

## AN ALGEBRAIC VARIETY IS A SIMPLICIAL AFFINE VARIETY.

In this handout, we'll define an *arbitrary* algebraic variety as something built out of affine algebraic varieties by glueing. This is an easy special case of a general notion, and it's only this special case we'll explain. The definition of a variety is easy; the definition of a morphism is a little more subtle.

### VARIETIES

First suppose given a topological space  $X$ , and open sets  $U_1, \dots, U_N$  of  $X$  such that the  $U_i$  cover  $X$ , i.e.  $X = \cup_i U_i$ .

Put  $U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j = U_{ji}$ , and let  $\phi_{ij} : U_{ij} \rightarrow U_i$  be the inclusion map. This is an open inclusion.

Write  $U_\cdot = \coprod U_i$ ,  $U_{\cdot\cdot} = \coprod U_{ij}$ , and  $U_\cdot \rightrightarrows U_\cdot$  as shorthand for this data. It may be helpful to notice  $U_{\cdot\cdot} = U_\cdot \times_X U_\cdot$ .

We can recover  $X$  from this data, by glueing:

$$X = (\coprod U_i) / \sim,$$

where  $\phi_{ij}(u) \sim \phi_{ji}(u)$ , for all  $u \in U_{ij}$ .

This makes sense for any maps  $U_\cdot \rightrightarrows U_\cdot$ , and defines a sensible topological space  $X$ , as long as  $\sim$  really is an equivalence relation, i.e. providing the maps

$$U_{ijk} := U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k \rightarrow U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow U_i, \quad U_{ijk} := U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k \rightarrow U_i \cap U_k \rightarrow U_i$$

are the same for all  $i, j, k$ .

Now, we can take this as the definition of an algebraic variety, by requiring that each of the spaces  $U_i, U_{ij}, U_{ijk}$  is an affine variety, and that each of the maps  $\phi_{ij} : U_{ij} \rightarrow U_i, \phi_{ijk}$  is an open inclusion (and in particular a morphism) of affine varieties,

We say that the data  $\phi : U_\cdot \rightrightarrows U_\cdot$  defining  $X$  is a *presentation* of  $X$ .

Notice that nothing stops us from glueing the underlying sets  $U_i$ , with their Zariski topologies, to get a topological space  $X$ . The additional 'algebraic' structure on  $X$  is encoded in the definition of a morphism.

### MORPHISMS

Now, let  $\phi : U_\cdot \rightrightarrows U_\cdot$  be a presentation of  $X$ , and  $\psi : V_\cdot \rightrightarrows V_\cdot$  a presentation of  $Y$ ,  $X$  and  $Y$  two algebraic varieties. To define a morphism of varieties is to define a morphism of presentations.

However, a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  need not take one presentation to another. That is, it will often be the case that for some  $i$ , there is no  $j$  with  $f(U_i) \subseteq V_j$ . Nonetheless, sometimes we're lucky, and our map  $f$  really does preserve the cover.

Such maps are good, because we can insist  $f|_{U_i} : U_i \rightarrow V_j$  is a morphism of *affine* varieties. Call such an  $f$  a "strict morphism".

Here is a definition of a strict morphism which doesn't mention the glued space  $X$ , and is written purely in terms of the presentation. (Rather than read it, it might be a better exercise to just invent it yourself).

A *strict morphism*  $f : U \rightarrow V$  is a map  $F : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, m\}$ , and morphisms  $f_i : U_i \rightarrow V_{F_i}$ ,  $f_{ij} : U_{ij} \rightarrow V_{F_i, F_j}$  of affine varieties such that for all  $i, j$ ,  $f_i \phi_{ij} = \psi_{F_i, F_j} f_{ij}$ , and  $f_{ij} = f_{ji}$ .

So a strict morphism defines a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  which preserves the presentations. It is clear how to compose strict morphisms. You should check that a strict morphism always defines a continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ .

A particular example of a strict morphism is a *refinement*, which is a strict morphism such that i) each  $f_i$  is a Zariski open embedding, ii) for all  $j$ ,  $\cup_{i:F(i)=j} f_i(U_i) = V_j$ , and iii)  $U_{ij} := U_i \cap U_j \simeq f_i U_i \cap f_j U_j \subseteq V_{F_i} \cap V_{F_j} = V_{F_i F_j}$ .

For example, if  $X = V$  is affine, a refinement  $f : U \rightarrow X$  is just a presentation of  $V$ . In general a refinement of  $V$  is a presentation of each component  $V_j$  of  $V$ , that is, just a presentation of the disconnected affine variety  $V$ .

For a concrete example, take  $X = \mathbf{A}^1$ , and  $U_i = \mathbf{A}^1 \setminus \{p_i\}$ , for distinct points  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  of  $\mathbf{A}^1$ .

Now we want to define morphisms of algebraic varieties by considering refinements to be isomorphisms, and “formally adding their inverses” to the category. What that means here is:

**Definition.** A morphism  $F : U \rightarrow V$  is a pair of a refinement  $\alpha : W \rightarrow U$ , and strict morphism  $f : W \rightarrow V$ .

If both  $\alpha, f$  are refinements, then swapping the roles of  $\alpha, f$  we get a morphism  $G : V \rightarrow U$ . Call such morphisms “simultaneous refinements”.

**Exercise.** Define composition of morphisms, and show that the isomorphisms are precisely the morphisms for which both  $\alpha$  and  $f$  are refinements.

Finally, we note that we’re only interested in studying varieties upto isomorphism—that is we consider a refinement (of a cover of) the variety to be the same variety.

**Definition.** Let  $X$  be an algebraic variety, given by a presentation  $U \rightrightarrows U$ . Say  $U'$  is an affine cover of  $X$  if there is a simultaneous refinement morphism  $U' \rightarrow U$ .

So every affine cover of  $X$  defines a presentation of the variety  $X$ ; all of these presentations are equally good.

Here is a concrete example. If  $H_0, \dots, H_n$  are distinct hyperplanes with  $\cap H_i = 0$  in a  $n + 1$ -dimensional vector space  $V$ , then the sets  $U_i = \mathbf{P}V \setminus \mathbf{P}H_i$  define a presentation of  $\mathbf{P}V$ . Show that if  $H'_0, \dots, H'_i$  are another such tuple of hyperplanes, then  $U'_i = \mathbf{P}V \setminus \mathbf{P}H'_i$  defines an affine cover of  $\mathbf{P}V$ .

To see that we haven’t done anything unexpected to morphisms of affine varieties, do the following easy

**Exercise.** If  $U$  is a presentation of  $X$ ,  $V$  a presentation of  $Y$ , and  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism of varieties in this sense, and  $X$  and  $Y$  are affine varieties, show  $F$  determines uniquely a morphism of affine varieties, and conversely.

This data of a morphism isn’t quite as horrible as it looks.

If you’re give a map of sets  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $f$  being continuous is a *property* of the map  $f$ .

Similarly, when given a map of sets  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , where  $X$  and  $Y$  are algebraic varieties, then the property of  $f$  coming from a morphism is a property of the map of sets  $f$ , as the following attempts to show.

**Exercise.** *i) A morphism  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  of varieties has the property that for every affine cover  $V.$  of  $Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(V_j)$  is an open subset of  $X$ , and an algebraic variety, and there exists an affine cover  $U(j)$  of  $f^{-1}(V_j)$  such that  $U. = \coprod U(j)$  is an affine cover of  $X$  and  $U. \rightarrow V.$  is a strict morphism.*

*ii) If  $X$  and  $Y$  are algebraic varieties, a map of sets  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism if there is some affine cover  $V.$  of  $Y$  and affine cover  $U.$  of  $X$  which makes  $f$  a strict morphism.*

You should take part (ii) of the exercise as the practical definition of a morphism; the previous two pages were carefully checking it makes sense.