

# The Acyclic Carrier Theorem

Oscar Randal-Williams

Michaelmas 2013

**Definition 1.** Let  $K$  and  $L$  be simplicial complexes, and  $f_\bullet : C_\bullet(K) \rightarrow C_\bullet(L)$  be a chain map between their associated chain complexes. A *carrier* for  $f_\bullet$  is a function

$$\Phi : K \longrightarrow \{\text{sub simplicial complexes of } L\}$$

such that

1.  $f_n([a_0, \dots, a_n])$  may be represented by a sum of simplices in  $\Phi(\langle a_0, \dots, a_n \rangle)$ ,
2. if  $\tau \leq \sigma$  then  $\Phi(\tau) \subseteq \Phi(\sigma)$ .

A carrier  $\Phi$  is called *acyclic* if  $\Phi(\sigma)$  has the homology of the one point simplicial complex for every simplex  $\sigma \in K$ .

**Definition 2.** For a simplicial complex  $K$  define  $\epsilon_K : C_0(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  by  $\epsilon_K([a_0]) = 1$  (and extending linearly). Say a chain map  $f_\bullet : C_\bullet(K) \rightarrow C_\bullet(L)$  is *augmentation-preserving* if  $\epsilon_K = \epsilon_L \circ f_0$ .

Note that  $\epsilon_K \circ \partial_1 = 0$  (as  $\epsilon_K \circ \partial_1([a_0, a_1]) = \epsilon_K([a_1] - [a_0]) = 1 - 1 = 0$ ) so  $\epsilon_K$  induces a well-defined map  $(\epsilon_K)_* : H_0(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $H_0(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  then it is generated by any vertex of  $K$ , so  $(\epsilon_K)_*$  is an isomorphism.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $f_\bullet, g_\bullet : C_\bullet(K) \rightarrow C_\bullet(L)$  be augmentation-preserving chain maps and  $\Phi$  be a carrier for both of them which is acyclic. Then  $f_\bullet \simeq g_\bullet$ .

*Proof.* Choose a total order  $\prec$  on  $V_K$ , so that a basis for  $C_n(K)$  is given by

$$\{[a_0, \dots, a_n] \mid \langle a_0, \dots, a_n \rangle \in K \text{ and } a_0 \prec \dots \prec a_n\}.$$

We will construct maps  $H_n : C_n(K) \rightarrow C_{n+1}(L)$  by induction. For each vertex  $a_0$  of  $K$  the element  $x = (f_0 - g_0)([a_0])$  is a sum of 0-simplices of  $\Phi(\langle a_0 \rangle)$ , so represents a class  $[x] \in H_0(\Phi(\langle a_0 \rangle)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . But

$$(\epsilon_{\Phi(\langle a_0 \rangle)})_*([x]) = \epsilon_L((f_0 - g_0)([a_0])) = \epsilon_L(f_0([a_0])) - \epsilon_L(g_0([a_0])) = 1 - 1 = 0$$

and  $(\epsilon_{\Phi(\langle a_0 \rangle)})_*$  is an isomorphism, so  $[x] = 0$ . Thus there is a  $y \in C_1(\Phi(\langle a_0 \rangle))$  so that  $x = \partial_1 y$ , and we define  $H_0([a_0]) = y$ . By construction this satisfies

$$(\partial_1 \circ H_0 + H_{-1} \circ \partial_0)([a_0]) = \partial_1 y = x = (f_0 - g_0)([a_0]).$$

Now let  $n > 0$  and suppose that for each  $i < n$  we have defined homomorphisms  $H_i : C_i(K) \rightarrow C_{i+1}(L)$  such that

1.  $\partial_{i+1} \circ H_i + H_{i-1} \circ \partial_i = f_i - g_i$ ,
2.  $H_i(\sigma)$  may be represented by a sum of simplices in  $\Phi(\sigma)$ .

We wish to define  $H_n([a_0, \dots, a_n])$ , so consider

$$x = (f_n - g_n - H_{n-1} \circ \partial_n)([a_0, \dots, a_n]).$$

Both  $f_n([a_0, \dots, a_n])$  and  $g_n([a_0, \dots, a_n])$  are sums of simplices in  $\Phi(\langle a_0, \dots, a_n \rangle)$  as both maps are carried by  $\Phi$ . The chain  $H_{n-1} \circ \partial_n([a_0, \dots, a_n])$  is a sum of simplices each in  $\Phi(\tau)$  for some  $\tau \leq \langle a_0, \dots, a_n \rangle$ , but  $\Phi(\tau) \subseteq \Phi(\langle a_0, \dots, a_n \rangle)$  by definition of a carrier, so  $H_{n-1} \circ \partial_n([a_0, \dots, a_n])$  is also a sum of simplices in  $\Phi(\langle a_0, \dots, a_n \rangle)$ . Thus  $x$  lies in  $C_n(\Phi(\langle a_0, \dots, a_n \rangle))$ . We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_n(x) &= (\partial_n \circ f_n - \partial_n \circ g_n - \partial_n \circ H_{n-1} \circ \partial_n)([a_0, \dots, a_n]) \\ &= (f_{n-1} \circ \partial_n - g_{n-1} \circ \partial_n - \partial_n \circ H_{n-1} \circ \partial_n)([a_0, \dots, a_n]) \\ &= (f_{n-1} \circ \partial_n - g_{n-1} \circ \partial_n - (f_{n-1} - g_{n-1} - H_{n-2} \circ \partial_{n-1}) \circ \partial_n)([a_0, \dots, a_n]) \\ &= H_{n-1} \circ \partial_{n-1} \circ \partial_n([a_0, \dots, a_n]) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, as  $\Phi$  is acyclic (i.e.  $\Phi(\langle a_0, \dots, a_n \rangle)$  has the homology of a point) we have  $H_n(\Phi(\langle a_0, \dots, a_n \rangle)) = 0$  and so  $x = \partial_{n+1}(y)$  for some  $y \in C_{n+1}(\Phi(\langle a_0, \dots, a_n \rangle))$ . We define  $H_n([a_0, \dots, a_n]) = y$ . By construction this satisfies

$$\partial_{n+1} \circ H_n + H_{n-1} \circ \partial_n = f_n - g_n,$$

so the  $H_n$  give a chain homotopy between  $f_\bullet$  and  $g_\bullet$ . □