Diffeomorphisms of discs

Oscar Randal-Williams

European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

LEVERHULME TRUST

Smoothing theory

M a topological d-manifold, maybe with smooth boundary ∂M

$$\mathcal{S}m(M) = \{ \text{ space of smooth structures on } M, \text{ fixed near } \partial M \ \}$$

("space" interpreted liberally).

Smoothing theory

M a topological d-manifold, maybe with smooth boundary ∂M

$$\mathcal{S}m(M) = \{ \text{ space of smooth structures on } M\text{, fixed near } \partial M \}$$

("space" interpreted liberally).

Recording germs of smooth structure near each point gives a map

$$\mathcal{S}m(M) \longrightarrow \Gamma_\partial(\mathcal{S}m(TM) \to M)$$

(the space of sections of the bundle with fibre $\mathcal{S}m(T_m M) \cong \mathcal{S}m(\mathbb{R}^d)$)

Smoothing theory

M a topological d-manifold, maybe with smooth boundary ∂M

$$\mathcal{S}m(M) = \{ \text{ space of smooth structures on } M\text{, fixed near } \partial M \}$$

("space" interpreted liberally).

Recording germs of smooth structure near each point gives a map

$$\mathcal{S}m(M) \longrightarrow \Gamma_\partial(\mathcal{S}m(TM) \to M)$$

(the space of sections of the bundle with fibre $\mathcal{S}m(T_mM) \cong \mathcal{S}m(\mathbb{R}^d)$)

Theorem. [Hirsch–Mazur '74, Kirby–Siebenmann '77]
For $d \neq 4$ this map is a homotopy equivalence.

Smoothing theory

M a topological d-manifold, maybe with smooth boundary ∂M

$$\mathcal{S}m(M) = \{ \text{ space of smooth structures on } M, \text{ fixed near } \partial M \}$$

("space" interpreted liberally).

Recording germs of smooth structure near each point gives a map

$$\mathcal{S}m(M) \longrightarrow \Gamma_\partial(\mathcal{S}m(TM) \to M)$$

(the space of sections of the bundle with fibre $\mathcal{S}m(T_mM) \cong \mathcal{S}m(\mathbb{R}^d)$)

Theorem. [Hirsch–Mazur '74, Kirby–Siebenmann '77]
For $d \neq 4$ this map is a homotopy equivalence.

$Homeo_\partial(M)$ acts on $\mathcal{S}m(M)$, giving

$$\mathcal{S}m(M) \cong \coprod_{[W]} Homeo_\partial(W)/Diff_\partial(W)$$

Similarly, $\mathcal{S}m(\mathbb{R}^d) \cong Homeo(\mathbb{R}^d)/Diff(\mathbb{R}^d)$

A consequence of smoothing theory

Write $Top(d) := Homeo(\mathbb{R}^d)$. By linearising have $Diff(\mathbb{R}^d) \simeq O(d)$, so

$$\mathcal{S}m(\mathbb{R}^d) \simeq Top(d)/O(d).$$

A consequence of smoothing theory

Write $Top(d) := Homeo(\mathbb{R}^d)$. By linearising have $Diff(\mathbb{R}^d) \simeq O(d)$, so

$$\mathcal{S}m(\mathbb{R}^d) \simeq Top(d)/O(d).$$

Applied to D^d, $d \neq 4$, smoothing theory gives a map

$$Homeo_\partial(D^d)/Diff_\partial(D^d) \longrightarrow \Gamma_\partial(\mathcal{S}m(TD^d) \to D^d) = map_\partial(D^d, Top(d)/O(d))$$

which is a homotopy equivalence to the path components it hits.

A consequence of smoothing theory

Write $Top(d) := Homeo(\mathbb{R}^d)$. By linearising have $Diff(\mathbb{R}^d) \simeq O(d)$, so

$$\mathcal{S}m(\mathbb{R}^d) \simeq Top(d)/O(d).$$

Applied to D^d, $d \neq 4$, smoothing theory gives a map

$$Homeo_\partial(D^d)/Diff_\partial(D^d) \longrightarrow \Gamma_\partial(\mathcal{S}m(TD^d) \to D^d) = map_\partial(D^d, Top(d)/O(d))$$

which is a homotopy equivalence to the path components it hits.

The Alexander trick $Homeo_\partial(D^d) \simeq *$ implies

$$BDiff_\partial(D^d) \simeq \Omega^d_0 Top(d)/O(d) \qquad \text{(Morlet)}$$

or if you prefer

$$Diff_\partial(D^d) \simeq \Omega^{d+1} Top(d)/O(d).$$

A consequence of smoothing theory

Write $\mathit{Top}(d) := \mathit{Homeo}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. By linearising have $\mathit{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^d) \simeq O(d)$, so

$$\mathcal{S}m(\mathbb{R}^d) \simeq \mathit{Top}(d)/O(d).$$

Applied to D^d, $d \neq 4$, smoothing theory gives a map

$$\mathit{Homeo}_\partial(D^d)/\mathit{Diff}_\partial(D^d) \longrightarrow \Gamma_\partial(\mathcal{S}m(TD^d) \to D^d) = \mathit{map}_\partial(D^d, \mathit{Top}(d)/O(d))$$

which is a homotopy equivalence to the path components it hits.

The Alexander trick $\mathit{Homeo}_\partial(D^d) \simeq *$ implies

$$B\mathit{Diff}_\partial(D^d) \simeq \Omega^d_0 \mathit{Top}(d)/O(d) \qquad \text{(Morlet)}$$

or if you prefer

$$\mathit{Diff}_\partial(D^d) \simeq \Omega^{d+1} \mathit{Top}(d)/O(d).$$

$O(d)$ is "well understood" so $\mathit{Diff}_\partial(D^d)$ and $\mathit{Top}(d)$ are equidifficult.

