

EXISTENCE OF LOG CANONICAL FLIPS AND A SPECIAL LMMP

CAUCHER BIRKAR

ABSTRACT. Let $(X/Z, B + A)$ be a \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt pair where $B, A \geq 0$ are \mathbb{Q} -divisors and $K_X + B + A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/Z$. We prove that any LMMP/ Z on $K_X + B$ with scaling of an ample divisor terminates with a good log minimal model or a Mori fibre space assuming: either a certain semi-ampleness conjecture, or the ACC conjecture on lc thresholds. An immediate corollary of this is that log flips exist for log canonical pairs up to either of those conjectures.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	4
3. Shokurov Bss-ampleness	6
4. The klt case	10
5. Proof of Theorem 1.3	12
5.1. The vertical case	12
5.5. The horizontal case	16
6. Proof of Theorem 1.5	19
6.2. The vertical case	20
6.6. The horizontal case	26
References	28

1. Introduction

We work over \mathbb{C} . Extending results from the klt case to the lc case is often not as easy as it may sound. For example, it took some hard work to prove the cone and contraction theorem for lc pairs as done by Ambro [3] and Fujino [13]. Another major example is the finite generation of the log canonical rings: the klt case was proved in [9] but extending this to the lc case is essentially equivalent to proving the abundance conjecture. It is well-known that log flips exist for klt pairs [9]. In this paper we study the existence of log flips for log canonical pairs. Along the way, we came across the following more general statement.

Date: May 4, 2011.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14E30.

Conjecture 1.1. *Let $(X/Z, B + A)$ be a lc pair where $B, A \geq 0$ are \mathbb{Q} -divisors, A is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier, and the given morphism $f: X \rightarrow Z$ is surjective. Assume further that $K_X + B + A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/Z$. Then,*

- (1) $(X/Z, B)$ has a Mori fibre space or a log minimal model $(Y/Z, B_Y)$,
- (2) if $K_Y + B_Y$ is nef/ Z , then it is semi-ample/ Z ;
- (3) if $(X/Z, B)$ is \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt, then any LMMP/ Z on $K_X + B$ with scaling of an ample/ Z \mathbb{Q} -divisor terminates.

Note that the surjectivity of f is obviously not necessary but we have put there for practical convenience. A statement similar to Conjecture 1.1 appeared as a conjecture in a recent paper of Kollár [20] in the context of studying singularities. However, we arrived at this statement independently and our motivation mainly came from studying log flips for log canonical pairs. The main result of this paper is that the above conjecture follows from either a certain semi-ampleness conjecture, or Shokurov's ACC conjecture on lc thresholds.

Conjecture 1.2. *Let $(X/Z, B)$ be a \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt pair, and $T := \lfloor B \rfloor$ where B is a \mathbb{Q} -divisor and Z is affine. Suppose that*

- $K_X + B$ is nef/ Z ,
- $(K_X + B)|_S$ is semi-ample/ Z for each component S of T ,
- $K_X + B - \epsilon P$ is semi-ample/ Z for some \mathbb{Q} -divisor $P \geq 0$ with $\text{Supp } P = T$ and for any sufficiently small rational number $\epsilon > 0$.

Then, $K_X + B$ is semi-ample/ Z .

Actually, we need a very special case of this conjecture (see the proof of Theorem 5.4). If Z is a point, then the conjecture is already known as one can use Fujino-Gongyo [16, Theorem 3.1] to prove the semi-ampleness of $(K_X + B)|_T$ and use Kollár's injectivity theorem to lift sections from T . So, one only needs to work out the latter two results in the relative situation and this seems quite within reach.

Theorem 1.3. *Conjecture 1.2 in dimension $\leq d$ implies Conjecture 1.1 in dimension $\leq d$.*

This theorem should be considered as the conceptual approach to 1.1. However, similar arguments also work if we replace the semi-ampleness conjecture with the ACC conjecture on lc thresholds.

Conjecture 1.4 (ACC for lc thresholds). *Suppose that $\Gamma \subseteq [0, 1]$ and $S \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ are finite sets of rational numbers, and d a natural number. Then, the set*

$$\{\text{lct}(M, X, B) \mid (X, B) \text{ is lc of dimension } d\}$$

satisfies the ACC where the coefficients of B belong to Γ , M is a \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor with coefficients in S , and $\text{lct}(M, X, B)$ is the lc threshold of M with respect to (X, B) .

The conjecture can be formulated in more general settings but we need this weaker form (actually, even weaker versions). Ein and Mustața [11] proved the conjecture for smooth varieties (and more generally varieties with quotient singularities). Hacon, McKernan, and Xu recently announced that they have

solved the conjecture in which case we could remove it from the assumptions of the next theorem hence get an unconditional proof of Conjecture 1.1.

Theorem 1.5. *Conjecture 1.4 in dimension $\leq d$ implies Conjecture 1.1 in dimension $\leq d$.*

As mentioned earlier, an immediate consequence of the above theorems concerns the existence of log flips for lc pairs.

