Important Note: There are probably more questions on this example sheet than any one student will wish to attempt, and they are not intended to be all of the same level of difficulty. Some (marked by − signs) are mere five-finger exercises to ensure that you have understood the basic definitions, and may safely be omitted if you are confident that you have understood them. Others (marked by + signs) are more challenging and/or slightly off the syllabus, and are intended for students who wish to explore the subject a bit more widely than can be done in the course.

Comments on and/or corrections to the questions on this sheet are always welcome, and may be e-mailed to me at ptj@dpmms.cam.ac.uk.

− 1. Prove or disprove the following statements:
   (i) \( \alpha + \beta \) is a limit ordinal if and only if \( \beta \) is a limit ordinal.
   (ii) \( \alpha \cdot \beta \) is a limit ordinal if and only if either \( \alpha \) or \( \beta \) is a limit ordinal.
   (iii) Any limit ordinal can be written in the form \( \omega \cdot \alpha \) for some \( \alpha \).
   (iv) Any limit ordinal can be written in the form \( \alpha \cdot \omega \) for some \( \alpha \).

2. Ordinal subtraction is defined synthetically by taking \( \alpha - \beta \) to be the order-type of the set-difference \( \alpha \setminus \beta \) (in particular, \( \alpha - \beta = 0 \) whenever \( \alpha \leq \beta \)). Prove the following identities:
   \[(\alpha + \beta) - \alpha = \beta ; \quad \alpha - (\beta + \gamma) = (\alpha - \beta) - \gamma ; \quad \alpha \cdot (\beta - \gamma) = \alpha \cdot \beta - \alpha \cdot \gamma .\]

Show also that for any ordinal \( \alpha \) there are only finitely many ordinals of the form \( \alpha - \beta \). [Hint: consider the order-type of the set \( \{ \alpha - \beta \mid \beta \in \text{ON} \} \].

3. A function-class \( F : \text{ON} \to \text{ON} \) is called a normal function if it is strictly order-preserving (i.e. \( \alpha < \beta \) implies \( F(\alpha) < F(\beta) \)) and continuous at limits (i.e. \( F(\lambda) = \bigcup \{ F(\alpha) \mid \alpha < \lambda \} \) for nonzero limits \( \lambda \)). Prove the following facts about a normal function \( F \):
   (i) \( F(\alpha) \geq \alpha \) for all \( \alpha \);
   (ii) \( \bigcup \{ 0, F(0), F(F(0)), \ldots \} \) is the least ordinal \( \beta \) satisfying \( F(\beta) = \beta \);
   (iii) there is a normal function \( G \) whose range is exactly the class of ordinals \( \beta \) satisfying \( F(\beta) = \beta \).

Find \( G \) when \( F \) is the function \( \beta + (\cdot) \) for some \( \beta \), and when it is the function \( \gamma \cdot (\cdot) \) for some nonzero \( \gamma \).

4. Show that every ordinal \( \alpha \) has a unique representation (its Cantor Normal Form) of the form
   \[ \alpha = \omega^{\alpha_1} \cdot a_1 + \omega^{\alpha_2} \cdot a_2 + \cdots + \omega^{\alpha_n} \cdot a_n \]
   where \( n \in \omega, \alpha \geq \alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \cdots > \alpha_n \), and \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \) are nonzero natural numbers. [Hint: consider the least \( \beta \) such that \( \omega^\beta > \alpha \); can it be a limit?]

Describe how to calculate the Cantor Normal Form of \( \alpha + \beta \) from those of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \).

+ 5. Consider the binary operation \( \oplus \) on \( \text{ON} \) defined recursively by setting
   \[ \alpha \oplus \beta = \bigcup \left( \{ (\alpha \oplus \beta)^+ \mid \beta < \beta \} \cup \{ (\alpha' \oplus \beta)^+ \mid \alpha' < \alpha \} \right) .\]

Prove the following facts about \( \oplus \):
   (i) \( \oplus \) is commutative and associative, and has 0 as an identity element.
   (ii) If \( n < \omega \), then \( \alpha \oplus n = \alpha + n \) for any \( \alpha \).
   (iii) For any \( \alpha \), the set of ordinals less than \( \omega^\alpha \) is closed under \( \oplus \).
   (iv) If \( \beta < \omega^\alpha \), then \( \omega^\alpha \oplus \beta = \omega^\alpha + \beta \).
   (v) \( \omega^\alpha \cdot m \oplus \omega^\alpha . n = \omega^\alpha \cdot (m + n) \) for any \( m, n < \omega \).
   (vi) \( \oplus \) coincides with the binary operation obtained by treating Cantor Normal Forms as if they were polynomials in \( \omega \), and applying the usual rules for adding polynomials.

[N.B.: You will need to prove (iii)−(vi) by a simultaneous induction.]

6. A choice function \( g : \mathcal{P}^+ a \to a \) is said to be orderly if
   \[ g(b \cup c) = g\{g(b), g(c)\} \]
   for all nonempty sets \( b, c \subseteq a \). Show that \( g \) is orderly iff it is induced (as in the proof given in lectures that the well-ordering theorem implies AC) by a well-ordering of \( a \). [Hint: if \( g \) is so induced, we can recover the ordering by \( x \leq y \iff (g\{x, y\}) = x \).]
7. Show that the assertion ‘For any two sets $a$ and $b$, there exists either an injection $a \to b$ or an injection $b \to a$’ is equivalent to the axiom of choice. [One direction was done in question 9(ii) on sheet 1; for the converse, use Hartogs’ Lemma.]

