

## 8 Borel functional calculus and spectral theory

Throughout  $H$  is a (non-zero) complex Hilbert space,  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra of all bounded, linear operators on  $H$ ,  $K$  is a compact Hausdorff space and  $\mathcal{B}$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -field on  $K$ .

**Operator-valued measures.** A resolution of the identity of  $H$  over  $K$  is a map  $P: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$  such that

- (i)  $P(\emptyset) = 0, P(K) = I$ ;
- (ii)  $P(E)$  is an orthogonal projection for every  $E \in \mathcal{B}$ ;
- (iii)  $P(E \cap F) = P(E)P(F)$  for all  $E, F \in \mathcal{B}$ ;
- (iv) if  $E \cap F = \emptyset$ , then  $P(E \cup F) = P(E) + P(F)$ ;
- (v) for every  $x, y \in H$ , the function  $P_{x,y}: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$P_{x,y}(E) = \langle P(E)x, y \rangle$$

is a regular complex Borel measure on  $K$ .

**Example.**  $H = L_2[0, 1]$ ,  $K = [0, 1]$  and  $P(E)f = \mathbf{1}_E \cdot f$ .

**Simple properties.**

- (i) Any two projections  $P(E)$  and  $P(F)$  commute.
- (ii) if  $E \cap F = \emptyset$ , then  $P(E)H \perp P(F)H$ .
- (iii) if  $x \in H$ , then  $P_{x,x}$  is a positive measure of total mass  $P_{x,x}(K) = \|x\|^2$ .
- (iv)  $P$  is finitely additive but, in general, not countably additive. However, for each  $x \in H$ , the map  $E \mapsto P(E)x$  is a countably additive  $H$ -valued function on  $\mathcal{B}$ .
- (v) Although  $P$  need not be countably additive, we do have  $P(\bigcup_n E_n) = 0$  whenever  $P(E_n) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Motivation.** Having defined a notion of measure, our next step is to define a notion of integral. To motivate this, consider a compact hermitian operator  $T$ . We know (see the notes *Resumé on Hilbert spaces and Spectral Theory*) that  $\sigma(T)$  is countable and every non-zero  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$ . For  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$  let  $P_\lambda$  be the orthogonal projection onto the eigenspace  $E_\lambda = \ker(\lambda I - T)$  (which may be zero if  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\sigma(T)$  is infinite). Then the series  $\sum_{\lambda \in \sigma(T)} \lambda P_\lambda$  converges in norm to  $T$ .

For  $E \subset \sigma(T)$  define  $P(E)$  to be the orthogonal projection onto the orthogonal direct sum  $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in E} E_\lambda$  (so that  $P(E)(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in E} P_\lambda(x)$  for all  $x \in H$ ). It is straightforward to check that  $P$  is a resolution of the identity of  $H$  over  $\sigma(T)$ . Now the only sensible notion of integral on the countable set  $\sigma(T)$  is given by

summation. So in particular,  $\int_{\sigma(T)} \lambda dP = \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma(T)} \lambda P_\lambda = T$ . The spectral theorem for normal operators (Theorem 4 below) is a far-reaching generalization of this.

***P*-essentially bounded functions.** Let  $P$  be a resolution of the identity of  $H$  over  $K$ . A Borel function  $f: K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is *P-essentially bounded* if there exists  $E \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $P(E) = 0$  such that  $f$  is bounded on  $K \setminus E$ . We then set

$$\|f\|_\infty = \inf \{ \|f\|_{K \setminus E} : E \in \mathcal{B}, P(E) = 0 \},$$

where  $\|f\|_{K \setminus E} = \sup_{z \in K \setminus E} |f(z)|$ . As in the case of scalar measures, the infimum is attained: there is a Borel set  $E$  with  $P(E) = 0$  such that  $\|f\|_\infty = \|f\|_{K \setminus E}$ . The set  $L_\infty(P)$  of all *P-essentially bounded* Borel functions on  $K$  is a commutative, unital  $C^*$ -algebra with pointwise operations and norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ . (Technically,  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  is not a norm as  $\|f\|_\infty = 0$  need not imply that  $f = 0$ . As usual in measure theory, we identify functions  $f$  and  $g$  if there is a Borel set  $E$  with  $P(E) = 0$  such that  $f$  and  $g$  agree on  $K \setminus E$ , *i.e.*, when  $f = g$  *P*-almost everywhere.)

