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(the space of sections of the bundle with fibre $\mathcal{S m}\left(T_{m} M\right) \cong \mathcal{S m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ )
Theorem. [Hirsch-Mazur '74, Kirby-Siebenmann '77]
For $d \neq 4$ this map is a homotopy equivalence.
$\operatorname{Homeo}_{\partial}(M)$ acts on $\mathcal{S m}(M)$, giving

$$
\mathcal{S m}(M) \cong \bigsqcup_{[W]} \operatorname{Homeo}_{\partial}(W) / \operatorname{Diff}_{\partial}(W)
$$

Similarly, $\operatorname{Sm}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \cong \operatorname{Homeo}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) / \operatorname{Diff}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$
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\mathcal{S m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Top}(d) / O(d)
$$

Applied to $D^{d}, d \neq 4$, smoothing theory gives a map
$\operatorname{Homeo}_{\partial}\left(D^{d}\right) / \operatorname{Diff}_{\partial}\left(D^{d}\right) \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\partial}\left(S m\left(T D^{d}\right) \rightarrow D^{d}\right)=\operatorname{map}_{\partial}\left(D^{d}, \operatorname{Top}(d) / O(d)\right)$
which is a homotopy equivalence to the path components it hits.
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{BDiff}_{\partial}\left(D^{d}\right) \simeq \Omega_{0}^{d} \operatorname{Top}(d) / O(d) \tag{Morlet}
\end{equation*}
$$

or if you prefer

$$
\operatorname{Diff}_{\partial}\left(D^{d}\right) \simeq \Omega^{d+1} \operatorname{Top}(d) / O(d) .
$$

$O(d)$ is "well understood" so $\operatorname{Diff}_{\partial}\left(D^{d}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Top}(d)$ are equidifficult.
But $\operatorname{Diff}_{\partial}\left(D^{d}\right)$ is more approachable: can use smoothness.
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Theorem. [Farrell-Hsiang '78]

$$
\pi_{*}\left(\text { BDiff }_{\partial}\left(D^{d}\right)\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}= \begin{cases}0 & d \text { even } \\ \mathbb{Q}[4] \oplus \mathbb{Q}[8] \oplus \mathbb{Q}[12] \oplus \cdots & d \text { odd }\end{cases}
$$

in the pseudoisotopy stable range for $d$ (so certainly for $* \lesssim \frac{d}{3}$ ).
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Theorem. [Watanabe '18]
There is a surjection

$$
\pi_{r}\left(\text { BDiff }_{\partial}\left(D^{4}\right)\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{r}^{\text {even }}
$$

where $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{A}_{r}^{\text {even }}\right)=0,1,0,0,1,0,0,0,1, \ldots\left(\operatorname{so} \pi_{2}\left(\operatorname{BDiff}_{\partial}\left(D^{4}\right)\right) \neq 0\right)$

## The theorem of Weiss
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Theorem. [Weiss '15]
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Inspired by Weiss' argument, Alexander Kupers and I have begun a programme to determine

$$
\pi_{*}\left(\operatorname{BDiff}_{\partial}\left(D^{2 n}\right)\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}
$$

as completely as possible. The first installment is:
A. Kupers, O. R-W, On diffeomorphisms of even-dimensional discs (arXiv:2007.13884)

Here we

1. fully determine these groups in degrees $* \leq 4 n-10$,
2. determine them in higher degrees outside of certain "bands",
3. understand something about the structure of these bands.


## A pattern

Theorem. [Kupers-R-W]
Let $2 n \geq 6$.
(i) If $d<2 n-1$ then $\pi_{d}\left(\operatorname{BDiff}_{\partial}\left(D^{2 n}\right)\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ vanishes, and
(ii) if $d \geq 2 n-1$ then $\pi_{d}\left(\right.$ BDiff $\left._{\partial}\left(D^{2 n}\right)\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is

