

### 3 Hecke operators

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the free abelian group on symbols  $[\Lambda]$ , where  $\Lambda$  is a lattice.

Define operators  $T(n), R(n) \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}$  for  $n \geq 1$  by

$$\begin{aligned} R(n): [\Lambda] &\mapsto [n\Lambda] \\ T(n): [\Lambda] &\mapsto \sum_{\substack{\Lambda' \subset \Lambda \\ n}} [\Lambda'] \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.1.** (i)  $R(m)R(n) = R(mn)$ ,  $R(m)T(n) = T(n)R(m)$  for all  $m, n$ , and  $R(1) = 1 = T(1)$ .

(ii) If  $(m, n) = 1$  then  $T(mn) = T(m)T(n)$ .

(iii) For  $p$  prime and  $r \geq 1$ ,

$$T(p^{r+1}) = T(p^r)T(p) - pR(p)T(p^{r-1}).$$

**Corollary.** The subalgebra of  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}$  generated by  $\{R(n), T(n)\}_{n \geq 1}$  is commutative, and is generated by  $T(p), R(p)$  for  $p$  primes.

*Proof.* (i) is clear. For (ii), we have

$$T(m)T(n): [\mathcal{L}] \mapsto \sum_{\substack{\Lambda' \subset \Lambda \\ n}} \sum_{\substack{\Lambda'' \subset \Lambda' \\ m}} [\Lambda''] = \sum_{\substack{L'' \subset \Lambda \\ mn}} \#\{\Lambda' \mid \Lambda'' \subset_m \Lambda' \subset_n \Lambda\} \cdot [\Lambda'']$$

But as  $(m, n) = 1$  the abelian group  $\Lambda/\Lambda''$  has exactly one subgroup of index  $n$ , so  $\#\{\Lambda' \mid \Lambda'' \subset_m \Lambda' \subset_n \Lambda\} = 1$ .

(iii) Have

$$T(p^r)T(p)([\Lambda]) = \sum_{\substack{L'' \subset \Lambda \\ p^{r+1}}} \#\{\Lambda' \mid \Lambda'' \subset_{p^r} \Lambda' \subset_p \Lambda\} \cdot [\Lambda'']$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda'' \subset p\Lambda &\implies p+1 \text{ possible } \Lambda' (\Leftrightarrow \text{subgps of } \Lambda/p\Lambda \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p)^2) \\ \Lambda'' \not\subset p\Lambda &\implies \Lambda/\Lambda'' \text{ cyclic and } \Lambda' = \Lambda'' + p\Lambda \text{ unique} \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
T(p^r)T(p)([\Lambda]) &= \sum_{\substack{\Lambda'' \subset_{p^{r+1}} \Lambda \\ \Lambda'' \subset p\Lambda}} (p+1)[\Lambda''] + \sum_{\substack{\Lambda'' \subset_{p^{r+1}} \Lambda \\ \Lambda'' \not\subset p\Lambda}} [\Lambda''] \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\Lambda'' \subset_{p^{r+1}} \Lambda \\ \Lambda'' \subset p\Lambda}} p[\Lambda''] + \sum_{\substack{\Lambda'' \subset_{p^{r+1}} \Lambda \\ \Lambda'' \not\subset p\Lambda}} [\Lambda''] \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\Lambda'' \subset_{p^{r-1}} p\Lambda}} p[\Lambda''] + \sum_{\substack{\Lambda'' \subset_{p^{r+1}} \Lambda}} [\Lambda''] \\
&= pR(p)T(p^{r-1})([\Lambda]) + T(p^{r+1})([\Lambda]).
\end{aligned}$$

□

If  $R$  is any ring with 1, by a formal Dirichlet series we mean a formal expression

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} A_n n^{-s}, \quad A_n \in R$$

Two such series are added and multiplied in the obvious way, using the formal relation  $m^{-s}n^{-s} = (mn)^{-s}$ . If  $A^{(i)} = 1 + \sum_{n \geq n_i} A_n^{(i)} n^{-s}$  are formal Dirichlet series with  $n_i \rightarrow \infty$  then the infinite product  $\prod A^{(i)}$  makes sense, and if  $R$  is commutative we can also define the inverted Dirichlet series if  $A_1 = 1$  by

$$\frac{1}{A} = \sum_{k \geq 0} (1 - A)^k$$

We apply this with  $R$  the subring of  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}$  generated by Hecke operators.

