

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 1) \text{ Have} & 0 & \longrightarrow & U_0 & \longrightarrow & V_0 & \longrightarrow & W_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & \downarrow \alpha_0 & & \downarrow \beta_0 & & \downarrow \gamma_0 & & \\
 & 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker } \alpha_1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker } \beta_1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker } \gamma_1 & &
 \end{array}$$

& the standard Snake Lemma (diagram above) \Rightarrow

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(U_*) \longrightarrow H^0(V_*) \longrightarrow H^0(W_*) \longrightarrow$$

$$\longrightarrow H^1(U_*) \longrightarrow H^1(V_*) \longrightarrow H^1(W_*)$$

exact.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \text{But} & U_1 / \text{Im } \alpha_0 & \longrightarrow & V_1 / \text{Im } \beta_0 & \longrightarrow & W_1 / \text{Im } \gamma_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & \downarrow \alpha_1 & & \downarrow \beta_1 & & \downarrow \gamma_1 & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker } \alpha_2 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker } \beta_2 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker } \gamma_2 &
 \end{array}$$

$$\Rightarrow H^1(U_*) \longrightarrow H^1(V_*) \longrightarrow H^1(W_*) \longrightarrow$$

$$\longrightarrow H^2(U_*) \longrightarrow H^2(V_*) \longrightarrow H^2(W_*)$$

exact

etc. (For lectures, need this result in category Abgp .)

2) For all $x \in X$, the sequence of stalks

$$\mathcal{F}_x \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_x \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_x \text{ is exact.}$$

From definition of D , it's then clear that the sequence

$D(\mathcal{F})(U) \rightarrow D(\mathcal{G})(U) \rightarrow D(\mathcal{H})(U)$ are exact
 for all U open in X (recalling that

$$D(\mathcal{F})(U) = \left\{ s : U \rightarrow \coprod_{P \in U} \mathcal{F}_P \text{ st. } s(P) \in \mathcal{F}_P \forall P \right\}$$

The reason for setting the question is that this is a case where
 just moving exactness on sections is the easiest option
 — the stalks of $D(\mathcal{F})$ are quite complicated.

3) In the manifold case, when U might be infinite, we'd
 better extend our definition of cochains $\check{C}^n(U, \mathcal{F})$ to be
 the alternating elts of $\bigoplus_{i_0, \dots, i_n \text{ distinct}} \Gamma(U_{i_0 \dots i_n}, \mathcal{F})$.

Letting $\check{Z}^1(U, \mathcal{O}_X^*) = \text{Ker} \left(\check{C}^1(U, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \xrightarrow{d_1} \check{C}^2(U, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \right)$

we can define a HM of multiplicative groups
 $\check{Z}^1(U, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \rightarrow (\text{Pic } X)_U$, the subset of $\text{Pic } X$ consisting
 of IM classes which are trivialized over U , via

$(g_{\alpha\beta}) \mapsto$ IM class determined via Prop 3.1 & Ex Sht 2, Q11
 by transition functions $g_{\alpha\beta}$, with $g_{\alpha\alpha} := 1 \forall \alpha$

(if U finite & contain given g_{ij} with $i < j$, also need
 to specify that $g_{ji} = g_{ij}^{-1}$ to define the IM class). Clearly

Θ is surjective. Moreover, if $g_{\alpha\beta} = f_\alpha / f_\beta$ is a
 Čech coboundary ($f_\alpha \in \Gamma(U_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \forall \alpha$), the
 corresponding invertible sheaf is trivial — more generally if

a trivialization $\mathcal{L}|_{U_\alpha} = \mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha} \sigma_\alpha$ has transition functions $h_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathcal{O}_x^*(U_{\alpha\beta})$, and if $f_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_x^*(U_\alpha) \forall \alpha$, the the trivialization $\mathcal{L}|_{U_\alpha} = \mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha} f_\alpha \sigma_\alpha$ has transition functions $f_\beta/f_\alpha h_{\alpha\beta}$ (since $\sigma_\beta = h_{\alpha\beta} \sigma_\alpha \Rightarrow f_\beta \sigma_\beta = (h_{\alpha\beta} f_\beta/f_\alpha) (f_\alpha \sigma_\alpha)$). So its clear that $g_{\alpha\beta} = f_\alpha/f_\beta$ maps to trivial bundle. Conversely, if $(g_{\alpha\beta})$ maps to trivial bundle, there is a global generator of line bundle (transition functions all 1), & hence any trivialization of \mathcal{L} has transition functions corresponding to a coboundary.