Corollary 1.6. *Assume Conjecture 1.2 or Conjecture 1.4 in dimension d . Let $(X/Z, B)$ be a lc pair of dimension d and $X \rightarrow Y/Z$ an extremal $K_X + B$ -negative flipping contraction. Then, the log flip of $X \rightarrow Y$ exists.*

In section 3, we prove the following which is similar to a result of Fujino [12].

Theorem 1.7. (=Theorem 3.4) *Let $(X/Z, B)$ be a \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt pair such that $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{R}} M/Z$ with $M \geq 0$ very exceptional/ Z . Then, any LMMP/ Z on $K_X + B$ with scaling of an ample divisor terminates with a model Y on which $K_Y + B_Y \sim_{\mathbb{R}} M_Y = 0/Z$.*

In the same section, we prove that under finite generation (in particular in the klt case) a more general version of Conjecture 1.1 holds:

Theorem 1.8. (=Theorem 3.7) *Let $(X/Z, B)$ be a \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt pair where B is a \mathbb{Q} -divisor and $f: X \rightarrow Z$ is surjective. Assume further that $\mathcal{R}(X/Z, K_X + B)$ is a finitely generated \mathcal{O}_Z -algebra, and that $(K_X + B)|_{X_\eta} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ where X_η is the generic fibre of f . Then, any LMMP/ Z on $K_X + B$ with scaling of an ample divisor terminates with a good log minimal model.*

We could generalise this by replacing $(K_X + B)|_{X_\eta} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ with: existence of a good log minimal model on the generic fibre. We have a similar situation in some of the other statements below.

In section 4, we study the klt case beyond the statement of 1.1. In section 5, we give the proof of Theorem 1.3 by proving several inductive theorems. In section 6, we give the proof of Theorem 1.5. The proof goes through the following more general theorem:

Theorem 1.9. (=Theorem 6.1) *Assume Conjecture 1.4 in dimension d . Let $(X/Z, B)$ be a lc pair of dimension d where B is a \mathbb{Q} -divisor and the given morphism $f: X \rightarrow Z$ is surjective. Assume further that $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ over some non-empty open subset $U \subseteq Z$, and if η is the generic point of a lc centre of $(X/Z, B)$, then $f(\eta) \in U$. Then,*

- (1) $(X/Z, B)$ has a log minimal model $(Y/Z, B_Y)$,
- (2) $K_Y + B_Y$ is semi-ample/ Z ;
- (3) if $(X/Z, B)$ is \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt, then any LMMP/ Z on $K_X + B$ with scaling of an ample/ Z divisor terminates.

In some places we make use of the following result which is of independent interest.

Theorem 1.10. (=Theorem 5.6) *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y/Z$ be a surjective morphism of normal varieties, projective over an affine variety $Z = \text{Spec } R$, and L a Cartier divisor on Y . If $R(X/Z, f^*L)$ is a finitely generated R -algebra, then $R(Y/Z, L)$ is also a finitely generated R -algebra.*

Proof. (of Corollary 1.6) First assume that B has rational coefficients. Since $-(K_X + B)$ is ample/ Y , we can find $0 \leq A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -(K_X + B)/Y$ such that $(X/Z, B + A)$ is lc and $K_X + B + A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/Y$. Now by Theorem 1.3 or Theorem 1.5, $(X/Y, B)$ has a good log minimal model and its lc model gives the flip of $X \rightarrow Y$.

When B is not rational, we can find rational lc divisors $K_X + B_i$ and real numbers $r_i > 0$ such that $K_X + B = \sum r_i(K_X + B_i)$ and $\sum r_i = 1$. Moreover, we can assume that $-(K_X + B_i)$ is ample/ Y for every i . For each i, j there is a rational number $b_{i,j}$ such that $K_X + B_i \equiv b_{i,j}(K_X + B_j)/Y$. By the cone theorem for lc pairs proved by Ambro [3] and Fujino [13], $K_X + B_i \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} b_{i,j}(K_X + B_j)/Y$. Therefore, there is a morphism $X^+ \rightarrow Y$ which gives the flip of $K_X + B_i$ for every i . The morphism also gives the $K_X + B$ -flip. \square

Remark 1.11 Assume Conjecture 1.2 or Conjecture 1.4 in dimension d . Let $(X/Z, B)$ be a lc pair of dimension d . In view of Ambro [3] and Fujino [13], and Corollary 1.6, we can run the LMMP/ Z on $K_X + B$. Termination of such an LMMP can be reduced to the \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt case.

We remark that it should not be difficult to generalise Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.5 to the case when B, C are \mathbb{R} -divisors. One would have to use appropriate rational approximations to reduce to the rational case.

