

# Chapter 1

## Test functions

Before we introduce distributions, we're first going to spend a bit of time studying some nice classes of *smooth* functions. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open set. We denote by  $C^k(\Omega)$  the space of all  $k$ -times continuously differentiable complex valued functions on  $\Omega$ , and by

$$C^\infty(\Omega) = \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} C^k(\Omega),$$

the set of smooth functions on  $\Omega$ .

When dealing with partial derivatives of high orders, the notation can get rather messy. To mitigate this, it's convenient to introduce *multi-indices*. We define a multi-index  $\alpha$  to be an element of  $(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^n$ , i.e. a  $n$ -vector of non-negative integers  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ . We define  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$  and

$$\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} f}{\partial x^\alpha} = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right)^{\alpha_1} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right)^{\alpha_2} \cdots \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right)^{\alpha_n} f,$$

in other words, we differentiate  $\alpha_1$  times with respect to  $x_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$  times with respect to  $x_2$  and so on. When it's unambiguous on which variables the derivative acts, we will also use the more compact notation:

$$D_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i},$$

and

$$D^\alpha := \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x^\alpha}.$$

For a vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we will also use the notation:

$$x^\alpha := (x_1)^{\alpha_1} (x_2)^{\alpha_2} \cdots (x_n)^{\alpha_n}$$

The spaces  $C^k(\Omega)$  and  $C^\infty(\Omega)$  are vector spaces over  $\mathbb{C}$ , where addition and scalar multiplication are defined pointwise. If  $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in C^k(\Omega)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , we define the maps  $\phi_1 + \phi_2$ ,  $\lambda\phi_1$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1 + \phi_2 &: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, & \lambda\phi_1 &: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \\ x \mapsto \phi_1(x) + \phi_2(x), & & x \mapsto \lambda\phi_1(x). & \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

**Exercise(\*)**. Show that with the definitions (1.1) the space  $C^k(\Omega)$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and that  $C^l(\Omega)$  is a vector subspace of  $C^k(\Omega)$  provided  $k \leq l \leq \infty$ .

**Definition 1.1.** If  $\phi \in C^0(\Omega)$ , the support of  $\phi$  is the set:

$$\text{supp } \phi = \overline{\{x \in \Omega : \phi(x) \neq 0\}},$$

where the closure is understood to be relative<sup>1</sup> to  $\Omega$ . That is  $\text{supp } \phi$  is the closure of the set on which  $\phi$  is not zero. We say that  $\phi$  has compact support if  $\text{supp } \phi$  is compact.

For  $0 \leq k \leq \infty$ , we define  $C_0^k(\Omega)$  to be the subset of  $C^k(\Omega)$  consisting of functions with compact support.  $C_0^k(\Omega)$  is a vector subspace of  $C^k(\Omega)$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** There exists a function  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

- i)  $\psi \geq 0$
- ii)  $\psi(0) \neq 0$
- iii)  $\text{supp } \psi \subset B_1(0) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < 1\}$
- iv) We have:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x) dx = 1.$$

*Proof.* First, we note that the function:

$$\chi(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t \leq 0 \\ e^{-\frac{1}{t}} & t > 0 \end{cases}$$

is smooth, i.e.  $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . Moreover,  $\chi \geq 0$  and  $\chi(1) \neq 0$ . We define  $\psi_0(x) = \chi(1 - |x|^2)$ . Since the map  $x \mapsto |x|^2$  is smooth,  $\psi_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We set:

$$\psi(x) = \frac{\psi_0(x)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi_0(x) dx}.$$

It is easy to verify that  $\psi$  satisfies conditions i) – iv). □

**Corollary 1.2.** For  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  open,  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  is not the trivial subspace  $\{0\} \subset C^\infty(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\Omega$  is open, there exists  $\epsilon, x$  such that the ball  $B_\epsilon(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |y - x| < \epsilon\}$  is contained in  $\Omega$ . The function  $y \mapsto \psi[\epsilon^{-1}(y - x)]$  is easily seen to belong to  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ . □

**Exercise 1.4.** For  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  let:

$$\chi(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t \leq 0 \\ e^{-\frac{1}{t}} & t > 0 \end{cases}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>If  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is open, and  $A \subset \Omega$ , then the closure of  $A$  relative to  $\Omega$  is the intersection of  $\Omega$  with the closure of  $A$  as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Note that the closure of  $A$  relative to  $\Omega$  may not be closed as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

- a) Show that  $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ .
- b) Show that there exists a function  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that
  - i)  $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$
  - ii)  $\text{supp } \psi \subset B_2(0)$
  - iii)  $\psi(x) = 1$  for  $|x| \leq 1$ .

Hint: First construct a positive smooth function  $\tilde{\chi} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that

$$\tilde{\chi}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t < -1 \\ 1 & t > 1 \end{cases}$$

Suppose  $\Omega \subset \Omega'$ , where both are open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $\phi \in C_0^k(\Omega)$ , then we can extend  $\phi$  to a function on  $\Omega'$  by setting  $\phi = 0$  on  $\Omega' \setminus \Omega$ . This extended function will be smooth in  $\Omega'$  and we do not alter the support, so in this way we see that  $C_0^k(\Omega)$  is a vector subspace of  $C_0^k(\Omega')$ .

