

- 1) Projective Case: If $V = \bigcup U_i$ with $U_i = V \setminus V^h(I_i)$, then $\phi = \bigcap_i V^h(I_i) = V^h(\sum I_i)$. But $\sum_i I_i \trianglelefteq k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$ f.g. by Hilbert's Basis Thm $\Rightarrow \sum_i I_i = \langle f_1, \dots, f_N \rangle$, where each f_j involves only finitely many of the I_i . Thus $\sum_i I_i$ is a finite sum of some of the I_i & hence V covered by a finite subcollection of the U_i . Affine case entirely similar.
- Comment Better to do it this way than via Hilbert O-satz as it isn't really dependent on k being algebraically closed.

- 2) Suppose h an everywhere regular function on V ; for each $P \in V$, \exists representation $h = f_P/g_P$, with $f_P, g_P \in k[V]$, $g_P(P) \neq 0$. Consider $J \trianglelefteq k[V]$ generated by $\{g_P : P \in V\}$. Since $V(J) = \emptyset$, Hilbert O-satz $\Rightarrow J = k[V]$ $\therefore \exists P_1, \dots, P_N \in V$, $q_1, \dots, q_N \in k[V]$ s.t. $1 = \sum_{i=1}^N q_i g_{P_i} \Rightarrow h = \sum_i q_i f_{P_i} / g_{P_i} \in k[V]$.

On P^n , unique factorization in $k[X_0, \dots, X_n] \Rightarrow \exists!$ representation of a rational function h as $h = F/G$, F & G coprime & homogeneous of same degree $\Rightarrow G$ has no zeros $\Rightarrow G = \text{const} \Rightarrow h = \text{const.}$

- 3) $\phi = (f_1, \dots, f_m) : V \rightarrow W \subseteq A^n$, then $\phi^*(g) = g \circ f \in k[V]$ for $g \in k[W]$.

i.e. represent $g \in k$ polynomial $G \in k[Y_1, \dots, Y_m]$ & the $\phi^*(g) = G(f_1, \dots, f_m) \in k[V]$.

(a) Suppose ϕ dominating: give $g \in W$ s.t. $\phi^*(g) = 0$, have G vanishing at all points of $\phi(V)$ $\Rightarrow G \in I(W)$
 $\Rightarrow g = 0$

Conversely, suppose G vanishes at all points of $\phi(V)$, then $\phi^*(g) = 0 \in k[V] \Rightarrow g = 0 \Rightarrow G \in I(W)$ & so ϕ is dominating

(b) If $\phi: V \xrightarrow{\sim} W' \hookrightarrow W$, then
 $\phi^*: k[W] \rightarrow k[W'] \xrightarrow{\sim} k[V]$, where the first map is just $k[W] \rightarrow k[W]/I(W')$.

Conversely, if ϕ^* surjection, let $I = \ker \phi^* \triangleleft k[W]$ & $W' = V(I)$. Then ϕ^* induce an IM
 $k[W'] = k[W]/I \xrightarrow{\sim} k[V]$ (0-salg $\Rightarrow I(W') = I$)

4) Both of them are morphism to $\text{Bil}_A(M \times N, P)$:
 Give $\phi \in \text{Bil}_A(M \times N, P)$, universal property $\dagger \otimes$
 $\Rightarrow \exists! \theta \in \text{Hom}_A(M \otimes N, P)$ s.t. $\phi = \theta \circ \star$
 (where $\star: M \times N \rightarrow M \otimes_A N$ is universal bilinear map)
 Conversely, give such a θ , have corresponding bilinear map $\theta \circ \star$. Clearly this identification gives an IM of A -modules.
 However, give such a $\phi \in \text{Bil}_A(M \times N, P)$, may define $\tilde{\phi} \in \text{Hom}_A(M, \text{Hom}_A(N, P))$ by
 $\tilde{\phi}(m)(n) = \phi(m, n)$, also clearly an IM of A -modules.