$$
\begin{cases}\mathbb{Q} & \text { if } d \equiv 2 n-1 \bmod 4 \text { and } d \notin \bigcup_{r \geq 2}[2 r(n-2)-1,2 r n-1], \\ 0 & \text { if } d \not \equiv 2 n-1 \bmod 4 \text { and } d \notin \bigcup_{r \geq 2}[2 r(n-2)-1,2 r n-1], \\ ? & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$
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For $2 n \geq 6$ the groups $\pi_{*}\left(\Omega_{0}^{2 n+1}\left(\frac{\text { Top }}{\operatorname{Top}(2 n)}\right)\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ are supported in degrees

$$
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Reflecting $D^{2 n}$ or $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ induces compatible involutions on
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We show this acts as -1 on

$$
\pi_{*}\left(\Omega_{0}^{2 n} \frac{T o p}{O(2 n)}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}=\mathbb{Q}[2 n-1] \oplus \mathbb{Q}[2 n+3] \oplus \mathbb{Q}[2 n+7] \oplus \cdots
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and acts on $\pi_{*}\left(\Omega_{0}^{2 n+1}\left(\frac{\text { Top }}{\text { Top }(2 n)}\right)\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ as $(-1)^{r}$ in the $r$ th band.
The orange/blue colours in the chart are the $+1 /-1$ eigenspaces.
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It lies in the +1 -eigenspace, so injects into $\pi_{*}\left(\right.$ BDiff $\left._{\partial}\left(D^{2 n}\right)\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

## The first uncertainty

We also determine to some extent what happens in the first band shown in the chart: the groups $\pi_{*}\left(\Omega^{2 n+1}\left(\frac{\text { Top }}{\operatorname{Top}(2 n)}\right)\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ in degrees [ $4 n-9,4 n-4$ ] are calculated by a chain complex of the form

$$
\mathbb{Q}^{2} \longleftarrow \mathbb{Q}^{4} \longleftarrow \mathbb{Q}^{10} \longleftarrow \mathbb{Q}^{21} \longleftarrow \mathbb{Q}^{15} \longleftarrow \mathbb{Q}^{3}
$$

We don't know the differentials, but it has Euler characteristic 1 so must have some homology.
It lies in the +1 -eigenspace, so injects into $\pi_{*}\left(\right.$ BDiff $\left._{\partial}\left(D^{2 n}\right)\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.
By analogy with Watanabe's theorem for $D^{4}$ one expects

$$
\operatorname{dim} \pi_{4 n-6}\left(\text { BDiff }_{\partial}\left(D^{2 n}\right)\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \geq 1
$$

which is compatible with the above.

## Remarks on the proof
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## Philosophy

Many results in this flavour of geometric topology are relative: they describe the difference between

1. topological/smooth manifolds (smoothing)
2. homotopy equivalences/block diffeomorphisms (surgery)
3. block diffeomorphisms/diffeomorphisms (pseudoisotopy) Weiss suggested a new kind of relativisation: for $M$ with $\partial M=S^{d-1}$ and $\frac{1}{2} \partial M:=D^{d-1} \subset S^{d-1}$ he showed that

$$
\frac{\operatorname{Diff}_{\partial}(M)}{\operatorname{Diff}_{\partial}\left(D^{d}\right)} \simeq E m b_{1 / 2 \partial}^{\simeq}(M)
$$

Under mild conditions on $M$ such a self-embedding space can be analysed using the theory of embedding calculus.
(The "codimension" of such embeddings can be $\geq 3$.)
Strategy: find a manifold $M$ for which one can understand $E m b_{1 / 2 \lambda}^{\simeq}(M)$ and $\operatorname{Diff}_{\partial}(M)$, then deduce things about $\operatorname{Diff}_{\partial}\left(D^{d}\right)$.