**Lemma 1.** (Definition of  $\int_K f dP$ .) Let  $P$  be a resolution of the identity of  $H$  over  $K$ . Then there is an isometric, unital  $*$ -isomorphism  $\Phi$  of  $L_\infty(P)$  onto a commutative, unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  such that

- (i)  $\langle \Phi(f)x, y \rangle = \int_K f dP_{x,y}$  for every  $f \in L_\infty(P)$ ,  $x, y \in H$ ;
- (ii)  $\|\Phi(f)x\|^2 = \int_K |f|^2 dP_{x,x}$  for every  $f \in L_\infty(P)$ ,  $x \in H$ ;
- (iii)  $S \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  commutes with every  $\Phi(f)$  if and only if it commutes with every  $P(E)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $s$  be a simple function, *i.e.*,  $s = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \mathbf{1}_{E_i}$  for some measurable partition  $E_1, \dots, E_m$  of  $K$  and some  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{C}$ . Define

$$(1) \quad \Phi(s) = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i P(E_i).$$

Let  $t = \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j \mathbf{1}_{F_j}$  be another simple function. To check that  $\Phi$  is well defined, assume that  $s = t$  a.e. Then for all  $i, j$ , since  $s = \alpha_i$  and  $t = \beta_j$  on  $E_i \cap F_j$ , either  $P(E_i \cap F_j) = 0$  or  $\alpha_i = \beta_j$ . It follows that

$$\sum_i \alpha_i P(E_i) = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i P(E_i \cap F_j) = \sum_{i,j} \beta_j P(E_i \cap F_j) = \sum_j \beta_j P(F_j),$$

where we used finite additivity of  $P$ . This shows that  $\Phi$  is well defined.

Since orthogonal projections are hermitian, we have  $\Phi(\bar{s}) = \Phi(s)^*$ . Next, we have

$$\Phi(s)\Phi(t) = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \beta_j P(E_i)P(F_j) = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \beta_j P(E_i \cap F_j) = \Phi(st).$$

Similarly,  $s + t = \sum_{i,j} (\alpha_i + \beta_j) \mathbf{1}_{E_i \cap F_j}$ , and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(s + t) &= \sum_{i,j} (\alpha_i + \beta_j) P(E_i \cap F_j) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i P(E_i \cap F_j) + \sum_{i,j} \beta_j P(E_i \cap F_j) \\ &= \sum_i \alpha_i P(E_i) + \sum_j \beta_j P(F_j) = \Phi(s) + \Phi(t) , \end{aligned}$$

where we again used finite additivity of  $P$ . Note that  $\Phi(\mathbf{1}_K) = P(K) = I$ . Thus  $\Phi$  is a unital  $*$ -homomorphism on the unital  $*$ -subalgebra of simple functions.

If  $x, y \in H$ , then from (1) we get

$$\langle \Phi(s)x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \langle P(E_i)x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i P_{x,y}(E_i) = \int_K s \, dP_{x,y} .$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\|\Phi(s)x\|^2 = \langle \Phi(s)x, \Phi(s)x \rangle = \langle \Phi(s)^* \Phi(s)x, x \rangle = \langle \Phi(|s|^2)x, x \rangle = \int_K |s|^2 \, dP_{x,x} .$$