But $\mathit{Diff}_\partial(D^d)$ is more approachable: can *use* smoothness.

What do we know?

The theorem of Farrell and Hsiang

The classical approach to studying $Diff_\partial(M)$ breaks up as

The theorem of Farrell and Hsiang

The classical approach to studying $Diff_\partial(M)$ breaks up as

1. Space of homotopy self-equivalences $hAut_\partial(M)$ analysed by homotopy theory.

The theorem of Farrell and Hsiang

The classical approach to studying $Diff_\partial(M)$ breaks up as

1. Space of homotopy self-equivalences $hAut_\partial(M)$ analysed by homotopy theory.
2. Comparison $hAut_\partial(M)/\widetilde{Diff}_\partial(M)$ with "block-diffeomorphisms" analysed by surgery theory.

The theorem of Farrell and Hsiang

The classical approach to studying $\mathit{Diff}_\partial(M)$ breaks up as

1. Space of homotopy self-equivalences $\mathit{hAut}_\partial(M)$ analysed by homotopy theory.
2. Comparison $\mathit{hAut}_\partial(M)/\widetilde{\mathit{Diff}}_\partial(M)$ with “block-diffeomorphisms” analysed by surgery theory.
3. Comparison $\widetilde{\mathit{Diff}}_\partial(M)/\mathit{Diff}_\partial(M)$ with diffeomorphisms analysed by pseudoisotopy theory (and hence K-theory), but only valid in the “pseudoisotopy stable range”.

The theorem of Farrell and Hsiang

The classical approach to studying $Diff_\partial(M)$ breaks up as

1. Space of homotopy self-equivalences $hAut_\partial(M)$ analysed by homotopy theory.
2. Comparison $hAut_\partial(M)/\widetilde{Diff}_\partial(M)$ with "block-diffeomorphisms" analysed by surgery theory.
3. Comparison $\widetilde{Diff}_\partial(M)/Diff_\partial(M)$ with diffeomorphisms analysed by pseudoisotopy theory (and hence K-theory), but only valid in the "pseudoisotopy stable range".

    [Igusa '84]: this is at least $min(\frac{d-7}{2}, \frac{d-4}{3}) \sim \frac{d}{3}$.

The theorem of Farrell and Hsiang

The classical approach to studying $\mathit{Diff}_\partial(M)$ breaks up as

1. Space of homotopy self-equivalences $\mathit{hAut}_\partial(M)$ analysed by homotopy theory.
2. Comparison $\mathit{hAut}_\partial(M)/\widetilde{\mathit{Diff}}_\partial(M)$ with "block-diffeomorphisms" analysed by surgery theory.
3. Comparison $\widetilde{\mathit{Diff}}_\partial(M)/\mathit{Diff}_\partial(M)$ with diffeomorphisms analysed by pseudoisotopy theory (and hence K-theory), but only valid in the "pseudoisotopy stable range".
   [Igusa '84]: this is at least $min(\frac{d-7}{2}, \frac{d-4}{3}) \sim \frac{d}{3}$.
   [RW '17]: it is at most $d-2$.

The theorem of Farrell and Hsiang

The classical approach to studying $Diff_\partial(M)$ breaks up as

1. Space of homotopy self-equivalences $hAut_\partial(M)$ analysed by homotopy theory.
2. Comparison $hAut_\partial(M)/\widetilde{Diff}_\partial(M)$ with "block-diffeomorphisms" analysed by surgery theory.
3. Comparison $\widetilde{Diff}_\partial(M)/Diff_\partial(M)$ with diffeomorphisms analysed by pseudoisotopy theory (and hence K-theory), but only valid in the "pseudoisotopy stable range".
   [Igusa '84]: this is at least $min(\frac{d-7}{2}, \frac{d-4}{3}) \sim \frac{d}{3}$.
   [RW '17]: it is at most $d-2$.

Theorem. [Farrell–Hsiang '78]

$$\pi_*(BDiff_\partial(D^d)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \begin{cases} 0 & d \text{ even} \\ \mathbb{Q}[4] \oplus \mathbb{Q}[8] \oplus \mathbb{Q}[12] \oplus \cdots & d \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

in the pseudoisotopy stable range for d (so certainly for $* \lesssim \frac{d}{3}$).

The theorems of Watanabe

Theorem. [Watanabe '09]
For $2n+1 \geq 5$ and $r \geq 2$ there is a surjection

$$\pi_{(2r)(2n)}(BDiff_\partial(D^{2n+1})) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_r^{odd}$$

Theorem. [Watanabe '09]
For $2n+1 \geq 5$ and $r \geq 2$ there is a surjection

$$\pi_{(2r)(2n)}(BDiff_\partial(D^{2n+1})) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_r^{odd}$$

where

$\mathcal{A}_r^{odd} =$



Theorem. [Watanabe '09]
For $2n+1 \geq 5$ and $r \geq 2$ there is a surjection

$$\pi_{(2r)(2n)}(BDiff_\partial(D^{2n+1})) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_r^{odd}$$

where

$\mathcal{A}_r^{odd} =$



has $dim(\mathcal{A}_r^{odd}) = 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, \ldots$

Theorem. [Watanabe '09]
For $2n+1 \geq 5$ and $r \geq 2$ there is a surjection

$$\pi_{(2r)(2n)}(BDiff_\partial(D^{2n+1})) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_r^{odd}$$

where



has $dim(\mathcal{A}_r^{odd}) = 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, \ldots$