Finally, we briefly mention some previous works on flips. Mori proved the existence of flips for 3-folds with terminal singularities [23]. Shokurov proved it in full generality in dimension 3 [27][26], in dimension 4 in the klt case [25], and also worked out a significant proportion of what we know about flips in every dimension. Hacon-M^cKernan [17] filled in the missing parts of Shokurov's program on pl flips. Birkar-Cascini-Hacon-M^cKernan [9] proved the problem in the klt case in all dimensions (see also [10]). Fujino [14] proved it for lc pairs in dimension 4. Alexeev-Hacon-Kawamata [1] and Birkar [8] proved it in dimension 5 in the klt case.

2. Preliminaries

We work over $k = \mathbb{C}$. A *pair* $(X/Z, B)$ consists of normal quasi-projective varieties X, Z over k , an \mathbb{R} -divisor B on X with coefficients in $[0, 1]$ such that $K_X + B$ is \mathbb{R} -Cartier, and a projective morphism $X \rightarrow Z$. For a prime divisor D on some birational model of X with a nonempty centre on X , $a(D, X, B)$ denotes the log discrepancy.

Let $(X/Z, B)$ be a lc pair. By a $K_X + B$ -flip/ Z we mean the flip of a $K_X + B$ -negative extremal flipping contraction/ Z . A *sequence of log flips/ Z starting with $(X/Z, B)$* is a sequence $X_i \dashrightarrow X_{i+1}/Z_i$ in which $X_i \rightarrow Z_i \leftarrow X_{i+1}$ is a $K_{X_i} + B_i$ -flip/ Z , B_i is the birational transform of B_1 on X_1 , and $(X_1/Z, B_1) = (X/Z, B)$. *Special termination* means termination near $[B]$.

Definition 2.1 (Weak lc and log minimal models) A pair $(Y/Z, B_Y)$ is a *log birational model* of $(X/Z, B)$ if we are given a birational map $\phi: X \dashrightarrow Y/Z$ and $B_Y = B^\sim + E$ where B^\sim is the birational transform of B and E is the reduced exceptional divisor of ϕ^{-1} , that is, $E = \sum E_j$ where E_j are the exceptional/ X prime divisors on Y . A log birational model $(Y/Z, B_Y)$ is a *weak lc model* of $(X/Z, B)$ if

- $K_Y + B_Y$ is nef/ Z , and
- for any prime divisor D on X which is exceptional/ Y , we have

$$a(D, X, B) \leq a(D, Y, B_Y)$$

A weak lc model $(Y/Z, B_Y)$ is a *log minimal model* of $(X/Z, B)$ if

- $(Y/Z, B_Y)$ is \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt,
- the above inequality on log discrepancies is strict.

Definition 2.2 (Mori fibre space) A log birational model $(Y/Z, B_Y)$ of a lc pair $(X/Z, B)$ is called a *Mori fibre space* if $(Y/Z, B_Y)$ is \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt, there is a $K_Y + B_Y$ -negative extremal contraction $Y \rightarrow T/Z$ with $\dim Y > \dim T$, and

$$a(D, X, B) \leq a(D, Y, B_Y)$$

for any prime divisor D (on birational models of X) and strict inequality holds if D is on X and contracted/ Y .

Definition 2.3 (Log smooth model) We need to define various kinds of log smooth models. Let $(X/Z, B)$ be a lc pair, and let $g: W \rightarrow X$ be a log resolution. Let $B_W \geq 0$ be a boundary on W so that $K_W + B_W = g^*(K_X + B) + E$ where $E \geq 0$ is exceptional/ X and the support of E contains each prime exceptional/ X divisor D on W if $a(D, X, B) > 0$. We call $(W/Z, B_W)$ a log smooth model of $(X/Z, B)$. However, in practice we usually need further assumptions. We list the ones we will need:

Type (1): We take B_W to be the birational transform of B plus the reduced exceptional divisor of g , that is, we assume that $a(D, W, B_W) = 0$ for each prime exceptional/ X divisor D on W .

Type (2): We assume that $a(D, W, B_W) > 0$ if $a(D, X, B) > 0$, for each prime exceptional/ X divisor D on W .

Type (3): here $(X/Z, B)$ is klt, and we assume that $(W/Z, B_W)$ is also klt.

Remark 2.4 A log smooth model $(W/Z, B_W)$ of $(X/Z, B)$ has the property that any log minimal model of $(W/Z, B_W)$ is also a log minimal model of $(X/Z, B)$. Indeed let $(Y/Z, B_Y)$ be a log minimal model of $(W/Z, B_W)$. Let $e: V \rightarrow W$ and $h: V \rightarrow Y$ be a common resolution. Then, $e^*(K_W + B_W) = h^*(K_Y + B_Y) + G$ where $G \geq 0$ is exceptional/ Y [8, Remark 2.6]. Thus, $e^*g^*(K_X + B) = h^*(K_Y + B_Y) + G - e^*E$. Since $G - e^*E$ is antinef/ X , and $g_*e_*(G - e^*E) \geq 0$, by the negativity lemma, $G - e^*E \geq 0$. Now if D is a prime divisor on X which is exceptional/ Y , then $a(D, X, B) = a(D, W, B_W) < a(D, Y, B_Y)$. On the other hand, if D is a prime divisor on Y which is exceptional/ X , then $a(D, Y, B_Y) = 0$ otherwise D is not exceptional/ W and $a(D, X, B) > a(D, W, B_W) = a(D, Y, B_Y)$ which contradicts $G - e^*E \geq 0$. Moreover, if

D is a prime divisor on Y which is not exceptional/ X , then $a(D, X, B) = a(D, W, B_W) = a(D, Y, B_Y)$. So, B_Y is the birational transform of B plus the reduced exceptional divisor of $Y \dashrightarrow X$ hence $(Y/Z, B_Y)$ is a log minimal model of $(X/Z, B)$.

