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The goal of these notes is to characterise recursively presented groups from a combinatorial group-theoretic perspective. We will do so following Rotman [1, Chapter 13]. As a first step, we will need to construct (semi)groups with unsolvable word problem.

## 1 From Turing machines to semigroups

Consider a Turing machine $\mathcal{T}$, with alphabet $\mathcal{A}=\left\{s_{j}\right\}_{j}$, states $\mathcal{Q}=\left\{q_{j}\right\}_{j}$, blank symbol $s_{0}$, halting state $q_{0}$ and starting state $q_{1}$. The Turing machine $\mathcal{T}$ has instructions of the form

$$
q_{i} s_{j} s_{k} q_{\ell} \quad \text { or } q_{i} s_{j} L q_{\ell} \quad \text { or } q_{i} s_{j} R q_{\ell} .
$$

We encode $\mathcal{T}$ in a semigroup $U(\mathcal{T})$, with generators $\mathcal{Q} \cup \mathcal{A} \cup\{q\} \cup\{h\}$, where $q$ and $h$ are abstract symbols not contained in any of the other sets. The semigroup $U(\mathcal{T})$ has the following relations:

- $q_{i} s_{j}=q_{\ell} s_{k}$ if $\mathcal{T}$ contains an instruction $q_{i} s_{j} s_{k} q_{\ell}$,
- $q_{i} s_{j} s_{k}=s_{j} q_{\ell} s_{k}$ if $\mathcal{T}$ contains an instruction $q_{i} s_{j} R q_{\ell}$,
- $q_{i} s_{j} h=s_{j} q_{\ell} s_{0} h$ if $\mathcal{T}$ contains an instruction $q_{i} s_{j} R q_{\ell}$,
- $s_{k} q_{i} s_{j}=q_{\ell} s_{k} s_{j}$ if $\mathcal{T}$ contains an instruction $q_{i} s_{j} L q_{\ell}$,
- $h q_{i} s_{j}=h q_{\ell} s_{0} s_{j}$ if $\mathcal{T}$ contains an instruction $q_{i} s_{j} L q_{\ell}$,
- $q_{0} s_{k}=q_{0}$,
- $s_{k} q_{0} h=q_{0} h$,
- $h q_{0} h=q$.

An element $h w_{1} q_{i} x w_{2} h$ should be interpreted as representing the configuration of $\mathcal{T}$ in the state $q_{i}$, with the word $w_{1} x w_{2}$ on the tape, with the head on $x$, and
with $h$ representing an infinite blank word. The relations of $U(\mathcal{T})$ encode the transitions of $\mathcal{T}$.

Hence, the language accepted by $\mathcal{T}$ is characterised in terms of the algebra of the semigroup $U(\mathcal{T})$ :

Proposition 1. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a Turing machine and $w \in \mathcal{A}^{*}$. Then $\mathcal{T}$ accepts $w$ if and only if

$$
h q_{1} w h \stackrel{U(\mathcal{T})}{=} q .
$$

## 2 (Semi)groups with unsolvable word problem

The formalism of $\S 1$ allows one to construct semigroups with certain algorithmic properties, starting from well-chosen Turing machines.

Theorem 2 (Markov-Post '47). There is a finitely presented semigroup with unsolvable word problem.

Proof. Pick a Turing machine $\mathcal{T}$ whose set $E$ of accepted words is not recursive - note that $E$ is recursively enumerable since it is recognised by $\mathcal{T}$. If one could solve the word problem for $U(\mathcal{T})$, then by Proposition 1, one could decide whether or not a given word is in $E$, since $w \in E$ if and only if $h q_{1} w h=q$ in $U(\mathcal{T})$. Therefore, $E$ would be recursive, which is a contradiction.

Remark 3. The finitely presented semigroup $U$ constructed in the proof of Theorem 2 has the following properties:

- It is generated by $\mathcal{Q} \cup \mathcal{A} \cup\{q\} \cup\{h\}$,
- Its relators are of the form $\alpha q_{j} \beta=\gamma q_{k} \delta$ for some words $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in$ $(\mathcal{A} \cup\{h\})^{*}$,
- There is no decision process to determine, for given words $v, w \in \mathcal{A} \cup\{h\}$ and state $q_{i} \in \mathcal{Q}$, whether or not $v q_{i} w=q$ in $\Gamma$.