It follows that

$$\|\Phi(s)x\|^2 \leq \|s\|_\infty^2 \cdot P_{x,x}(K) = \|s\|_\infty^2 \cdot \|x\|^2 ,$$

and thus  $\|\Phi(s)\| \leq \|s\|_\infty$ . Conversely, if  $s \neq 0$ , then there exists  $j$  such that  $|\alpha_j| = \|s\|_\infty$  and  $P(E_j) \neq 0$ . Then we can pick a unit vector  $x \in P(E_j)(H)$  and, since the projections  $P(E_i)$  are pairwise orthogonal, we have  $\Phi(s)(x) = \alpha_j x$ . This shows that  $\|\Phi(s)\| \geq |\alpha_j| = \|s\|_\infty$ , and hence

$$(2) \quad \|\Phi(s)\| = \|s\|_\infty .$$

Now let  $f \in L_\infty(P)$ . Then there is a sequence  $(s_n)$  of simple functions with  $\|f - s_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ . By (2), the sequence  $(\Phi(s_n))$  is Cauchy in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ , and hence converges to some operator  $\Phi(f) \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ . This does not depend on the choice of  $(s_n)$ : if  $(t_n)$  is another sequence of simple functions converging to  $f$ , then again by (2), we have  $\|\Phi(s_n) - \Phi(t_n)\| = \|s_n - t_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ . The fact that  $\Phi$  so defined is an isometric, unital  $*$ -homomorphism of  $L_\infty(P)$  to  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  satisfying (i) and (ii) follows easily by what has already been proved for simple functions.

Finally, if  $S \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  commutes with every  $P(E)$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{B}$ , then it commutes with  $\Phi(s)$  for every simple function  $s$ , and hence by continuity it commutes with every  $\Phi(f)$ ,  $f \in L_\infty(P)$ . The converse assertion is trivial since  $P(E) = \Phi(\mathbf{1}_E)$  for every  $E \in \mathcal{B}$ .  $\square$

**Note.** The identity  $\langle \Phi(f)x, y \rangle = \int_K f \, dP_{x,y}$  uniquely defines  $\Phi(f)$ . We shall denote  $\Phi(f)$  by  $\int_K f \, dP$ . So (i) in the lemma becomes

$$\left\langle \left( \int_K f \, dP \right) x, y \right\rangle = \int_K f \, dP_{x,y} .$$

**Bounded Borel functions.** We let  $L_\infty(K)$  denote the set of all bounded Borel functions  $f: K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . This is a commutative, unital  $C^*$ -algebra equipped with the ‘sup norm’  $\|f\|_K$ . Note that if  $P$  is a resolution of the identity of  $H$  over  $K$ , then  $L_\infty(K) \subset L_\infty(P)$  and the inclusion is a norm-decreasing unital  $*$ -homomorphism.

**Theorem 2. (Spectral theorem for commutative  $C^*$ -algebras.)** Let  $A$  be a commutative, unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  and let  $K = \Phi_A$ . Then there is a unique resolution of the identity  $P$  of  $H$  over  $K$  such that

$$T = \int_K \widehat{T} dP \quad \text{for every } T \in A ,$$

where  $\widehat{T}$  is the Gelfand transform of  $T$ . Moreover,

- (i)  $P(U) \neq 0$  for every non-empty open subset  $U$  of  $K$ ; and for  $S \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ ,
- (ii)  $S$  commutes with every  $T \in A$  if and only if it commutes with every  $P(E)$  ( $E \in \mathcal{B}$ ).

**Remark.** The Gelfand map  $T \mapsto \widehat{T}$  is an isometric, unital  $*$ -isomorphism from  $A$  onto  $C(K)$  (Gelfand-Naimark theorem). The inverse Gelfand map  $\widehat{T} \mapsto T$  is an isometric, unital  $*$ -isomorphism  $C(K) \rightarrow A \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ . The theorem states that the inverse Gelfand map can be represented as an intergral with respect to an operator-valued measure. So we can think of this theorem as an operator version of the RRT (Riesz Representation Theorem). We will indeed deduce it from the usual scalar RRT.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Given  $x, y \in H$ , consider the map  $\widehat{T} \mapsto \langle Tx, y \rangle$  defined on  $C(K)$ . This is a bounded linear functional on  $C(K)$  of norm at most  $\|x\|\|y\|$ . By the RRT, there is a unique regular complex Borel measure  $\mu_{x,y}$  on  $K$  such that

