasigenericity, every Borel set  $B$  with Borel code in  $L[x]$  satisfies  $B \subseteq N_x$ . By the canonicity assumption, we find a particular  $A$  in  $L[x]$  such that  $L[x] \models \Psi(A)$  and  $|A| = \aleph_1^{L[x]}$  and for all  $a \in A$ , we have  $B_a^A \subseteq N_x$ . But by the Brendle-Łabedzki lemma, the set  $\{B_a^A; a \in A \wedge B_a^A \subseteq N_x\}$  is countable, so  $\aleph_1^{L[x]}$  is countable. □

Now, in case of  $\text{LOC}$ , we look at the assignment defined as follows:

$$x \in \omega^\omega \mapsto X_x = \{f \in \text{LOC} : \exists^\infty n \in \omega (x(n) \notin f(n))\}$$

We of course mean the Borel code corresponding to  $X_x$ . This assignment is made canonical for the  $\text{LOC}$  ideal by an eventually different family  $E$  (that is for  $x, y \in E$ ,  $\forall^\infty n \in \omega x(n) \neq y(n)$ ).

### Theorem

*$\text{LOC}$  satisfies Brendle-Labedzki lemma for the above canonical embedding.*

### Proof.

[Wan23, Lemma 2.5.9]





We now move on to develop the frame work which will enable us to show that  $\Sigma_2^1(\mathbb{P})$  implies  $\Sigma_2^1(\mathbb{D})$  for  $\mathbb{P} \in \{\mathbb{A}, \text{UM}\}$ .

Let  $(X, C)$  and  $(Y, D)$  be weak category bases. A function  $f: C \rightarrow D$  is called a *projection* if

- i) whenever  $c \subseteq c'$ , then  $f(c) \subseteq f(c')$  and
- ii) for every  $c \in C$  and  $d \leq f(c)$  there is a  $c' \subseteq c$  such that  $f(c') \leq d$ .

## Lemma (Wansner's Implication Lemma)

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be uncountable Polish spaces and  $(X, C)$  and  $(Y, D)$  be proper weak category bases such that  $(X, C)$  and  $(Y, D)$  are Borel compatible with  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. Assume that  $I_D^*$  is Borel generated, let  $\alpha > 0$  be an ordinal, that  $\langle h_\beta : \beta < \alpha \rangle$  is a sequence of Borel functions from  $X$  to  $Y$ , and that  $\langle \bar{h}_\beta : \beta < \alpha \rangle$  be a sequence of projections from  $C$  to  $D$  such that

- a) for every  $\beta < \alpha$  and every  $c \in C$ , there is some region  $c' \subseteq c$  such that  $h_\beta[c'] \subseteq \bar{h}_\beta(c)$  and
- b) for every  $d \in D$ , there are  $\beta < \alpha$  and  $c \in C$  such that  $\bar{h}_\beta(c) \subseteq D$ .

Then for every projective pointclass  $\Gamma$ , we have that if every  $\Gamma(X)$  set is  $C$ -measurable, then every  $\Gamma(Y)$  set is  $D$ -measurable.

Proof.

[Wan23, Theorem 2.2.46]



So, clearly the wansner implication lemma when at the  $\Sigma_2^1$  level gives us the necessary technique to prove the intended results.

We first of all intend to show that  $\Sigma_2^1(\mathbb{A}_\infty) \implies \Sigma_2^1(\mathbb{D})$ .