The following result is useful:

**Lemma 1.3.** *Suppose  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is bounded and  $K \subset \Omega$  is compact. Then  $d(K, \partial\Omega) > 0$ , where:*

$$d(K, \partial\Omega) := \inf_{x \in K, y \in \partial\Omega} |x - y|.$$

*Proof.*  $K$  is compact, so  $K \subset B_R(0)$  for some  $R > 0$ . Let  $\Omega_R = \Omega \cap B_R(0)$ .  $\Omega_R$  is open and bounded, with  $K \subset \Omega_R$ . It suffices to show that  $d(K, \partial\Omega_R) > 0$ . Since  $\Omega_R$  is bounded,  $\partial\Omega_R$  is compact. Therefore the map:

$$\begin{aligned} f &: K \times \partial\Omega_R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \\ &(x, y) \mapsto |x - y|, \end{aligned}$$

is a continuous map on a compact set, hence it achieves its minimum  $d$  at  $(x_0, y_0)$ . Suppose that  $d = 0$ , then  $x_0 = y_0$ , but  $x_0 \in K \subset \Omega$  and  $y_0 \in \partial\Omega \in \Omega^c$  a contradiction. Thus  $d > 0$  and we're done.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.4.** *If  $\phi \in C_0^k(\Omega)$ , extend  $\phi$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by  $\phi = 0$  on  $\Omega^c$ . Define  $\tau_x \phi$  by:*

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_x \phi &: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \\ &y \mapsto \phi(y - x). \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

*Then there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\tau_x \phi \in C_0^k(\Omega)$  for all  $x \in B_\epsilon(0)$ .*

*Proof.* We have

$$\text{supp } \tau_x \phi = \text{supp } \phi + x$$

Since  $\text{supp } \phi$  is compact,  $\text{supp } \tau_x \phi$  is just a translate of a compact set, so is compact as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We need to check that  $\text{supp } \tau_x \phi \subset \Omega$ . We have  $d(\text{supp } \phi, \partial\Omega) = \delta > 0$ . Set  $\epsilon = \delta/2$ . Then we have, by Lemma 1.3

$$\text{supp } \phi + B_\epsilon(0) \subset \Omega$$

but if  $x \in B_\epsilon(0)$ , then  $\text{supp } \tau_x \phi \subset \text{supp } \phi + B_\epsilon(0)$  and we're done.  $\square$

## 1.1 The space $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$

So far we have defined the sets  $C^\infty(\Omega)$  and  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  as sets and shown that they have the algebraic structure of a vector space. We want to discuss notions of convergence and continuity in these spaces, and for this we shall require a topology. It turns out that the appropriate topology is somewhat subtle, and to describe it properly would take rather too long. Appendix B develops the topology in detail, for those who are interested. We shall simply quote the following result:

**Theorem 1.5.** *The set  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  can be endowed with a topology  $\tau$ , such that:*

- i) *The vector space operations of addition and scalar multiplication are continuous with respect to  $\tau$ .*
- ii) *A sequence  $\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  tends to zero with respect to the topology  $\tau$  if there exists a compact  $K \subset \Omega$  such that  $\text{supp } \phi_j \subset K$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and for each multi-index  $\alpha$  we have:*

$$\sup_{x \in K} |D^\alpha \phi_j| \rightarrow 0,$$

*as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Similarly,  $\phi_j \rightarrow \phi$  with respect to  $\tau$  if  $\phi_j - \phi \rightarrow 0$ .*

We denote the set  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  equipped with the topology  $\tau$  by  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ .

Note carefully that although we've said what it means for a sequence to converge, this is not in general enough to specify the topology completely. With some reasonable further conditions (see the Appendix) we can in fact pick out  $\tau$  uniquely. For the purposes that we require, it will be enough to know about convergence of sequences.

**Example 1.** Suppose  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ . Let  $\delta$  be such that  $\tau_x \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  for  $|x| < \delta$ . If  $\{x_l\}_{l=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a sequence with  $|x| < \delta$ , and  $x_l \rightarrow 0$ , then

$$\tau_{x_l} \phi \rightarrow \phi, \quad \text{as } l \rightarrow \infty.$$

To see why this is so, recall that there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\text{supp } \phi + B_{2\epsilon}(0) \subset \Omega$ . Suppose that  $|x| < \epsilon$ . Then

$$\text{supp } \tau_x \phi = \text{supp } \phi + x \subset \overline{\text{supp } \phi + B_\epsilon(0)} \subset \text{supp } \phi + B_{2\epsilon}(0) \subset \Omega.$$

Thus for  $i$  large enough,  $\text{supp } \tau_{x_l} \phi \subset K := \overline{\text{supp } \phi + B_\epsilon(0)}$ , where  $K$  is a compact subset of  $\Omega$ . Now for any multi-index  $\alpha$ ,  $D^\alpha \phi$  is a continuous function defined on a compact set, hence is uniformly continuous. In particular this implies that

$$\sup_K |D^\alpha \phi(y + x_l) - D^\alpha \phi(y)| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } x_l \rightarrow 0,$$

which immediately gives us that  $\tau_{x_l} \phi \rightarrow \phi$  in  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ .

**Example 2.** Suppose  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ . For  $h > 0$  sufficiently small, we define the forward difference quotient:

$$\Delta_i^h \phi = \frac{1}{h} (\tau_{-he_i} \phi - \phi)$$

with  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$  the standard basis on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$\Delta_i^h \phi \rightarrow D_i \phi, \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0.$$

By the same argument as for the previous example, there exists a compact  $K \subset \Omega$  such that  $\text{supp } \Delta_i^h \phi \subset K$  for  $h$  sufficiently small. By the mean value theorem, for each  $x \in K$ , there exists  $t_x \in (0, h)$  such that

$$D^\alpha \Delta_i^h \phi(x) = \frac{D^\alpha \phi(x + he_i) - D^\alpha \phi(x)}{h} = D_i D^\alpha \phi(x + t_x e_i)$$

Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $D_i D^\alpha \phi$  is continuous on  $K$  (hence uniformly continuous), there exists  $\delta > 0$ , independent of  $x$  such that If  $t_x < \delta$  we have

$$|D_i D^\alpha \phi(x + t_x e_i) - D_i D^\alpha \phi(x)| < \epsilon.$$

If we take  $h < \delta$ , then  $t_x < \delta$  for all  $x$  and we conclude:

$$\sup_{x \in K} |D_i D^\alpha \phi(x + t_x e_i) - D_i D^\alpha \phi(x)| < \epsilon,$$

which implies

$$\sup_{x \in K} |D^\alpha \Delta_i^h \phi(x) - D^\alpha D_i \phi(x)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0.$$

**Example 3.** Fix  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\phi(x) \not\equiv 0$ . The sequence:

$$\phi_j(x) = \frac{1}{j} \phi(x - j), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

does NOT converge in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ . We have that

$$\sup_{\mathbb{R}} |D^\alpha \phi| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty,$$

but there is no compact set which contains the support of  $\phi_j$  for all  $j$ .

For those who are interested,  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  is an example of a *locally convex topological vector space*. The topology of  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  is not inherited from a norm or a metric, so this space does not have a Banach space structure, for example.

## 1.2 The space $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$

The set  $C^\infty(\Omega)$  can also be given a topology in a fairly natural way. Again, we shall not go into the details of its construction, but simply assert the existence of a suitable topology.

**Theorem 1.6.** *The set  $C^\infty(\Omega)$  can be endowed with a topology  $\tau$ , such that:*

- i) *The vector space operations of addition and scalar multiplication are continuous with respect to  $\tau$ .*
- ii) *A sequence  $\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset C^\infty(\Omega)$  tends to zero with respect to the topology  $\tau$  if for every compact  $K \subset \Omega$  and for each multi-index  $\alpha$  we have:*

$$\sup_{x \in K} |D^\alpha \phi_j| \rightarrow 0,$$

*as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Similarly,  $\phi_j \rightarrow \phi$  with respect to  $\tau$  if  $\phi_j - \phi \rightarrow 0$ .*

We denote the set  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  equipped with the topology  $\tau$  by  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ .

Again, specifying the convergent sequences does not uniquely specify the topology, so for the full construction refer to the Appendix.

**Example 4.** *Recall that  $C_0^\infty(\Omega) \subset C^\infty(\Omega)$ . If  $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  tends to 0 in  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ , then  $\phi_i \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ . In fact, we can say more: the inclusion map  $\iota : \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  is continuous.*

**Example 5.** *Fix  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\phi(x) \not\equiv 0$ , and consider the sequence:*

$$\phi_j(x) = j\phi(x - j), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

*This converges to 0 in  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$ . For any compact  $K$ ,  $\text{supp } \phi_j \cap K = \emptyset$  for  $j$  sufficiently large, i.e., the support of  $\phi_j$  eventually leaves any compact set. This shows that the topology of  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  is not simply the induced topology of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  thought of as a subspace of  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ .*

**Exercise 1.5.** a) Suppose  $\phi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $\{x_l\}_{l=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a sequence with  $x_l \rightarrow 0$ . Show that

$$\tau_{x_l} \phi \rightarrow \phi, \quad \text{as } l \rightarrow \infty.$$

in  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where  $\tau_x$  is the translation operator defined in equation (1.2).

b) Suppose  $\phi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , show that

$$\Delta_i^h \phi \rightarrow D_i \phi, \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0,$$

in  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where  $\Delta_i^h$  is the difference quotient defined in Example 2.

For those who are interested,  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  is also a locally convex topological space, but the topology on  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  is induced by a complete translation invariant metric. This makes  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  into what is known as a *Fréchet space*. The topology is not induced by a norm, so it cannot be given a Banach space structure.

### 1.3 The space $\mathcal{S}$

The spaces  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  and  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  are both defined on arbitrary open sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The final space of functions that we wish to consider is a subspace of  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  consisting of functions which are *rapidly decreasing* near infinity.

**Definition 1.2.** A function  $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is said to be *rapidly decreasing* if:

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |(1 + |x|)^N D^\alpha \phi(x)| < \infty$$

for all multi-indices  $\alpha$  and all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Notice that rapidly decreasing functions and their derivatives decay faster than any inverse power of  $|x|$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Example 6.** i) Suppose  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $\phi$  is rapidly decreasing.

ii) The function  $x \mapsto e^{-|x|^2}$  is rapidly decreasing.

**Theorem 1.7.** The set of rapidly decreasing functions can be endowed with a topology  $\tau$ , such that:

- i) The vector space operations of addition and scalar multiplication are continuous with respect to  $\tau$ .
- ii) A sequence  $\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of rapidly decreasing functions tends to zero with respect to the topology  $\tau$  if for every multi-index  $\alpha$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  we have:

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |(1 + |x|)^N D^\alpha \phi_j(x)| \rightarrow 0,$$

as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Similarly,  $\phi_j \rightarrow \phi$  with respect to  $\tau$  if  $\phi_j - \phi \rightarrow 0$ .