$$(3) \quad \langle Tx, y \rangle = \int_K \widehat{T} d\mu_{x,y} \quad \text{for every } T \in A ,$$

and moreover  $\|\mu_{x,y}\|_1$  (the total variaton norm of  $\mu_{x,y}$ ) is at most  $\|x\|\|y\|$ . Note that if  $\widehat{T}$  is a real function, then  $T$  is hermitian, and so

$$\int_K \widehat{T} d\mu_{y,x} = \langle Ty, x \rangle = \overline{\langle Tx, y \rangle} = \int_K \widehat{T} d\overline{\mu_{x,y}} .$$

Thus, by the uniqueness in the RRT, we have  $\mu_{y,x} = \overline{\mu_{x,y}}$ . We also have

$$\int_K \widehat{T} d\mu_{\lambda x+y,z} = \langle T(\lambda x+y), z \rangle = \lambda \langle Tx, z \rangle + \langle Ty, z \rangle = \lambda \int_K \widehat{T} d\mu_{x,z} + \int_K \widehat{T} d\mu_{y,z}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in H$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . So again, by the uniqueness in the RRT, we obtain  $\mu_{\lambda x+y,z} = \lambda \mu_{x,z} + \mu_{y,z}$  for all  $x, y, z \in H$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . It follows that for fixed  $f \in L_\infty(K)$ , the map  $(x, y) \mapsto \int_K f d\mu_{x,y}$  is a bounded sesquilinear form on  $H$  of norm at most  $\|f\|_K$ , and moreover this is a hermitian form when  $f$  is real. Hence there is a unique operator  $\Psi(f) \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  of norm at most  $\|f\|_K$  such that

$$\langle \Psi(f)x, y \rangle = \int_K f d\mu_{x,y} \quad \text{for every } x, y \in H .$$

By (3), we have

$$\langle \Psi(\widehat{T})x, y \rangle = \int_K \widehat{T} d\mu_{x,y} = \langle Tx, y \rangle$$

for all  $x, y \in H$ , and hence  $\Psi(\widehat{T}) = T$ . This shows that  $\Psi$  is an extension to  $L_\infty(K)$  of the inverse Gelfand transform.  $\Psi$  is easily seen to be linear and, when  $f$  is real,  $\Psi(f)$  is hermitian, and so  $\Psi(\bar{f}) = \Psi(f)^*$  for every  $f \in L_\infty(K)$ .

We next show that  $\Psi(fg) = \Psi(f)\Psi(g)$  for  $f, g \in L_\infty(K)$  completing the proof that  $\Psi$  is a norm-decreasing, unital  $*$ -homomorphism from  $L_\infty(K)$  to  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ . Firstly, for  $S, T \in A$ , we have  $\widehat{ST} = \widehat{S}\widehat{T}$ , and so

$$\int_K \widehat{S}\widehat{T} d\mu_{x,y} = \langle STx, y \rangle = \int_K \widehat{S} d\mu_{Tx,y} \quad (x, y \in H).$$

Since this holds for all  $S \in A$ , by the uniqueness in the RRT,  $\widehat{T} d\mu_{x,y} = d\mu_{Tx,y}$  as measures. Hence for all  $f \in L_\infty(K)$ , we have

$$\int_K f\widehat{T} d\mu_{x,y} = \int_K f d\mu_{Tx,y} = \langle \Psi(f)Tx, y \rangle = \langle Tx, \Psi(f)^*y \rangle = \int_K \widehat{T} d\mu_{x, \Psi(f)^*y}.$$

As this holds for every  $T \in A$ , we get  $f d\mu_{x,y} = d\mu_{x, \Psi(f)^*y}$  as measures (again by the uniqueness in the RRT). Hence for every  $g \in L_\infty(K)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi(f)\Psi(g)x, y \rangle &= \langle \Psi(g)x, \Psi(f)^*y \rangle = \int_K g d\mu_{x, \Psi(f)^*y} = \int_K gf d\mu_{x,y} \\ &= \langle \Psi(fg)x, y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for every  $x, y \in H$ , we obtain  $\Psi(fg) = \Psi(f)\Psi(g)$ , as required.