5) $N \otimes_B P$ considered as an A -module via the
 A -module structure on N & $M \otimes_A N$ as a B -module
via B -module structure on N . (3)

Given $z \in P$, have A -bilinear map

$$\begin{aligned} M \times N &\longrightarrow M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P) \\ (x, y) &\longmapsto x \otimes (y \otimes z) \end{aligned}$$

where $N \otimes_B P$ considered as a A -module & $x \otimes y$ defined
to be image of (x, y) under appropriate bilinear universal map.

This induces a HM $M \otimes_A N \xrightarrow{f_z} M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P)$
 $x \otimes y \longmapsto x \otimes (y \otimes z)$

which we observe is in fact also B -linear.

Now consider the B -bilinear map

$$\begin{aligned} (M \otimes_A N) \times P &\longmapsto M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P) \\ (t, z) &\longmapsto f_z(t). \end{aligned}$$

This induces the required map $(M \otimes_A N) \otimes_B P \rightarrow M \otimes_A (N \otimes_B P)$
under which $(x \otimes y) \otimes z \longmapsto x \otimes (y \otimes z)$.

The inverse is constructed similarly. Note that we have
an IM of (A, B) -bimodules

Aliter Define $M \otimes_A N \otimes_B P$ to be universal w.r.t
trilinear maps to (A, B) -bimodules, which is A -linear
in the first factor, (A, B) -linear in the second factor
& B -linear in the third.

(4)

(b) We saw in (0.4) that :

- if $\alpha \in A$, $A/\alpha \otimes_A M \cong M/\alpha M$
- if S mult subset of A , $S^{-1}A \otimes_A M \cong S^{-1}M$
(make sure you can move them).

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a) \quad A/I \otimes_A (M \otimes_A N) &\stackrel{QS}{\cong} (A/I \otimes_A M) \otimes_A N \\
 &\cong M/I M \otimes_A N \cong (M/I M \otimes_{A/I} A/I) \otimes_A N \\
 &\stackrel{QS}{\cong} M/I M \otimes_{A/I} (A/I \otimes_A N) \cong M/I M \otimes_{A/I} N/I N
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (b) \quad LHS &\cong S^{-1}A \otimes_A (M \otimes_A N) \stackrel{QS}{\cong} (S^{-1}A \otimes_A M) \otimes_A N \\
 &\cong S^{-1}M \otimes_A N \cong (S^{-1}M \otimes_{S^{-1}A} S^{-1}A) \otimes_A N \\
 &\stackrel{QS}{\cong} S^{-1}M \otimes_{S^{-1}A} (S^{-1}A \otimes_A N) \cong S^{-1}M \otimes_{S^{-1}A} S^{-1}N.
 \end{aligned}$$

7) Given U open = x & $s \in \gamma(U)$, claim that
 $\phi(u)(s) = \gamma(u)(s)$. This follows since

$$(\phi(u)(s))_x = \phi_x(s_x) = \gamma_x(s_x) = (\gamma(u)(s))_x \quad \forall x \in U$$

i.e. \exists open neighborhood $x \in U_x \subset U$ s.t.

$$\phi(u)(s)|_{U_x} = \gamma(u)(s)|_{U_x}$$

Now check condition (A) for $\mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \phi(u)(s) = \gamma(u)(s)$.

8) $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^+$ has universal property that for any morphism $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ to a sheaf \mathcal{Z} , $\exists!$ factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{Z} \\ & \downarrow & \nearrow \exists! \\ \mathcal{Y}^+ & & \end{array}$$

Suppose $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}'$ also has this property. Then get unique factorizations

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y}' \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \alpha / \beta \\ & & \mathcal{Y}^+ \end{array}$$

Applying uniqueness of factorization for $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^+$ to diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y}^+ \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \alpha \beta \\ & & \mathcal{Y}^+ \end{array}, \text{ deduce } \alpha \beta = \text{id}_{\mathcal{Y}^+}$$

Similarly $\beta \alpha = \text{id}_{\mathcal{Y}'}$.