We can now readily construct a group, rather than a semigroup, with unsolvable word problem.

Theorem 4 (Novikov-Boone '55). There exists a finitely presented group with unsolvable word problem.

Proof. We start with the semigroup $U$ constructed in the proof of Theorem 2. It has generators $\mathcal{Q} \cup \mathcal{A} \cup\{q\} \cup\{h\}$, and relators $\left\{\alpha_{i} q_{j_{i}} \beta_{i}=\gamma_{i} q_{k_{i}} \delta_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ (see Remark 3). From this, we construct a group $G^{n b}$, with generators $\mathcal{Q} \cup \mathcal{A} \cup\{q\} \cup$ $\{h\} \cup\left\{r_{i}\right\}_{i \in I} \cup\{x\} \cup\{t\} \cup\{k\}$, and with the following relators:

- $s_{j}^{-1} x s_{j}=x^{2}$ and $h^{-1} x h=x^{2}$,
- $s_{j}^{-1} r_{i} s_{j}=x r_{i} x$,
- $r_{i}^{-1}\left(\bar{\alpha}_{i} q_{j_{i}} \beta_{i}\right) r_{i}=\bar{\gamma}_{i} q_{k_{i}} \delta_{i}$,
- $\left[t, r_{i}\right]=[t, x]=\left[k, r_{i}\right]=[k, x]=\left[k, q^{-1} t q\right]=1$.

Claim. Given words $v, w \in(\mathcal{A} \cup\{h\})^{*}$, and a state $q_{i} \in \mathcal{Q}$, consider $\sigma=\bar{v} q_{i} w$ and $\sigma^{*}=v q_{i} w$. Then

$$
\left[k, \sigma^{-1} t \sigma\right] \stackrel{G^{n b}}{=} 1 \Longleftrightarrow \sigma^{*} \stackrel{U}{=} q .
$$

We omit the proof of the claim - one can prove it most easily by considering Van Kampen diagrams, see Rotman [1, pp. 372-379].

Admitting the claim, it follows that an algorithm solving the word problem for $G^{n b}$ would also be able to decide whether or not a word of the form $v q_{i} w$ is equal to $q$ in $\sigma^{*}$, contradicting Remark 3 .
Remark 5. The construction of group $G^{n b}$ in the proof of Theorem 4 is really a sequence of HNN-extensions and free products:

- Start from the infinite cyclic group $G_{0}=\langle x\rangle$.
- Construct successive HNN-extensions with stable letters $\mathcal{A} \cup\{h\}$ to obtain $G_{1}$.
- Take a free product with the free group on $\mathcal{Q} \cup\{q\}$, then take successive HNN-extensions with stable letters $\left\{r_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$, to obtain $G_{2}$.
- Take an HNN-extension with stable letter $t$ to obtain $G_{3}$.
- Take an HNN-extension with stable letter $k$ to obtain $G^{n b}$.


## 3 The Higman Embedding Theorem

Standing assumption. In a group presentation $\langle S \mid R\rangle$, the generating set $S$ will always be assumed to be finite and every relator $r \in R$ will be assumed to be a positive word over $S$ - this can be achieved for example by replacing $S$ with $S \cup S^{-1}$.
Definition 6. A group $\Gamma$ is recursively presented if one of the following two equivalent conditions holds:
(i) $\Gamma$ admits a presentation $\Gamma=\langle S \mid R\rangle$, where $R$ is a recursively enumerable subset of $S^{*}$.
(ii) $\Gamma$ admits a finite (symmetric) generating set $S$ for which the set

$$
\left\{w \in S^{*} \mid w \stackrel{\Gamma}{=} 1\right\}
$$

is recursively enumerable.
The main theorem of these notes is the following:

Theorem 7 (Higman '61). For a finitely generated group $\Gamma$, the following are equivalent:
(i) $\Gamma$ is recursively presented.
(ii) $\Gamma$ embeds in a finitely presented group.