**Theorem 3.2** (Euler product).

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \geq 1} T(n) n^{-s} &= \prod_p (1 + T(p)p^{-s} + T(p^2)p^{-2s} + \dots) \\
&= \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - T(p)p^{-s} + R(p)p^{1-2s}}.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* (ii) implies that  $T(n) = \prod T(p_i^{r_i})$  if  $n = \prod p_i^{r_i}$ , from which the first equality follows. For the second, multiply both sides by  $(1 - T(p)p^{-s} + R(p)p^{1-2s})$  and use (iii). □

Next define the operators on modular forms.

$$f \in M_k \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad F: (\text{lattices}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad F(\alpha\Lambda) = \alpha^{-k}F(\Lambda)$$

and extend  $F$  by linearity to a function on  $\mathcal{L}$ .

**Definition.**  $T_n^k F = n^{k-1} F \circ T_n$ , so that

$$(T_n^k F)(\Lambda) = n^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{\Lambda' \subset \Lambda \\ n}} F(\Lambda')$$

Notice that  $L' \subset_n \Lambda \iff \Lambda \subset_n n^{-1} \Lambda'$ , so that  $T_n$  and Fourier expansions.

$$(T_n^k F)(\Lambda) = n^{-1} \sum_{\substack{\Lambda'' \supset \Lambda \\ n}} F(\Lambda'')$$

Restating the previous results:

**Theorem 3.3.**  $\{T_n^k\}_{n \geq 1}$  generates a commutative algebra of operators on the space of  $(-k)$ -homogeneous functions on lattices, satisfying:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{mn}^k &= T_m^k T_n^k \text{ if } (m, n) = 1 \\ T_{p^{r+1}}^k &= T_p^k T_{p^r}^k - p^{k-1} T_{p^{r-1}}^k, \text{ } p \text{ prime, } r \geq 1 \\ \sum_{n \geq 1} T_n^k n^{-s} &= \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - T_p^k p^{-s} + p^{k-1-2s}} \end{aligned}$$

Example: Eisenstein series  $G_k(\Lambda) = \sum'_{\omega} \omega^{-k}$ ,  $k \geq 4$ .

**Theorem 3.4.**  $T_n^k G_k = \sigma_{k-1}(n) G_k$  for every  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* First consider  $n = p$ . Then  $\Lambda$  has  $(p+1)$  sublattices  $\Lambda'$  of index  $p$ . If  $\omega \in \Lambda$  then:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \in p\Lambda &\implies \omega \in \text{every } \Lambda' \\ \omega \notin p\Lambda &\implies \omega \in \Lambda' = \mathbb{Z}\omega + p\Lambda \text{ only.} \end{aligned}$$

So:

$$\begin{aligned} (T_p^k G_k)(\Lambda) &= \sum_{\substack{\Lambda' \subset \Lambda \\ p}} \sum'_{\omega \in \Lambda'} \frac{p^{k-1}}{\omega^k} \\ &= \sum'_{\omega \in p\Lambda} \frac{(p+1)p^{k-1}}{\omega^k} + \sum_{\omega \in \Lambda \setminus p\Lambda} \frac{p^{k-1}}{\omega^k} \\ &= \sum'_{\omega \in p\Lambda} \frac{1}{(\omega/p)^k} + p^{k-1} \sum'_{\omega \in \Lambda} \frac{1}{\omega^k} \\ &= (1 + p^{k-1}) G_k(\Lambda) = \sigma_{k-1}(p) G_k(\Lambda). \end{aligned}$$

In general, we have the formal identity:

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_r(n) n^{-s} = \prod_p \frac{1}{(1 - p^{-s})(1 - p^{r-s})} = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - (1 + p^r)p^{-2} + p^{r-2s}} \quad (11)$$

Proof: we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHS} &= \zeta(s)\zeta(s-r) = \sum_{m,d \geq 1} m^{-s} d^{r-s} = \sum_{m,d \geq 1} d^r (md)^{-s} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{d|n} d^r n^{-s} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_r(n) n^{-s} \quad (n = md) \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum T_n^k n^{-s} \right) G_k &= \left( \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - T_p^k p^{-s} + p^{k-1-2s}} \right) G_k \\ &= \left( \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - (1 + p^{k-1})p^{-s} + p^{k-1-2s}} \right) G_k = \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_{k-1}(n) n^{-s} \right) G_k \end{aligned}$$

□

Now translate all this into the language of functions on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Let  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$  be a lattice with  $\omega_1/\omega_2 \in \mathcal{H}$ . Suppose  $\Lambda' \subset_n \Lambda$ . Then  $\Lambda' \cap \mathbb{Z}\omega_2 = \mathbb{Z}\omega'_2$  where  $\omega'_2 = d\omega_2$  for some  $d \geq 1$ .

Now  $\Lambda'/\mathbb{Z}\omega'_2 \subset \Lambda/\mathbb{Z}\omega_2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}$  so  $\Lambda' = \mathbb{Z}\omega'_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega'_2$  for some  $\omega'_1 = a\omega_1 + b\omega_2$ , with  $|ad| = (\Lambda; \Lambda') = n$ .