4) Interpreting $\mathcal{K}^*/\mathcal{O}_V^*$ in terms of $(\mathcal{K}^*/\mathcal{O}_V^*)^+$, we see that a section $\Gamma(\mathcal{K}^*/\mathcal{O}_V^*)$ is given by an open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}$ (not finite) and elts

$$h_\alpha \in \mathcal{K}^*(U_\alpha) = k(V)^* \pmod{\mathcal{O}_V^*(U_\alpha)}$$

which agree $\pmod{\mathcal{O}_V^*(U_{\alpha\beta})}$ on the overlaps $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$.

Thus $h_\alpha/h_\beta = g_{\beta\alpha} \in \mathcal{O}_V^*(U_{\alpha\beta})$ & compatibility cond = s clearly satisfied, and hence this info determines an IM class in $\text{Pic}(V)$, as in Q3. Note that the

h_α may be replaced by $h'_\alpha = h_\alpha f_\alpha$ for any $f_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_V^*(U_\alpha)$, but then $h'_\alpha/h'_\beta = f_\alpha/f_\beta g_{\beta\alpha}$ which defines the same elt of $\text{Pic}(V)$. Compare this with construction of

$\mathcal{O}_V(W)$ is torsion (W a codim 1, locally principal
 subvariety of V , locally given by $h_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_V(U_\alpha) \subset k(V)^*$
 modulo elts of $\mathcal{O}_V^*(U_\alpha)$) — the elements of
 $H^0(\mathcal{K}^*/\mathcal{O}_V^*)$ are known as Cartier divisors,
 which can be thought of as generalizations of locally principal
 subvarieties. The image of $H^0(\mathcal{K}^*) \cong H^0(\mathcal{K}^*/\mathcal{O}_V^*)$
 is determined by a rational function $h \in k(V)^*$, which
 then clearly determines the IM class of the trivial invertible
 sheaf with $g_{\beta\alpha} = 1 \quad \forall \alpha, \beta$. Conversely, if $g_{\beta\alpha} = h_\alpha/h_\beta$
 determines the trivial invertible sheaf in $\text{Pic}(V)_\mathcal{U}$, we
 saw in Q3 that $g_{\beta\alpha} = f_\beta/f_\alpha$ for suitable $f_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_V^*(U_\alpha)$

So setting $h'_\alpha = f_\alpha h_\alpha$, $h'_\beta = f_\beta h_\beta$, have

$$h'_\beta/h'_\alpha = g_{\beta\alpha} f_\alpha/f_\beta = 1 \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \quad \text{is the only}$$

way this can occur is for $h'_\alpha = h \in k(V)^* \quad \forall \alpha$
 i.e. the line bundle comes from an elt of $\text{Im}(H^0(\mathcal{K}^*))$.

Thus we have an injection HM $H^0(\mathcal{K}^*/\mathcal{O}_V^*)/\text{Im } H^0(\mathcal{K}^*)$

$$\hookrightarrow \text{Pic}(X)$$

This is surjective, since an elt of $\text{Pic}(V)$ is in

$\text{Pic}(V)_\mathcal{U}$ for some $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}$, whose IM class is

determined by transition functions $g_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathcal{O}_V^*(U_{\alpha\beta}) \subset k(V)^*$

— recall that the variety V is assumed irreducible

Choose $\alpha_0 \in A$ & set $h_{\alpha_0} = 1$, & the

set $h_\beta = g_{\alpha_0 \beta} \quad \forall \beta \in A$. Thus

$$h_\alpha / h_\beta = g_{\alpha_0 \alpha} / g_{\alpha_0 \beta} = 1/g_{\alpha \beta} = g_{\beta \alpha} \quad \forall \alpha, \beta$$

as required.

Finally, the constant sheaf \mathcal{K}^* is flabby & so $H^1(\mathcal{K}^*) = 0$

(using V irrid) $\Rightarrow \text{Pic } V \cong H^1(\mathcal{O}_V^*)$ from l.e.s.

5) Given $\phi = (f, f^\#)$ with $f^{-1}(U_i) \rightarrow U_i$ homeo $\forall i$,

clear topologically that f is a homeo.

