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Abstract. Following Shokurov’s ideas, we give a short proof of the following klt version of his result: termination of terminal log flips in dimension $d$ implies that any klt pair of dimension $d$ has a log minimal model or a Mori fibre space. Thus, in particular, any klt pair of dimension 4 has a log minimal model or a Mori fibre space.

1. Introduction

All the varieties in this paper are assumed to be over an algebraically closed field $k$ of characteristic zero. We refer the reader to section 2 for notation and terminology.

The following conjecture is perhaps the most important problem in birational geometry.

Conjecture 1.1 (Minimal model). Let $(X/Z, B)$ be a klt pair. Then it has a log minimal model or a Mori fibre space.

The 2-dimensional case of this conjecture is considered to be classical. The 3-dimensional case was settled in the 80’s and 90’s by the efforts of many mathematicians in particular Mori, Shokurov and Kawamata. The higher-dimensional case has seen considerable progress in recent years thanks primarily to Shokurov work on existence of log flips which paved the way for further progress. The conjecture is also settled for pairs of general type [3] and inductive arguments are proposed for pairs of nonnegative Kodaira dimension [2]. For a more detailed account of the known cases of this conjecture see the introduction to [2].

Shokurov [9] proved that the log minimal model program (LMMP) in dimension $d - 1$ and termination of terminal log flips in dimension $d$ imply Conjecture 1.1 in dimension $d$ even forlc pairs. Following Shokurov’s method and using results of [3], we give a short proof of
Theorem 1.2. Termination of terminal log flips in dimension $d$ implies Conjecture 1.1 in dimension $d$; more precisely, for a klt pair $(X/Z, B)$ of dimension $d$ one constructs a log minimal model or a Mori fibre space by running the LMMP on $K_X + B$ with scaling of a suitable big $\mathbb{R}$-divisor and proving that it terminates.

In this paper, by termination of terminal log flips in dimension $d$ we will mean termination of any sequence $X_i \dashrightarrow X_{i+1}/Z_i$ of log flips/Z starting with a $d$-dimensional klt pair $(X/Z, B)$ which is terminal in codimension $\geq 2$ (see section 2 for a more precise formulation).

As in Shokurov [9], one immediately derives the following Corollary 1.3. Conjecture 1.1 holds in dimension 4.

Note that when $(X/Z, B)$ is effective (eg of nonnegative Kodaira dimension), log minimal models are constructed in [2], using different methods, in dimension $\leq 5$.
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2. Basics

Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. For an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $D$ on a variety $X$ over $k$, we use $D^\sim$ to denote the birational transform of $D$ on a specified birational model of $X$.

Definition 2.1 A pair $(X/Z, B)$ consists of normal quasi-projective varieties $X, Z$ over $k$, an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $B$ on $X$ with coefficients in $[0, 1]$ such that $K_X + B$ is $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier, and a projective morphism $X \to Z$. $(X/Z, B)$ is called log smooth if $X$ is smooth and $\text{Supp} B$ has simple normal crossing singularities.

For a prime divisor $D$ on some birational model of $X$ with a nonempty centre on $X$, $a(D, X, B)$ denotes the log discrepancy. $(X/Z, B)$ is terminal in codimension $\geq 2$ if $a(D, X, B) > 1$ whenever $D$ is exceptional/X. Log flips preserve this condition but divisorial contractions may not.

Let $(X/Z, B)$ be a klt pair. By a log flip/Z we mean the flip of a $K_X + B$-negative extremal flipping contraction/Z. A sequence of log flips/Z starting with $(X/Z, B)$ is a sequence $X_i \dashrightarrow X_{i+1}/Z_i$ in which $X_i \to Z_i \leftarrow X_{i+1}$ is a $K_X + B_i$-flip/Z and $B_i$ is the birational transform of $B_i$ on $X_i$, and $(X_1/Z, B_1) = (X/Z, B)$. By termination of terminal log flips in dimension $d$ we mean termination of such a
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sequence in which \((X_1/Z, B_1)\) is a \(d\)-dimensional klt pair which is terminal in codimension \(\geq 2\). Now assume that \(G\) is an \(\mathbb{R}\)-Cartier divisor on \(X\). A sequence of \(G\)-flops/\(Z\) with respect to \((X/Z, B)\) is a sequence \(X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}/Z_i\) in which \(X_i \rightarrow Z_i \leftarrow X_{i+1}\) is a \(G_i\)-flip/\(Z_i\) such that \(K_{X_i} + B_i \equiv 0/Z_i\) where \(G_i\) is the birational transform of \(G\) on \(X = X_1\).

