## Path and Homotopy Lifting

We fix the disastrous gap between the statement of Lemma 2 on Monday and it's statement on Wednesday. As a bonus, we give an alternate proofs of path and homotopy lifting that illustrate how similar they are. Throughout, suppose  $p: \hat{X} \to X$  is a covering map.

**Definition 1.**  $f: Z \to X$  has the unique lifting property (ULP) at z in Z if for any  $\widehat{x} \in \widehat{X}$  with  $p(\widehat{x}) = f(z)$  there is a unique  $\widehat{f}: (Z, z) \to (\widehat{X}, \widehat{x})$  with  $p \circ \widehat{f} = f$ .

**Lemma 2.** Suppose  $U \subset X$  is open and evenly covered by p, and that Z is connected. If  $f: Z \to \widehat{X}$  has  $\operatorname{im} f \subset U$ , then f has the unique lifting property at any  $z \in Z$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $p(\hat{x}) = f(z)$ . U is evenly covered, so  $p^{-1}(U) \cong \coprod_{\alpha \in A} U_{\alpha}$ , and  $p_{\alpha} = p|_{U_{\alpha}} : U_{\alpha} \to U$  is a homeomorphism. So there is some  $\alpha_0 \in A$  for which  $\hat{x} \in U_{\alpha_0}$ .

We define  $\widehat{f} = i_{\alpha_0} \circ p_{\alpha_0}^{-1} \circ f$ , where  $\iota_{\alpha_0} : U_{\alpha_0} \to X$  is the inclusion. This is a composition of continuous functions, hence continuous, and it satisfies  $\widehat{f}(z) = p_{\alpha_0}^{-1}(f(z)) = \widehat{x}$ . Since  $\inf \widehat{f} \subset U_{\alpha_0}$ , we have  $p \circ \widehat{f}(z) = p|_{U_{\alpha_0}} \circ p_{\alpha_0}^{-1} \circ f = f$ . Thus  $\widehat{f}$  is the desired lift. For uniqueness, observe that  $A = U_{\alpha_0}$  and  $B = \bigcup_{\alpha \neq \alpha_0} U_{\alpha}$  are disjoint open subsets of

For uniqueness, observe that  $A = U_{\alpha_0}$  and  $B = \bigcup_{\alpha \neq \alpha_0} U_{\alpha}$  are disjoint open subsets of  $p^{-1}(U)$ .  $A \cup B = p^{-1}(U)$ , so A and B disconnect  $p^{-1}(U)$ . Suppose  $\tilde{f} : (Z, z) \to (\hat{X}, \hat{x})$  is a lift of f. Since Z is connected and  $\tilde{f}(z) = \hat{x}$ , we must have  $\tilde{f}(Z) \subset A = U_{\alpha_0}$ . Since  $\tilde{f}$  is a lift, we have  $f = p \circ \tilde{f} = p_{\alpha_0} \circ \hat{f}$ . It follows that  $\tilde{f}(z') = p_{\alpha_0}^{-1}(f(z')) = \hat{f}(z')$ , for all  $z' \in Z$ , i.e.  $\tilde{f} = \hat{f}$ .

**Lemma 3.** Suppose  $Z = Z_1 \cup Z_2$ , where  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  are closed in Z, that  $z_1 \in Z_1$  and that  $z_2 \in Z_1 \cap Z_2$ . Given  $f : Z \to X$ , let  $f_i = f|_{Z_i}$ , and  $g = f|_{Z_1 \cap Z_2}$ . If  $f_i$  has ULP for  $z_i$  (i = 1, 2) and g has ULP for  $z_2$ , then f has ULP for  $z_1$ .

Proof. Suppose  $p(\hat{x}) = f(z)$ . By ULP for  $f_1$ , there is a unique lift  $\hat{f}_1$  of  $f_1$  with  $\hat{f}_1(z_1) = \hat{x}$ . Then  $p(\hat{f}_1(z_2)) = f(z_2)$ . By ULP for  $f_2$ , there is unique lift  $\hat{f}_2$  of  $f_2$  with  $\hat{f}_2(z_2) = \hat{f}_1(z_2)$ . Let  $\hat{g}_i = \hat{f}_i|_{Z_1 \cap Z_2}$ . Then  $p \circ \hat{g}_i = g$  and  $\hat{g}_1(z_2) = \hat{g}_2(z_2)$ . Since g has ULP for  $z_2$ ,  $\hat{g}_1 = \hat{g}_2$ . Hence we can define  $\hat{f}: Z \to \hat{X}$  by  $\hat{f}(z) = \hat{f}_1(z)$  if  $z \in Z_1$  and  $\hat{f}(z) = \hat{f}_2(z)$  if  $z \in Z_2$ .  $\hat{f}$  is continuous by the gluing lemma and is clearly a lift of f. This proves existence.