Since $f^{-1}U_i \rightarrow U_i$ an IM of varieties $\forall i$,

$f^\# : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_X$ yields an IM on stalks $\forall P \in Y$

$\Rightarrow f^\#$ an IM of sheaves of k -algebras. So \exists inverse

map $\phi^{-1} = (g, g^\#)$ where $g = f^{-1}$ & $g^\# : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow g_* \mathcal{O}_Y$

given by setting $\mathcal{O}_X(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(g^{-1}W)$ for W open in X

be the inverse of IM $\mathcal{O}_Y(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}U)$, where $U = g^{-1}W$

Given $Y \subset \mathbb{A}^m$ & a k -algebra HM $\alpha : B \rightarrow A$,

can let $h_i = \alpha(y_i) \in A$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, $y_i = i$ th

coordinate function on Y . Define regular map $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^m$

by $\phi = (h_1, \dots, h_m)$. If $F \in \mathcal{I}(Y)$, then $F(y_1, \dots, y_m) = 0$

in $B \Rightarrow F(h_1, \dots, h_m) = 0$ in A i.e. $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$.

The corresponding morphism of sheaves $\phi^\# = \phi^*$ induces $\phi^* : B \rightarrow A$

with $\phi^*(y_i) = g_i \circ \phi = h_i = \alpha(y_i) \forall i$ i.e. $\phi^* = \alpha$.

If we start with $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$, this induces a k -algebra $\text{HM } B \rightarrow A$ on global sections & above recipe recovers ϕ . (6)

Why may assume that $U_i = D(f_i)$, $f_i \in k[Y]$ generating unit ideal in B , & hence in $A = \mathcal{O}_X(X)$. Identifying f_i with its image in A , we have an open affine cover of X by sets $f^{-1}U_i = X_{f_i} := \{x \in X : f_i(x) \neq 0\}$ (for simplicity miss out i for which $f^{-1}U_i = \emptyset$ i.e. f_i has image 0 in A & so have cover by X_{f_i} for $1 \leq i \leq r$ say.)

By assumption th X_{f_i} are affine & Ex SH2, Q1 $\Rightarrow k[X_{f_i}] = A_{f_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, r$. Write

$$k[X_{f_i}] = B [g_{i1}/f_i^{n_1}, \dots, g_{is(i)}/f_i^{n_{s(i)}}] \quad \&$$

$$C = B [g_{i1}, \dots, g_{is(i)} : 1 \leq i \leq r]. \quad \text{Clearly}$$

$C \subset A$ is reduced & f.g. over $k \Rightarrow \exists$ affine variety Z with $k[Z] \cong C$.

Thus $B \rightarrow C \hookrightarrow A$ induces morphisms of varieties

$$X \xrightarrow{g} Z \rightarrow Y, \quad \text{where } X_{f_i} = g^{-1}Z_{f_i} \quad \forall i$$

& g restricts to morphisms $X_{f_i} \rightarrow Z_{f_i}$. But $C_{f_i} \xrightarrow{\cong} A_{f_i} \forall i$

\Rightarrow morphisms $X_{f_i} \rightarrow Z_{f_i}$ are IMs of affine varieties $\forall i$

& so first part of the question $\Rightarrow g$ an IM and

$X \cong Z$ is affine

6) Consult Reid [R], §6 or my Part II notes [W] from the web. Need to use Nullstellensatz for the fact that $\text{codim } 1 \Rightarrow$ hypersurface (for both affine & projective cases)

7) An invertible sheaf corresponds to a "Cartier divisor" i.e. a section of $H^0(K^*/\mathcal{O}_V^*)$ as described in Q4.

i.e. by data $\mathbb{P}^n = \bigcup_{\alpha} U_{\alpha}$ & rational functions $h_{\alpha} \in k(\mathbb{P}^n)$ (modulo $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^*(U_{\alpha})$). The rational function h_{α} may be written (uniquely) as F_{α}/G_{α} , F_{α}, G_{α} coprime homogeneous polys of the same degree. For a given α , we consider the irreducible components V_i of $\{F_{\alpha} = 0\}$ which intersect U_{α} and assign multiplicities a_i according to the multiplicity of the corresponding factor in F_{α} , and similarly with the irreducible components W_j of $\{G_{\alpha} = 0\}$ with multiplicities b_j . Thus $h_{\alpha} = 0$ on U_{α} determines a formal sum (a "divisor") of the form $\sum a_i V_i - \sum b_j W_j$ with V_i & W_j corresponding to hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^n .