Theorem. [Watanabe '18]
There is a surjection

$$\pi_r(BDiff_\partial(D^4)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_r^{even}$$

The theorems of Watanabe

Theorem. [Watanabe '09]
For $2n+1 \geq 5$ and $r \geq 2$ there is a surjection

$$\pi_{(2r)(2n)}(BDiff_\partial(D^{2n+1})) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_r^{odd}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_r^{odd} =$$



has $dim(\mathcal{A}_r^{odd}) = 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, \ldots$

Theorem. [Watanabe '18]
There is a surjection

$$\pi_r(BDiff_\partial(D^4)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_r^{even}$$

where $dim(\mathcal{A}_r^{even}) = 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, \ldots$ (so $\pi_2(BDiff_\partial(D^4)) \neq 0$)

The theorem of Weiss

Closely related to the classical story is the fact that the stable map

$$O = \operatorname*{colim}_{d \to \infty} O(d) \longrightarrow Top = \operatorname*{colim}_{d \to \infty} Top(d)$$

is a $\mathbb{Q}$-equivalence, and hence

$$H^*(BTop; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(BO; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots].$$

The theorem of Weiss

Closely related to the classical story is the fact that the stable map

$$O = \operatorname*{colim}_{d\to\infty} O(d) \longrightarrow Top = \operatorname*{colim}_{d\to\infty} Top(d)$$

is a $\mathbb{Q}$-equivalence, and hence

$$H^*(BTop;\mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(BO;\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots].$$

In $H^*(BO(2n);\mathbb{Q})$ the usual definition of Pontrjagin classes shows

$$p_n = e^2 \text{ and } p_{n+i} = 0 \text{ for all } i > 0. \tag{!}$$

The theorem of Weiss

Closely related to the classical story is the fact that the stable map

$$O = \operatorname*{colim}_{d\to\infty} O(d) \longrightarrow Top = \operatorname*{colim}_{d\to\infty} Top(d)$$

is a $\mathbb{Q}$-equivalence, and hence

$$H^*(BTop;\mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(BO;\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots].$$

In $H^*(BO(2n);\mathbb{Q})$ the usual definition of Pontrjagin classes shows

$$p_n = e^2 \text{ and } p_{n+i} = 0 \text{ for all } i > 0. \qquad (!)$$

Theorem. [Weiss '15]
For many n and $i \geq 0$ there are classes $w_{n,i} \in \pi_{4(n+i)}(BTop(2n))$ which pair nontrivially with p_{n+i} (i.e. (!) does not hold on $BTop(2n)$).

$\Rightarrow \pi_{2n-1+4i}(BDiff_\partial(D^{2n})) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \neq 0$ for such n and i.

A pattern

A pattern

Inspired by Weiss' argument, Alexander Kupers and I have begun a programme to determine

$$\pi_*(BDiff_\partial(D^{2n})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

as completely as possible. The first installment just came out:

A. Kupers, O. R-W, *On diffeomorphisms of even-dimensional discs* (arXiv:2007.13884)

A pattern

Inspired by Weiss' argument, Alexander Kupers and I have begun a programme to determine

$$\pi_*(BDiff_\partial(D^{2n})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

as completely as possible. The first installment just came out:

A. Kupers, O. R-W, *On diffeomorphisms of even-dimensional discs* (arXiv:2007.13884)

Here we

1. fully determine these groups in degrees $* \leq 4n - 10$,

A pattern

Inspired by Weiss' argument, Alexander Kupers and I have begun a programme to determine

$$\pi_*(BDiff_\partial(D^{2n})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

as completely as possible. The first installment just came out:

A. Kupers, O. R-W, *On diffeomorphisms of even-dimensional discs* (arXiv:2007.13884)

Here we

1. fully determine these groups in degrees $* \leq 4n - 10$,
2. determine them in higher degrees outside of certain "bands",

A pattern

Inspired by Weiss' argument, Alexander Kupers and I have begun a programme to determine

$$\pi_*(BDiff_\partial(D^{2n})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

as completely as possible. The first installment just came out:

A. Kupers, O. R-W, *On diffeomorphisms of even-dimensional discs* (arXiv:2007.13884)

Here we

1. fully determine these groups in degrees $* \leq 4n - 10$,
2. determine them in higher degrees outside of certain "bands",
3. understand something about the structure of these bands.



$\pi_*(BDiff_\partial(D^{2n})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$
= $\mathbb{Q}${Weiss class}
= uncertainty, but survives
= uncertainty, may not survive

A pattern

Theorem. [Kupers–R-W]
Let $2n \geq 6$.

(i) If $d < 2n-1$ then $\pi_d(BDiff_\partial(D^{2n})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ vanishes, and
(ii) if $d \geq 2n-1$ then $\pi_d(BDiff_\partial(D^{2n})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & \text{if } d \equiv 2n{-}1 \bmod 4 \text{ and } d \notin \bigcup_{r\geq 2} [2r(n{-}2)-1, 2rn-1], \\ 0 & \text{if } d \not\equiv 2n{-}1 \bmod 4 \text{ and } d \notin \bigcup_{r\geq 2} [2r(n{-}2)-1, 2rn-1], \\ ? & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

A pattern

Using the fibre sequence $\frac{Top(2n)}{O(2n)} \to \frac{Top}{O(2n)} \to \frac{Top}{Top(2n)}$ we have the

Reformulation (slightly stronger).
For $2n \geq 6$ the groups $\pi_*(\Omega_0^{2n+1}(\frac{Top}{Top(2n)})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ are supported in degrees

$$* \in \bigcup_{r \geq 2} [2r(n-2)-1, 2rn-2].$$

A pattern

Using the fibre sequence $\frac{Top(2n)}{O(2n)} \to \frac{Top}{O(2n)} \to \frac{Top}{Top(2n)}$ we have the