Definition 2.5 (LMMP with scaling) Let $(X_1/Z, B_1 + C_1)$ be a lc pair such that $K_{X_1} + B_1 + C_1$ is nef/ Z , $B_1 \geq 0$, and $C_1 \geq 0$ is \mathbb{R} -Cartier. Suppose that either $K_{X_1} + B_1$ is nef/ Z or there is an extremal ray R_1/Z such that $(K_{X_1} + B_1) \cdot R_1 < 0$ and $(K_{X_1} + B_1 + \lambda_1 C_1) \cdot R_1 = 0$ where

$$\lambda_1 := \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid K_{X_1} + B_1 + tC_1 \text{ is nef}/Z\}$$

If R_1 defines a Mori fibre structure, we stop. Otherwise assume that R_1 gives a divisorial contraction or a log flip $X_1 \dashrightarrow X_2$. We can now consider $(X_2/Z, B_2 + \lambda_1 C_2)$ where $B_2 + \lambda_1 C_2$ is the birational transform of $B_1 + \lambda_1 C_1$ and continue. That is, suppose that either $K_{X_2} + B_2$ is nef/ Z or there is an extremal ray R_2/Z such that $(K_{X_2} + B_2) \cdot R_2 < 0$ and $(K_{X_2} + B_2 + \lambda_2 C_2) \cdot R_2 = 0$ where

$$\lambda_2 := \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid K_{X_2} + B_2 + tC_2 \text{ is nef}/Z\}$$

By continuing this process, we obtain a sequence of numbers λ_i and a special kind of LMMP/ Z which is called the *LMMP/ Z on $K_{X_1} + B_1$ with scaling of C_1* . When we refer to *termination with scaling* we mean termination of such an LMMP. We usually put $\lambda = \lim \lambda_i$.

By a *contraction* $f: X \rightarrow Z$ we mean a projective morphism of quasi-projective varieties with $f_* \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Z$.

Definition 2.6 Let $f: X \rightarrow Z$ be a contraction of normal varieties, and D an \mathbb{R} -divisor on X . Define the divisorial sheaf algebra of D as

$$\mathcal{R}(X/Z, D) = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} f_* \mathcal{O}_X(\lfloor mD \rfloor)$$

where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. If Z is affine, we take $R(X/Z, D)$ to be the global sections of $\mathcal{R}(X/Z, D)$.

3. Shokurov Bss-ampleness

Most of the ideas in this section are explicit or implicit in Shokurov [25]. However, we would like to give full details here.

Definition 3.1 (cf. Shokurov [25, Definition 3.2]) Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a contraction of normal varieties, D an \mathbb{R} -divisor on X , and $V \subset X$ a closed subset. We say that V is vertical over Y if $f(V)$ is a proper subset of Y . We say that D is very exceptional/ Y if D is vertical/ Y and for any prime divisor P on Y there is a prime divisor Q on X which is not a component of D but $f(Q) = P$, i.e. over the generic point of P we have: $\text{Supp } f^*P \not\subseteq \text{Supp } D$.

Lemma 3.2 (cf. Shokurov [25, Lemma 3.19]). *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a contraction of normal varieties, projective over a normal variety Z . Let A be an ample/ Z divisor on Y and $F = f^*A$. If $E \geq 0$ is a divisor on X which is vertical/ Y and such that $mE = \text{Fix}(mF + mE)$ for every integer $m \gg 0$, then E is very exceptional/ Y .*

Proof. We can assume that A is very ample/ Z . Since E is effective, for each integer $l > 0$, we have the natural exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(lE)$ given by the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_X \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X(lE)$. Since $mF = \text{Mov}(mF + lE)$ for each $m \gg 0$, the induced homomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} H^0(X, mF) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} H^0(X, lE + mF)$$

of $R(X/Z, F)$ -modules is an isomorphism in large degrees. This in turn induces a homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} H^0(Y, mA) &= \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} H^0(Y, (f_*\mathcal{O}_X)(mA)) \\ &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} H^0(Y, (f_*\mathcal{O}_X(lE))(mA)) \end{aligned}$$

of $R(Y/Z, A)$ -modules which is an isomorphism in large degrees. Therefore, by [18, II, Proposition 5.15 and Exercise 5.9], the morphism $\mathcal{O}_Y = f_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X(lE)$ is surjective hence we get the equality $\mathcal{O}_Y = f_*\mathcal{O}_X = f_*\mathcal{O}_X(lE)$.

