

Part III Algebraic Topology // The small simplices theorem

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ be a collection of subsets of X whose interiors cover X , and $C_\bullet^\mathcal{U}(X) \subset C_\bullet(X)$ be the sub-chain complex generated by those singular simplices $\sigma : \Delta^n \rightarrow X$ whose image lies entirely within some U_α . The goal of this note is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *The map $H_*^\mathcal{U}(X) := H_*(C_\bullet^\mathcal{U}(X)) \rightarrow H_*(X)$ is an isomorphism.*

1. Barycentric subdivision.

Definition 2. If $x = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ is a collection of points in \mathbb{R}^N which span an n -simplex, we write $b_x = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum x_i$ for the *barycentre* of the simplex x . In particular, we write $b_n \in \Delta^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ for the barycentre of the standard n -simplex.

Let us write $\iota_n : \Delta^n \rightarrow \Delta^n$ for the identity map considered as a singular n -simplex, so as an element of $C_n(\Delta^n)$. If $\sigma : \Delta^i \rightarrow \Delta^n$ is a singular i -simplex, let

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cone}_i^{\Delta^n}(\sigma) : \Delta^{i+1} &\longrightarrow \Delta^n \\ (t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{i+1}) &\longmapsto t_0 \cdot b_n + (1 - t_0) \cdot \sigma \left(\frac{(t_1, \dots, t_{i+1})}{1 - t_0} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that Δ^n is convex to linearly interpolate between $b_n, \sigma(t_1, \dots, t_{i+1}) \in \Delta^n$. This construction extended linearly gives a homomorphism $\text{Cone}_i^{\Delta^n} : C_i(\Delta^n) \rightarrow C_{i+1}(\Delta^n)$, which satisfies

$$d(\text{Cone}_i^{\Delta^n}(\sigma)) = \begin{cases} \sigma - \text{Cone}_{i-1}^{\Delta^n}(d\sigma) & i > 0 \\ \sigma - \epsilon(\sigma) \cdot b_n & i = 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, if we let $c_\bullet : C_\bullet(\Delta^n) \rightarrow C_\bullet(\Delta^n)$ be the chain map given by $c_0(\sigma) = \epsilon(\sigma) \cdot b_n$ on a 0-simplex σ , and by $c_i(\sigma) = 0$ on simplices of higher dimension, then

$$d\text{Cone}^{\Delta^n} + \text{Cone}^{\Delta^n} d = \text{id}_{C_\bullet(\Delta^n)} - c_\bullet.$$

Remark 3. This in particular shows that $H_i(\Delta^n) = 0$ for $i > 0$.

Definition 4. If $p_\bullet^X : C_\bullet(X) \rightarrow C_\bullet(X)$ is a collection of chain maps, one for each space X , we say they are *natural* if for each map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of spaces we have $f_n \circ p_n^X = p_n^Y \circ f_n$. We make the analogous definition for a collection of chain homotopies $F_\bullet^X : C_\bullet(X) \rightarrow C_{\bullet+1}(X)$.

Definition 5. Define homomorphisms $\rho_n^X : C_n(X) \rightarrow C_n(X)$ inductively by:

- (i) Let $\rho_0^X = \text{id}_{C_0(X)}$ for all spaces X .
- (ii) If ρ_{n-1}^X has been defined for all spaces X , let

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_n^X : C_n(X) &\longrightarrow C_n(X) \\ \sigma &\longmapsto \sigma_\#(\text{Cone}_{n-1}^{\Delta^n}(\rho_{n-1}^{\Delta^n}(d\iota_n))). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6. $\rho_\bullet^X : C_\bullet(X) \rightarrow C_\bullet(X)$ is a natural chain map.

Proof. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ then

$$f_{\#}(\rho_n^X(\sigma)) = f_{\#}\sigma_{\#}(\text{Cone}_{n-1}^{\Delta^n}(\rho_{n-1}^{\Delta^n}(d\iota_n))) = (f \circ \sigma)_{\#}(\text{Cone}_{n-1}^{\Delta^n}(\rho_{n-1}^{\Delta^n}(d\iota_n)))$$

which is $\rho_n^Y(f \circ \sigma) = \rho_n^Y(f_{\#}(\sigma))$, so this is natural.

Let us suppose for an induction that $d\rho_{n-1}^X = \rho_{n-2}^X d$ for all spaces X , which is certainly satisfied when $n-1=0$. Then for $n \geq 1$ calculate

$$\begin{aligned} d\rho_n^X(\sigma) &= \sigma_{\#}(d(\text{Cone}_{n-1}^{\Delta^n}(\rho_{n-1}^{\Delta^n}(d\iota_n)))) \\ &= \sigma_{\#}(\rho_{n-1}^{\Delta^n}(d\iota_n) - \text{Cone}_{n-2}^{\Delta^n}(d\rho_{n-1}^{\Delta^n}(d\iota_n))) \\ &= \sigma_{\#}(\rho_{n-1}^{\Delta^n}(d\iota_n) - \text{Cone}_{n-2}^{\Delta^n}(\rho_{n-1}^{\Delta^n}(dd\iota_n))) \\ &= \sigma_{\#}(\rho_{n-1}^{\Delta^n}(d\iota_n)) = \rho_{n-1}^X(d\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

as required, where at the end we have used the naturality property $\sigma_{\#} \circ \rho_{n-1}^{\Delta^n} = \rho_{n-1}^X \circ \sigma_{\#}$. \square

We now wish to show that ρ_{\bullet}^X is naturally chain homotopic to the identity.