9) (a) Have natural morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{i_1} & \mathcal{Y} \oplus \mathcal{Y} \\ & \nearrow & \searrow \\ \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{i_2} & \end{array}$$

given by $i_1(s) = (s, 0)$, $i_2(t) = (0, t)$.

This has universal property that for any sheaf / presheaf \mathcal{H}

\rightarrow morphisms $\mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \mathcal{H}$, $\exists!$ morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{\phi_2} & \mathcal{H} \\ & \nearrow & \searrow \\ & \mathcal{Y} \oplus \mathcal{Y} & \end{array}$$

$$\mathcal{Y} \oplus \mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{H} \text{ s.t.}$$

$$\phi_1 = \phi \circ i_1, \phi_2 = \phi \circ i_2$$

(6)

Existence & uniqueness of ϕ is clear :

$$\phi(s, t) = (\phi_1(s), \phi_2(t)).$$

Suppose S also has this universal property, say

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{I} & \xrightarrow{j_1} & S \\ \downarrow & \nearrow j_2 & \\ \mathcal{Y} & & \end{array}, \text{ the } \exists \text{ morphism } \mathcal{I} \oplus \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow S$$

$$S \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \oplus \mathcal{Y}$$

which are naturally univ. with

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{I} & \xrightarrow{j_1} & \\ \downarrow i_1 & \nearrow & \\ \mathcal{I} \oplus \mathcal{Y} & \longrightarrow & S \\ \downarrow i_2 & \nearrow j_2 & \\ \mathcal{Y} & & \end{array}$$

Remark $\mathcal{I} \oplus \mathcal{Y}$ is in fact a byproduct & so \exists morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{I} \oplus \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & \mathcal{I} \\ & \searrow \pi_2 & \nearrow \theta_1 \\ & \mathcal{Y} & \end{array}, \text{ univ. wrt diagram } \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{\theta_1} \mathcal{I}$$

$$\mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{\theta_2} \mathcal{Y}$$

i.e. factoring thru morphism $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \oplus \mathcal{Y}$.

(b) Given \mathcal{O}_X -modules $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{H}$, a bilinear map of \mathcal{O}_X -modules is a morphism of sheaves of abelian groups $\mathcal{I} \oplus \mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{H}$ s.t. for U open,

the corresponding map $\phi(U)$ is a bilinear map of $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -modules

Set $T(U) = \mathcal{I}(U) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)} \mathcal{Y}(U)$, the vector-product.

(7)

We define the sheaf tensor product to be T^+ & denote it by $\mathcal{Y} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{Y}$. Clearly \exists \mathcal{O}_X -bilinear map of presheaves $\mathcal{Y} \oplus \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow T$ & composing with sheafification, a bilinear map of \mathcal{O}_X -modules $\mathcal{Y} \oplus \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{Y}$

Given any bilinear map $\phi : \mathcal{Y} \oplus \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ of sheaves, $\exists!$ factorization in cg of presheaves $\mathcal{Y} \oplus \mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{H}$

& using universal property of T^+ ,
a factorization $\mathcal{Y} \oplus \mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{H}$
 $\downarrow T \dashv \vdash \mathcal{Y}$
 $\downarrow T^+ \dashv \vdash T^+$ i.e. ϕ factors
via T^+ in cg
of sheaves.

Given a factorization of ϕ via T^+ , the composite $T \rightarrow T^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is unique by universal property of presheaf tensor product,
& the factorization $T^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ unique by universal property
of sheafification. Standard argument then shows uniqueness of
 $\mathcal{Y} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{Y}$ up to $\text{I}\mathcal{M}$

10) Suppose $\phi : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ a morphism of sheaves.