**Definition 2.2** (Cf., [2, §2]) Let \((X/Z, B)\) be a klt pair, \((Y/Z, B_Y)\) a \(\mathbb{Q}\)-factorial klt pair, \(\phi: X \rightarrow Y/Z\) a birational map such that \(\phi^{-1}\) does not contract divisors and \(X\) and \(Y\) have the same image on \(Z\), and \(B_Y\) the birational transform of \(B\). Moreover, assume that

\[ a(D, X, B) \leq a(D, Y, B_Y) \]

for any prime divisor \(D\) on birational models of \(X\) and assume that the strict inequality holds for any prime divisor \(D\) on \(X\) which is exceptional/\(Y\).

We say that \((Y/Z, B_Y)\) is a log minimal model of \((X/Z, B)\) if \(K_Y + B_Y\) is nef/\(Z\). On the other hand, we say that \((Y/Z, B_Y)\) is a Mori fibre space of \((X/Z, B)\) if there is a \(K_Y + B_Y\)-negative extremal contraction \(Y \rightarrow Y'/Z\) such that \(\dim Y' < \dim Y\).

Typically, one obtains a log minimal model or a Mori fibre space by a finite sequence of divisorial contractions and log flips.

**Remark 2.3** Let \((X/Z, B)\) be a klt pair and \(W \rightarrow X\) a log resolution. Let \(B_W = B^\sim + (1 - \epsilon) \sum E_i\) where \(0 < \epsilon \ll 1\) and \(E_i\) are the exceptional/X divisors on \(W\). Remember that \(B^\sim\) is the birational transform of \(B\). If \((Y/X, B_Y)\) is a log minimal model of \((W/X, B_W)\), which exists by [3], then by the negativity lemma \(Y \rightarrow X\) is a small \(\mathbb{Q}\)-factorialisation of \(X\). To find a log minimal model or a Mori fibre space of \((X/Z, B)\), it is enough to find one for \((Y/Z, B_Y)\). So, one could assume that \(X\) is \(\mathbb{Q}\)-factorial by replacing it with \(Y\).

We recall a variant of the LMMP with scaling which we use in this paper. Let \((X/Z, B + C)\) be a \(\mathbb{Q}\)-factorial klt pair such that \(K_X + B + C\) is nef/\(Z\). By [2, Lemma 2.7], either \(K_X + B\) is nef/\(Z\) or there is an extremal ray \(R/Z\) such that

\[ (K_X + B) \cdot R < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (K_X + B + \lambda_1 C) \cdot R = 0 \]

where

\[ \lambda_1 := \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid K_X + B + tC \text{ is nef/}Z\} \]

and \(K_X + B + \lambda_1 C\) is nef/\(Z\). Now assume that \(R\) defines a divisorial contraction or a log flip \(X \rightarrow X'/Z\). We can consider \((X'/Z, B' + \lambda_1 C')\) where \(B' + \lambda_1 C'\) is the birational transform of \(B + \lambda_1 C\) and continue...
the argument. That is, either $K_{X'} + B'$ is nef$/Z$ or there is an extremal ray $R'/Z$ such that $(K_{X'} + B') \cdot R' < 0$ and $(K_{X'} + B' + \lambda_2 C') \cdot R' = 0$

where

$$\lambda_2 := \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid K_{X'} + B' + tC' \text{ is nef}/Z\}$$

and $K_{X'} + B' + \lambda_2 C'$ is nef$/Z$. By continuing this process, we obtain a special kind of LMMP on $K_X + B$ which we refer to as the LMMP with scaling of $C$. If it terminates, then we obviously get a log minimal model or a Mori fibre space for $(X/Z, B)$. Note that the required log flips exist by [3].