We denote the set of rapidly decreasing functions equipped with the topology  $\tau$  by  $\mathcal{S}$ . This is often known as the Schwartz class of functions.

As for  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the topology on  $\mathcal{S}$  is induced by a complete translation invariant metric, so that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a *Fréchet space*. The topology is not induced by a norm, so it cannot be given a Banach space structure.

**Lemma 1.8.** The spaces  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfy:

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Moreover, the inclusion map is continuous in each case.

**Exercise 1.6.** a) Show that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a vector subspace of  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Show that if  $\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  is a sequence of rapidly decreasing functions which tends to zero in  $\mathcal{S}$ , then  $\phi_j \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

b) Show that  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a vector subspace of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Show that if  $\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  is a sequence of compactly supported functions which tends to zero in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then  $\phi_j \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

c) Give an example of a sequence  $\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

- $\phi_j \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ , but  $\phi_j$  has no limit in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .
- $\phi_j \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , but  $\phi_j$  has no limit in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Exercise 1.7.** a) Suppose  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ . Let  $\{x_l\}_{l=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a sequence with  $x_l \rightarrow 0$ . Show that

$$\tau_{x_l}\phi \rightarrow \phi, \quad \text{as } l \rightarrow \infty.$$

in  $\mathcal{S}$ , where  $\tau_x$  is the translation operator defined in equation (1.2).

b) Suppose  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ , show that

$$\Delta_i^h \phi \rightarrow D_i \phi, \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0,$$

in  $\mathcal{S}$ , where  $\Delta_i^h$  is the difference quotient defined in Example 2.

## 1.4 Convolutions

If  $f, g$  are functions mapping  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ , then we define the convolution of  $f$  and  $g$  to be:

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)g(x-y)dy,$$

provided the integral exists. This will happen if (for example)  $f \in C_0^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $g \in C^0(\mathbb{R}_n)$ .

**Lemma 1.9.** Suppose  $f, g, h \in \mathcal{S}$ . Then:

$$f * g = g * f, \quad f * (g * h) = (f * g) * h.$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f * g)(x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x)dx.$$

*Proof.* With the change of variables  $y = x - z$ , we have<sup>2</sup>

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)g(x-y)dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x-z)g(z)dz = (g * f)(x)$$

---

<sup>2</sup>If you're worried about a missing minus sign from the change of variables when  $n$  is odd, observe:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k(x)dx = \int_{\infty}^{-\infty} k(-y)d(-y) = - \int_{\infty}^{-\infty} k(-y)dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k(-y)dy.$$

Next, we calculate:

$$\begin{aligned}
[f \star (g \star h)](x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(z)h(x-y-z) dz \right) dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(w-y)h(x-w) dw \right) dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)g(w-y) dy \right) h(x-w) dw \\
&= [(f \star g) \star h](x)
\end{aligned}$$

Above we have made the substitution  $w = y + z$  to pass from the first to second line, and we have used the fact that  $f, g, h \in \mathcal{S}$  to invoke Fubini's theorem (Theorem A.5) when passing from the second to third line. Finally, we calculate:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f \star g)(x) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)g(x-y) dy \right) dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)g(x-y) dx \right) dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( f(y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(z) dz \right) dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(z) dy.
\end{aligned}$$

where again, the fact that  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}$  allows us to invoke Fubini.  $\square$

The assumption that the functions are Schwartz is certainly overkill in this theorem. It would be enough, for example, to consider functions in  $C_0^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , or even weaker spaces, provided we can justify the application of Fubini's theorem.

**Exercise 2.1** (\*). Suppose that we work over  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and that  $f, g, h \in \mathcal{S}$ .

a) Show that for any multi-index  $\alpha$ , we have that  $D^\alpha f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , i.e. that

$$\|D^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D^\alpha f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

b) Define

$$\begin{aligned}
F &: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\
(x, y) &\mapsto f(x)g(y-x).
\end{aligned}$$

Show that  $F \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ .

c) For each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , set

$$\begin{aligned}
G_x &: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\
(y, z) &\mapsto f(y)g(z)h(x-y-z).
\end{aligned}$$

Show that  $G_x \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ .

### 1.4.1 Differentiating convolutions

A remarkable property of the convolution is that the regularity of  $f \star g$  is determined by the regularity of the *smoother* of  $f$  and  $g$ . This is a result of the following Lemma:

**Theorem 1.10.** *Suppose  $f \in L^1_{loc.}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $g \in C_0^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for some  $k \geq 0$ . Then  $f \star g \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and*

$$D^\alpha(f \star g) = f \star D^\alpha g,$$

for any multiindex with  $|\alpha| \leq k$ .

Before we prove this, it's convenient to prove a technical Lemma which will streamline the proof.

**Lemma 1.11.** *a) Suppose  $f \in C_0^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a sequence with  $z_i \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Then for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :*

- i)  $\tau_{z_j} f(x) \rightarrow f(x)$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ .
- ii)  $|\tau_{z_j} f(x)| \leq (\sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|) \mathbb{1}_{B_R(0)}(x)$ , for some  $R > 0$  and all  $j$ .

b) Suppose  $f \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\{h_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a sequence with  $h_j \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Then for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :

- i)  $\Delta_i^{h_j} f(x) \rightarrow D_i f(x)$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ .
- ii)  $|\Delta_i^{h_j} f(x)| \leq (\sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D_i f|) \mathbb{1}_{B_R(0)}(x)$ , for some  $R > 0$  and all  $j$ .