8. By a selection function for a set $a$, we mean a function $s : \mathcal{P}a \to \mathcal{P}a$ such that $\emptyset \subseteq s(b) \subseteq b$ for all $b \subseteq a$, both inclusions being strict unless $b$ is empty or a singleton.

(i) Show that $\mathcal{P}a$ has a selection function for any ordinal $\alpha$. [Given a subset $b \subseteq \mathcal{P}a$ with more than one element, consider the least finite sets has a choice function.

(ii) Conversely, suppose $a$ has a selection function $s$. For any ordinal $\alpha$ and function $f : \alpha \to 2$, we define a subset $b(f)$ of $a$ by the following recursion: if $\alpha = 0$, $b(f) = a$; if $\alpha$ is a limit, $b(f) = \{b(f|\beta) \mid \beta < \alpha\}$; if $\alpha = \beta^+$ and $f(\beta) = 1$, $b(f) = s(b(f|\beta))$; if $\alpha = \beta^+$ and $f(\beta) = 0$, $b(f) = b(f|\beta) \setminus s(b(f|\beta))$.

Show that, for each ordinal $\alpha$, the nonempty members of the family $\{b(f) \mid \text{dom } f = \alpha\}$ form a partition of $a$. Show also that $b(f)$ has at most one element for every $f$ with domain $\gamma(\mathcal{P}a)$, and deduce that there is an injection from $a$ to the power-set of an ordinal.

(iii) Deduc that the assertion ‘Every set admits a selection function’ implies that every set can be totally ordered.

9. (i) Show that the assertion ‘Every set can be totally ordered’ implies that every family of nonempty finite sets has a choice function.

(ii) By a multiple-choice function for a set $a$, we mean a function picking out a nonempty finite subset of each nonempty subset of $a$. Show that the assertion ‘Every set has a multiple-choice function’ implies that any totally orderable set can be well-ordered.

10. For each countable ordinal $\alpha$, show that there is a subset of $\mathbb{R}$ which is well-ordered (in the usual ordering) and has order-type $\alpha$. Is there a well-ordered subset of $\mathbb{R}$ (again, in the usual ordering) of order-type $\omega_1$?

11. Suppose that no uncountable subset of $\mathcal{P}\omega$ can be well-ordered (equivalently, the Hartogs ordinal $\gamma(\mathcal{P}\omega)$ is $\omega_1$). Let $a = \mathcal{P}(\omega \times \omega)$ be the set of all binary relations on $\omega$. We say that two relations $r$ and $s$ are congruent if there is a permutation $\pi$ of $\omega$ such that $(\langle m, n \rangle \in r) \iff (\langle \pi(m), \pi(n) \rangle \in s)$ for all $m, n \in \omega$; let $b$ denote the set of congruence classes. By considering congruence classes whose members are equivalence relations on $\omega$, or otherwise, show that there is an injection $a \to b$ (as well as the evident surjection $a \to b$). By considering congruence classes whose members are well-orderings, show that the Hartogs ordinal of $b$ is greater than that of $a$.

12. A subset $x$ of an ordinal $\alpha$ is said to be cofinal if, for every $\beta \in \alpha$, there exists $\gamma \in x$ with $\beta \leq \gamma$. We define the cofinality $\text{cf}(\alpha)$ of $\alpha$ to be the least ordinal $\beta$ for which there exists an order-preserving map $\beta \to \alpha$ whose image is cofinal in $\alpha$. Prove that

(i) for any $\alpha$, $\text{cf}(\text{cf}(\alpha)) = \text{cf}(\alpha)$;

(ii) for any limit ordinal $\alpha$, $\text{cf}(\alpha)$ is an initial ordinal [hint: given a bijection $f : \beta \to \gamma$ with $\beta < \gamma$, consider the function $g : \beta \to \gamma^+$ defined by $g(\delta) = \bigcup \{f(\delta') \mid \delta' \leq \delta\}$];

(iii) for any successor ordinal $\alpha$, $\text{cf}(\omega_\alpha) = \omega_\alpha$ [hint: try $\alpha = 1$ first];

(iv) for a nonzero limit ordinal $\lambda$, we have either $\text{cf}(\omega_\lambda) < \omega_\lambda$ or $\omega_\lambda = \lambda$.

Show that there is at least ordinal $\alpha$ such that $\omega_\alpha = \alpha$. What is its cofinality?

13. (i) Show that any ordinal $\alpha$ may be made into a topological space by taking all subsets of the forms $\{\delta \in \alpha \mid \beta < \delta\}$ and $\{\delta \in \alpha \mid \delta < \gamma\}$, and pairwise intersections of these, as a basis for the open sets.

(ii) Show that, in this topology, $\alpha$ is compact if and only if it is either zero or a successor ordinal.

(iii) Suppose that $\alpha$ is a limit and $\text{cf}(\alpha) > \omega$ (see the previous question). Show that $\alpha$ has the ‘Bolzano–Weierstrass property’ that every sequence has a convergent subsequence [hint: recall a proof from Analysis I that every sequence has a monotonic subsequence]. Show also that every continuous function $f : \alpha \to \mathbb{R}$ is bounded. [First show that there exists $\beta < \alpha$ such that $|f(\beta) - f(\gamma)| < 1$ for all $\gamma > \beta$.]

(iv) Show that the topology on $\alpha$ can be induced by a metric if and only if $\alpha < \omega_1$. [For ‘if’, use the first part of question 10; for ‘only if’, use parts (ii) and (iii).]