Considering h_{β} on U_{β} may give a different divisor

$\sum a'_i V'_i - \sum b'_j W'_j$, but there will be

consistent on the overlap $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$. Doing this for all (8)
the U_α may add some V_i & W_j (not intersecting
original piece), and in this way we obtain a divisor
 $D = \sum_i a_i V_i - \sum_j b_j W_j$ with V_i, W_j irreducible
hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^n , where $D|_{U_\alpha}$ defined by h_α
(essentially "zeros - poles" with multiplicities).

We now define $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(D)$ by analogy with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(V)$
or $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-V)$ when V is an irreducible hypersurface, namely
 $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(D)) = \{g \in k(\mathbb{P}^n) \text{ s.t. } h_\alpha g \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(U \cap U_\alpha) \forall \alpha\}$
i.e. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(D)|_{U_\alpha} = \frac{1}{h_\alpha} \mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha}$ - i.e. this is
the invertible sheaf whose transition functions correspond to those
of the given elt $L \in \text{Pic } \mathbb{P}^n$ we started with.

Why we assume that no V_i or W_j is a coordinate
hypersurface $x_i = 0$; then on the affine pieces U_0, \dots, U_n of \mathbb{P}^n ,
the divisor on U_i is defined by a rational function $\frac{F}{G x_i^m} = h_i$,
with F homogeneous of degree $\sum a_i \deg V_i$, G homogeneous of
degree $\sum b_j \deg W_j$ & $m = \deg F - \deg G$. So we cover
 U_0, \dots, U_n , $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(D)|_{U_i} = \frac{1}{h_i} \mathcal{O}_{U_i} \Rightarrow$ transition
functions $\tau_{ji} = (x_i/x_j)^m$ i.e. $L \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(m)$.

(8) The result is true more generally, but for varieties we commented in lectures that direct sums of sheaves may be defined simplicially, since the presheaf direct sum defined in terms of direct sums of sections is a sheaf (any open subset $U \subset X$ is compact, so gluing condition (B) cannot introduce infinitely many non-zero entries). With this comment, the question is easy: take flabby resolutions $\mathcal{F}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_i^*$ for each i & then observe that

$$\bigoplus_i \mathcal{F}_i \rightarrow \bigoplus_i \mathcal{F}_i^*$$

gives a flabby resolution of $\bigoplus_i \mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{F}$

Taking sections and the cohomology of the complex yields $H^r(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} H^r(\mathcal{F}_i)$ as required.

(9) Recall that $D^h(F)$ with F homogeneous form a basis for open subsets of \mathbb{P}^n . Then $\pi^{-1}(D^h(F)) = D(F) \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ is affine, where $D^h(F) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ affine by Ex 5.4.1, Q 12

Thus $\pi: \mathbb{A}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ is an affine map - of Q 5.

CLAIM $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}} \cong \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)$.

Proof $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}, \{0\}}(\mathcal{D}^h(F)) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}, \{0\}}(\mathcal{D}(F))$

$$= \left\{ \frac{G}{FL} ; l \geq 0, G \in k[X_0, \dots, X_n] \right\}$$

$$\cong \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} A_d, \quad A_d = \left\{ \frac{G}{FL} ; l \geq 0 \text{ \& } G \text{ homogeneous with } \deg G - l \deg F = d \right\}$$

Note that under this map, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}, \{0\}}(\mathcal{D}(F))$ really is IM to the sum of the A_d , since any elt has non-zero image in A_d for only finite many $d \in \mathbb{Z}$

Now $A_d \cong \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^h(F), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)) =$

$$\left\{ \frac{G}{FL X_0^d} \in k(\mathbb{P}^n) \right\}$$

via $G/FL \mapsto G/FL X_0^d$.

Use of Coroll 1.3 yields required IM. of sheaves on \mathbb{P}^n

10) G acts on \mathcal{E} means that for all open $U \subset X$,

it acts on sections $\mathcal{E}(U)$, and these actions are

compatible with restrictions:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}(U) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathcal{E}(U) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{E}(V) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathcal{E}(V) \end{array} \quad \text{commutative}$$

If we take our construction of cohomology via the
 stably resolution $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow D^* \mathcal{Y}$, the
 induced action on the stalks \mathcal{Y}_x for $x \in X$ of G
 yields an action of G on $D^0 \mathcal{Y}$ with

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow D^0 \mathcal{Y} & & \\
 \downarrow \vartheta & \downarrow \vartheta & \text{commuting} \\
 0 \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow D^0 \tilde{\mathcal{Y}} & &
 \end{array}$$

Iterating this argument, we get an action of G on the
 whole resolution $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow D^* \mathcal{Y}$, with action
 commuting with all morphisms $D^i \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow D^{i+1} \mathcal{Y}$.