## The manifold $W_{g, 1}$

A good choice is

$$
W_{g, 1}:=D^{2 n} \# g\left(S^{n} \times S^{n}\right)
$$

especially for "arbitrarily large" $g$.
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Here $\mathcal{B}$ is the set of monomials in $e, p_{n-1}, p_{n-2}, \ldots, p_{\left\lceil\frac{n+1}{4}\right\rceil}$.
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Here $\mathcal{B}$ is the set of monomials in $e, p_{n-1}, p_{n-2}, \ldots, p_{\left\lceil\frac{n+1}{4}\right\rceil}$.
Theorem. [Berglund-Madsen '20 $2 n \geq 6$ ]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{g \rightarrow \infty} H^{*}\left(\widetilde{B D i f f}_{\partial}\left(W_{g, 1}\right) ; \mathbb{Q}\right)=\mathbb{Q}\left[\tilde{\kappa}_{c}^{\xi} \mid(c, \xi) \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}\right] \\
& \lim _{g \rightarrow \infty} H^{*}\left(\operatorname{BhAut}_{\partial}\left(W_{g, 1}\right) ; \mathbb{Q}\right)=\mathbb{Q}\left[\tilde{\kappa}_{c}^{\xi} \mid(c, \xi) \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime \prime}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{\prime \prime}$ are much more complicated than $\mathcal{B}$, and we will probably never be able to enumerate them completely.

## Difficulties I

Embedding calculus describes $E m b_{1 / 2 \lambda}^{\cong}\left(W_{g, 1}\right)$ as the limit of a tower

$$
T_{1} E m b_{1 / 2 \lambda}^{\cong}\left(W_{g, 1}\right) \longleftarrow T_{2} E m b_{1 / 2 \lambda}^{\cong}\left(W_{g, 1}\right) \longleftarrow T_{3} E m b_{1 / 2 \lambda}^{\simeq}\left(W_{g, 1}\right) \cdots
$$
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The term $T_{1} E m b_{1 / 22}^{\cong}\left(W_{g, 1}\right)$ is close to being the space of homotopy self-equivalences of $W_{g, 1}$ relative to half the boundary; if we instead use framed self-embeddings then it is:
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By rational homotopy theory, for $L:=\operatorname{Lie}\left(s^{-1} H_{n}\left(W_{g, 1} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)\right)$ have

$$
\pi_{*>0}\left(h A u t_{1 / 2 \partial}\left(W_{g, 1}\right)\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}=\operatorname{Der}^{+}(L, L)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(s^{-1} H_{n}\left(W_{g, 1} ; \mathbb{Q}\right), L\right),
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supported in degrees which are multiples of $n-1$.

## Difficulties I

The higher layers are described as spaces of sections

$$
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The homotopy groups of such a space can be computed by a twisted form of the Federer spectral sequence. Rationally express this as
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\begin{gathered}
E_{p, q}^{2} \otimes \mathbb{Q}=\left[H^{p}\left(W_{g, 1}^{k}, \Delta_{1 / 2 \partial} ; \mathbb{Q}\right) \otimes \pi_{q}\left(\text { tohofib }_{I \subseteq[k]} E m b\left(I, W_{g, 1}\right)\right)\right]^{\mathfrak{S}_{k}} \\
\Rightarrow \pi_{q-p}\left(L_{k} E m b_{1 / 2 \partial}^{\cong}\left(W_{g, 1}\right)\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q} .
\end{gathered}
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The main issue is to determine/estimate the characters of
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H^{p}\left(W_{g, 1}^{k}, \Delta_{1 / 2 \partial} ; \mathbb{Q}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \pi_{q}\left(E m b\left([k], W_{g, 1}\right)\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}
$$

as representations of $\mathfrak{S}_{k} \times \pi_{0}\left(\operatorname{Diff}_{\partial}\left(W_{g, 1}\right)\right)$.

## Difficulties I

The character of $H^{p}\left(W_{g, 1}^{k}, \Delta_{1 / 2 \partial} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$ can be determined easily using a theorem of Petersen '20.

The character of $\pi_{q}\left(E m b\left([k], W_{q, 1}\right)\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is much more complicated, but we are able to get a closed expression for it by relating it to an extended form of the Drinfel'd-Kohno Lie algebra, using Koszul duality, recognising the Koszul dual, and working with this.
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The character of $\pi_{q}\left(E m b\left([k], W_{q, 1}\right)\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is much more complicated, but we are able to get a closed expression for it by relating it to an extended form of the Drinfel'd-Kohno Lie algebra, using Koszul duality, recognising the Koszul dual, and working with this.