3. Extremal rays

In this section, for convenience of the reader, we give the proofs of some results of Shokurov concerning extremal rays [9, Corollary 9, Addendum 4]. The norm $||G||$ of an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $G$ denotes the maximum of the absolute value of its coefficients.

Let $X \to Z$ be a surjective projective morphism of normal quasi-projective varieties. A curve $\Gamma$ on $X$ is called extremal$/Z$ if it generates an extremal ray $R/Z$ which defines a contraction $X \to S/Z$ and if for some ample$/Z$ divisor $H$ we have $H \cdot \Gamma = \min\{H \cdot \Sigma \}$ where $\Sigma$ ranges over curves generating $R$. If $(X/Z, B)$ is dlt and $(K_X + B) \cdot \Sigma \geq -2 \dim X$. On the other hand, since $\Gamma$ and $\Sigma$ both generate $R$ we have

$$(K_X + B) \cdot \Gamma = (K_X + B) \cdot \Sigma$$

hence

$$(K_X + B) \cdot \Gamma = (K_X + B) \cdot \Sigma$$

Remark 3.1 Let $(X/Z, B)$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial klt pair, $F$ be a reduced divisor on $X$ whose support contains that of $B$, and $V$ be the $\mathbb{R}$-vector space of divisors generated by the components of $F$.

(i) By [5, 1.3.2] and by the First Main Theorem 6.2 and Remark 6.4 of [7], the sets

$$\mathcal{L} = \{\Delta \in V \mid (X/Z, \Delta) \text{ is lc}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{N} = \{\Delta \in \mathcal{L} \mid K_X + \Delta \text{ is nef}/Z\}$$

are rational polytopes in $V$. Since $B \in \mathcal{L}$, there are rational boundaries $B_1, \ldots, B_r \in \mathcal{L}$ and nonnegative real numbers $a_1, \ldots, a_r$ such that $B = \sum a_j B_j$, $\sum a_j = 1$, and each $(X/Z, B^j)$ is klt. In particular, there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m(K_X + B^j)$ are Cartier, and for any curve $\Gamma$ on $X$ the intersection number $(K_X + B) \cdot \Gamma$ can be written as $\sum a_j \frac{n_j}{m}$.
for certain \( n_1, \ldots, n_r \in \mathbb{Z} \). Moreover, if \( \Gamma \) is extremal/\( \mathbb{Z} \), then the \( n_j \) satisfy \( n_j \geq -2m \dim X \).

(ii) If \( K_X + B \) is nef/\( \mathbb{Z} \), then \( B \in \mathcal{N} \) and so one can choose the \( B^j \) so that \( K_X + B^j \) are nef/\( \mathbb{Z} \).

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \((X/\mathbb{Z}, B)\) be a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-factorial klt pair. Then,

(i) there is a real number \( \alpha > 0 \) such that if \( \Gamma \) is any extremal curve/\( \mathbb{Z} \) and if \( (K_X + B) \cdot \Gamma > 0 \), then \( (K_X + B) \cdot \Gamma > \alpha \);

(ii) if \( K_X + B \) is nef/\( \mathbb{Z} \), then for any \( \mathbb{R} \)-divisor \( G \), any sequence \( X_i \to X_{i+1}/\mathbb{Z} \) of \( G \)-flops/\( \mathbb{Z} \) with respect to \((X/\mathbb{Z}, B)\) and any extremal curve \( \Gamma \) on \( X_i \), if \( (K_{X_i} + B_i) \cdot \Gamma > 0 \), then \( (K_{X_i} + B_i) \cdot \Gamma > \alpha \) where \( B_i \) is the birational transform of \( B \).

**Proof.** (i) If \( B \) is a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor, then the statement is trivially true. Let \( B^1, \ldots, B^r \), \( a_1, \ldots, a_r \), and \( m \) be as in Remark 3.1 (i). Let \( \Gamma \) be an extremal curve/\( \mathbb{Z} \). Then, \( (K_X + B) \cdot \Gamma = \sum a_j (K_X + B_j) \cdot \Gamma \) and since for each \( j \) we have \((K_X + B_j) \cdot \Gamma \geq -2 \dim X\), the existence of \( \alpha \) is clear for (i).