- If $\theta : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ s.t. $\phi \theta = 0$, then

$$\theta(u) \mathcal{H}(u) \subseteq (\text{Ker } \phi)(u) \quad \forall u \text{ s.t. } \theta \text{ maps}$$

$$\overline{\theta} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \text{Ker } \phi \text{ s.t. } \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathcal{Y} \\ & \overline{\theta} \downarrow & \uparrow \phi \\ & \text{Ker } \phi & \end{array} \text{ commutes}$$

- If $\theta : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ s.t. $\theta \phi = 0$, then for
 U open in X , $\exists!$ factorization of $\theta(u)$

$$y(u) \xrightarrow{\phi(u)} y(u) \longrightarrow e(u) := g(u)/\phi(u) \exists(u)$$

$\theta(u) \searrow \swarrow$

$$\theta(u) \qquad Q(u)$$

through the overcat $e(u)$. Universal property & slantiprinciple \Rightarrow overcat morphism $e \rightarrow Q$
factors uniquely through $\text{coker } \phi = e^+ \rightarrow Q$

\therefore so $\exists!$ factorization $y \xrightarrow{\tau} \text{coker } \phi$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \tau & \\ \theta \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{\theta} \\ & Q & \end{array}$$

Given a factorization of ϕ , $y \xrightarrow{\tau} h \xrightarrow{\theta} y$,

clearly $y \xrightarrow{\phi} y \rightarrow \text{coker } \theta$ is quo \therefore so

$\exists!$ factorization $y \xrightarrow{\tau} h \xrightarrow{\theta} y \xrightarrow{\tau_1} \text{coker } \phi$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \tau_1 & \\ & \downarrow \alpha & \\ & \text{coker } \theta & \end{array}$$

Thus $\text{Ker } \tau_1 \subseteq \text{Ker } \tau_2$ i.e.

$\text{Im } \phi \subseteq \text{Im } \theta$. Clearly α an IM \Rightarrow equality

Conversely, equality $\Rightarrow (\text{Im } \phi)_P = \text{Im } \phi_P = \text{Im } \theta_P = (\text{Im } \theta)_P$
 $\forall P$

On passing to stalk maps $y_P \rightarrow \text{coker } \phi_P = y_P/\phi_P y_P$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \downarrow & \\ & \text{coker } \theta_P = y_P/\theta_P y_P & \end{array}$$

deduce that $\alpha_P : (\text{coker } \phi)_P \xrightarrow{\sim} (\text{coker } \theta)_P \quad \forall P$

$\Rightarrow \alpha$ is an isomorphism

(9)

11) Can define an exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_U \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U^* \rightarrow 1$$

$$f \mapsto \exp(2\pi i f)$$

This gives an exact sequence on stalks — indeed for $P \in V \subset U$ with V connected & simply connected, we have an exact sequence on sections over V , since any $g \in \mathcal{O}_U^*(V)$ comes from $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{P_0}^P \frac{g'(w)}{g(w)} dw$

i.e. $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \log g$. Wlog assume U is connected — otherwise work with connected components. If U also simply connected, we've just observed that the map $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U) \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U^*)$ is surjective.

If U not simply connected, $\exists a \notin U$ for which we cannot define $\log(z-a)$ (\exists closed curve γ in U with winding number $n(\gamma, a) \neq 0$) and then $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U) \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U^*)$ not surjective. So map on sections is surjective \Leftrightarrow every connected component of U is simply connected.

12) We embed $\mathbb{P}^n \xrightarrow{\psi_d} \mathbb{P}^N$, $N = \binom{n+d}{n} - 1$

defined by all the monomials of degree d . This defines an IM of \mathbb{P}^n with its image $= \mathbb{P}^N$ (see below); cf Q14 for fact that regular maps \equiv morphisms.

(10)

So the Veronese map v_d defined by all monomials of degree $d = i_0 + \dots + i_n$. We take homogeneous coords on \mathbb{P}^N given by $x_{i_0 \dots i_n}$ with $\sum i_k = d$.