Reformulation (slightly stronger).
For $2n \geq 6$ the groups $\pi_*(\Omega_0^{2n+1}(\frac{Top}{Top(2n)})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ are supported in degrees

$$* \in \bigcup_{r \geq 2} [2r(n-2)-1, 2rn-2].$$

Reflecting D^{2n} or $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ induces compatible involutions on

$$\Omega_0^{2n+1}\frac{Top}{Top(2n)} \longrightarrow BDiff_\partial(D^{2n}) \simeq \Omega_0^{2n}\frac{Top(2n)}{O(2n)} \longrightarrow \Omega_0^{2n}\frac{Top}{O(2n)}.$$

A pattern

Using the fibre sequence $\frac{Top(2n)}{O(2n)} \to \frac{Top}{O(2n)} \to \frac{Top}{Top(2n)}$ we have the

Reformulation (slightly stronger).
For $2n \geq 6$ the groups $\pi_*(\Omega_0^{2n+1}(\frac{Top}{Top(2n)})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ are supported in degrees

$$* \in \bigcup_{r \geq 2} [2r(n-2)-1, 2rn-2].$$

Reflecting D^{2n} or $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ induces compatible involutions on

$$\Omega_0^{2n+1}\frac{Top}{Top(2n)} \longrightarrow BDiff_\partial(D^{2n}) \simeq \Omega_0^{2n}\frac{Top(2n)}{O(2n)} \longrightarrow \Omega_0^{2n}\frac{Top}{O(2n)}.$$

We show this acts as -1 on

$$\pi_*(\Omega_0^{2n}\frac{Top}{O(2n)}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}[2n-1] \oplus \mathbb{Q}[2n+3] \oplus \mathbb{Q}[2n+7] \oplus \cdots$$

and acts on $\pi_*(\Omega_0^{2n+1}(\frac{Top}{Top(2n)})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ as $(-1)^r$ in the rth band.

A pattern

Using the fibre sequence $\frac{Top(2n)}{O(2n)} \to \frac{Top}{O(2n)} \to \frac{Top}{Top(2n)}$ we have the

Reformulation (slightly stronger).
For $2n \geq 6$ the groups $\pi_*(\Omega_0^{2n+1}(\frac{Top}{Top(2n)})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ are supported in degrees

$$* \in \bigcup_{r \geq 2} [2r(n-2)-1, 2rn-2].$$

Reflecting D^{2n} or $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ induces compatible involutions on

$$\Omega_0^{2n+1}\frac{Top}{Top(2n)} \longrightarrow BDiff_\partial(D^{2n}) \simeq \Omega_0^{2n}\frac{Top(2n)}{O(2n)} \longrightarrow \Omega_0^{2n}\frac{Top}{O(2n)}.$$

We show this acts as -1 on

$$\pi_*(\Omega_0^{2n}\frac{Top}{O(2n)}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}[2n-1] \oplus \mathbb{Q}[2n+3] \oplus \mathbb{Q}[2n+7] \oplus \cdots$$

and acts on $\pi_*(\Omega_0^{2n+1}(\frac{Top}{Top(2n)})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ as $(-1)^r$ in the rth band.

The orange/blue colours in the chart are the $+1/-1$ eigenspaces.

The first uncertainty

We also determine to some extent what happens in the first band shown in the chart:

The first uncertainty

We also determine to some extent what happens in the first band shown in the chart: the groups $\pi_*(\Omega^{2n+1}(\frac{Top}{Top(2n)})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ in degrees $[4n-9, 4n-4]$ are calculated by a chain complex of the form

$$\mathbb{Q}^2 \longleftarrow \mathbb{Q}^4 \longleftarrow \mathbb{Q}^{10} \longleftarrow \mathbb{Q}^{21} \longleftarrow \mathbb{Q}^{15} \hookleftarrow \mathbb{Q}^3$$

The first uncertainty

We also determine to some extent what happens in the first band shown in the chart: the groups $\pi_*(\Omega^{2n+1}(\frac{Top}{Top(2n)})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ in degrees $[4n-9, 4n-4]$ are calculated by a chain complex of the form

$$\mathbb{Q}^2 \longleftarrow \mathbb{Q}^4 \longleftarrow \mathbb{Q}^{10} \longleftarrow \mathbb{Q}^{21} \longleftarrow \mathbb{Q}^{15} \hookleftarrow \mathbb{Q}^3$$

We don't know the differentials, but it has Euler characteristic 1 so must have some homology.

It lies in the $+1$-eigenspace, so injects into $\pi_*(BDiff_\partial(D^{2n})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

The first uncertainty

We also determine to some extent what happens in the first band shown in the chart: the groups $\pi_*(\Omega^{2n+1}(\frac{Top}{Top(2n)})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ in degrees $[4n-9, 4n-4]$ are calculated by a chain complex of the form

$$\mathbb{Q}^2 \longleftarrow \mathbb{Q}^4 \longleftarrow \mathbb{Q}^{10} \longleftarrow \mathbb{Q}^{21} \longleftarrow \mathbb{Q}^{15} \hookleftarrow \mathbb{Q}^3$$

We don't know the differentials, but it has Euler characteristic 1 so must have some homology.

It lies in the $+1$-eigenspace, so injects into $\pi_*(BDiff_\partial(D^{2n})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

By analogy with Watanabe's theorem for D^4 one expects

$$dim\, \pi_{4n-6}(BDiff_\partial(D^{2n})) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \geq 1$$

which is compatible with the above.