Now let P be a prime divisor on Y and let U be a smooth open subset of Y so that $\mathcal{O}_U \subsetneq \mathcal{O}_U(P|_U)$, $P|_U$ is Cartier, and each component of $f^*P|_U$ maps onto $P|_U$. Let $V = f^{-1}U$. Suppose that $\text{Supp } f^*P|_U \subseteq \text{Supp } E|_V$. Then, for some $l > 0$, $f^*P|_U \leq lE|_V$. But then, $\mathcal{O}_U \subsetneq \mathcal{O}_U(P|_U) \subseteq f_*\mathcal{O}_V(lE|_V) = \mathcal{O}_U$, a contradiction. Therefore, E is very exceptional/ Y . \square

We need the following general negativity lemma of Shokurov [25, Lemma 3.22] (see also Prokhorov [24, Lemma 1.7]). Let $S \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism of varieties and M an \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor on S . We say that M is *nef on the very general curves of S/Z* if there is a countable union Λ of proper closed subsets of S such that $M \cdot C \geq 0$ for any curve C on S contracted over Z satisfying $C \not\subseteq \Lambda$.

Lemma 3.3 (Negativity). *Let $f: X \rightarrow Z$ be a contraction of normal varieties. Let D be an \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor on X written as $D = D^+ - D^-$ with $D^+, D^- \geq 0$ having no common components. Assume that D^- is very exceptional/ Z , and that for each component S of D^- , $-D$ is nef on the very general curves of S/Z . Then, $D \geq 0$.*

Proof. Assume that $D^- \neq 0$ otherwise there is nothing to prove, and let $P = f(\text{Supp } D^-)$. By shrinking Z if necessary we can assume that P is irreducible and that every component of D^- maps onto P . By assumptions, there is a countable union Λ of $\text{codim} \geq 2$ proper closed subsets of X such that $\Lambda \subset \text{Supp } D^-$ and such that for any component S of D^- and any curve C of S/Z satisfying $C \not\subseteq \Lambda$, we have $-D \cdot C \geq 0$.

Assume that $\dim P > 0$. Let Z' be a general hyperplane section of Z , $X' = f^*Z'$, and let f' be the induced contraction $X' \rightarrow Z'$. Since Z' is general, it intersects P , and X' does not contain any component of D nor any "component" of Λ . So, $\Lambda \cap X'$ is again a countable union of $\text{codim} \geq 2$ proper closed subsets of X' . Since X' is general, it does not contain any component of the singular locus of X hence $D|_{X'}$ is determined on the smooth locus of X and the negative part of $D|_{X'}$ is given by $D^-|_{X'}$ defined on the smooth locus. Moreover, the choice of X' ensures that it does not contain any component of $S_1 \cap S_2$ if S_1, S_2 are prime divisors mapping onto P .

Now, if T is any component of $D|_{X'}$ with negative coefficient, then T is a component of $S \cap X'$ for some component S of D^- hence $-D|_{X'}$ is nef on the curves of T/Z' outside $\Lambda \cap X'$ (note that by construction $T \not\subseteq \Lambda$). Moreover, the negative part of $D|_{X'}$, say $D|_{X'}^-$, is very exceptional/ Z' : if $\text{codim} P \geq 2$, the claim is trivial; if $\text{codim} P = 1$, we can assume that Z is smooth at every point of P ; now since D^- is very exceptional/ Z , there is a component S of f^*P mapping onto P such that S is not a component of D^- ; let T be a component of $S \cap X'$ having codimension one in X' ; then, by our choice of X' , T is not a component of $D|_{X'}^-$ which means that $D|_{X'}^-$ is very exceptional/ Z' as claimed.

Now by induction on dimension applied to $X' \rightarrow Z'$, $D|_{X'}^- = 0$ which is a contradiction. So, from now on we can assume that $\dim P = 0$. If $\dim Z = 1$, then f^*P is a divisor which is numerically zero over Z . Let t be the smallest real number such that $D + tf^*P \geq 0$. Then, there is a component S of D^- which has coefficient zero in $D + tf^*P \geq 0$ but such that S intersects $\text{Supp}(D + tf^*P)$. If C is any curve on S which is not inside $S \cap \text{Supp}(D + tf^*P)$ but such that C intersects $\text{Supp}(D + tf^*P)$, then $D \cdot C = (D + tf^*P) \cdot C > 0$. This contradicts our assumptions. On the other hand, if $\dim X = \dim Z = 2$, the lemma is quite well-known and elementary and it can be proved similarly. So, from now on we assume that $\dim Z \geq 2$, and $\dim X \geq 3$.