Definition 7. Define homomorphisms $T_n^X : C_n(X) \rightarrow C_{n+1}(X)$ inductively by:

- (i) Let $T_0^X = 0$ for all spaces X .
- (ii) If T_{n-1}^X has been defined for all spaces X , let

$$\begin{aligned} T_n^X : C_n(X) &\longrightarrow C_{n+1}(X) \\ \sigma &\longmapsto \sigma_{\#}(\text{Cone}_n^{\Delta^n}(\rho_n^{\Delta^n}(\iota_n) - \iota_n - T_{n-1}^{\Delta^n}(d\iota_n))). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 8. $T_{\bullet}^X : C_{\bullet}(X) \rightarrow C_{\bullet+1}(X)$ is a natural chain homotopy from ρ_{\bullet}^X to the identity.

Proof. It is natural for the same reason ρ_n^X was: $T_n^X(\sigma)$ is obtained by applying $\sigma_{\#}$ to an element of $C_{n+1}(\Delta^n)$.

Suppose for an induction that $dT_{n-1}^X + T_{n-2}^X d = \rho_{n-1}^X - \text{id}_{C_{n-1}(X)}$ for all spaces X , which is certainly satisfied for $n-1=0$. Then for $n \geq 1$ calculate

$$\begin{aligned} dT_n^X(\sigma) &= \sigma_{\#}(d\text{Cone}_n^{\Delta^n}(\rho_n^{\Delta^n}(\iota_n) - \iota_n - T_{n-1}^{\Delta^n}(d\iota_n))) \\ &= \sigma_{\#}((\text{id}_{C_n(\Delta^n)} - \text{Cone}_{n-1}^{\Delta^n} d)(\rho_n^{\Delta^n}(\iota_n) - \iota_n - T_{n-1}^{\Delta^n}(d\iota_n))). \end{aligned}$$

Now by the inductive assumption we have

$$d(\rho_n^{\Delta^n}(\iota_n) - \iota_n - T_{n-1}^{\Delta^n}(d\iota_n)) = \rho_{n-1}^{\Delta^n}(d\iota_n) - d\iota_n - dT_{n-1}^{\Delta^n}(d\iota_n) = T_{n-2}^{\Delta^n}(dd\iota_n) = 0,$$

so the expression simplifies to

$$dT_n^X(\sigma) = \sigma_{\#}(\rho_n^{\Delta^n}(\iota_n) - \iota_n - T_{n-1}^{\Delta^n}(d\iota_n)) = \rho_n^X(\sigma) - \sigma - T_{n-1}^X(d\sigma)$$

as required, where we have again used naturality of ρ^X and T^X . \square

2. Some geometry of simplices.

The standard simplex Δ^n is a metric space via the metric inherited from \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , so we may talk about the diameter of a subset of Δ^n . For points $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n \in \Delta^n$, we write $[v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n] : \Delta^n \rightarrow \Delta^n$ for the map $(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto \sum_i t_i v_i$; when it is injective, let us also write $[v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n]$ for the image of this map, which is the convex hull of the v_i .

Lemma 9. $\text{diam}([v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n]) = \max_{i,j} \{|v_i - v_j|\}$

Proof. For $v \in [v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| v - \sum_i t_i v_i \right| &= \left| \sum_i t_i v - \sum_i t_i v_i \right| \\ &\leq \sum_i t_i |v_i - v| \\ &\leq \max_j \{ |v - v_j| \} \end{aligned}$$

and by convexity the latter is maximised when v is a vertex. \square

Lemma 10. *Each simplex of $\rho_n^{\Delta^n}([v_0, \dots, v_n])$ has diameter $\leq \frac{n}{n+1} \text{diam}([v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n])$.*

Proof. Let us prove this by induction on dimension; it clearly holds for 0-simplices.

Now $\rho_n^{\Delta^n}([v_0, \dots, v_n])$ is a signed sum of n -simplices $[b_v, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ where b_v is the barycentre of $[v_0, \dots, v_n]$ and the x_i lie in the boundary of $[v_0, \dots, v_n]$. If the maximal distance between two vertices of such a simplex is between two x_i 's, then this takes place in a face of $[v_0, \dots, v_n]$, which has dimension $< n$ so the distance between them is $\leq \frac{n}{n+1} \text{diam}([v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n])$ by inductive assumption.