For uniqueness, suppose  $\tilde{f}$  is a lift of f with  $\tilde{f}(z_1) = \hat{x}$ . Let  $\tilde{f}_i = \tilde{f}|_{Z_i}$ . Then  $\tilde{f}_i$  is a lift of  $f_i$  and  $\tilde{f}_1(z_1) = \hat{x}$ . By ULP for  $f_1$ ,  $\tilde{f}_1 = \hat{f}_1$ . Hence  $\tilde{f}_2(z_2) = \tilde{f}_1(z_2) = \hat{f}_1(z_2)$ . By ULP for  $f_2$ ,  $\tilde{f}_2 = \hat{f}_2$ . Hence  $\tilde{f} = \hat{f}$ .

**Lemma 4.** Suppose Z is a compact metric space and  $f : Z \to X$ . Then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for every  $z \in Z$  there is an open  $U_z \subset X$  which is evenly covered by p and for which  $f(B_{\delta}(z)) \subset U_z$ .

Proof. Since p is a covering map, each  $x \in X$  has an open neighborhood  $U_z$  which is evenly covered by p. Then  $\{U_x \mid x \in X\}$  is an open cover of X. Since f is continuous,  $\{f^{-1}(U_x) \mid x \in X\}$  is an open cover of X. By the Lebesgue covering lemma, we can find  $\delta > 0$  such that for each  $z \in Z$  there is some  $x(z) \in X$  with  $B_{\delta}(z) \subset f^{-1}(U_{x(z)})$ . Setting  $U_z = U_{x(z)}$  gives the statement.

**Theorem.** (Path Lifting) If  $f: I \to X$ , then f has ULP for 0.

*Proof.* I is compact, so choose  $\delta$  as in Lemma 4 and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $0 < \frac{1}{n} < \delta$ . Let  $a_i = \frac{i}{n}$  and let  $A_i = [a_i, a_{i+1}]$ . Then  $f(A_i) \subset f(B_{\delta}(a_i)) \subset U_{a_i}$ , where  $U_{a_i}$  is open and evenly covered.  $A_i$  is connected, so by Lemma 2,  $f|_{A_i}$  has ULP for  $a_i(*)$ 

For  $0 \le k < n$ , let  $B_k = \bigcup_{i=0}^k A_i$ . Then  $A_{k+1} \cap B_k = \{a_{k+1}\}$  is connected and contained in  $A_{k+1}$ , so by Lemma 2  $f|_{A_{k+1} \cap B_k}$  has the ULP for  $a_i$ . (\*\*).

We prove by induction on k that  $f|_{B_k}$  has the ULP for 0. When k = 0,  $B_0 = A_0$ , and  $f|_{A_0}$  has ULP for 0 by (\*). Now suppose the statement holds for k. Now  $B_{k+1} = Z_1 \cup Z_2$ , where  $Z_1 = B_k$  and  $Z_2 = A_{k+1}$ . With notation as in Lemma 2,  $f_1$  has ULP for 0 by the induction hypothesis,  $f_2$  has ULP for  $a_{k+1}$  by (\*), and g has ULP for  $a_{k+1}$  by (\*\*). By Lemma 3,  $f|_{B_{k+1}}$  has ULP for 0. By induction the statement holds for all k < n. Taking k = n - 1 gives the statement of the theorem.

**Theorem.** (Homotopy Lifting) If  $f : I \times I \to X$ , then f has ULP for (0,0).

*Proof.*  $I \times I$  is compact, so choose  $\delta$  as in Lemma 4 and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $0 < \frac{\sqrt{2}}{n} < \delta$ . For  $0 \leq i, j < n$ , let  $a_{i+nj} = (\frac{i}{n}, \frac{j}{n})$  and let  $A_k$  be the square of side  $\frac{1}{n}$  whose lower left corner is at  $A_i$ . Then  $f(A_i) \subset f(B_{\delta}(a_i)) \subset U_{a_i}$ , where  $U_{a_i}$  is open and evenly covered. The rest of the argument now proceeds exactly as in the proof of path lifting, except the check that  $A_{k+1} \cap B_k$  is connected is a little less trivial.