(ii) By Remark 3.1 (ii) we may in addition assume that \( K_X + B^j \) are nef/\( \mathbb{Z} \). Then, the sequence \( X_i \to X_{i+1}/\mathbb{Z} \) is also a sequence of flops with respect to each \((X/\mathbb{Z}, B^j)\). In particular, \((X_i/\mathbb{Z}, B_i^j)\) is klt and \( m(K_{X_i} + B_i^j) \) is Cartier for any \( j, i \) where \( B_i^j \) is the birational transform of \( B^j \). The rest is as in (i). \( \square \)

**Proposition 3.3.** Let \((X/\mathbb{Z}, B)\) be a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-factorial klt pair, \( F \) a reduced divisor on \( X \) whose support contains that of \( B \), and \( L \) as in Remark 3.1. Then, there is a rational polytope \( \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{L} \) of klt boundaries and of maximal dimension containing \( B \) (in its interior if \( B \neq 0 \)) such that

(i) if \( \Delta \in \mathcal{K} \) and \((K_X + \Delta) \cdot R < 0 \) for an extremal ray \( R/\mathbb{Z} \), then \((K_X + B) \cdot R \leq 0 \);

(ii) if \( K_X + B \) is nef/\( \mathbb{Z} \), \( \Delta \in \mathcal{K} \), we have a sequence \( X_i \to X_{i+1}/\mathbb{Z} \) of \( K_X + \Delta \)-flops/\( \mathbb{Z} \) with respect to \((X/\mathbb{Z}, B)\), and \((K_{X_i} + \Delta_i) \cdot R < 0 \) for an extremal ray \( R/\mathbb{Z} \) on some \( X_i \), then \((K_{X_i} + B_i) \cdot R = 0 \) where \( \Delta_i, B_i \) are the birational transforms of \( \Delta, B \) respectively.

**Proof.** (i) Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{L} \) be a rational polytope of klt boundaries and of maximal dimension containing \( B \) and if \( B \neq 0 \) we want to have \( B \) in its interior. If the statement is not true then there is an infinite sequence \( \Delta_l \in \mathcal{M} \) and extremal rays \( R_l/\mathbb{Z} \) such that for each \( l \) we have

\[ (K_X + \Delta_l) \cdot R_l < 0 \quad (K_X + B) \cdot R_l > 0 \]

and \( ||\Delta_l - B|| \) converges to 0. Let \( \Omega_l \) be the point on the boundary of \( \mathcal{M} \) such that \( \Omega_l - \Delta_l = b_l(\Delta_l - B) \) for some real number \( b_l \geq 0 \) and such that \( ||\Omega_l - B|| \) is maximal. So, \( \Omega_l \) is the most far away point in
Therefore, by construction, there is some $b \in \mathbb{K}$. On the other hand, $(X/Z, \Omega_i)$ is klt and if $\Gamma_i$ is an extremal curve/Z generating $R_i$, then

$$(\Omega_i - \Delta_i) \cdot \Gamma_i = (K_X + \Omega_i) \cdot \Gamma_i - (K_X + \Delta_i) \cdot \Gamma_i \geq -2 \dim X$$

This is not possible because by Lemma 3.2,

$$(K_X + \Delta_i) \cdot \Gamma_i + (B - \Delta_i) \cdot \Gamma_i = (K_X + B) \cdot \Gamma_i > \alpha$$

and by the above arguments $(B - \Delta_i) \cdot \Gamma_i$ approaches 0.