Fixing  $\omega'_1/\omega'_2 \in \mathcal{H} \implies a \geq 1$ ; then  $\omega'_1$  is unique mod  $\mathbb{Z}\omega'_2$ , and is unique if we require  $0 \leq b < d$ . Putting this together,

$$T(n): [\mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2] \mapsto \sum_{\substack{a,d \geq 1 \\ ad=n \\ 0 \leq b < d}} [\mathbb{Z}(a\omega_1 + b\omega_2) + \mathbb{Z}(d\omega_2)]$$

Equivalently:

$$\Lambda' \subset_n \Lambda \iff \Lambda' = \mathbb{Z}\omega'_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega'_2, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \omega'_1 \\ \omega'_2 \end{pmatrix} = \gamma \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \det \gamma = n$$

and

$$SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \{ \gamma \mid \det \gamma = n \} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \mid a, d \geq 1, \quad ad = n, \quad b \bmod d \right\}$$

Either way,

$$\begin{aligned}(T_n^k f)(\tau) &:= (T_n^k F)(\mathbb{Z}\tau + \mathbb{Z}) \\ &= n^{k-1} \sum_{a,b,d} F((a\tau + b)\mathbb{Z} + d\mathbb{Z})\end{aligned}$$

giving

**Proposition 3.5.**

$$(T_n^k f)(\tau) = n^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{a,d \geq 1 \\ ad=n \\ 0 \leq b < d}} d^{-k} f\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{d}\right) = n^{k/2-1} \sum_{a,b,d} f \Big|_k \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$$

Effect of  $T_n$  on  $q$ -expansion:

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $f = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n q^n \in M_k$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned}T_m^k f &= \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n q^n \in M_k \\ b_0 &= \sigma_{k-1}(n) a_0, \quad b_n = \sum_{d|(m,n)} d^{k-1} a_{mn/d^2}\end{aligned}$$

*In particular,  $T_m^k(S_k) \subset S_k$ .*

*Remark.* For  $m = p$  prime it's convenient to write the formula as

$$b_n = a_{np} + p^{k-1} a_{n/p}$$

with the convention that  $a_{n/p} = 0$  if  $n/p \notin \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.*

$$(T_m^k f)(\tau) = m^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ d|m \\ b \bmod d}} d^{-k} a_n e^{2\pi i n((m/d)\tau + b)/d}$$

and since

$$\sum_{b \bmod d} e^{2\pi i b n/d} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{d} \\ d & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{d} \end{cases}$$

the sum becomes

$$\begin{aligned}m^{k-1} \sum_{n \geq 0, d|(m,n)} a_n d^{-k+1} e^{2\pi i m n \tau / d^2} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0, d|(m,n)} (m/d)^{k-1} a_n q^{mn/d^2} \\ &= \sum_{n' \geq 0, e|(m,n')} e^{k-1} a_{mn'/e^2} q^n\end{aligned}$$

writing  $n' = mn/d^2$ ,  $e = m/d$ . Since  $T_m^k f$  is modular of weight  $k$ , this shows it is in  $M_k$ , and then clearly  $T_m^k(S_k) \subset S_k$  as well.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.7.** *Suppose  $0 \neq f = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n q^n \in M_k$  is an eigenfunction for all  $\{T_n^k\}_{n \geq 1}$  with  $T_n^k f = \lambda_n f$ . Then:*

$$(i) \ f \in S_k \implies a_1 \neq 0 \text{ and } a_n/a_1 = \lambda_n.$$

$$(ii) \ f \notin S_k \implies f = a_0 E_k.$$

Such an  $f$  is called a *Hecke eigenform*, or simply *eigenform*.

*Proof.* (i) Coefficient of  $q$  in  $T_n^k f = \lambda_n f$  is

$$\lambda_n a_1 = \sum_{d|(n,1)} d^{k-1} a_{n/d} = a_m.$$

So if  $a_1 = 0$  then  $a_n = 0 \ \forall n \geq 1 \implies f = 0$ .

(ii) Constant coefficient of  $T_n^k f$  is

$$\lambda_n a_0 = \sigma_{k-1}(n) a_0$$

sp  $a_0 \neq 0$  (i.e.  $f \notin S_k$ )  $\implies \lambda_n = \sigma_{k-1}(n)$ . Put  $g = f - a_0 E^k = \sum_{n \geq 1} b_n q^n \in S_k$ . Then

$$T_n^k E_k = \sigma_{k-1}(n) E_k \implies T_n^k g = \sigma_{k-1}(n) g \implies b_n = \sigma_{k-1}(n) b_1$$

But  $|b_n| \ll n^{k/2}$  and  $\sigma_{k-1}(n) > n^{k-1} \implies g = 0$  (since  $k \geq 4$ ).  $\square$

Next show  $T_n^k$  can be simultaneously diagonalised. Need:

**Definition.** let  $f, g \in M_k$ , at least one in  $S_k$ . The *Petersson inner product* of  $f$  and  $g$  is

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{D}} f(\tau) \overline{g(\tau)} y^{k-2} dx dy, \quad \tau = x + iy$$

*Remarks.* (i) Since  $fg$  is a cusp form,  $|fg| \ll e^{-2\pi y}$  as  $y \rightarrow \infty$  so the integral converges.