This then yields an action of G on the cohomology groups
 (obtained by taking sections of resolution $D^* \mathcal{Y}$ and
 cohomology of the resulting complex).

If $G = k^*$ acts on \mathcal{Y} by $\lambda \mapsto \theta_\lambda$ where
 $\theta_\lambda(s) = \lambda^n s$ for $s \in \mathcal{Y}(U)$, then the action
 on all stalks is the same, and hence the action on
 sections of $D^0(\mathcal{Y})$ given by same formula. Thus have
 induced action on whole complex given by $\theta_\lambda(s) = \lambda^* s$
 for $s \in D^i \mathcal{Y}(U)$. Passing to cohomology, k^* acts on
 the sheaf cohomology in the same way.

ii) We now let k^* act on $A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ in obvious way, and hence on $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}}$. The factor in the sum found in Q 9 then just corresponds to the part on which $\lambda \in k^*$ acts by mult = by λ^d .

However, examination of the proof of (4.6) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{the IMs } H^r(A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}}) \\ \xrightarrow{\sim} H^r(\mathbb{P}^n, \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}}) \end{aligned}$$

respect the action of $G = k^*$, since

$H^r(\mathbb{P}^n, \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}})$ was obtained by taking π_* of the standard globally resolution of $\mathcal{O}_{A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}}$ on $A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$.

The the part of $H^r(A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}})$ on which $\lambda \in k^*$ acts by mult = by λ^d , descends

$H^r(A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}})_{\text{deg } d}$, corresponds to the part of $H^r(\mathbb{P}^n, \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}}) =$

$$H^r(\mathbb{P}^n, \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)) \stackrel{\text{Q8}}{=} \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} H^r(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d))$$

on which k^* acts in this way, namely (by Q10)

precisely $H^r(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d))$. Thus (4.8) follows

from description of $H^r(A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}})$ & action of k^* on it.

12) Have open cover $U_1 \dashrightarrow \dots \dashrightarrow U_d$ with $U_L = X$ for some L

Have $\delta_n : \check{C}^n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{C}^{n+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{G})$ where $\delta_n(\alpha) = \beta$,

$$\text{with } \beta_{i_0, \dots, i_{n+1}} = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n+1} (-1)^j \alpha_{i_0, \dots, \hat{i}_j, \dots, i_{n+1}} \Big|_{U_{i_0, \dots, i_{n+1}}}$$

& $k_n : \check{C}^n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \check{C}^{n-1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{G})$, where $k_n(\alpha) = \beta$

$$\text{with } \beta_{i_0, \dots, i_{n-1}} = \begin{cases} (-1)^k \alpha_{i_0, \dots, l, \dots, i_{n-1}} & \text{if } i_{k-1} < l < i_k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Suppose now we consider i_0, \dots, i_n

Case 1 $i_{k-1} < l < i_k$, then

$$\left(\binom{k_{n+1}}{\delta_n} \alpha \right)_{i_0, \dots, i_n} = (-1)^k (\delta_n \alpha)_{i_0, \dots, l, \dots, i_n}$$

$$= \sum_{j < k} (-1)^{j+k} \alpha_{i_0, \dots, \hat{i}_j, \dots, l, \dots, i_n} + \alpha_{i_0, \dots, i_n}$$

$$+ \sum_{j > k} (-1)^{j+k+1} \alpha_{i_0, \dots, l, \dots, \hat{i}_j, \dots, i_n}$$

$$\& \left(\delta_{n-1} k_n \alpha \right)_{i_0, \dots, i_n} = \sum (-1)^j \left(k_n \alpha \right)_{i_0, \dots, \hat{i}_j, \dots, i_n}$$

$$= \sum_{k \leq j} (-1)^{j+k} \alpha_{i_0, \dots, l, \dots, \hat{i}_j, \dots, i_n} + \sum_{k > j} (-1)^{j+k+1} \alpha_{i_0, \dots, \hat{i}_j, \dots, l, \dots, i_n}$$

Summing these two expressions just yields α_{i_0, \dots, i_n} .

