

## The Tamagawa Number Conjecture

Matthias Flach  
(Caltech)

The talk gave a gentle introduction to the conjectural picture on leading Taylor coefficients of motivic  $L$ -functions, nowadays subsumed under the heading of the (equivariant) Tamagawa number conjecture, which is the culmination of a long historical development beginning with formulae of Leibenz, Euler, Gauss, Dirichlet, Eisenstein, continuing with Dedekind's general class number formula for number fields, the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer (BSD), Borel's theorem on the Dedekind's  $\zeta$ -function at positive integers, conjectures of Deligne, Bloch, Beilinson, Bloch-Kato, Fontaine and Perrin-Rion, Burns and myself and others. Much current work in number theory entirely concerns itself with the conjecture of BSD for elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and their base change to number fields as extremely powerful techniques are available in this case after work of Gross-Zagier, Kolyvagin, Kato, Taylor-Wiles et al, Darmon and Zhang. Such curves, however, only constitute a small class among all arithmetic schemes and, after reviewing some history, the emphasis of the talk was to present what is expected in general. If  $L(M, s)$  the Taylor expansion  $L(M, s) = L^*(M)S^{r(M)} + \dots$  at  $s = 0, r(M) \in \mathbb{Z}$  is described as an Euler characteristic of motivic cohomology and the leading coefficient  $L^*(M) \in \mathbb{R}$  is described in terms of the 'fundamental line'  $\equiv (M)$ , a determinant line bundle of various rational cohomology spaces associated to  $M$ . There are isomorphisms  $\vartheta_\infty := (M) \otimes \mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{R}$  and  $\vartheta_l := (M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l \simeq \det_{\mathbb{Q}_l} R[C(\mathbb{Z}C[\frac{1}{l}], M_l)$  for each prime  $l$  and one conjectures  $\vartheta_\infty^{-1}(L^*(M))E \equiv (M) \otimes 1$  and  $\vartheta_l \vartheta_\infty^{-1}(L(M))$  generates the  $\mathbb{Z}_l$ -lattice  $\det_{\mathbb{Z}_l} R[C(\mathbb{Z}C[\frac{1}{l}], T_l)$  where  $T_l \subset M_l$  is any  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  stable  $\mathbb{Z}_l$ -lattice. It is quite suggestive to expect that  $\equiv (M)$  is the determinant of a naturally occurring complex and some recent ideas of Lichtenbaum ('Weil-étale cohomology') in this direction were presented. Further evidence for this point of view is the extension of this conjecture to an equivalent context where a finite-dimensional semisimple  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra  $A$  acts on  $M$ . The simplest example to look at is the motive of a point where the conjecture for  $A = \mathbb{Q}$  is equivalent to the analytic class number formula and hence known but where the conjecture for  $A = \mathbb{Q}[G], G = Gal(F/\mathbb{Q})$  is to a large extent open. By explicit classical formulae for Dirichlet  $L$ -functions one can treat the case where  $G$  is abelian (the subtlety coming from the fact that one needs to describe the  $\mathbb{Z}_l[G]$ -lattice spanned by the  $L$ -value). For  $l$  odd this was done by Burns and Geither. The case  $l = 2$  was discussed at the end of the talk.

# Kuwait Foundation Lecture #56

Matthias Flach (Caltech)

## "The Tamagawa Number Conjecture"

The talk gave a gentle introduction to the conjectural picture on leading Taylor coefficients of motivic L-functions, nowadays subsumed under the heading of the (equivariant) Tamagawa number conjecture, which is the culmination of a long historical development beginning with formulae of Leibniz, Euler, Gauss, Dirichlet, Eisenstein, continuing with Dedekind's general class number formula for number fields, the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer (BSD), Borel's theorem on the Dedekind zeta-function at positive integers, conjectures of Deligne, Bloch, Beilinson, Bloch-Kato, Fontaine and Perrin-Riou, Burns and myself and others. Much current work in number theory entirely concerns itself with the conjecture of BSD for elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and their base change to number fields as extremely powerful techniques are available in this case after work of Gross-Zagier, Kolyvagin, Kato, Taylor-Wiles et al, Darmon and Zhang. Such curves, however, only constitute a small class among all arithmetic schemes and after reviewing some history, the emphasis of the talk was to present what is expected in general. If  $L(M, s)$  has the Taylor expansion  $L(M, s) = L^*(M) s^{r(M)} + \dots$  at  $s=0$ ,  $r(M) \in \mathbb{Z}$  is described as an Euler characteristic of motivic cohomology and the leading coefficient  $L^*(M) \in \mathbb{R}$  is described in terms of the "fundamental line"  $\Xi(M)$ , a determinant line bundle of various rational cohomology spaces associated to  $M$ . There are isomorphisms  $\mathcal{V}_\infty: \Xi(M) \otimes \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathcal{V}_\ell: \Xi(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \cong \det_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} R\Gamma_c(\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell], M_\ell)$  for each prime  $\ell$  and one conjectures  $\mathcal{V}_\infty^{-1}(L^*(M)) \in \Xi(M) \otimes 1$  and  $\mathcal{V}_\ell \mathcal{V}_\infty^{-1}(L^*(M))$  generates the  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -lattice  $\det_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} R\Gamma_c(\mathbb{Z}[1/\ell], T_\ell)$  where  $T_\ell \subset M_\ell$  is any  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -stable  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -lattice. It is quite suggestive to expect that  $\Xi(M)$  is the determinant of a naturally occurring complex and some recent ideas of Lichtenbaum ("Weil-étale cohomology") in this direction were presented. Further evidence for this point of view is the extension of this conjecture to an equivariant context where a finite-dimensional semisimple  $G$ -algebra  $A$  acts on  $M$ . The simplest example to look at is the motive of a point where the conjecture for  $A = \mathbb{Q}$  is equivalent to the analytic class number formula and hence known but where the conjecture for  $A = \mathbb{Q}[G]$ ,  $G = \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$  is to a large extent open. By explicit classical formulae for Dirichlet L-functions one can treat the case where  $G$  is abelian (the subtlety coming from the fact that one needs to describe the  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell[G]$ -lattice spanned by the L-value). For odd  $\ell$  this was done by Burns and Greither. The case  $\ell=2$  was discussed at the end of the talk MF