We are now ready to define an operator-valued measure by setting  $P(E) = \Psi(\mathbf{1}_E)$  for  $E \in \mathcal{B}$ . It is routine to verify that  $P$  is a resolution of the identity of  $H$  over  $K$ . Note that

$$P_{x,y}(E) = \langle \Psi(\mathbf{1}_E)x, y \rangle = \int_K \mathbf{1}_E d\mu_{x,y} = \mu_{x,y}(E)$$

for all  $E \in \mathcal{B}$ , and thus  $P_{x,y} = \mu_{x,y}$ . It follows that for  $f \in L_\infty(K)$ ,

$$\int_K f dP_{x,y} = \langle \Psi(f)x, y \rangle \quad \text{for every } x, y \in H,$$

and hence  $\int_K f dP = \Psi(f)$ . In particular,

$$\int_K \widehat{T} dP = T$$

holds for every  $T \in A$ , as required.

For the uniqueness of  $P$ , note that by the RRT, the requirement

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle = \int_K \widehat{T} dP_{x,y} \quad \text{for all } T \in A$$

uniquely determines the measure  $P_{x,y}$  for every  $x, y \in H$ . Since  $\langle P(E)x, y \rangle = P_{x,y}(E)$ , the projections  $P(E)$  are also uniquely determined.

Now for the ‘moreover’ parts. If  $U$  is a non-empty open subset of  $K$ , then by Urysohn’s lemma we can find a non-zero continuous function  $f: K \rightarrow [0, 1]$  whose support is contained in  $U$ . By the Gelfand-Naimark Theorem,  $f = \widehat{T}^2$  for some positive operator  $T \in A$ . Then  $T \neq 0$ , and so we can then choose  $x \in H$  with  $Tx \neq 0$ . Observe that

$$0 < \|Tx\|^2 = \langle T^2x, x \rangle = \int_K f \, dP_{x,x} \leq P_{x,x}(U) .$$

This establishes (i). To see (ii), let  $S \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  and note that

$$\langle STx, y \rangle = \langle Tx, S^*y \rangle = \int_K \widehat{T} \, dP_{x,S^*y} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle TSx, y \rangle = \int_K \widehat{T} \, dP_{Sx,y} .$$

Thus, by the uniqueness in the RRT,  $S$  commutes with every  $T \in A$  if and only if the measures  $P_{x,S^*y}$  and  $P_{Sx,y}$  are the same for all  $x, y \in H$ . Since  $P_{x,S^*y}(E) = \langle P(E)x, S^*y \rangle = \langle SP(E)x, y \rangle$  and  $P_{Sx,y}(E) = \langle P(E)Sx, y \rangle$  for every  $E \in \mathcal{B}$ , these measures are the same if and only if  $S$  commutes with every  $P(E)$ .  $\square$

**Exponentials in Banach algebras.** Let  $A$  be a unital Banach algebra. Then for  $x \in A$  we define  $e^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}$ . The sum converges absolutely, and hence it converges in  $A$ . It is easy to check that if  $x, y \in A$  commute then  $e^{x+y} = e^x e^y$  (see Examples Sheet 4, question 8).

**Lemma 3. (Fuglede-Putnam-Rosenblum)** Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and  $x, y, z \in A$ . Assume that  $x$  and  $y$  are normal and  $xz = zy$ . Then  $x^*z = zy^*$ . In particular, if  $z$  commutes with  $x$ , then it commutes with  $x^*$ .