*Proof.* a) i) Recall  $\tau_{z_j} f(x) = f(x - z_j)$ . Clearly since  $z_j \rightarrow 0$ ,  $f(x - z_j) \rightarrow f(x)$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  by the continuity of  $f$ .

ii) Since  $z_j \rightarrow 0$ , there exists some  $\rho > 0$  such that  $z_j \in \overline{B_\rho(0)}$  for all  $j$ . Now

$$\text{supp } \tau_{z_j} f = \text{supp } f + z_j \subset \text{supp } f + \overline{B_\rho(0)}.$$

Since the sum of two bounded set is bounded, we conclude that there exists  $R > 0$  such that  $\text{supp } \tau_{z_j} f \subset B_R(0)$ . Thus  $\tau_{z_j} f = \tau_{z_j} f \mathbb{1}_{B_R(0)}$  and we estimate:

$$|\tau_{z_j} f(x)| = |\tau_{z_j} f(x)| \mathbb{1}_{B_R(0)}(x) \leq \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f| \mathbb{1}_{B_R(0)}(x).$$

b) Suppose  $f \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\{h_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a sequence with  $h_j \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Then for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :

- i) From the definition of the difference quotient and of the partial derivative:

$$\Delta_i^{h_j} f(x) = \frac{f(x + h_j e_i) - f(x)}{h_j} \rightarrow D_i f(x), \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

ii) Since  $h_j \rightarrow 0$ , there is some  $k > 0$  such that  $|h_j| \leq k$  for all  $j$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp } \Delta_i^{h_j} f &\subset \text{supp } \tau_{-h_j e_i} f \cup \text{supp } f = (\text{supp } f - h_j e_i) \cup \text{supp } f \\ &\subset \left( \text{supp } f + \overline{B_\rho(0)} \right) \cup \text{supp } f \\ &\subset B_R(0) \end{aligned}$$

for some  $R > 0$  since the union of two bounded sets is bounded. Thus  $\Delta_i^{h_j} f = \Delta_i^{h_j} f \mathbf{1}_{B_R(0)}$ . We also observe that by the mean value theorem, for any  $h \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $|s| < |h|$  such that

$$\frac{f(x + h_j e_i) - f(x)}{h} = D_i f(x + s e_i)$$

thus

$$|\Delta_i^{h_j} f(x)| \leq \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D_i f|.$$

Putting these two facts together, we readily find:

$$|\Delta_i^{h_j} f(x)| = |\Delta_i^{h_j} f(x)| \mathbf{1}_{B_R(0)}(x) \leq \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D_i f| \mathbf{1}_{B_R(0)}(x).$$

□

Now, with this technical result in hand we can attack the proof our original theorem.

*Proof of Theorem 1.10.* 1. First we establish the result for  $k = 0$ . We need to show (M) that if  $f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $g \in C_0^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then  $f \star g$  is continuous. To show this, it suffices to show that  $f \star g(x - z_j) \rightarrow f \star g(x)$  for any sequence  $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  with  $z_j \rightarrow 0$ . Now, note that

$$f \star g(x - z_j) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)g(x - z_j - y)dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)\tau_{z_j}g(x - y)dy.$$

Now, sending  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , we are done, so long as we can justify interchanging the limit and the integral. Note that for any fixed  $x$  and all  $j$ :

$$|f(y)\tau_{z_j}g(x - y)| \leq \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g| \mathbf{1}_{B_R(0)}(x - y) |f(y)|$$

for some  $R$  by the previous Lemma. Since  $f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the right hand side is integrable, and so by the dominated convergence theorem:

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f \star g(x - z_j) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f(y)\tau_{z_j}g(x - y)dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)g(x - y)dy = f \star g(x).$$

2. Now suppose that  $f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $g \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Clearly  $f \star D_i g$  is continuous by the previous argument. To show  $f \star g \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , it suffices to show that for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and any sequence  $\{h_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}$  with  $h_j \rightarrow 0$  we have:

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_i^{h_j} f \star g(x) = f \star D_i g(x).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_i^{h_j} f \star g(x) &= \frac{f \star g(x + h_j e_i) - f \star g(x)}{h} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) \left( \frac{g(x + h_j e_i - y) - g(x - y)}{h} \right) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) \Delta_i^{h_j} g(x - y) dy\end{aligned}$$

so that again we are done provided we can send  $j \rightarrow \infty$  and interchange the limit and the integral. An argument precisely analogous to the previous case allows us to invoke the DCT and deduce that:

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_i^{h_j} f \star g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f(y) \Delta_i^{h_j} g(x - y) dy = f \star D_i g(x).$$

3. The case where  $f \in C_0^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $k > 1$  now follows by a simple induction.  $\square$

**Exercise 2.2.** Show that Theorem 1.10 holds under the alternative hypotheses:

- a)  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $g \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D^\alpha g| < \infty$  for all  $|\alpha| \leq k$ .
- b)  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\text{supp } f$  compact,  $g \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

We have shown that when two functions are convolved, loosely speaking the resulting function is at least as regular as the *better* of the two original functions. It is also important to know how convolution modifies the support of a function.