Define a k -algebra H^M

$\Theta: k[x_{i_0 \dots i_n} : \sum i_k = d] \rightarrow k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ by
 $x_{i_0 \dots i_n} \mapsto x_0^{i_0} \dots x_n^{i_n}$ & set $I = \ker \Theta$, a prime ideal since $k[x_{i_0 \dots i_n}] / I \hookrightarrow k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ an ID.

An elt $x \in \ker \Theta \Leftrightarrow$ all its homog parts are 0 so I is a homogeneous ideal. Set $V = V^h(I) \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ irreducible. From definition of I , have $v_d(\mathbb{P}^n) \subset V$.

Note that $\Theta(x_{i_0 \dots i_n}^d - (x_{d_0 \dots 0})^{i_0} \dots (x_{0 \dots 0d})^{i_n}) = 0$, & so for $P = (x_{i_0 \dots i_n}) \in V$, have $x_{i_0 \dots i_n}^d = (x_{d_0 \dots 0})^{i_0} \dots (x_{0 \dots 0d})^{i_n}$

Since some coord $x_{i_0 \dots i_n} \neq 0$, at least one of the coords $x_{d_0 \dots 0}, x_{0d_0 \dots 0}, \dots, x_{0 \dots 0d}$ non-zero. (1)

Note that $\Theta(x_{i_0 \dots i_n} x_{j_0 \dots j_n} - x_{i'_0 \dots i'_n} x_{j'_0 \dots j'_n}) = 0$ whenever $i_k + j_k = i'_k + j'_k \ \forall k$, i.e. these quadratics in I (2)

Define morphism $\phi: V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ by

$(x_{d_0 \dots 0} : x_{d-1, 0 \dots 0} : \dots : x_{d-1, 0 \dots 0}) \sim (x_{1, d-1, 0 \dots 0} : \dots : x_{0, d-1, 0 \dots 0})$
 $\sim \dots \sim (x_{1, 0 \dots 0, d-1} : x_{0, 1, 0 \dots 0, d-1} : \dots : x_{0 \dots 0, d})$,
equivalent representations of same map by (2) & regular at every point of V by (1).

Clearly now $\phi \circ v_d = \text{id}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$. However give (11)

$P = (x_{i_0 \dots i_n}) \in V$, can assume why $x_{d0 \dots 0} \neq 0$ by (1) & so morphism give at P by first representation.

Observe $x_{d0 \dots 0}^{d-1} x_{i_0 \dots i_n} - x_{d0 \dots 0}^{i_0} x_{d-1, 0 \dots 0}^{i_1} \dots x_{d-1, 0 \dots 0}^{i_n} \in I$

& so coords of P satisfy $x_{d0 \dots 0}^{d-1} x_{i_0 \dots i_n} = x_{d0 \dots 0}^{i_0} \dots x_{d-1, 0 \dots 0}^{i_n} \in I$

So $v_d \circ \phi(P)$ has coords $x_{d0 \dots 0}^{d-1} x_{i_0 \dots i_n}$

i.e. $v_d \circ \phi(P) = P$. $\therefore v_d \circ \phi = \text{id}_V$ and

$v_d : \mathbb{P}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ an embedding with image V as claimed.

The hypersurface $F = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ corresponds under v_d to a hyperplane section of image $V = v_d(\mathbb{P}^n)$, since F a linear combination of monomials of degree d .

Then $\mathbb{P}^n \setminus V^h(F)$ has natural structure of an affine variety, namely $V \setminus$ corresponding hyperplane section

(3) Work = the C^∞ case - complex manifold case analogous

Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a continuous map of manifolds with $\phi = (f, f^\#) : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ a morphism of the corresponding locally rigid spaces/ \mathbb{R} .

A chart on X of the form $\vartheta : U \xrightarrow{\sim} \vartheta(U) = W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ for some ϑ inducing an IM of locally rigid spaces/ \mathbb{R} .

Similarly a chart on Y of the form $\psi : U' \xrightarrow{\sim} \psi(U') = W' \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ inducing an IM of locally rigid spaces/ \mathbb{R} .