(ii) Note that by definition the sequence $X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}/Z_i$ is a sequence of $K_X + \Delta$-flips which are numerically trivial with respect to $K_X + B$. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be as in (i). Assume that $R$ is an extremal ray/Z on $X_i$ such that $(K_X + \Delta_i) \cdot R < 0$ but $(K_X + B_i) \cdot R > 0$. Let $\Gamma$ be an extremal curve/Z generating $R$. Let $\Omega$ be the point on the boundary of $\mathcal{K}$ which is chosen for $\Delta$ similarly as in (i). By assumptions, $(X_i/Z, \Delta_i)$ and $(X_i/Z, \Omega_i)$ are klt where $\Omega_i$ is the birational transform of $\Omega$. So,

$$(\Omega_i - \Delta_i) \cdot \Gamma = (K_{X_i} + \Omega_i) \cdot \Gamma - (K_{X_i} + \Delta_i) \cdot \Gamma \geq -2 \dim X$$

On the other hand, $(K_{X_i} + B_i) \cdot \Gamma > \alpha$ where $\alpha$ is as in Lemma 3.2. By construction, there is some $b \geq 0$ such that $b(\Delta_i - B_i) = \Omega_i - \Delta_i$.

Therefore,

$$(K_{X_i} + \Delta_i) \cdot \Gamma = (K_{X_i} + B_i) \cdot \Gamma + (\Delta_i - B_i) \cdot \Gamma > \alpha - \frac{2 \dim X}{b}$$

which is not possible if $b\alpha > 2 \dim X$. In other words, if $\Delta$ is close enough to $B$ then the statement of (ii) also holds, i.e. we only need to shrink $\mathcal{K}$ appropriately. \[\square\]

4. Proof of the main results

Proof. (of Theorem 1.2) Let $(X/Z, B)$ be a klt pair of dimension $d$. By Remark 2.3, we can assume that $X$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. Let $H \geq 0$ be an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor which is big/Z so that $K_X + B + H$ is klt and nef/Z. Run the LMMP/Z on $K_X + B$ with scaling of $H$. If the LMMP terminates, then we get a log minimal model or a Mori fibre space. Suppose that we get an infinite sequence $X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}/Z_i$ of log flips/Z where we may also assume that $(X_i/Z, H_i) = (X/Z, B_i)$.

Let $\lambda_i$ be the threshold on $X_i$ determined by the LMMP with scaling as explained in section 2. So, $K_{X_i} + B_i + \lambda_i H_i$ is nef/Z, $(K_{X_i} + B_i) \cdot R_i < 0$ and $(K_{X_i} + B_i + \lambda_i H_i) \cdot R_i = 0$ where $B_i$ and $H_i$ are the birational transforms of $B$ and $H$ respectively and $R_i$ is the extremal ray which defines the flipping contraction $X_i \rightarrow Z_i$. Obviously, $\lambda_i \geq \lambda_{i+1}$. 
Put $\lambda = \lim_{i \to \infty} \lambda_i$. If the limit is attained, that is, $\lambda = \lambda_i$ for some $i$, then the sequence terminates by [3, Corollary 1.4.2]. So, we assume that the limit is not attained. Actually, if $\lambda > 0$, again [3] implies that the sequence terminates. However, we do not need to use [3] in this case. In fact, by replacing $B_i$ with $B_i + \lambda H_i$, we can assume that $\lambda = 0$ hence $\lim_{i \to \infty} \lambda_i = 0$.

Put $\Lambda_i := B_i + \lambda_i H_i$. Since we are assuming that terminal log flips terminate, or alternatively by [3, Corollary 1.4.3], we can construct a terminal (in codimension $\geq 2$) crepant model $(Y_i/Z, \Theta_i)$ of $(X_i/Z, \Lambda_i)$. A slight modification of the argument in Remark 2.3 would do this. Note that we can assume that all the $Y_i$ are isomorphic to $Y_1$ in codimension one perhaps after truncating the sequence. Let $\Delta_1 = \lim_{i \to \infty} \Theta_i^\sim$ on $Y_1$ and let $\Delta_i$ be its birational transform on $Y_i$. The limit is obtained component-wise.

Since $H_i$ is big$/Z$ and $K_{X_i} + \Lambda_i$ is klt and nef$/Z$, $K_{X_i} + \Lambda_i$ and $K_{Y_i} + \Theta_i$ are semi-ample$/Z$ by the base point freeness theorem for $\mathbb{R}$-divisors. Thus, $K_{Y_i} + \Delta_i$ is a limit of movable$/Z$ divisors which in particular means that it is pseudo-effective$/Z$. Note that if $K_{Y_i} + \Delta_i$ is not pseudo-effective$/Z$, we get a contradiction by [3, Corollary 1.3.2].