Proof of Theorem 7. For the implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i), it suffices to note that the property of being recursively presented descends to subgroups (this is clear from characterisation (ii) in Definition 6), and that finitely presented groups are recursively presented.

We now prove (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let $\langle S \mid R\rangle$ be a presentation of $\Gamma$ for which the set $R \subseteq S^{*}$ is recursively enumerable. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a Turing machine on the alphabet $\mathcal{A}=S$ enumerating $R$, and let $U(\mathcal{T})$ be the associated semigroup, as described in $\S 1$. From the semigroup $U(\mathcal{T})$, construct a finitely presented group $G^{n b}(\mathcal{T})$, with generators $\mathcal{Q} \cup \mathcal{A} \cup\{q\} \cup\{h\} \cup\left\{r_{i}\right\}_{i \in I} \cup\{x\} \cup\{t\} \cup\{k\}$ following the same process as in the proof of the Novikov-Boone Theorem (Theorem 4). The claim in the proof of Theorem 4, together with Proposition 1, tell us that, given a word $w \in \mathcal{A}^{*}$, if we set $\sigma=h^{-1} q_{1} w h$ and $\sigma^{*}=h q_{1} w h$, then

$$
w \in R \Longleftrightarrow \sigma^{*} \stackrel{U(\mathcal{T})}{=} q \Longleftrightarrow\left[k, \sigma^{-1} t \sigma\right] \stackrel{G^{n b}(\mathcal{T})}{=} 1
$$

We modify slightly the successive presentations defined in Remark 5 to simplify the equation $\left[k, \sigma^{-1} t \sigma\right]=1$ :

- $G_{2}$ is defined as in Remark 5.
- $G_{3}$ is the HNN-extension of $G_{2}$ with stable letter $t_{0}$, with relations

$$
\left[t_{0},\left(q_{1}^{-1} h\right) r_{i}\left(q_{1}^{-1} h\right)^{-1}\right]=\left[t_{0},\left(q_{1}^{-1} h\right) x\left(q_{1}^{-1} h\right)^{-1}\right]=1
$$

Note that this is just another presentation of the group $G_{3}$ of Remark 5, with $t_{0}=\left(q_{1}^{-1} h\right) t\left(q_{1}^{-1} h\right)^{-1}$.

- $G^{n b}(\mathcal{T})$ is the HNN-extension of $G_{3}$ with stable letter $k_{0}$, with relations

$$
\left[k_{0}, h r_{i} h^{-1}\right]=\left[k_{0}, h x h^{-1}\right]=\left[k_{0},\left(h q^{-1} h^{-1} q_{1}\right) t_{0}\left(h q^{-1} h^{-1} q_{1}\right)^{-1}\right]=1
$$

This is again another presentation of $G^{n b}(\mathcal{T})$, with $k_{0}=h k h^{-1}$.
Now we have, given $w \in \mathcal{A}^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w \in R \Longleftrightarrow\left[k_{0}, w^{-1} t_{0} w\right] \stackrel{G^{n b}(\mathcal{T})}{=} 1 . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take a disjoint copy $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}=\left\{s_{j}^{\prime}\right\}_{j \in J}$ of the alphabet $\mathcal{A}=\left\{s_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$, and construct the following groups:

- $G_{4}$ is the free product $G^{n b}(\mathcal{T}) * \Gamma$, where the generators of $\Gamma$ are labelled using letters of $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$.
- $G_{5}$ is the HNN-extension of $G_{4}$ with stable letters $\left\{\tau_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$, with relations

$$
\left[\tau_{j}, s_{k}\right]=\left[\tau_{j}, s_{k}^{\prime}\right]=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \tau_{j}^{-1} k_{0} \tau_{j}=k_{0} s_{j}^{\prime-1}
$$

- $G_{6}$ is the HNN-extension of $G_{5}$ with stable letter $d$, with relations

$$
\left[d, k_{0}\right]=1 \quad \text { and } \quad d^{-1} s_{j} \tau_{j} d=s_{j}
$$

- $G_{7}$ is the HNN-extension of $G_{6}$ with stable letter $\sigma$, with relations

$$
\left[\sigma, k_{0}\right]=\left[\sigma, s_{j}\right]=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma^{-1} t_{0} \sigma=t_{0} d
$$

Remark 8. The fact that the above constructions are all HNN-extensions requires some justification (one needs to check that there are pairs of isomorphic subgroups inducing each extension). In fact, most of the HNN-extensions arising in the construction (but not the last one) have free edge groups. We do not go into more details here - we refer the reader to [1, pp. 382-388] instead.