Thus $(\theta, \theta^\#) : (U, \mathcal{O}_U) \xrightarrow{\sim} (W, \mathcal{O}_W)$ is an IM of locally rigid spaces / \mathbb{R} , where $\theta^\#$ is the standard pullback map $\theta^\# : \mathcal{O}_W \rightarrow \theta_* \mathcal{O}_U$ given by $h \mapsto h \circ \theta$. Similarly $(\gamma, \gamma^\#) : (U', \mathcal{O}_{U'}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (W', \mathcal{O}_{W'})$ an IM.

Suppose now that $f(U) \subseteq U'$ & so $U \subseteq f^{-1}U'$.

Setting $g = \gamma \circ f \circ \theta^{-1} : W \rightarrow W'$, we have a (composite) morphism $(g, g^\#) : (W, \mathcal{O}_W) \rightarrow (W', \mathcal{O}_{W'})$ of locally rigid spaces / \mathbb{R} .

RTP g is smooth & $g^\#$ is the standard pullback of smooth functions given by composing with g .

Let y_j be the coordinate functions on \mathbb{R}^m , $1 \leq j \leq m$; as in the proof of Lemma 1.2, we set $g_j = g^\#(y_j)$, $j=1, \dots, m$ with $g_j \in \mathcal{O}_W(W)$. We define a smooth map $G : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ by $G = (g_1, \dots, g_m)$

If $g(P) = (b_1, \dots, b_m)$, then $g_j - b_j \in \mathcal{M}_{W', g(P)}$ $\forall j$

Since $g^\#$ a local map on the local rings,

$$g^\#(g_j - b_j) = g_j - b_j \in \mathcal{M}_{W, P} \Rightarrow g_j(P) = b_j \quad \forall j$$

Thus $g(P) = G(P)$ on $W \Rightarrow g = G$ is smooth.

Moreover, by construction $y_j \circ g = y_j \circ G = g_j = g^\#(y_j)$

for $j=1, \dots, m \Rightarrow g^\#$ is the standard pullback of smooth functions

(12)

Since $\theta^\#$ & $\gamma^\#$ were given by pulling back functions, we deduce that $f^\# : \mathcal{O}_Y(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ given by $h \mapsto h \circ f$. Since smoothness is a local property, it follows that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is smooth; since $f^\# : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_X$ locally given by composition with f , this is true for any open subset of Y .

(14) We start with an easy lemma; let U be an open subset of $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, V under projection

Lemma Suppose $h \in k(V)$ is regular on U , then $\{h=0\} \cap U$ is Zariski closed.

Pf Can cover U by finitely many opens $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^N U_i$ for which we can write $h = F_i/g_i$ on U_i , with F_i, g_i homogeneous polynomials of same degree & g_i nowhere vanishing on U_i . Then $\{h=0\} \cap U_i = \{F_i=0\} \cap U_i$ closed in U_i $\forall i$ $\Rightarrow \{h=0\} \cap U$ is Zariski closed. \square

Suppose now $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ as given & let f dual to the corresponding map on topological spaces. We show first that f is continuous. Given $P \in V$, we can find a regular $(m+1)$ -tuple at $P \rightarrow (h_0 : h_1 : \dots : h_m)$ s.t. $f(P) = (h_0(P) : \dots : h_m(P))$

The same $(m+1)$ -tuple will then give the ring $f(\mathcal{Q})$ for \mathcal{Q} is some Zariski open subset U of P . If we can show that $f: U \rightarrow W$ is its in the Zariski topology, it will follow (continuity being a local property) that $f: V \rightarrow W$ is its. But given a closed subset $Z \subseteq W$, given by the vanishing of homogeneous polynomials $F_i(Y_0, \dots, Y_m)$, $i=1, \dots, M$, $(f|_U)^{-1}(Z)$ is given by the vanishing of regular functions $F_i(h_0, \dots, h_m)$ on U , the intersection of closed sets (by above Lemma) is then closed.