We are able to completely determine rational homotopy of the layers of the embedding calculus tower, but not their interaction.
Nonetheless this lets us prove that $\pi_{*}\left(E m b_{1 / 22}^{\cong}=, f r\left(W_{g, 1}\right)\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is supported in degrees $* \in \cup_{r \geq 1}[r(n-2)-1, r(n-1)]$. This is the darkly shaded region in the chart.

## Dificulties II

While we have very good understanding of $H^{*}\left(B \operatorname{Diff}_{\partial}\left(W_{g, 1}\right) ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$, the strategy requires $\pi_{*}\left(\right.$ BDiff $\left._{\partial}\left(W_{g, 1}\right)\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.
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$\pi_{1}\left(\right.$ BDiff $\left._{\partial}\left(W_{g, 1}\right)\right) \sim S p_{2 g}(\mathbb{Z})$ ( $n$ odd) or $O_{g, g}(\mathbb{Z})$ ( $n$ even)
$\Rightarrow$ wildly complicated group, not nilpotent: cannot expect to determine the rational homotopy of $\operatorname{BDiff}_{\partial}\left(W_{g, 1}\right)$ from cohomology.
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Can pass to the Torelli subgroup

$$
\operatorname{Tor}_{\partial}\left(W_{g, 1}\right):=\operatorname{ker}\left(\operatorname{Diff}_{\partial}\left(W_{g, 1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(H_{n}\left(W_{g, 1} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)\right)\right)
$$

to eliminate the arithmetic group, but this changes the cohomology.
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to eliminate the arithmetic group, but this changes the cohomology.
In two companion papers we prove that the space $B \operatorname{Tor}_{\partial}\left(W_{g, 1}\right)$ is nilpotent, and determine $H^{*}\left(\right.$ BTor $\left._{\partial}\left(W_{g, 1}\right) ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$ as $g \rightarrow \infty$.
A. Kupers, O. R-W, On the cohomology of Torelli groups

Forum of Mathematics, Pi, 8 (2020)
A. Kupers, O. R-W, The cohomology of Torelli groups is algebraic

Forum of Mathematics, Sigma, to appear

## Dificulties II

Adapting this to the framed case, we produce a fibration

$$
X_{1}(g) \longrightarrow B \operatorname{Tor}_{\partial}^{f r}\left(W_{g, 1}\right) \longrightarrow X_{0}
$$

with $H^{*}\left(X_{0} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)=\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\bar{\sigma}_{4 j-2 n-1} \mid j>n / 2\right]$.
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$$

with $H^{*}\left(X_{0} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)=\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\bar{\sigma}_{L j-2 n-1} \mid j>n / 2\right]$.
We show that in a stable range, $H^{*}\left(X_{1}(g) ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is generated by classes

$$
\kappa\left(v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{r}\right) \in H^{(r-2) n}\left(X_{1}(g) ; \mathbb{Q}\right) \quad r \geq 3, \quad v_{i} \in H^{n}\left(W_{g, 1} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)
$$

subject only to the relations (where $\left\{a_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{a_{i}^{\#}\right\}$ are dual bases)
(i) linearity in each $v_{i}$,
(ii) $\kappa\left(v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes v_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma(r)}\right)=\operatorname{sign}(\sigma)^{n} \cdot \kappa\left(v_{1} \otimes v_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{r}\right)$,
(iii) $\sum_{i} \kappa\left(v \otimes a_{i}\right) \cdot \kappa\left(a_{i}^{\#} \otimes w\right)=\kappa(v \otimes w)$, for any tensors $v$ and $w$,
(iv) $\sum_{i} \kappa\left(v \otimes a_{i} \otimes a_{i}^{\#}\right)=0$ for any tensor $v$.
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(iv) $\sum_{i} \kappa\left(v \otimes a_{i} \otimes a_{i}^{\#}\right)=0$ for any tensor $v$.

The unstable Adams spectral sequence then shows
$\pi_{*}\left(B \operatorname{Tor}_{\partial}^{f r}\left(W_{g, 1}\right)\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}=\left(\bigoplus_{j>n / 2} \mathbb{Q}[4 j-2 n-1]\right)$ " $\oplus "\binom{$ something supported in }{$* \in \bigcup_{r \geq 0} r(r(n-1)+1, r n-2]}$
The second piece is the lightly shaded region in the chart.

