

## ANALYSIS II—EXAMPLES 3      Michaelmas 2025

Please email comments, corrections to: [n.wickramasekera@dpmms.cam.ac.uk](mailto:n.wickramasekera@dpmms.cam.ac.uk).

Assume that all vector spaces referred to below are over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

1. Quickies:
  - (a) Show that two norms  $\|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|'$  on a vector space  $V$  are Lipschitz equivalent if and only if there exist numbers  $r, R > 0$  such that  $B_r \subseteq B'_1 \subseteq B_R$ , where  $B_\rho = \{x \in V : \|x\| < \rho\}$  and  $B'_\rho = \{x \in V : \|x\|' < \rho\}$ .
  - (b) Show that two norms  $\|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|'$  on a vector space  $V$  are Lipschitz equivalent if and only if the following holds: for any sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $V$ ,  $x_n \rightarrow x$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\| \iff x_n \rightarrow x$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|'$ .
  - (c) If  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$  is a normed space and  $\varphi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a linear functional, show that  $\|\cdot\| + |\varphi(\cdot)|$  defines a norm on  $V$ , and that this norm is not Lipschitz equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|$  if  $\varphi$  is not continuous.
  - (d)\* Let  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space. If any norm on  $V$  is Lipschitz equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|$ , does it follow that  $V$  is finite dimensional?
2. Let  $(X, d)$  and  $(X', d')$  be metric spaces. Prove that a map  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  is continuous if and only if the inverse image  $f^{-1}(V)$  of any open set  $V \subset X'$  is open in  $X$ .
3. If  $X$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the Euclidean metric and if every continuous function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has bounded image, prove that  $X$  is compact. \* Does this generalise to arbitrary metric spaces  $(X, d)$ ?
4. (a) Let  $(X, d)$  be a totally bounded metric space (that is,  $(X, d)$  has the property that for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a finite set  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\} \subset X$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^N B_\epsilon(x_j)$ ). Show that any sequence  $(x_k)$  in  $X$  has a Cauchy subsequence. (b) Show that a metric space is compact if and only if it is complete and totally bounded.
5. Each of the following properties/notions makes sense for an arbitrary metric spaces  $X$ . Which are topological (i.e. dependent only on the collection of open subsets of  $X$  and not on the metric generating the open subsets)? Justify your answers.
  - (i) boundedness of a subset of  $X$ .
  - (ii) closed-ness of a subset of  $X$ .
  - (iii) notion that a subset of  $X$  is closed *and* bounded.
  - (iv) total boundedness of  $X$ .
  - (v) completeness of  $X$ .
  - (vi) notion that  $X$  is complete *and* totally bounded.
6. Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open,  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $a \in U$ . A differentiable curve passing through  $a$  is a differentiable map  $\gamma : (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\gamma(0) = a$ . If  $f \circ \gamma$  is differentiable at 0 for every differentiable curve  $\gamma$  passing through  $a$ , does it follow that  $f$  is differentiable at  $a$ ?

7. Define  $f: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  by  $f(x, y, z) = (e^{x+y+z}, \cos x^2 y)$ . Without making use of partial derivatives, show that  $f$  is everywhere differentiable and find  $Df(a)$  at each  $a \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . Find all partial derivatives of  $f$  and hence, using appropriate results on partial derivatives, give an alternative proof of this result.

8. Consider the map  $f: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  given by  $f(x) = x/\|x\|$  for  $x \neq 0$ , and  $f(0) = 0$ . Show that  $f$  is differentiable except at 0, and that

$$Df(x)(h) = \frac{h}{\|x\|} - \frac{x(x \cdot h)}{\|x\|^3}.$$

Verify that  $Df(x)(h)$  is orthogonal to  $x$  and explain geometrically why this is the case.

9. At which points of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is the function  $f(x, y) = |x||y|$  differentiable? What about the function  $g: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $g(x, y) = xy/\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$  if  $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$ ,  $g(0, 0) = 0$ ?

10. Let  $f$  be a real-valued function on an open subset  $U$  of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $f(\cdot, y)$  is continuous for each fixed  $y \in U$  and  $f(x, \cdot)$  is continuous for each fixed  $x \in U$ . Give an example to show that  $f$  need not be continuous on  $U$ . If additionally  $f(\cdot, y)$  is Lipschitz for each  $y \in U$  with Lipschitz constant independent of  $y$ , show that  $f$  is continuous on  $U$ . Deduce that if  $D_1 f$  exists and is bounded on  $U$  and  $f(x, \cdot)$  is continuous for each fixed  $x \in U$ , then  $f$  is continuous on  $U$ .

11. Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . If  $D_1 f$  exists in some open ball around  $a$  and is continuous at  $a$ , and if  $D_2 f$  exists at  $a$ , show that  $f$  is differentiable at  $a$ .

12.\* Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the vector space of real polynomials on the unit interval  $[0, 1]$ . Show that for any infinite set  $I \subseteq [0, 1]$ ,  $\|p\|_I = \sup_I |p|$  defines a norm on  $\mathcal{P}$ . Use this fact to produce an example of a vector space, a sequence in it and two different norms on it such that the sequence converges to different elements in the space with respect to the different norms. (Hint: the Weierstrass approximation theorem may be helpful).