It then follows that there are embeddings

$$
\Gamma \hookrightarrow G^{n b}(\mathcal{T}) * \Gamma=G_{4} \hookrightarrow G_{5} \hookrightarrow G_{6} \hookrightarrow G_{7}
$$

It remains to prove the
Claim. The group $G_{7}$ is finitely presented.
Proof of the claim. Looking back at the construction of $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{7}$, we observe that the group $G^{n b}(\mathcal{T})$ is finitely presented (this boils down to the Turing machine $\mathcal{T}$ being given by a finite amount of data only, as for the Novikov-Boone Theorem). The group $G_{4}$ is obtained from $G^{n b}(\mathcal{T})$ by adding finitely many generators and the possibly infinite set of relators $R^{\prime}=\left\{s_{j_{1}}^{\prime} \cdots s_{j_{\ell}}^{\prime} \mid s_{j_{1}} \cdots s_{j_{\ell}} \in R\right\}$. The groups $G_{5}, G_{6}, G_{7}$ are obtained from $G_{4}$ by adding finitely many generators and relations.

Therefore, the resulting presentation of $G_{7}$ has finitely many generators, and its set of relations is $R^{\prime} \cup \Lambda$ for a finite set $\Lambda$. Hence, it suffices to show that each relation in $R^{\prime}$ is a consequence of relations in $\Lambda$.

Pick a relation $w \in R$. Consider the word $w^{\prime} \in R^{\prime}$ obtained by replacing each letter $s_{j}$ in $w$ with $s_{j}^{\prime}$. Our goal is to deduce that $w^{\prime}=1$ from the relations in the finite set $\Lambda$. Since $w \in R,(*)$ gives

$$
\left[k_{0}, w^{-1} t_{0} w\right] \stackrel{G_{7}}{=} 1
$$

Conjugating by $\sigma$ and using the relations of $G_{7}$ yields

$$
\left[k_{0}, w^{-1}\left(t_{0} d\right) w\right]=1
$$

The above two equalities say that $w k_{0} w^{-1}$ commutes with $t_{0}$ and with $t_{0} d$, so it commutes with $d$.

Recall moreover that the relations of $G_{6}$ give $d^{-1} s_{j} \tau_{j} d=s_{j}$, which implies (since the $\tau_{j}$ commute with the $s_{j}$ ) that

$$
d w d^{-1}=w w_{\tau}
$$

where $w_{\tau}$ is the word obtained from $w$ by replacing each letter $s_{j}$ with $\tau_{j}$. It follows that

$$
w^{-1} d w=w_{\tau} d
$$

But we have just seen that $\left[w k_{0} w^{-1}, d\right]=1$, so $w^{-1} d w=k_{0}^{-1}\left(w^{-1} d w\right) k_{0}$, and therefore $w_{\tau} d=k_{0}^{-1}\left(w_{\tau} d\right) k_{0}$. But $k_{0}$ and $d$ commute by the relations of $G_{6}$, so we obtain

$$
\left[k_{0}, w_{\tau}\right]=1
$$

Finally, the relations of $G_{5}$ give $k_{0}^{-1} \tau_{j} k_{0}=\tau_{j} s_{j}^{\prime}$, so that (since the $s_{j}^{\prime}$ and $\tau_{j}$ commute)

$$
k_{0}^{-1} w_{\tau} k_{0}=w_{\tau} w^{\prime}
$$

Now ( $\dagger$ ) implies that $w^{\prime}=1$ as wanted.
Remark 9. The proof of the above claim is summarised in the Van Kampen diagram of Figure 1.

We have shown that $\Gamma \hookrightarrow G_{7}$, and $G_{7}$ is finitely presented, which completes the proof.
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Figure 1: Van Kampen diagram showing that, given a word $w \in R$, the relation $w^{\prime}=1$ of $G_{7}$ follows from a finite set of relations.