Remarks on the proof

Philosophy

Many results in this flavour of geometric topology are *relative*: they describe the difference between

1. topological/smooth manifolds (smoothing)
2. homotopy equivalences/block diffeomorphisms (surgery)
3. block diffeomorphisms/diffeomorphisms (pseudoisotopy)

Philosophy

Many results in this flavour of geometric topology are *relative*: they describe the difference between

1. topological/smooth manifolds (smoothing)
2. homotopy equivalences/block diffeomorphisms (surgery)
3. block diffeomorphisms/diffeomorphisms (pseudoisotopy)

Weiss suggested a new kind of relativisation:

for M with $\partial M = S^{d-1}$ and $\frac{1}{2}\partial M := D^{d-1} \subset S^{d-1}$ he showed that

$$\frac{Diff_\partial(M)}{Diff_\partial(D^d)} \simeq Emb^{\cong}_{1/2\partial}(M).$$

Philosophy

Many results in this flavour of geometric topology are *relative*: they describe the difference between

1. topological/smooth manifolds (smoothing)
2. homotopy equivalences/block diffeomorphisms (surgery)
3. block diffeomorphisms/diffeomorphisms (pseudoisotopy)

Weiss suggested a new kind of relativisation:

for M with $\partial M = S^{d-1}$ and $\frac{1}{2}\partial M := D^{d-1} \subset S^{d-1}$ he showed that

$$\frac{Diff_\partial(M)}{Diff_\partial(D^d)} \simeq Emb^{\cong}_{1/2\partial}(M).$$

Under mild conditions on M such a self-embedding space can be analysed using the theory of embedding calculus.
(The "codimension" of such embeddings can be ≥ 3.)

Philosophy

Many results in this flavour of geometric topology are *relative*: they describe the difference between

1. topological/smooth manifolds (smoothing)
2. homotopy equivalences/block diffeomorphisms (surgery)
3. block diffeomorphisms/diffeomorphisms (pseudoisotopy)

Weiss suggested a new kind of relativisation:

for M with $\partial M = S^{d-1}$ and $\frac{1}{2}\partial M := D^{d-1} \subset S^{d-1}$ he showed that

$$\frac{\mathit{Diff}_\partial(M)}{\mathit{Diff}_\partial(D^d)} \simeq \mathit{Emb}^{\cong}_{1/2\partial}(M).$$

Under mild conditions on M such a self-embedding space can be analysed using the theory of embedding calculus.
(The “codimension” of such embeddings can be ≥ 3.)

Strategy: find a manifold M for which one can understand $\mathit{Emb}^{\cong}_{1/2\partial}(M)$ and $\mathit{Diff}_\partial(M)$, then deduce things about $\mathit{Diff}_\partial(D^d)$.

The manifold $W_{g,1}$

A good choice is

$$W_{g,1} := D^{2n} \# g(S^n \times S^n)$$

especially for "arbitrarily large" g.



The manifold $W_{g,1}$

A good choice is

$$W_{g,1} := D^{2n} \# g(S^n \times S^n)$$



especially for “arbitrarily large” g.

Theorem. [Madsen–Weiss ’07 $2n = 2$, Galatius–R-W ’14 $2n \geq 4$]

$$\lim_{g \to \infty} H^*(BDiff_\partial(W_{g,1}); \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[\kappa_c \mid c \in \mathcal{B}]$$

Here $\mathcal{B}$ is the set of monomials in $e, p_{n-1}, p_{n-2}, \ldots, p_{\lceil \frac{n+1}{4} \rceil}$.

The manifold $W_{g,1}$

A good choice is

$$W_{g,1} := D^{2n} \# g(S^n \times S^n)$$



especially for "arbitrarily large" g.

Theorem. [Madsen–Weiss '07 $2n = 2$, Galatius–R-W '14 $2n \geq 4$]

$$\lim_{g\to\infty} H^*(BDiff_\partial(W_{g,1}); \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[\kappa_c \mid c \in \mathcal{B}]$$

Here $\mathcal{B}$ is the set of monomials in $e, p_{n-1}, p_{n-2}, \ldots, p_{\lceil \frac{n+1}{4} \rceil}$.

Theorem. [Berglund–Madsen '20 $2n \geq 6$]

$$\lim_{g\to\infty} H^*(\widetilde{BDiff}_\partial(W_{g,1}); \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[\tilde{\kappa}_c^\xi \mid (c, \xi) \in \mathcal{B}']$$

$$\lim_{g\to\infty} H^*(BhAut_\partial(W_{g,1}); \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[\tilde{\kappa}_c^\xi \mid (c, \xi) \in \mathcal{B}'']$$

Here $\mathcal{B}'$ and $\mathcal{B}''$ are much more complicated than $\mathcal{B}$, and we will probably never be able to enumerate them completely.

Difficulties I

Embedding calculus describes $Emb^{\cong}_{1/2\partial}(W_{g,1})$ as the limit of a tower

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T_1 Emb^{\cong}_{1/2\partial}(W_{g,1}) & T_2 Emb^{\cong}_{1/2\partial}(W_{g,1}) \arrow[l] & T_3 Emb^{\cong}_{1/2\partial}(W_{g,1}) \arrow[l] \cdots \\
& L_2 Emb^{\cong}_{1/2\partial}(W_{g,1}) \arrow[u] & L_3 Emb^{\cong}_{1/2\partial}(W_{g,1}) \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Difficulties I

Embedding calculus describes $Emb^{\cong}_{1/2\partial}(W_{g,1})$ as the limit of a tower

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T_1Emb^{\cong}_{1/2\partial}(W_{g,1}) & T_2Emb^{\cong}_{1/2\partial}(W_{g,1}) \arrow[l] & T_3Emb^{\cong}_{1/2\partial}(W_{g,1}) \cdots \arrow[l] \\
& L_2Emb^{\cong}_{1/2\partial}(W_{g,1}) \arrow[u] & L_3Emb^{\cong}_{1/2\partial}(W_{g,1}) \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

The term $T_1Emb^{\cong}_{1/2\partial}(W_{g,1})$ is close to being the space of homotopy self-equivalences of $W_{g,1}$ relative to half the boundary; if we instead use *framed* self-embeddings then it is:

$$T_1Emb^{\cong,fr}_{1/2\partial}(W_{g,1}) \simeq hAut^{\cong}_{1/2\partial}(W_{g,1})$$

(and the higher layers don't change).