*Proof.* We have  $x^n z = zy^n$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , and hence  $e^{\bar{\lambda}x} z = z e^{\bar{\lambda}y}$  (i.e.,  $z = e^{-\bar{\lambda}x} z e^{\bar{\lambda}y}$ ) for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Since  $x$  and  $y$  are normal, by the comment about the exponential function above, we have

$$f(\lambda) = e^{\lambda x^*} z e^{-\lambda y^*} = e^{\lambda x^* - \bar{\lambda}x} z e^{\bar{\lambda}y - \lambda y^*} \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} .$$

Since involution is continuous, the elements  $e^{\lambda x^* - \bar{\lambda}x}$  and  $e^{\bar{\lambda}y - \lambda y^*}$  are unitary, and so of norm 1. It follows that  $f$  is a bounded analytic function on  $\mathbb{C}$ . By the vector-valued version of Liouville’s theorem, we have  $f(\lambda) = f(0) = z$  for all  $\lambda$ , i.e.,  $e^{\lambda x^*} z = z e^{\lambda y^*}$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Equating coefficients yields  $x^*z = zy^*$ , as required.  $\square$

**Theorem 4. (Spectral theorem for normal operators.)** Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  be a normal operator. Then there is a unique resolution  $P$  of the identity of  $H$  over  $\sigma(T)$  such that

$$T = \int_{\sigma(T)} \lambda \, dP .$$

Moreover,  $S \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  commutes with every projection  $P(E)$  ( $E \in \mathcal{B}$ ) if and only if  $ST = TS$ .

This integral representation of  $T$  is called the *spectral decomposition* of  $T$ . The orthogonal projections  $P(E)$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{B}$ , are called *spectral projections*.

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be the closed subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  generated by  $I, T, T^*$ . Since  $T$  is normal,  $A$  is a commutative, unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ , and moreover,  $\sigma_A(T) = \sigma(T)$  by Corollary 7.3. By Lemma 7.2, a character  $\varphi \in \Phi_A$  is uniquely determined by its value  $\varphi(T)$  at  $T$ . It follows that the map  $\varphi \mapsto \varphi(T)$  is a homeomorphism from  $\Phi_A$  onto  $\sigma(T)$ , which allows us to identify  $\Phi_A$  with  $\sigma(T)$ . Note that under this identification, the functions  $\widehat{T}$  and  $\widehat{T^*}$  on  $\Phi_A$  correspond to the functions  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda$  and  $\lambda \mapsto \bar{\lambda}$  on  $\sigma(T)$ , respectively. The existence of  $P$  now follows from Theorem 2.

To see uniqueness, if  $P$  is a resolution of the identity of  $H$  over  $\sigma(T)$  such that  $T = \int_{\sigma(T)} \lambda dP$ , then by Lemma 1 we have

$$(4) \quad p(T, T^*) = \int_{\sigma(T)} p(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) dP$$

for every complex polynomial  $p$  in two variables. By the Stone–Weierstrass theorem, these polynomials are dense in  $C(\sigma(T))$ , so by the uniqueness in the RRT, the measures  $P_{x,y}$  are uniquely determined by (4) for every  $x, y \in H$ . This in turn uniquely determines  $P$ .

Finally, if  $ST = TS$ , then  $ST^* = T^*S$  by Lemma 3. So  $ST = TS$  if and only if  $S$  commutes with every element of  $A$ , which in turn is equivalent to  $S$  commuting with every projection  $P(E)$  ( $E \in \mathcal{B}$ ) by Theorem 2.  $\square$

**Theorem 5. (Borel functional calculus for a normal operator)** Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  be a normal operator and let  $K = \sigma(T)$ . For  $f \in L_\infty(K)$  define

$$f(T) = \int_K f dP ,$$

where  $P$  is the resolution of the identity over  $K = \sigma(T)$  given by Theorem 4. The map  $f \mapsto f(T)$  has the following properties:

- (i) it is a unital  $*$ -homomorphism from  $L_\infty(K)$  to  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  with  $z(T) = T$  (where  $z(\lambda) = \lambda$ ,  $\lambda \in K$ );
- (ii)  $\|f(T)\| \leq \|f\|_K$  for all  $f \in L_\infty(K)$  with equality for  $f \in C(K)$ ;
- (iii) if  $S \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  and  $ST = TS$ , then  $Sf(T) = f(T)S$  for all  $f \in L_\infty(K)$ .
- (iv)  $\sigma(f(T)) \subset \overline{f(K)}$  for all  $f \in L_\infty(K)$ .