Let H be a general hyperplane section of X and let $g: H \rightarrow G$ be the contraction given by the Stein factorisation of $H \rightarrow Z$. Since $f(D^-)$ is just a point and $\dim Z \geq 2$ and $\dim X \geq 3$, it is trivial that $D|_{\bar{H}}$ the negative part of $D|_H$ is very exceptional/ G . Moreover, arguments similar to the above show that if T is any component of $D|_{\bar{H}}$, then $-D|_H$ is nef on the very general curves of T/G . So, we can apply induction to deduce that $D|_{\bar{H}} = 0$ which gives a contradiction. □

The negativity lemma implies the following.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $(X/Z, B)$ be a \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt pair such that $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{R}} M/Z$ with $M \geq 0$ very exceptional/ Z . Then, any LMMP/ Z on $K_X + B$ with scaling of an ample divisor terminates with a model Y on which $K_Y + B_Y \sim_{\mathbb{R}} M_Y = 0/Z$.*

Proof. Assume that $C \geq 0$ is an ample/ Z \mathbb{R} -divisor such that $K_X + B + C$ is lc and nef/ Z . Run the LMMP/ Z on $K_X + B$ with scaling of C . The only divisors that can be contracted are the components of M hence M remains very

exceptional/ Z during the LMMP. We may assume that the LMMP consists of only a sequence $X_i \dashrightarrow X_{i+1}/Z_i$ of $K_X + B$ -flips/ Z with $X_1 = X$. If λ_i are the numbers appearing in the LMMP, and $\lambda := \lim \lambda_i$, then by [9], we may assume that $\lambda = 0$. Since $\lambda = 0$, $K_X + B$ is a limit of movable/ Z \mathbb{R} -divisors hence for any prime divisor S on X , $(K_X + B) \cdot \Gamma = M \cdot \Gamma \geq 0$ for the very general curves Γ of S/Z . Now by assumptions M is very exceptional/ Z hence by the Negativity Lemma 3.3, $M \leq 0$ which implies that $M = 0$. \square

The previous theorem implies the existence of \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt blowups (cf. Fujino [12, Theorem 4.1]).

Corollary 3.5. *Let $(X/Z, B)$ be a lc pair. Then, there is a \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt blowup of $(X/Z, B)$.*

Proof. Let $(W/Z, B_W)$ be a log smooth model of $(X/Z, B)$ of type (1) as in Definition 2.3 constructed via a log resolution $f: W \rightarrow X$. Then, $K_W + B_W = f^*(K_X + B) + E$ where $E \geq 0$ is exceptional/ X . Now, by Theorem 3.4 some LMMP/ X on $K_W + B_W$ with scaling of an ample divisor terminates with a model Y on which $K_Y + B_Y \sim_{\mathbb{R}} 0/X$. If $g: Y \rightarrow X$ is the induced morphism, then $K_Y + B_Y = g^*(K_X + B)$ because E is contracted over Y . Now $(Y/Z, B_Y)$ is the desired \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt blowup. \square

Corollary 3.6. *Let $(X/Z, B)$ be a lc pair. If it has a weak lc model, then it has a log minimal model.*

Proof. Assume that $(Y'/Z, B_{Y'})$ is a weak lc model of $(X/Z, B)$. By replacing $(X/Z, B)$ with a log smooth model over Y' , we can assume that the given map $\phi: X \dashrightarrow Y'$ is a morphism, and that $B_{Y'} = \phi_* B$. Now, $K_X + B = \phi^*(K_{Y'} + B_{Y'}) + E$ where $E \geq 0$ is exceptional/ Y' . By Theorem 3.4 some LMMP/ Y' on $K_X + B_X$ with scaling of an ample divisor terminates with a model Y on which $K_Y + B_Y \sim_{\mathbb{R}} 0/Y'$ which gives the desired log minimal model. \square

The next theorem shows that a more general version of Conjecture 1.1 holds when $(X/Z, B)$ has a finitely generated lc algebra. The result is an easy consequence of Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 and it should be considered as a very special case of Shokurov's attempt in relating bss-ampleness and finite generation [25, Theorem 3.18](see also [22][12] for more recent adaptations). Though we are not using Shokurov's terminology of bss-ample divisors but the next theorem is saying that finite generation implies bss-ampleness in the specific situation we are concerned with. Shokurov proves that in general finite generation together with the so-called global almost generation property implies bss-ampleness.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $(X/Z, B)$ be a \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt pair where B is a \mathbb{Q} -divisor and $f: X \rightarrow Z$ is surjective. Assume further that $\mathcal{R}(X/Z, K_X + B)$ is a finitely generated \mathcal{O}_Z -algebra, and that $(K_X + B)|_{X_\eta} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ where X_η is the generic fibre of f . Then, any LMMP/ Z on $K_X + B$ with scaling of an ample divisor terminates with a good log minimal model.*