To that end, we define a borel function  $h$  as follows:

Let  $\{I_k^n \subseteq \mathbb{R} : n, k \in \omega\}$  be a recursive family of pairwise disjoint open intervals with rational endpoints such that for every  $n, k \in \omega$   $\mu(I_k^n) = 2^{-2^n}$ . Then

$$h(U)(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mu(U) = \infty, \\ \min\{k \in \omega : \forall \ell \geq k(I_\ell^n)\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

After this we wish to define a projection  $\bar{h}$  on a dense subset of  $\mathcal{O}$ .

$$D = \{(O, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{A}_\infty : \exists n \in \omega \left( \sum_{m \geq n} 2^{-2m} < \epsilon - \mu(O) < 2^{-2(n-1)} \right)\}.$$

which is defined as:

$$D' = \{(O, \epsilon) \in D : \forall U \in [O, \epsilon] (h(O) \restriction n_{(O, \epsilon)} = h(U)) \restriction n_{(O, \epsilon)}\}$$

where  $n_{(O, \epsilon)} \in \omega$  such that

$$\epsilon - \mu(O) \geq 2^{-2(n-1)} > 2^{-2(n-1)}/3 = \sum_{m \geq n} 2^{-2m}.$$

It being dense actually suffices since  $C_{D'} = \{[O, \epsilon] : (O, \epsilon) \in D'\}$  will render  $\mathbf{O}$  to be as category base equivalent to the original one.

It can be checked that the above satisfy the conditions of the Wansner lemma [Wan23, Proposition 2.3.24]



Next up we show that  $\Sigma_2^1(\mathbb{A}) \implies \Sigma_2^1(\mathbb{A}_\infty)$ .

To that end we fix a recursive family  $\{A_k^n : n, k \in \omega\}$  of open independent subsets of  $2^\omega$  with  $\mu(A_k^n) = 2^{(-n+1)}$ .

Moreover let  $I^{\ell,n}$  be the set of finite unions of intervals with rational endpoints with measure  $\leq 4^{\ell-n}$  and let  $\{U_k^{\ell,n} : k, \ell, n \in \omega\}$  be a recursive family of open sets such that for  $\ell, n \in \omega$ ,  $\{U_k^{\ell,n} : k \in \omega\}$  enumerates  $\mathcal{I}^{\ell,n}$  and each element of  $I^{\ell,n}$  occurs infinitely often in this enumeration.

For every  $\ell \in \omega$ , we define functions  $h_\ell : \mathbf{R} \cup \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{O}$  and  $\bar{h}_\ell : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_\infty$  by

$$h_\ell(S) = \bigcup \{U_k^{\ell,n} : \mu(A_k^n \cap [S]) = 0\} \text{ and}$$

$$\bar{h}_\ell(T) = (h_\ell(T), \sup\{\mu(h_\ell(S)) : S \leq T\})$$

This completes the proof of  $\Sigma_2^1(\mathbb{A})$  implies the inaccessibility of  $\aleph_1$  by reals [Wan23, Proposition 2.3.27].

Now, we prove that  $\Sigma_2^1(\mathbb{UM}) \implies \Sigma_2^1(\mathbb{D})$ .

For this we define a Borel function  $h : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \omega^\omega$  and a projection  $\bar{h} : \mathbb{UM} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  as follows:

$$h(x)(n) := \min\{|s| : s \in \text{ran}(s) \text{ and } t_n \subseteq s\} \text{ and} \\ \bar{h}(\sigma, E) := (n_{(\sigma, E)}, f_{(\sigma, E)})$$

where  $n_{(\sigma, E)}$  is maximal such that for every  $x, x' \in [\sigma, E]$ ,  
 $h(x) \upharpoonright n = h(x') \upharpoonright n$  and  $f_{(\sigma, E)}(n) = \min\{h(x)(n) : x \in [\sigma, E]\}$ .

To check that the above satisfies the Wansner lemma we refer to  
[Wan23, Theorem 2.4.10]



Jörg Brendle and Benedikt Löwe.

Solovay-type characterizations for forcing-algebras.

*Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 64(3):1307–1323, 1999.



Jörg Brendle and Benedikt Löwe.

Eventually different functions and inaccessible cardinals.

*Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, 63(1):137 – 151, 2011.



Lucas Wansner.

*Aspects of Forcing in Descriptive Set Theory and Computability Theory*.

PhD thesis, Universität Hamburg, 2023.

Thank you!