## Optimism

## Divergent embedding calculus

Can apply embedding calculus to diffeomorphisms, considered as codimension o embeddings. It need not converge and in fact does not converge: by work of Fresse, Turchin, and Willwacher '17 it predicts (modulo a subtlety) that $\pi_{*}\left(\right.$ BDiff $\left._{\partial}\left(D^{2 n}\right)\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ should be

$$
\left(\bigoplus_{i>0} \mathbb{Q}[2 n-4 i]\right) \oplus \mathbb{Q}[4 n-6] \oplus \mathbb{Q}[8 n-10] \oplus \mathbb{Q}[10 n-15] \oplus \cdots
$$

so misses the Weiss classes and starts with some spurious classes. But apart from this it has classes supported in our bands, and here is given precisely by Kontsevich's graph complex $\mathrm{GC}_{2 n}^{2}$.
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Could there be a rational fibration

$$
\operatorname{BDiff}_{\partial}\left(D^{2 n}\right) \longrightarrow B T_{\infty} \operatorname{Diff}_{\partial}\left(D^{2 n}\right) \longrightarrow \Omega^{\infty+2 n} L(\mathbb{Z}) ?
$$

## Evidence

Could there be a rational fibration
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It is consistent with everything we know, and would explain Watanabe's and Weiss' results.

## Evidence

Could there be a rational fibration

$$
\operatorname{BDiff}_{\partial}\left(D^{2 n}\right) \longrightarrow B T_{\infty} \operatorname{Diff}_{\partial}\left(D^{2 n}\right) \longrightarrow \Omega^{\infty+2 n} L(\mathbb{Z}) ?
$$

## Evidence.

It is consistent with everything we know, and would explain Watanabe's and Weiss' results.

Evidence. [Knudsen-Kupers '20]
If $d \geq 6, M^{d} 2$-connected, $\partial M=S^{d-1}$ then

$$
\operatorname{hofib}^{\left.B D D i f f_{\partial}(M) \rightarrow B T_{\infty} \operatorname{Diff}_{\partial}(M)\right)}
$$

is independent of $M$.

## Evidence

Could there be a rational fibration

$$
\operatorname{BDiff}_{\partial}\left(D^{2 n}\right) \longrightarrow B T_{\infty} \operatorname{Diff}_{\partial}\left(D^{2 n}\right) \longrightarrow \Omega^{\infty+2 n} L(\mathbb{Z}) ?
$$

## Evidence.

It is consistent with everything we know, and would explain Watanabe's and Weiss' results.

Evidence. [Knudsen-Kupers '20]
If $d \geq 6, M^{d} 2$-connected, $\partial M=S^{d-1}$ then

$$
\operatorname{hofib}^{\left.B D D i f f_{\partial}(M) \rightarrow B T_{\infty} \operatorname{Diff}_{\partial}(M)\right)}
$$

is independent of $M$.
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This homotopy fibre is an infinite loop space.
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Could there be a rational fibration

$$
\operatorname{BDiff}_{\partial}\left(D^{2 n}\right) \longrightarrow B T_{\infty} \operatorname{Diff}_{\partial}\left(D^{2 n}\right) \longrightarrow \Omega^{\infty+2 n} L(\mathbb{Z}) ?
$$

## Evidence.

It is consistent with everything we know, and would explain Watanabe's and Weiss' results.

Evidence. [Knudsen-Kupers '20]
If $d \geq 6, M^{d} 2$-connected, $\partial M=S^{d-1}$ then

$$
\operatorname{hofib}^{\left.B D D i f f_{\partial}(M) \rightarrow B T_{\infty} \operatorname{Diff}_{\partial}(M)\right)}
$$

is independent of $M$.
Evidence. [Krannich, last week]
This homotopy fibre is an infinite loop space.
Evidence. [Prigge '20]
The family signature theorem does not hold on $\mathrm{BT}_{2} \operatorname{Diff}_{\partial}(M)$.

## Questions?