**Lemma 1.12.** Suppose  $f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $g \in C_0^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for some  $k \geq 0$ . Then<sup>3</sup>

$$\text{supp}(f \star g) \subset \text{supp } f + \text{supp } g.$$

*Proof.* Recall:

$$f \star g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) g(x - y) dy.$$

Clearly, if  $f \star g(x) \neq 0$ , then there must exist  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $y \in \text{supp } f$  and  $x - y \in \text{supp } g$ . Thus  $x = y + z$  with  $y \in \text{supp } f$  and  $z \in \text{supp } g$ . This tells us that:

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f \star g(x) \neq 0\} \subset \text{supp } f + \text{supp } g.$$

Since  $\text{supp } f$  is closed and  $\text{supp } g$  is compact, we know that  $\text{supp } f + \text{supp } g$  is closed, thus

$$\text{supp } f \star g = \overline{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f \star g(x) \neq 0\}} \subset \text{supp } f + \text{supp } g,$$

which is the result we require.  $\square$

---

<sup>3</sup>Strictly speaking, we haven't defined the support of a measurable function. We can do this in several ways, but the simplest is to define:

$$\text{supp } f = \bigcap \{E \subset \mathbb{R}^n : E \text{ is closed, and } f = 0 \text{ a.e. on } E^c\}.$$

In other words  $\text{supp } f$  is the smallest closed set such that  $f$  vanishes almost everywhere on its complement.

**Exercise 2.3.** a) Prove the following identities for  $r, s > 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :

- i)  $B_r(x) + B_s(0) = B_{r+s}(x)$
- ii)  $\overline{B_r(x)} + B_s(0) = B_{r+s}(x)$
- iii)  $\overline{B_r(x)} + \overline{B_s(0)} = \overline{B_{r+s}(x)}$

Suppose that  $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Show that:

- b) If one of  $A$  or  $B$  is open, then so is  $A + B$ .
- c) If  $A$  and  $B$  are both bounded, then so is  $A + B$ .
- d) If  $A$  is closed and  $B$  is compact, then  $A + B$  is closed.
- e) If  $A$  and  $B$  are both compact, then so is  $A + B$ .

**Exercise 2.4.** Show that if  $f \in C_0^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $g \in C_0^l(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then  $f \star g \in C_0^{k+l}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Conclude that  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is closed under convolution.

#### 1.4.2 Approximation of the identity

An important use of the convolution is to construct smooth approximations to functions in various function spaces. The following theorem is very useful in constructing approximations:

**Theorem 1.13.** Suppose  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfies:

- i)  $\phi \geq 0$
- ii)  $\text{supp } \phi \subset B_1(0)$
- iii)  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) dx = 1$

Such a  $\phi$  exists by Theorem 1.1. Define:

$$\phi_\epsilon(y) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^n} \phi\left(\frac{y}{\epsilon}\right).$$

Then:

- a) If  $f \in C_0^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $\phi_\epsilon \star f$  is smooth, and

$$D^\alpha (\phi_\epsilon \star f) \rightarrow D^\alpha f$$

uniformly on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for any multi-index with  $|\alpha| \leq k$ .

- b) If  $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then  $\phi_\epsilon \star g$  is smooth, and

$$\phi_\epsilon \star g \rightarrow g \quad \text{in } L^p(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

c) Suppose  $f \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D^\alpha f| < \infty$  for  $|\alpha| \leq k$ , and suppose  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $g \geq 0$ ,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x)dx = 1$ . Set  $g_\epsilon(y) = \epsilon^{-n}g(\epsilon^{-1}y)$ . Then  $f \star g_\epsilon \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and

$$D^\alpha(f \star g_\epsilon)(x) \rightarrow D^\alpha f(x)$$

for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  for any multi-index with  $|\alpha| \leq k$ .

*Proof.* a) Note that the rescaling of  $\phi$  to produce  $\phi_\epsilon$  is such that a change of variables gives:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_\epsilon(y)dy = 1.$$

By Theorem 1.10, we have that  $D^\alpha(\phi_\epsilon \star f) = \phi_\epsilon \star D^\alpha f$  for any  $|\alpha| \leq k$ . Using these two facts, we calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} D^\alpha(\phi_\epsilon \star f)(x) - D^\alpha f(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_\epsilon(y)D^\alpha f(x-y)dy - D^\alpha f(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_\epsilon(y)dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_\epsilon(y) [D^\alpha f(x-y) - D^\alpha f(x)] dy \\ &= \int_{B_1(0)} \phi(z) [D^\alpha f(x - \epsilon z) - D^\alpha f(x)] dz \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we made the substitution  $y = \epsilon z$ , and noted that  $\phi$  has support in  $B_1(0)$ , so we can restrict the range of integration. Now, since  $\phi \geq 0$ , we can estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} |D^\alpha(\phi_\epsilon \star f)(x) - D^\alpha f(x)| &\leq \int_{B_1(0)} \phi(z) |D^\alpha f(x - \epsilon z) - D^\alpha f(x)| dz \\ &\leq \sup_{z \in B_1(0)} |D^\alpha f(x - \epsilon z) - D^\alpha f(x)| \times \int_{B_1(0)} \phi(z) dz \\ &= \sup_{z \in B_1(0)} |D^\alpha f(x - \epsilon z) - D^\alpha f(x)| \end{aligned}$$

since  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi = 1$ . Now, since  $D^\alpha f$  is continuous and of compact support, it is uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Fix  $\tilde{\epsilon} > 0$ . There exists  $\delta$  such that for any  $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|v - w| < \delta$ , we have

$$|D^\alpha f(v) - D^\alpha f(w)| < \tilde{\epsilon}$$

For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , taking  $\epsilon < \delta$ , and  $v = x + \epsilon z$ ,  $w = x$  with  $z \in B_1(0)$  we have  $|v - w| < \delta$ , so:

$$|D^\alpha f(x - \epsilon z) - D^\alpha f(x)| < \tilde{\epsilon}$$

holds for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, z \in B_1(0)$ . We have therefore shown that for any  $\tilde{\epsilon} > 0$ , there exists  $\delta$  such that for any  $\epsilon < \delta$  we have:

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |D^\alpha(\phi_\epsilon \star f)(x) - D^\alpha f(x)| < \tilde{\epsilon}.$$

This is the statement of uniform convergence on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

(U) b) For this proof, we shall require certain facts from Measure Theory. First we require Minkowski's Integral Identity (see Exercise 2.5). This states<sup>4</sup> that for  $F : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  a measurable function, we have the estimate:

$$\left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(x, y) dx \right|^p dy \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F(x, y)|^p dy \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} dx$$

Now, following the calculation in the previous proof, we readily have that:

$$|(\phi_\epsilon \star g)(x) - g(x)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(z) |g(x - \epsilon z) - g(x)| dz$$

Integrating and applying Minkowski's integral inequality, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_\epsilon \star g - g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(\phi_\epsilon \star g)(x) - g(x)|^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(z) |g(x - \epsilon z) - g(x)| dz \right|^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(z)^p |g(x - \epsilon z) - g(x)|^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(z) \|\tau_{\epsilon z} g - g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} dz \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

To establish our result it will suffice to set  $\epsilon = \epsilon_j$ , where  $\{\epsilon_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}$  is any sequence with  $\epsilon_j \rightarrow 0$ , and show that  $\|\phi_{\epsilon_j} \star g - g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \rightarrow 0$ . Note that since  $\|\tau_{\epsilon_j z} g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$  we have:

$$\phi(z) \|\tau_{\epsilon_j z} g - g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 2\phi(z) \|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

so the integrand is dominated uniformly in  $j$  by an integrable function. Now we claim (another Measure Theoretic / Functional Analysis fact, see Lemma 1.15) that as  $y$  varies,  $\tau_y : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a continuous family of bounded linear operators. This means that for each  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we have:

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\tau_{\epsilon_j z} g - g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0.$$

Thus we can apply the Dominated Convergence Theorem (Theorem A.4) to the integral on the right hand side of 1.3, and conclude that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi_{\epsilon_j} \star g - g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0.$$

---

<sup>4</sup>There is more general statement for a map  $F : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , which is measurable with respect to the product measure  $\mu \times \nu$  where  $(X, \mu)$  and  $(Y, \nu)$  are measure spaces.

c) Again, by Theorem 1.10, we have that  $D^\alpha(f \star g_\epsilon) = D^\alpha f \star g_\epsilon$  for any  $|\alpha| \leq k$ . By a change of variables, we calculate:

$$D^\alpha(f \star g_\epsilon)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_\epsilon(y) D^\alpha f(x-y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(y) D^\alpha f(x-\epsilon z) dz$$

Now, clearly for each fixed  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$g(z) D^\alpha f(x-\epsilon z) \rightarrow g(z) D^\alpha f(x)$$

for  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Furthermore,

$$|g(z) D^\alpha f(x-\epsilon z)| \leq g(z) \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D^\alpha f|$$

which is an integrable function of  $z$ , so by the Dominated convergence theorem, we conclude:

$$D^\alpha(f \star g_\epsilon)(x) \rightarrow D^\alpha f(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(z) dz = D^\alpha f(x)$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . □

The final application of convolutions is to the construction of cut-off functions. These are often extremely useful for localising a problem to a particular region of interest for some reason or other.

**Lemma 1.14.** *Suppose  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is open, and  $K \subset \Omega$  is compact. Then there exists  $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  such that  $\chi = 1$  in a neighbourhood of  $K$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.3, there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $d(K, \partial\Omega) > 4\epsilon$ . We define  $K_\epsilon = K + \overline{B_{2\epsilon}(0)}$ . As the sum of two compact sets,  $K_\epsilon$  is compact. Moreover,  $K_\epsilon \subset \Omega$ . Suppose  $\phi_\epsilon$  is as in Theorem 1.13. Consider:

$$\chi := \phi_\epsilon \star \mathbb{1}_{K_\epsilon}.$$

We have by Theorem 1.10 that  $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and from Lemma 1.12 we deduce:

$$\text{supp } \chi = K_\epsilon + \text{supp } \phi_\epsilon \subset K + \overline{B_{2\epsilon}(0)} + \overline{B_\epsilon(0)} = K + \overline{B_{3\epsilon}(0)} \subset \Omega.$$

Thus  $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ . Now, suppose  $x \in K + B_\epsilon(0)$ . Then  $x + B_\epsilon(0) \subset K_\epsilon$  and so:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_\epsilon(y) \mathbb{1}_{K_\epsilon}(x-y) dy \\ &= \int_{B_\epsilon(0)} \phi_\epsilon(y) \mathbb{1}_{K_\epsilon}(x-y) dy \\ &= \phi_\epsilon(y) dy = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\chi(x) = 1$  for  $x \in K + B_\epsilon(0)$ , which is a neighbourhood of  $K$ . □

The following exercise and Lemma are included for completeness, as they establish results required for the proof of Theorem 1.13. The material is not examinable, and should be considered a ‘bonus’ for those interested in measure theoretic aspects of the theorem.