this includes cases
 $k=0$ i.e. $l < i_0$
 & $k=n$ i.e. $l > i_{n-1}$

Case 2 $L = i_j$ for some j . Then

$$(k_{n+1} \delta_n \alpha)_{i_0 \dots i_n} = 0 \quad \text{by definition of } k_{n+1} \quad \&$$

$$(\delta_{n-1} k_n \alpha)_{i_0 \dots i_n} = (-1)^{2j} \alpha_{i_0 \dots i_n} = \alpha_{i_0 \dots i_n}$$

So in both cases $((k_{n+1} \delta_n + \delta_{n-1} k_n) \alpha)_{i_0 \dots i_n} = \alpha_{i_0 \dots i_n}$

$$\Rightarrow k_{n+1} \delta_n + \delta_{n-1} k_n = \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}^n(U, \mathcal{F})}$$

(13) Case $f: X \rightarrow Y$ with both X & Y affine,

say $k[X] = A$, $k[Y] = B$. If \mathcal{F} a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module, then $\mathcal{F} = \tilde{M}$ for some A module M ,

and then regarding M as a B -module ${}_B M$ via the

HM $f^*: B \rightarrow A$, we've seen that $f_* \tilde{M} = ({}_B M)^\sim$

& hence is quasi-coherent.

Assume now that X is arbitrary & Y is affine.

Note that $U_i \cap U_j \cong \Delta_X \cap (U_i \times U_j)$ is IM to a closed subvariety of the affine variety $U_i \times U_j$ (Δ_X closed)

$\Rightarrow U_i \cap U_j$ affine for all i, j .

Thus $f_*(\mathcal{F}|_{U_i})$ quasi-coherent $\forall i$ & likewise

$f_*(\mathcal{F}|_{U_i \cap U_j})$ quasi-coherent $\forall i, j$, from

first part of question.

Define a morphism between quasi-coherent sheaves

$$\bigoplus_i f_* (\mathcal{F}|_{U_i}) \xrightarrow{\Theta} \bigoplus_{i,j} f_* (\mathcal{F}|_{U_i \cap U_j}) \quad \text{by}$$

$$\Theta(\sigma_i)_{i \in I} = \pm (\sigma_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} - \sigma_j|_{U_i \cap U_j})_{i,j \in I}$$

(with + sign if $i < j$ and - sign if $i > j$).

For V open in Y , $\text{Ker } \Theta(V)$ consists of families

$\sigma_i \in \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}V \cap U_i)$ for which

$$\sigma_i|_{f^{-1}V \cap U_i \cap U_j} = \sigma_j|_{f^{-1}V \cap U_i \cap U_j} \quad \forall i,j$$

ie by the sheaf condⁿs coming from a unique $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}V)$
 $= f_* \mathcal{F}(V)$

$\therefore \text{Ker } \Theta \cong f_* \mathcal{F}$ is quasi-coherent using Thm 3.4 & Coroll 3.5 — cf. proof of (4.5)

Finally, since quasi-coherence is a local property, result holds for morphisms of arbitrary varieties.

14) Note first that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(m)|_{U_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{U_i}$ for the standard affine pieces U_0, \dots, U_N of \mathbb{P}^N via

$$f(x_0/x_i, \dots, x_N/x_i) / (x_0/x_i)^m \mapsto f(x_0/x_i, \dots, x_N/x_i)$$

and restriction to $V \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ gives $(M \otimes \mathcal{O}_V(m))|_{U_i \cap V} \cong \mathcal{O}_{U_i \cap V}$.

We just give the proof for $V = \mathbb{P}^N$ & restricting everything to V gives result on V . (alter:

$i_* (\mathcal{Y}(m)) = (i_* \mathcal{Y})(m)$, \mathcal{Y} a quasi-coherent sheaf on \mathbb{P}^N ,
using Ex Sht 4, Q1).

$$\text{So } \mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(m) |_{U_i} \longleftarrow \mathcal{Y} |_{U_i}$$

$$\cong \mathcal{Y}(m) |_{U_i}$$

$$\text{via } s_i \otimes (x_i/x_0)^m \longleftarrow s_i \in \mathcal{Y} |_{U_i}(U_i)$$

Then patch together to give a section of $\mathcal{Y}(m)$

$$\Leftrightarrow s_i \otimes (x_i/x_0)^m |_{U_i \cap U_j} = s_j \otimes (x_j/x_0)^m |_{U_i \cap U_j}$$

$$\cong s_i \cdot (x_i/x_j)^m \otimes (x_j/x_0)^m$$

$$\Leftrightarrow s_j = (x_i/x_j)^m s_i \in \mathcal{Y}(U_i \cap U_j) \quad \forall i, j$$