Given now an open subset $U \subset W$ and $r \in \mathcal{O}_W(U) \subset k(W)$ a regular function on U , claim that the composite $r \circ \phi = r \circ f$ is regular on $f^{-1}(U) \subset V$ — this is clear: given any $P \in f^{-1}(U)$, can choose h_0, \dots, h_m regular functions at P with $\phi(P) = (h_0(P) : \dots : h_m(P))$.

We choose a representation $r = F/G$, quotient of homogeneous polynomials of same degree for which $G(\phi(P)) \neq 0$.

Thus $\phi^*(r) := r \circ \phi$ is represented by

$F(h_0, \dots, h_m)/G(h_0, \dots, h_m) \in k(V)$ which is clearly regular at P .

So required morphism of sheaves of rings $f^\# : \mathcal{O}_W \rightarrow f^*\mathcal{O}_V$ is given by $f^\#(U) : \mathcal{O}_W(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_V(f^{-1}U)$

$$r \mapsto \phi^*(r) = r \circ \phi.$$

The $(f, f^\#) : (V, \mathcal{O}_V) \rightarrow (W, \mathcal{O}_W)$ is a morphism of locally rigid spaces / k .

Conversely given the rigid spaces $(V, \mathcal{O}_V) \models (W, \mathcal{O}_W)$, we show that a morphism of locally rigid spaces $(f, f^\#)$ between them comes from an everywhere regular rational map $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ in the way described above.

Let $W_0 = \text{affine piece of } W \text{ given by } Y_0 \neq 0$, wlog non-empty. Set $h_i = f^\#(Y_i/Y_0) \in \mathcal{O}_V(f^{-1}W_0)$ for $i \leq m$ (so $h_0 = 1$) — cf proof of Lemma 1.2 in lectures. h_i for $i \leq m$ a rational function on V , regular on $f^{-1}W_0$.

Recall that a rational function is determined by its values on any open (dense) subset of its domain of definition (= points where it is regular). If we take another non-empty affine piece W_i given by $Y_i \neq 0$, then the $(m+1)$ -tuple $(g_0 : \dots : g_m)$ obtained analogously to the $(h_0 : \dots : h_m)$ (where this time $g_i = 1$) differs from $(h_0 : \dots : h_m)$ by multiplying through by a non-zero rational function h determined by $f^\#(Y_0/Y_i) \in \mathcal{O}_V(f^{-1}W_i)$. So we have a well-defined rational map $\phi = (h_0 : h_1 : \dots : h_m)$ from V to \mathbb{P}^m , and from above discussion this is regular on each $f^{-1}(W_i)$, and hence is an everywhere regular map $\phi : V \rightarrow W$. So RTP $\phi(P) = \phi(P) \quad \forall P \in V$

This however is the familiar argument, using fact that $(f, f^\#)$ a morphism of locally rigid spaces / k .

Suppose $f(P) = Q = (a_0 : a_1 : \dots : a_m) \in W$, where we assume $a_0 = 1$. Set $y_i = Y_i/y_0$ the coordinate functions on the affine piece W_0 and $h_i = f^\#(y_i)$ as above — so $h_0 = 1$ and $h_1, \dots, h_m \in \mathcal{O}_{V,P}$.

Moreover $f^\#(y_i - a_i) \in M_{V,P} \Rightarrow h_i(P) = a_i \quad 1 \leq i \leq m$
 $\Rightarrow f(P) = \phi(P)$ as required.

Finally, for any open set $U \subset W$, have

$\mathcal{O}_w(U) \subseteq k(W)$ where $k(W)$ generated by y_1, \dots, y_m .

The fact that $f^\#(y_i) = h_i = y_i \circ \phi = \phi^*(y_i)$ for
 $1 \leq i \leq m$

$\Rightarrow f^\#$ and ϕ^* agree on $\mathcal{O}_w(U)$ as required.

Rk Compare this answer to answer to Q13!