Difficulties I

Embedding calculus describes $Emb^{\cong}_{1/2\partial}(W_{g,1})$ as the limit of a tower

$$\begin{tikzcd} T_1Emb^{\cong}_{1/2\partial}(W_{g,1}) & T_2Emb^{\cong}_{1/2\partial}(W_{g,1}) \arrow[l] & T_3Emb^{\cong}_{1/2\partial}(W_{g,1}) \cdots \arrow[l] \\ & L_2Emb^{\cong}_{1/2\partial}(W_{g,1}) \arrow[u] & L_3Emb^{\cong}_{1/2\partial}(W_{g,1}) \arrow[u] \end{tikzcd}$$

The term $T_1Emb^{\cong}_{1/2\partial}(W_{g,1})$ is close to being the space of homotopy self-equivalences of $W_{g,1}$ relative to half the boundary; if we instead use *framed* self-embeddings then it is:

$$T_1Emb^{\cong,fr}_{1/2\partial}(W_{g,1}) \simeq hAut^{\cong}_{1/2\partial}(W_{g,1})$$

(and the higher layers don't change).

By rational homotopy theory, for $L := Lie(s^{-1}H_n(W_{g,1};\mathbb{Q}))$ have

$$\pi_{*>0}(hAut_{1/2\partial}(W_{g,1})) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = Der^{+}(L, L) = Hom_{\mathbb{Q}}(s^{-1}H_n(W_{g,1};\mathbb{Q}), L),$$

supported in degrees which are multiples of $n-1$.

Difficulties I

The higher layers are described as spaces of sections

$$L_k Emb^{\cong}_{1/2\partial}(W_{g,1}) \simeq \Gamma_\partial \left(\begin{tikzcd} Z_k \arrow[d] & tohofib_{I\subseteq[k]} Emb(I, W_{g,1}) \arrow[l] \\ Conf_k(W_{g,1}) & \end{tikzcd} \right)$$

Difficulties I

The higher layers are described as spaces of sections

$$L_k Emb^{\cong}_{1/2\partial}(W_{g,1}) \simeq \Gamma_\partial \left(\begin{tikzcd} Z_k \arrow[d] & tohofib_{I\subseteq[k]} Emb(I, W_{g,1}) \arrow[l] \\ Conf_k(W_{g,1}) & \end{tikzcd} \right)$$

The homotopy groups of such a space can be computed by a twisted form of the Federer spectral sequence. Rationally express this as

$$E^2_{p,q} \otimes \mathbb{Q} = [H^p(W^k_{g,1}, \Delta_{1/2\partial}; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \pi_q(tohofib_{I\subseteq[k]} Emb(I, W_{g,1}))]^{\mathfrak{S}_k}$$
$$\Rightarrow \pi_{q-p}(L_k Emb^{\cong}_{1/2\partial}(W_{g,1})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

Difficulties I

The higher layers are described as spaces of sections

$$L_k Emb^{\cong}_{1/2\partial}(W_{g,1}) \simeq \Gamma_\partial \left(\begin{tikzcd} Z_k \arrow[d] & \arrow[l] \mathrm{tohofib}_{I\subseteq[k]} Emb(I, W_{g,1}) \\ Conf_k(W_{g,1}) & \end{tikzcd} \right)$$

The homotopy groups of such a space can be computed by a twisted form of the Federer spectral sequence. Rationally express this as

$$\begin{gathered} E^2_{p,q} \otimes \mathbb{Q} = [H^p(W^k_{g,1}, \Delta_{1/2\partial}; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \pi_q(\mathrm{tohofib}_{I\subseteq[k]} Emb(I, W_{g,1}))]^{\mathfrak{S}_k} \\ \Rightarrow \pi_{q-p}(L_k Emb^{\cong}_{1/2\partial}(W_{g,1})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}. \end{gathered}$$

The main issue is to determine/estimate the characters of

$$H^p(W^k_{g,1}, \Delta_{1/2\partial}; \mathbb{Q}) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_q(Emb([k], W_{g,1})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

as representations of $\mathfrak{S}_k \times \pi_0(\mathrm{Diff}_\partial(W_{g,1}))$.

Difficulties I

The character of $H^p(W^k_{g,1}, \Delta_{1/2\partial}; \mathbb{Q})$ can be determined easily using a theorem of Petersen '20.

The character of $\pi_q(Emb([k], W_{g,1})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is much more complicated.

Difficulties I

The character of $H^p(W_{g,1}^k, \Delta_{1/2\partial}; \mathbb{Q})$ can be determined easily using a theorem of Petersen '20.

The character of $\pi_q(Emb([k], W_{g,1})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is much more complicated.

Briefly: identify these homotopy groups with an extended form of the Drinfel'd–Kohno Lie algebra; show that up to filtration this is Koszul, and identify its Koszul dual with the Kriz–Totaro algebra; show that the collection of all Kriz–Totaro algebras for all k may be given a new—external—product, and that they form a free commutative algebra with this product; calculate.