Now run the LMMP$/Z$ on $K_{Y_1} + \Delta_1$. No divisor will be contracted because $K_{Y_1} + \Delta_1$ is a limit of movable$/Z$ divisors. Since $K_{Y_1} + \Delta_1$ is terminal in codimension $\geq 2$, by assumptions, the LMMP terminates with a log minimal model $(W/Z, \Delta)$. By construction, $\Delta$ on $W$ is the birational transform of $\Delta_1$ on $Y_1$ and $G_i := \Theta_i^\sim - \Delta$ on $W$ satisfies $\lim_{i \to \infty} G_i = 0$.

By Proposition 3.3, for each $G_i$ with $i \gg 0$, we can run the LMMP$/Z$ on $K_{W_i} + \Delta + G_i$ which will be a sequence of $G_i$-flops, that is, $K + \Delta$ would be numerically zero on all the extremal rays contracted in the process. No divisor will be contracted because $K_{W_i} + \Delta + G_i$ is movable$/Z$. The LMMP ends up with a log minimal model $(W_i/Z, \Omega_i)$. Here, $\Omega_i$ is the birational transform of $\Delta + G_i$ and so of $\Theta_i$. Let $S_i$ be the lc model of $(W_i/Z, \Omega_i)$ which is the same as the lc model of $(Y_i/Z, \Theta_i)$ and that of $(X_i/Z, \Lambda_i)$ because $K_{W_i} + \Omega_i$ and $K_{Y_i} + \Theta_i$ are nef$/Z$ with $W_i$ and $Y_i$ being isomorphic in codimension one, and $K_{Y_i} + \Theta_i$ is the pullback of $K_{X_i} + \Lambda_i$. Also note that since $K_{X_i} + B_i$ is pseudo-effective$/Z$, $K_{X_i} + \Lambda_i$ is big$/Z$ hence $S_i$ is birational to $X_i$.

By construction $K_{W_i} + \Delta_i^\sim$ is nef$/Z$ and it turns out that $K_{W_i} + \Delta_i^\sim \sim^{\mathbb{R}} 0/S_i$. Suppose that this is not the case. Then, $K_{W_i} + \Delta_i^\sim$ is not numerically zero$/S_i$ hence there is some curve $C/S_i$ such that $(K_{W_i} + \Delta_i^\sim + G^\sim_i) \cdot C = 0$ but $(K_{W_i} + \Delta_i^\sim) \cdot C > 0$ which implies that $G^\sim_i \cdot C < 0$. Hence, there is a $K_{W_i} + \Delta_i^\sim + (1 + \tau)G^\sim_i$-negative extremal ray $R/S_i$ for any $\tau > 0$. This contradicts Proposition 3.3 because we
must have 
\[(K_{W_i} + \Delta^\sim + G_i^\sim) \cdot R = (K_{W_i} + \Delta^\sim) \cdot R = 0\]
Therefore, \(K_{W_i} + \Delta^\sim \sim_\mathbb{R} 0/S_i\). Now \(K_{X_i} + \Lambda_i \sim_\mathbb{R} 0/Z_i\) implies that \(Z_i\) is over \(S_i\) and so \(K_{Y_i} + \Delta_i \sim_\mathbb{R} 0/S_i\). On the other hand, \(K_{X_i} + B_i\) is the pushdown of \(K_{Y_i} + \Delta_i\) hence \(K_{X_i} + B_i \sim_\mathbb{R} 0/S_i\). Thus, \(K_{X_i} + B_i \sim_\mathbb{R} 0/Z_i\) and this contradicts the fact that \(X_i \to Z_i\) is a \(K_{X_i} + B_i\)-flipping contraction. So, the sequence of flips terminates and this completes the proof. 

\[\square\]

Proof. (of Corollary 1.3) Since terminal log flips terminate in dimension 4 by [4][8] (see also [1]), the result follows from the Theorem. 

\[\square\]
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