**Exercise 2.5** (\*). Suppose that  $F : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a positive integrable simple function,

a) Show that Minkowski’s integral inequality holds for the case  $p = 1$ :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(x, y) dx \right| dy \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F(x, y)| dy dx$$

b) Next prove Young’s inequality: if  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $p, q > 1$  with  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$  then:

$$ab \leq \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{q}$$

*Hint: set  $t = p^{-1}$ , consider the function  $\log [ta^p + (1-t)b^q]$  and use the concavity of the logarithm*

c) With  $p, q > 1$  such that  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ , show that if  $\|f\|_p = 1$  and  $\|g\|_q = 1$  then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)g(x)| dx \leq 1.$$

Deduce Hölder’s inequality:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)g(x)| dx \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q, \quad \text{for all } f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

d) Set  $G(y) = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(x, y) dx \right)^{p-1}$

i) Show that if  $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$ :

$$\|G\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(x, \cdot) dx \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p-1}$$

ii) Show that:

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(x, \cdot) dx \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(y) F(x, y) dy \right) dx$$

iii) Applying Hölder’s inequality, deduce:

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(x, \cdot) dx \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p \leq \|G\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|F(x, \cdot)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} dx$$

e) Deduce that Minkowski's integral inequality

$$\left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(x, y) dx \right|^p dy \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F(x, y)|^p dy \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} dx$$

holds for any measurable function  $F : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , where  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

(U)

**Lemma 1.15.** Suppose  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a sequence of points such that  $z_j \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Then:

$$\|\tau_{z_j} g - g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* 1. First, suppose  $g = \mathbf{1}_Q$ , where  $Q = (a_1, b_1) \times (a_2, b_2) \times \dots \times (a_n, b_n)$  is a  $n$ -box, with side-lengths  $I_m = b_m - a_m$  for  $m = 1, \dots, n$ . Now, since when a box is translated by a vector  $z_j$  each side is translated by a distance of at most  $|z_j|$ , and has area at most  $I_{\max}^2$ , where  $I_{\max}$  is the longest side-length we can crudely estimate

$$\|\tau_{z_j} g - g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 2n |z_j| I_{\max}^2.$$

Note that this estimate requires  $p < \infty$ : it does not hold for  $p = \infty$ . We conclude that:

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\tau_{z_j} g - g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0.$$

2. Now suppose  $g = \mathbf{1}_A$ , where  $A$  is a measurable set of finite measure. Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . By the Borel regularity of Lebesgue measure, there exists a compact  $K \subset A$  and an open  $U \supset A$  such that  $|U \setminus K| < \epsilon$ . Since  $U$  is open, we can write  $U$  as a collection of open  $n$ -boxes:

$$U = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} Q_{\alpha}$$

Since  $K$  is compact, it is covered by a finite subset of these:

$$K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^N Q_i := B.$$

Now, note that  $K \subset B \subset U$ , so the symmetric difference  $A \Delta B \subset U \setminus K$ . Thus<sup>5</sup>  $\|\mathbf{1}_A - \mathbf{1}_B\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = |A \Delta B| < \epsilon$ . By the paragraph 1 above, we know that there exists  $J$  such that for all  $j \geq J$  we have:

$$\|\tau_{z_j} \mathbf{1}_B - \mathbf{1}_B\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \epsilon$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tau_{z_j} \mathbf{1}_A - \mathbf{1}_A\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \|\tau_{z_j} \mathbf{1}_A - \tau_{z_j} \mathbf{1}_B + \tau_{z_j} \mathbf{1}_B - \mathbf{1}_B + \mathbf{1}_B - \mathbf{1}_A\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \|\tau_{z_j} \mathbf{1}_A - \tau_{z_j} \mathbf{1}_B\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\tau_{z_j} \mathbf{1}_B - \mathbf{1}_B\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\mathbf{1}_B - \mathbf{1}_A\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= 2 \|\mathbf{1}_A - \mathbf{1}_B\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\tau_{z_j} \mathbf{1}_B - \mathbf{1}_B\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &< 3\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

<sup>5</sup>This is another point at which  $p \neq \infty$  is crucial.

for all  $j \geq J$ . Thus

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\tau_{z_j} g - g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0.$$

3. Now suppose  $g$  is a simple function, i.e.  $g = \sum_{i=1}^N g_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i}$  for  $g_i \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $A_i$  measurable sets of finite measure. Then we have:

$$\|\tau_{z_j} g - g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^N |g_i| \|\tau_{z_j} \mathbb{1}_{A_i} - \mathbb{1}_{A_i}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

so as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  we have:

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\tau_{z_j} g - g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0.$$

4. Now suppose that  $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Recall that there exists a simple function  $\tilde{g}$  such that  $\|g - \tilde{g}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \epsilon$ . By the previous part, we can find  $J$  such that  $\|\tau_{z_j} \tilde{g} - \tilde{g}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \epsilon$  for all  $j \geq J$ . Now:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tau_{z_j} g - g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \|\tau_{z_j} g - \tau_{z_j} \tilde{g} + \tau_{z_j} \tilde{g} - \tilde{g} + \tilde{g} - g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \|\tau_{z_j} g - \tau_{z_j} \tilde{g}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\tau_{z_j} \tilde{g} - \tilde{g}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\tilde{g} - g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= 2\|g - \tilde{g}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\tau_{z_j} \tilde{g} - g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &< 3\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\tau_{z_j} g - g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0.$$

and we're done.  $\square$