Proof. We can assume that f is a contraction. Run an LMMP/ Z on $K_X + B$ with scaling of some ample/ Z divisor. Since termination and semi-ampleness/ Z are both local on Z , we can assume that Z is affine, say $\text{Spec } R$. By [7], the claim follows if we prove that $(X/Z, B)$ has a good log minimal model. Let I be a positive integer so that $I(K_X + B)$ is Cartier. Thus, perhaps after replacing I with a multiple, there is a resolution $g: W \rightarrow X$, a divisor $E \geq 0$ and a free divisor F on W such that

$$\text{Fix } g^*mI(K_X + B) = mE \text{ and } \text{Mov } g^*mI(K_X + B) = mF$$

for every $m > 0$ (cf [25] or [4, Theorem 4.3]). Let $h: W \rightarrow T/Z$ be the contraction defined by $|F|$. Then, since $(K_X + B)|_{X_\eta} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$, the map $T \rightarrow Z$ is birational and E is vertical/ T .

Choose a boundary B_W so that $(W/Z, B_W)$ is a log smooth model of $(X/Z, B)$ of type (1) as in Definition 2.3. We can write

$$I(K_W + B_W) = g^*I(K_X + B) + E'$$

where $E' \geq 0$ is exceptional/ X . So,

$$\text{Fix } mI(K_W + B_W) = mE + mE' \text{ and } \text{Mov } mI(K_W + B_W) = mF$$

Run the LMMP/ T on $K_W + B_W$ with scaling of some ample/ T divisor. Over the generic point of T , a suitable \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt blowup of $(X/Z, B)$ is a log minimal model of $(W/Z, B_W)$. Thus, by [7], the LMMP terminates over the generic point of T hence in particular, we reach a model Y' on which $E_{Y'} + E'_{Y'}$ is vertical/ T . By Lemma 3.2, $E_{Y'} + E'_{Y'}$ is very exceptional/ T . Now, by Theorem 3.4, there is an LMMP/ T on $K_{Y'} + B_{Y'}$ which ends up with a log minimal model $(Y/T, B_Y)$ on which $K_Y + B_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/T$. In particular, $E_Y + E'_Y = 0$ and $I(K_Y + B_Y) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} F_Y$. Thus, $K_Y + B_Y$ is semi-ample/ Z and $(Y/Z, B_Y)$ is a good log minimal model of $(W/Z, B_W)$ hence of $(X/Z, B)$. □

4. The klt case

Theorem 4.1. *Conjecture 1.1 holds when $(X/Z, B)$ is klt.*

Proof. Suppose that $(X/Z, B)$ is klt under the assumptions of Conjecture 1.1. If A is not vertical/ Z , then $K_X + B$ is not pseudo-effective/ Z hence $(X/Z, B)$ has a Mori fibre space by [9]. So, we can assume that A is vertical/ Z . By [9], $\mathcal{R}(X/Z, K_X + B)$ is a finitely generated \mathcal{O}_Z -algebra hence we can apply Theorem 3.7 in this case. Note that if $(X/Z, B)$ is not \mathbb{Q} -factorial we can reduce the problem to the \mathbb{Q} -factorial situation. □

Though the last theorem settles the klt case of Conjecture 1.1 but we prove further results in this direction as we will need them to deal with the lc case.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $(X/Z, B)$ be a klt pair where $f: X \rightarrow Z$ is surjective. Assume further that there is a contraction $g: X \rightarrow S/Z$ such that $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{R}} 0/S$ and that $S \rightarrow Z$ is generically finite. Then, $(X/Z, B)$ has a good log minimal model. (Note that B is not necessarily a \mathbb{Q} -divisor.)*

Proof. We can assume that f is a contraction hence $S \rightarrow Z$ is birational. Moreover, by taking a \mathbb{Q} -factorialisation we can assume that X is \mathbb{Q} -factorial. Since $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{R}} 0/S$, by Ambro [2] and Fujino-Gongyo [16, Theorem 3.1], there is a boundary B_S on S such that

$$K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{R}} g^*(K_S + B_S)$$

and such that $(S/Z, B_S)$ is klt and of general type. So, by [9], there is a log minimal model $(T/Z, B_T)$ for $(S/Z, B_S)$ obtained by running an LMMP with scaling. Moreover, since $T \dashrightarrow S$ does not contract divisors, there are open subsets $U \subseteq S$ and $V \subseteq T$ such that the induced map $U \dashrightarrow V$ is an isomorphism and such that $\text{codim}(T \setminus V) \geq 2$.

Take a log smooth model $(W/Z, B_W)$ of $(X/Z, B)$ of type (3) as in Definition 2.3 using a log resolution $e: W \rightarrow X$. Then, $K_W + B_W = e^*(K_X + B) + E$ where $E \geq 0$ is exceptional/ X such that any log minimal model of $(W/Z, B_W)$ is also a log minimal model of $(X/Z, B)$. Moreover, we can assume that W dominates S and T . Run an LMMP/ T on $K_W + B_W$ with scaling of some ample divisor. The LMMP terminates over the generic point of T . Actually, it terminates over V on some model Y' , i.e. we reach a model Y' such the remaining steps of the LMMP would all be over $T \setminus V$. Let $\phi: W \rightarrow S$, $\psi: W \rightarrow T$, and $\pi: W \dashrightarrow Y'$ be the induced maps. Let $M \subseteq X$, $N \subseteq W$, and $O \subseteq Y'$ be the inverse images of V . Then, $(M/V, B|_M)$ is a log minimal model of $(N/V, B_W|_N)$ hence M and O are isomorphic in codimension one. Thus, $E|_N$ is contracted over O . So, in particular, $E_{Y'} = \pi_*E$ is a divisor on Y' which is mapped into $T \setminus V$ hence it is very exceptional/ T .