(ii) Since  $\langle f, f \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{D}} |f|^2 y^{k-2} dx dy$  it's clear that  $\langle -, - \rangle$  is an inner product on  $S_k$ .

(iii) Note that the differential form  $f(\tau) \overline{g(\tau)} y^{k-2} dx dy$  is invariant under  $\Gamma$ , so this is a reasonable definition.

**Theorem 3.8.**  $\langle T_n^k f, g \rangle = \langle f, T_n^k g \rangle$ , i.e. the Hecke operators are self-adjoint wrt  $\langle -, - \rangle$ .

Proof maybe to be given (time permitting) later.

**Theorem 3.9.** (i) *There exists a basis of  $S_k$  consisting of Hecke eigenforms.*  
(ii) *The eigenvalues of  $T_n^k$  on  $S_k$  are totally real algebraic, and the characteristic polynomial of  $T_n^k$  has rational integer coefficients.*

*Proof.* (i) since  $\{T_n^k\}$  commute and are self-adjoint. This also shows that the eigenvalues are real.

(ii) Recall that  $M_k(\mathbb{Z}) = M_k \cap \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice in  $M_k$ , which by the corollary to Thm.3.6 is stable under the  $T_n^k$ . So the characteristic polynomial of  $T_n^k$  has integer coefficients, so the eigenvalues of  $T_n^k$  are algebraic integers, closed under  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q})$ . So as they are real they are totally real.  $\square$

We also get:

**Corollary 3.10** (Multiplicity one). *The representations of the algebra generated by the  $\{T_n^k\}$  acting on  $S_k$  occur with multiplicity one.*

*Proof.* Since  $S_k$  is completely reducible as a module for the Hecke algebra, it's enough to show that if  $f, g \in S_k \setminus \{0\}$  and

$$T_n^k f = \lambda_n f, \quad T_n^k g = \lambda_n g \quad \forall n \geq 1$$

then  $f = cg$ . But since  $a_n(f) = \lambda_n a_1(f)$  and  $a_n(g) = \lambda_n a_1(g)$ , up to a scalar  $f, g$  have the same  $q$ -expansions.  $\square$

*Remark.* A stronger result holds: if  $f \in S_k, g \in S_l$  and for all but finitely many  $p$ ,  $T_p^k f = \lambda_p f$  and  $T_p^l g = \lambda_p g$  then  $k = l$  and  $f, g$  are linearly dependent. This is the “strong multiplicity one” theorem.

Worked example:  $S_{24} = \mathbb{C}\Delta^2 + \mathbb{C}E_4^3\Delta$ . Have  $q$ -expansions:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= q - 24q^2 + 252q^3 - \dots \\ E_4^3 &= 1 + 720q + 179280q^2 + 16954560q^3 - \dots \\ \Delta^2 &= q^2 - 48q^3 + 1080q^4 - \dots \\ E_4^3\Delta &= q + 696q^2 + 162252q^3 + 12831808q^4 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

and  $T_2^k f = \sum b_n q^n$  with  $b_n = a_{2n} + 2^{k-1}a_{n/2}$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} T_2(E_4^3\Delta) &= 696q + 21220416q^2 + \dots &= 696E_4^3\Delta + 20736000\Delta^2 \\ T_2(\Delta^2) &= q + 1080q^2 + \dots &= E_4^3\Delta + 384\Delta^2 \end{aligned}$$

so matrix of  $T_2$  is

$$T_2^{23} = \begin{pmatrix} 696 & 1 \\ 20736000 & 384 \end{pmatrix}$$

which has characteristic polynomial

$$x^2 - 1080x - 20468736 = (x - 540 + 12\sqrt{144169})(x - 540 - 12\sqrt{144169})$$

(144169 is prime). In particular we see that the Hecke eigenforms of weight 24 do *not* have rational coefficients. In fact, there are no known counterexamples to the following:

**Conjecture.** If  $f \in S_k$  is a Hecke eigenform with rational coefficients then  $k < 24$  or  $k = 26$ .

**Corollary 3.11.** *Let  $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n q^n$  be a Hecke eigenform. Then*

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-s} = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - a_p p^{-s} + p^{k-1-2s}}$$

As a formal identity this follows from the Euler product for the  $T_n^k$ . Since  $|a_n| \ll n^{k/2}$  both sides are actually convergent for  $\text{Re}(s) > k/2 + 1$ .