*Proof.* Note that the map  $f \mapsto f(T): L_\infty(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$  is the composite of the inclusion  $L_\infty(K) \subset L_\infty(P)$  (which is a norm-decreasing unital  $*$ -homomorphism) and the map  $\Phi$  of Lemma 1 (which is an isometric, unital  $*$ -isomorphism into  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ ). The restriction of this map to  $C(K)$  is the inverse Gelfand map for the unital  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $A$  of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  generated by  $T$  (having identified  $\Phi_A$  with  $K$  as in Theorem 4). Properties (i) and (ii) now follow directly from Lemma 1 and Theorems 2 and 4. Next, (iii) follows from Theorem 4 and Lemma 1. Finally, (iv) follows from the fact that a unital  $*$ -homomorphism maps invertibles to invertibles, and that the spectrum of  $f$  in  $L_\infty(K)$  is  $\overline{f(K)}$ .  $\square$

**Polar decomposition of normal operators.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  be a normal operator. Then  $T = RU$ , where  $R$  is positive,  $U$  is unitary, and  $R, U, T$  pairwise commute.

*Proof.* Define functions  $r$  and  $u$  on  $\sigma(T)$  by

$$r(\lambda) = |\lambda| \quad \text{and} \quad u(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda}{|\lambda|} & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } \lambda = 0. \end{cases}$$

We then apply Borel functional calculus to obtain operators  $R = r(T)$  and  $U = u(T)$ . Since  $r$  is positive and  $u$  is unitary in  $L_\infty(\sigma(T))$ , it follows that  $R$  is positive and  $U$  is unitary in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ .

Since  $\lambda = r(\lambda)u(\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ , it follows that  $T = RU$ . Finally,  $R, U, T$  pairwise commute since  $L_\infty(\sigma(T))$  is commutative.  $\square$

**Representation of unitary operators.** Let  $U \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  be a unitary operator. Then  $U = e^{iQ}$  for some hermitian operator  $Q$ .

*Proof.* Since  $U$  is unitary,  $\sigma(U) \subset \mathbb{T}$ . Next, there is a bounded, Borel function  $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $e^{if(t)} = t$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{T}$  (a Borel branch of logarithm). Apply Borel functional calculus to obtain a hermitian operator  $Q = f(U)$ . Let  $f_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(if(t))^k}{k!}$  ( $t \in \mathbb{T}$ ). Then  $f_n$  converges uniformly to the function  $t \mapsto t$  on  $\mathbb{T}$ , and so in particular on  $\sigma(U)$ . It follows that

$$e^{iQ} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(iQ)^k}{k!} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(U) = U.$$

$\square$

**Connectedness of  $G(\mathcal{B}(H))$ .** The group of all invertible operators in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  is connected. Moreover, every invertible operator is the product of two exponentials.

*Proof.* Let  $T \in G(\mathcal{B}(H))$ . An application of the Gelfand-Naimark theorem gave polar decomposition  $T = RU$ , where  $R = (TT^*)^{1/2}$  is an invertible positive operator, and  $U = R^{-1}T$  is a unitary operator. Since  $R$  is positive and invertible,  $\sigma(R) \subset (0, \infty)$ , and so  $\lambda \mapsto \log \lambda$  is a continuous function on  $\sigma(R)$ . Hence, by Gelfand-Naimark,  $R = e^S$  for a hermitian operator  $S$ . By the previous application  $U = e^{iQ}$  for some other hermitian operator  $Q$ . Thus  $T = e^S e^{iQ}$  is a product of two exponentials. (Note that  $S$  and  $Q$  need not commute.)

Finally, the map  $t \mapsto e^{tS} e^{itQ}$  ( $t \in [0, 1]$ ) is a continuous path in  $G(\mathcal{B}(H))$  from  $I$  to  $T$ . So  $G(\mathcal{B}(H))$  is connected.  $\square$