

### Probability and Measure 1

**1.1.** Let  $E$  be a set and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a set of  $\sigma$ -algebras on  $E$ . Define

$$\mathcal{E}^* = \{A \subseteq E : A \in \mathcal{E} \text{ for all } \mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{S}\}.$$

Show that  $\mathcal{E}^*$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $E$ . Show, on the other hand, by example, that the union of two  $\sigma$ -algebras on the same set need not be a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**1.2.** Show that the following sets of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  all generate the same  $\sigma$ -algebra:

$$(a) \{(a, b) : a < b\}, \quad (b) \{(a, b] : a < b\}, \quad (c) \{(-\infty, b] : b \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

**1.3.** Show that a countably additive set function on a ring is additive, increasing and countably subadditive.

**1.4.** Show that a collection of sets  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $d$ -system if and only if the following holds:

- $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$
- if  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$
- if  $(A_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  is a collection of disjoint sets in  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Show that a  $\pi$ -system which is also a  $d$ -system is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**1.5.** Let  $\mu$  be a finite-valued additive set function on a ring  $\mathcal{A}$ . Show that  $\mu$  is countably additive if and only if the following condition holds: for any decreasing sequence  $(A_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  of sets in  $\mathcal{A}$ , with  $\bigcap_n A_n = \emptyset$ , we have  $\mu(A_n) \rightarrow 0$ .

**1.6.** Let  $(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$  be a finite measure space. Show that, for any sequence of sets  $(A_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ ,

$$\mu(\liminf A_n) \leq \liminf \mu(A_n) \leq \limsup \mu(A_n) \leq \mu(\limsup A_n).$$

Show that the first inequality remains true without the assumption that  $\mu(E) < \infty$ , but that the last inequality may then be false.

**1.7.** Let  $(A_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  be a sequence of events in a probability space. Show that the events  $A_n$  are independent if and only if the  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\sigma(A_n) = \{\emptyset, A_n, A_n^c, \Omega\}$  are independent.

**1.8.** Let  $B$  be a Borel subset of the interval  $[0, 1]$ . Show that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a finite union of disjoint intervals  $A = (a_1, b_1] \cup \dots \cup (a_n, b_n]$  such that the Lebesgue measure of  $A \Delta B$  ( $= (A^c \cap B) \cup (A \cap B^c)$ ) is less than  $\varepsilon$ . Show further that this remains true for every Borel set in  $\mathbb{R}$  of finite Lebesgue measure.

**1.9.** Let  $(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$  be a measure space. Call a subset  $N \subseteq E$  *null* if  $N \subseteq B$  for some  $B \in \mathcal{E}$  with  $\mu(B) = 0$ . Write  $\mathcal{N}$  for the set of null sets. Prove that the set of subsets  $\mathcal{E}^\mu = \{A \cup N : A \in \mathcal{E}, N \in \mathcal{N}\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra and show that  $\mu$  has a well-defined and countably additive extension to  $\mathcal{E}^\mu$  given by  $\mu(A \cup N) = \mu(A)$ . We call  $\mathcal{E}^\mu$  the *completion of  $\mathcal{E}$  with respect to  $\mu$* . Suppose now that  $E$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and write  $\mu^*$  for the outer measure associated to  $\mu$ , as in the proof of Carathéodory's Extension Theorem. Show that  $\mathcal{E}^\mu$  is exactly the set of  $\mu^*$ -measurable sets.

**2.1.** Let  $(f_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  be a sequence of measurable functions on a measurable space  $(E, \mathcal{E})$ . Show that the following functions are also measurable:  $f_1 + f_2$ ,  $f_1 f_2$ ,  $\inf_n f_n$ ,  $\sup_n f_n$ ,  $\liminf_n f_n$ ,  $\limsup_n f_n$ . Show also that  $\{x \in E : f_n(x) \text{ converges as } n \rightarrow \infty\} \in \mathcal{E}$ .

**2.2.** Let  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  and  $(G, \mathcal{G})$  be measurable spaces, let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $\mathcal{E}$ , and let  $f : E \rightarrow G$  be a measurable function. Show that we can define a measure  $\nu$  on  $\mathcal{G}$  by setting  $\nu(A) = \mu(f^{-1}(A))$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{G}$ .

**2.3.** Show that the following condition implies that random variables  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent:  $\mathbb{P}(X \leq x, Y \leq y) = \mathbb{P}(X \leq x)\mathbb{P}(Y \leq y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**2.4.** Let  $(A_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  be a sequence of events, with  $\mathbb{P}(A_n) = 1/n^2$  for all  $n$ . Set  $X_n = n^2 1_{A_n} - 1$  and set  $\bar{X}_n = (X_1 + \cdots + X_n)/n$ . Show that  $\mathbb{E}(\bar{X}_n) = 0$  for all  $n$ , but that  $\bar{X}_n \rightarrow -1$  almost surely as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**2.5.** The zeta function is defined for  $s > 1$  by  $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$ . Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be independent random variables with

$$\mathbb{P}(X = n) = \mathbb{P}(Y = n) = n^{-s}/\zeta(s).$$

Write  $A_n$  for the event that  $n$  divides  $X$ . Show that the events  $(A_p : p \text{ prime})$  are independent and deduce Euler's formula

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(s)} = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right).$$

Show also that  $\mathbb{P}(X \text{ is square-free}) = 1/\zeta(2s)$ . Write  $H$  for the highest common factor of  $X$  and  $Y$ . Show finally that  $\mathbb{P}(H = n) = n^{-2s}/\zeta(2s)$ .

**2.6.** Let  $(X_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  be independent  $N(0, 1)$  random variables. Prove that

$$\limsup_n (X_n / \sqrt{2 \log n}) = 1 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

**2.7.** Let  $C_n$  denote the  $n$ th approximation to the Cantor set  $C$ : thus  $C_0 = [0, 1]$ ,  $C_1 = [0, \frac{1}{3}] \cup [\frac{2}{3}, 1]$ ,  $C_2 = [0, \frac{1}{9}] \cup [\frac{2}{9}, \frac{1}{3}] \cup [\frac{2}{3}, \frac{7}{9}] \cup [\frac{8}{9}, 1]$ , etc. and  $C_n \downarrow C$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Denote by  $F_n$  the distribution function of a random variable uniformly distributed on  $C_n$ . Show that

- (a)  $C$  is uncountable and has Lebesgue measure 0,
- (b) for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ , the limit  $F(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x)$  exists,
- (c) the function  $F$  is continuous on  $[0, 1]$ , with  $F(0) = 0$  and  $F(1) = 1$ ,
- (d) for almost all  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,  $F$  is differentiable at  $x$  with  $F'(x) = 0$ .

*Hint: express  $F_{n+1}$  recursively in terms of  $F_n$  and use this relation to obtain a uniform estimate on  $F_{n+1} - F_n$ .*