If the maximal distance is between b_v and some x_i , then x_i lies in some face $[v_0, \dots, \widehat{v_j}, \dots, v_n]$ so $|b_v - x_i| \leq |b_v - v_k|$ for some k . But

$$\begin{aligned} |b_v - v_k| &= \left| \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_i v_i - \frac{n+1}{n+1} v_k \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1} \left| \sum_i v_i - v_k \right| \\ &\leq \sum_i \frac{1}{n+1} |v_i - v_k| \end{aligned}$$

and each $|v_i - v_k|$ is at most $\text{diam}([v_0, \dots, v_n])$, though $|v_k - v_k| = 0$. This shows that $|b_v - v_k| \leq \frac{n}{n+1} \text{diam}([v_0, \dots, v_n])$ as required. \square

Proposition 11. *Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ be a collection of subsets of X whose interiors cover.*

(i) *If $c \in C_n^{\mathcal{U}}(X)$ then $\rho_n^X(c) \in C_n^{\mathcal{U}}(X)$ too.*

(ii) *If $c \in C_n(X)$ then there is a $k \gg 0$ such that $(\rho_n^X)^k(c) \in C_n^{\mathcal{U}}(X)$.*

Proof. The first part follows from naturality of ρ_n^X : if $\sigma : \Delta^n \rightarrow U_\alpha$ and $i_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion of spaces, then $\rho_n^X((i_\alpha)_\#(\sigma)) = (i_\alpha)_\#(\rho_n^{U_\alpha}(\sigma))$ is a sum of simplices in U_α .

For the second part, by (i) and the fact that an n -chain is a *finite* sum of singular n -simplices, we may suppose that c is a single singular n -simplex $\sigma : \Delta^n \rightarrow X$. Then $\mathcal{V} = \{\sigma^{-1}\mathring{U}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ is an open cover of Δ^n , which is a compact metric space. By the *Lesbegue Number Lemma* there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that each ϵ -ball in Δ^n is contained in some $\sigma^{-1}\mathring{U}_\alpha$. By iterating Lemma 10, each simplex of $(\rho_n^{\Delta^n})^k(\iota_n)$ has diameter $\leq (\frac{n}{n+1})^k \text{diam}(\Delta^n)$, so by choosing $k \gg 0$ we may suppose that each simplex of $(\rho_n^{\Delta^n})^k(\iota_n)$ has diameter less than ϵ , and so lies in some $\sigma^{-1}\mathring{U}_\alpha$.

Hence $(\rho_n^{\Delta^n})^k(\iota_n) \in C_n^{\mathcal{V}}(\Delta^n)$, and so $(\rho_n^X)^k(\sigma) = \sigma_\#((\rho_n^{\Delta^n})^k(\iota_n)) \in C_n^{\mathcal{U}}(X)$, as required. \square

3. Proof of Theorem 1.

We consider the chain map $C_{\bullet}^{\mathcal{U}}(X) \rightarrow C_{\bullet}(X)$ given by inclusion, and the induced map $U : H_n^{\mathcal{U}}(X) \rightarrow H_n(X)$ on homology.

Let $[c] \in H_n(X)$. By Proposition 11 there is a $k \gg 0$ such that $(\rho_n^X)^k(c) \in C_n^{\mathcal{U}}(X)$. As ρ_{\bullet}^X is naturally chain homotopic to the identity, so is the composition $(\rho_{\bullet}^X)^k$. One could find a formula for such a chain homotopy in terms of T_{\bullet}^X , but the formula does not matter so let us simply write F_{\bullet}^k for such a chain homotopy, satisfying $dF_n^k + F_{n-1}^k d = (\rho_n^X)^k - \text{id}$. Then

$$(\rho_n^X)^k(c) - c = dF_n^k(c) + F_{n-1}^k d(c)$$

but the last term vanishes as c is a cycle (because it represents a homology class). Thus $(\rho_n^X)^k(c)$ is equivalent to c modulo boundaries, so $U : H_n^{\mathcal{U}}(X) \rightarrow H_n(X)$ is surjective.

Now let $[c] \in H_n^{\mathcal{U}}(X)$ be such that $U([c]) = 0 \in H_n(X)$. Thus there is a $z \in C_{n+1}(X)$ such that $d(z) = c \in C_n(X)$. By Proposition 11 there is a $k \gg 0$ such that $(\rho_{n+1}^X)^k(z) \in C_{n+1}^{\mathcal{U}}(X)$, and we have

$$(\rho_{n+1}^X)^k(z) - z = dF_{n+1}^k(z) + F_n^k d(z)$$

and so applying d we get

$$d((\rho_{n+1}^X)^k(z) - F_n^k d(z)) = d(z) = c.$$

Now $(\rho_{n+1}^X)^k(z) \in C_{n+1}^{\mathcal{U}}(X)$ by our choice of k , and as $d(z) = c \in C_n^{\mathcal{U}}(X)$ and the chain homotopy F_{\bullet}^k is natural, $F_n^k d(z) \in C_{n+1}^{\mathcal{U}}(X)$ too. Thus c is a boundary in $C_{\bullet}^{\mathcal{U}}(X)$, so $[c] = 0 \in H_n^{\mathcal{U}}(X)$, and hence $U : H_n^{\mathcal{U}}(X) \rightarrow H_n(X)$ is injective.