Difficulties I

The character of $H^p(W^k_{g,1}, \Delta_{1/2\partial}; \mathbb{Q})$ can be determined easily using a theorem of Petersen '20.

The character of $\pi_q(Emb([k], W_{g,1})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is much more complicated.

Briefly: identify these homotopy groups with an extended form of the Drinfel'd–Kohno Lie algebra; show that up to filtration this is Koszul, and identify its Koszul dual with the Kriz–Totaro algebra; show that the collection of all Kriz–Totaro algebras for all k may be given a new—external—product, and that they form a free commutative algebra with this product; calculate.

We are able to *completely* determine rational homotopy of the layers of the embedding calculus tower, but not their interaction.

Difficulties I

The character of $H^p(W^k_{g,1}, \Delta_{1/2\partial}; \mathbb{Q})$ can be determined easily using a theorem of Petersen '20.

The character of $\pi_q(Emb([k], W_{g,1})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is much more complicated.

Briefly: identify these homotopy groups with an extended form of the Drinfel'd–Kohno Lie algebra; show that up to filtration this is Koszul, and identify its Koszul dual with the Kriz–Totaro algebra; show that the collection of all Kriz–Totaro algebras for all k may be given a new—external—product, and that they form a free commutative algebra with this product; calculate.

We are able to *completely* determine rational homotopy of the layers of the embedding calculus tower, but not their interaction.

Nonetheless this lets us prove that $\pi_*(Emb^{\cong,fr}_{1/2\partial}(W_{g,1}))\otimes\mathbb{Q}$ is supported in degrees $* \in \cup_{r\geq 1}[r(n-2)-1, r(n-1)]$. This is the darkly shaded region in the chart.

Difficulties II

While we have very good understanding of $H^*(BDiff_\partial(W_{g,1});\mathbb{Q})$, the strategy requires $\pi_*(BDiff_\partial(W_{g,1}))\otimes\mathbb{Q}$.

Difficulties II

While we have very good understanding of $H^*(BDiff_\partial(W_{g,1});\mathbb{Q})$, the strategy requires $\pi_*(BDiff_\partial(W_{g,1}))\otimes\mathbb{Q}$.

$\pi_1(BDiff_\partial(W_{g,1}))\sim Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$ (n odd) or $O_{g,g}(\mathbb{Z})$ (n even)
$\Rightarrow$ wildly complicated group, not nilpotent: cannot expect to determine the rational homotopy of $BDiff_\partial(W_{g,1})$ from cohomology.

Difficulties II

While we have very good understanding of $H^*(BDiff_\partial(W_{g,1});\mathbb{Q})$, the strategy requires $\pi_*(BDiff_\partial(W_{g,1}))\otimes\mathbb{Q}$.

$\pi_1(BDiff_\partial(W_{g,1}))\sim Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$ (n odd) or $O_{g,g}(\mathbb{Z})$ (n even)
$\Rightarrow$ wildly complicated group, not nilpotent: cannot expect to determine the rational homotopy of $BDiff_\partial(W_{g,1})$ from cohomology.

Can pass to the Torelli subgroup

$$Tor_\partial(W_{g,1}) := ker(Diff_\partial(W_{g,1})\to Aut(H_n(W_{g,1};\mathbb{Z})))$$

to eliminate the arithmetic group, but this changes the cohomology.

Difficulties II

While we have very good understanding of $H^*(BDiff_\partial(W_{g,1});\mathbb{Q})$, the strategy requires $\pi_*(BDiff_\partial(W_{g,1}))\otimes\mathbb{Q}$.

$\pi_1(BDiff_\partial(W_{g,1})) \sim Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$ (n odd) or $O_{g,g}(\mathbb{Z})$ (n even)
$\Rightarrow$ wildly complicated group, not nilpotent: cannot expect to determine the rational homotopy of $BDiff_\partial(W_{g,1})$ from cohomology.

Can pass to the Torelli subgroup

$$Tor_\partial(W_{g,1}) := ker(Diff_\partial(W_{g,1}) \to Aut(H_n(W_{g,1};\mathbb{Z})))$$

to eliminate the arithmetic group, but this changes the cohomology.

In two companion papers we prove that the space $BTor_\partial(W_{g,1})$ is nilpotent, and determine $H^*(BTor_\partial(W_{g,1});\mathbb{Q})$ as $g\to\infty$.

A. Kupers, O. R-W, *On the cohomology of Torelli groups*
Forum of Mathematics, Pi, 8 (2020)
A. Kupers, O. R-W, *The cohomology of Torelli groups is algebraic*
Forum of Mathematics, Sigma, to appear

Difficulties II

Adapting this to the framed case, we produce a fibration

$$X_1(g) \longrightarrow BTor_\partial^{fr}(W_{g,1}) \longrightarrow X_0$$

with $H^*(X_0; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[\bar{\sigma}_{4j-2n-1} \,|\, j > n/2]$.

Difficulties II

Adapting this to the framed case, we produce a fibration

$$X_1(g) \longrightarrow BTor^{fr}_{\partial}(W_{g,1}) \longrightarrow X_0$$

with $H^*(X_0; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[\bar{\sigma}_{4j-2n-1} \,|\, j > n/2]$.