Is it possible to find such a sequence in one of the spaces  $\ell^1$  or  $\ell^2$  equipped with two norms, when possible, chosen from the standard norms on the spaces  $\ell^1$ ,  $\ell^2$ ,  $\ell^\infty$ ? What about in the space  $C([0, 1])$  equipped with two norms chosen from the  $L^1$ ,  $L^2$ ,  $L^\infty$  norms?

\* **Supplement: Incompleteness of the Riemann  $L^1$  norm.** From lectures/ex. sheet 2, we know the following:

- (i)  $C([0, 1])$  with the norm  $\|f\|_1 = \int_0^1 |f|$  is incomplete (ex. sheet 2, Q6);
- (ii)  $C([0, 1])$  is a linear subspace of the space of bounded Riemann integrable functions  $\mathcal{R}([0, 1])$ ;
- (iii)  $\|\cdot\|_1$  extends to this larger space  $\mathcal{R}([0, 1])$ , although since

$$\int_0^1 |f| = 0 \not\Rightarrow f(x) = 0 \text{ for every } x \in [0, 1],$$

technically  $\|\cdot\|_1$  is not a norm on  $\mathcal{R}([0, 1])$ ;

(iv) This issue is easily fixed by considering instead the quotient space  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}([0, 1]) = \mathcal{R}([0, 1]) / \sim$  where  $f \sim g$  if  $f(x) = g(x)$  for a.e.  $x \in [0, 1]$  (i.e. there is a null set  $N \subset [0, 1]$  such that  $f(x) = g(x)$  for every  $x \in [0, 1] \setminus N$ ). As discussed in lectures (as a non-examinable topic), addition  $[f] + [g] = [f + g]$  and scalar multiplication  $\lambda[f] = [\lambda f]$  are well-defined operations on  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}([0, 1])$  which make it a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $\|[f]\|_1 = \int_0^1 |f|$  is well-defined and is a norm on  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}([0, 1])$  (this last assertion can be verified, for instance, with the help of Lebesgue's theorem on the Riemann integral, as discussed in lecture).

For notational simplicity we shall continue to write  $\mathcal{R}([0, 1])$  for  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}([0, 1])$  and  $\|f\|_1$  for  $\|[f]\|_1$ . Given the above facts, an important question is whether  $\mathcal{R}([0, 1])$  is complete with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_1$ .

To understand this, we might at first consider the following example which you saw very early on in the lectures: let  $q_1, q_2, q_3, \dots$  be an enumeration of the rational numbers in  $[0, 1]$ , and let  $h_k$  be the indicator function of the set  $\{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k\}$ . We have that  $h_k \rightarrow h$  pointwise on  $[0, 1]$  where  $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the indicator function of the set of all rationals in  $[0, 1]$ . The  $h_k$  are Riemann integrable, but  $h$  is not; moreover,  $(h_k)$  is a Cauchy sequence with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_1$ .

**Question:** Does the sequence  $(h_k)$  have an  $L^1$  limit? Why does this example not establish incompleteness of  $(\mathcal{R}([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_1)$ ?

Here is an outline of a slightly more elaborate construction, which follows the basic idea of the above example but considers, instead of  $h_k$ , the indicator function  $f_k$  of a “fattened-up” version of  $\{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k\}$ . As an optional exercise, you may wish to complete this (to see incompleteness :)). Incompleteness of the  $L^1$  norm on  $\mathcal{R}([0, 1])$  is a serious drawback of Riemann integration, which is very successfully resolved by the more general theory of Lebesgue integration (covered in Part II courses ‘Probability & Measure’ and ‘Analysis of Functions’).

Let  $I_j = [q_j - \frac{1}{2^{j+2}}, q_j + \frac{1}{2^{j+2}}] \cap [0, 1]$  and let  $J_k = \bigcup_{j=1}^k I_j$ . Let  $f_k : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the indicator function of  $J_k$ .

- (a) Show that  $f_k$  is Riemann integrable, with  $0 \leq \int_0^1 f_k \leq 1/2$  for each  $k$ .
- (b) Show that  $(f_k)$  is a Cauchy sequence with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_1$ .
- (c) Suppose (for a contradiction) that there is (a bounded)  $g \in \mathcal{R}([0, 1])$  such that  $\|f_k - g\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ . Show that  $\int_{I_j} |1 - g| = 0$  for each  $j$ .
- (d) For any given interval  $[p, q] \subset [0, 1]$  with  $p < q$ , by choosing appropriate  $I_j$  and using part (c), show that  $\sup_{[p, q]} g \geq 1$ .
- (e) Deduce that any Riemann upper sum  $U(P, g) \geq 1$  and hence that  $\int_0^1 g \geq 1$ , which (since  $\|f_k - g\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ ) contradicts part (a). Conclude that  $(\mathcal{R}([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_1)$  is incomplete.