We show that in a stable range, $H^*(X_1(g); \mathbb{Q})$ is generated by classes

$$\kappa(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_r) \in H^{(r-2)n}(X_1(g); \mathbb{Q}) \qquad r \geq 3, \quad v_i \in H^n(W_{g,1}; \mathbb{Q})$$

subject only to the relations (where $\{a_i\}$ and $\{a_i^{\#}\}$ are dual bases)

(i) linearity in each v_i,

(ii) $\kappa(v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes v_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma(r)}) = sign(\sigma)^n \cdot \kappa(v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_r)$,

(iii) $\sum_i \kappa(v \otimes a_i) \cdot \kappa(a_i^{\#} \otimes w) = \kappa(v \otimes w)$, for any tensors v and w,

(iv) $\sum_i \kappa(v \otimes a_i \otimes a_i^{\#}) = 0$ for any tensor v.

Difficulties II

Adapting this to the framed case, we produce a fibration

$$X_1(g) \longrightarrow BTor^{fr}_{\partial}(W_{g,1}) \longrightarrow X_0$$

with $H^*(X_0;\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[\bar{\sigma}_{4j-2n-1} \,|\, j > n/2]$.

We show that in a stable range, $H^*(X_1(g);\mathbb{Q})$ is generated by classes

$$\kappa(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_r) \in H^{(r-2)n}(X_1(g);\mathbb{Q}) \qquad r \geq 3, \quad v_i \in H^n(W_{g,1};\mathbb{Q})$$

subject only to the relations (where $\{a_i\}$ and $\{a_i^{\#}\}$ are dual bases)

(i) linearity in each v_i,

(ii) $\kappa(v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes v_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma(r)}) = sign(\sigma)^n \cdot \kappa(v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_r)$,

(iii) $\sum_i \kappa(v \otimes a_i) \cdot \kappa(a_i^{\#} \otimes w) = \kappa(v \otimes w)$, for any tensors v and w,

(iv) $\sum_i \kappa(v \otimes a_i \otimes a_i^{\#}) = 0$ for any tensor v.

The unstable Adams spectral sequence then shows

$$\pi_*(BTor^{fr}_{\partial}(W_{g,1}))\otimes\mathbb{Q} = \left(\bigoplus_{j>n/2} \mathbb{Q}[4j-2n-1]\right) \text{“}\oplus\text{”} \left(\substack{\text{something supported in} \\ *\in\bigcup_{r\geq 0}[r(n-1)+1,rn-2]}\right)$$

The second piece is the lightly shaded region in the chart.

Optimism

Divergent embedding calculus

Can apply embedding calculus to diffeomorphisms, considered as codimension 0 embeddings. It need not converge and in fact does not converge: by work of Fresse, Turchin, and Willwacher '17 it predicts (modulo a subtlety) that $\pi_*(BDiff_\partial(D^{2n})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ should be

$$\left(\bigoplus_{i>0} \mathbb{Q}[2n-4i]\right) \oplus \mathbb{Q}[4n-6] \oplus \mathbb{Q}[8n-10] \oplus \mathbb{Q}[10n-15] \oplus \cdots$$

so misses the Weiss classes and starts with some spurious classes. But apart from this it has classes supported in our bands, and here is given precisely by Kontsevich's graph complex GC^2_{2n}.

Divergent embedding calculus

Can apply embedding calculus to diffeomorphisms, considered as codimension 0 embeddings. It need not converge and in fact does not converge: by work of Fresse, Turchin, and Willwacher '17 it predicts (modulo a subtlety) that $\pi_*(BDiff_\partial(D^{2n})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ should be

$$\left(\bigoplus_{i>0} \mathbb{Q}[2n-4i]\right) \oplus \mathbb{Q}[4n-6] \oplus \mathbb{Q}[8n-10] \oplus \mathbb{Q}[10n-15] \oplus \cdots$$

so misses the Weiss classes and starts with some spurious classes. But apart from this it has classes supported in our bands, and here is given precisely by Kontsevich's graph complex GC^2_{2n}.

Could there be a rational fibration

$$BDiff_\partial(D^{2n}) \longrightarrow BT_\infty Diff_\partial(D^{2n}) \longrightarrow \Omega^{\infty+2n} L(\mathbb{Z})?$$

Evidence

Could there be a rational fibration

$$BDiff_\partial(D^{2n}) \longrightarrow BT_\infty Diff_\partial(D^{2n}) \longrightarrow \Omega^{\infty+2n} L(\mathbb{Z})?$$

Evidence.
It is consistent with everything we know, and would explain Watanabe's and Weiss' results.

Evidence

Could there be a rational fibration

$$BDiff_\partial(D^{2n}) \longrightarrow BT_\infty Diff_\partial(D^{2n}) \longrightarrow \Omega^{\infty+2n} L(\mathbb{Z})?$$

Evidence.
It is consistent with everything we know, and would explain Watanabe's and Weiss' results.

Evidence. [Knudsen–Kupers '20]
If $d \geq 6$, M^d 2-connected, $\partial M = S^{d-1}$ then

$$hofib(BDiff_\partial(M) \to BT_\infty Diff_\partial(M))$$

is independent of M.

Evidence

Could there be a rational fibration

$$BDiff_\partial(D^{2n}) \longrightarrow BT_\infty Diff_\partial(D^{2n}) \longrightarrow \Omega^{\infty+2n}L(\mathbb{Z})?$$

Evidence.
It is consistent with everything we know, and would explain Watanabe's and Weiss' results.

Evidence. [Knudsen–Kupers '20]
If $d \geq 6$, M^d 2-connected, $\partial M = S^{d-1}$ then

$$hofib(BDiff_\partial(M) \to BT_\infty Diff_\partial(M))$$

is independent of M.

Evidence. [Prigge '20]
The family signature theorem does not hold on $BT_2 Diff_\partial(M)$.

Questions?



$\pi_*(BDiff_\partial(D^{2n})) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$
= $\mathbb{Q}$ {Weiss class}
= uncertainty, but • survives
= uncertainty, • may not survive