On the other hand, $\phi^*(K_S + B_S) = \psi^*(K_T + B_T) + G$ where $G \geq 0$ is very exceptional/ T (G is contracted to a closed subset of T of codimension ≥ 2). Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} K_{Y'} + B_{Y'} &= \pi_*(K_W + B_W) = \pi_*(e^*(K_X + B_X) + E) \\ &= \pi_*e^*(K_X + B_X) + \pi_*E \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \pi_*\phi^*(K_S + B_S) + \pi_*E \\ &= \pi_*\psi^*(K_T + B_T) + \pi_*G + \pi_*E \end{aligned}$$

By construction, $\pi_*G + \pi_*E \geq 0$ is very exceptional/ T . So, by Theorem 3.4, there is an LMMP/ T on $K_{Y'} + B_{Y'}$ with scaling of some ample divisor which ends up with a model Y on which $K_Y + B_Y \sim_{\mathbb{R}} 0/T$ hence $K_Y + B_Y \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \nu^*(K_T + B_T)$ where $\nu: Y \rightarrow T$ is the induced morphism. In particular, $K_Y + B_Y$ is semi-ample/ Z . So, $(Y/Z, B_Y)$ is a good log minimal model of $(W/Z, B_W)$ hence of $(X/Z, B)$. □

Theorem 4.3. *Let $(X/Z, B)$ be a klt pair where B is a \mathbb{Q} -divisor and $f: X \rightarrow Z$ is surjective. Assume further that $(K_X + B)|_F \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ for the generic fibre F of f . If $K_X + B + H$ is klt and nef/ Z for some \mathbb{Q} -divisor $H \geq 0$, then the LMMP/ Z on $K_X + B$ with scaling of H terminates if either*

- (1) B is big/ Z or H is big/ Z , or
- (2) H is vertical/ Z and $\lambda \neq \lambda_j$ for any j where λ_j are the numbers appearing in the LMMP with scaling (as in Definition 2.5) and $\lambda = \lim \lambda_i$.

Proof. We can assume that f is a contraction. By Theorem 3.7, $(X/Z, B)$ has a good log minimal model. Assume that condition (1) holds. If B is big/ Z , the termination follows from [9]. If H is big/ Z , and if $\lambda > 0$, then again the termination follows from [9] as we can replace B with $B + \lambda H$. If $\lambda = 0$, use [7].

Now assume that condition (2) holds. So, from now on we assume that H is vertical/ Z and $\lambda \neq \lambda_j$ for any j . We may assume that the LMMP consists of only a sequence $X_i \dashrightarrow X_{i+1}/Z_i$ of log flips and that $X_1 = X$. Now $K_X + B + \lambda_1 H$ is nef/ Z and actually semi-ample/ Z by Theorem 3.7 (note that λ_i are rational numbers by [8, Lemma 3.1]). Let $g: X \rightarrow T$ be the contraction associated to it. Since H is vertical/ Z , $T \rightarrow Z$ is birational so the generic fibre of g and f are the same. By (1), there is an LMMP/ T on $K_X + B$ which ends up with a weak lc model $(Y/T, B_Y)$ of $(X/T, B)$ such that $K_Y + B_Y$ is semi-ample/ T . Let $h: Y \rightarrow S/T$ be the contraction associated to $K_Y + B_Y$. Since, $K_X + B + \lambda_1 H \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/T$, $K_Y + B_Y + \lambda_1 H_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/S$. This combined with $K_Y + B_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/S$ implies that $H_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/S$. Therefore, $K_Y + B_Y + \lambda H_Y \sim_{\mathbb{R}} 0/S$. So, by Theorem 4.2, $(Y/Z, B_Y + \lambda H_Y)$ has a log minimal model which is also a log minimal model of $(X/Z, B + \lambda H)$. Now the termination follows from [7]. \square

5. Proof of Theorem 1.3

5.1. The vertical case. In this subsection we deal with Theorem 1.3 in the vertical case, i.e. when every lc centre of $(X/Z, B)$ is vertical/ Z , in particular, when $X \rightarrow Z$ is birational.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $(X/Z, B + A)$ be a lc pair of dimension d such that $B, A \geq 0$ are \mathbb{Q} -divisors, $f: X \rightarrow Z$ is surjective, and $K_X + B + A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/Z$. Assume further that*

- $(X/Z, B)$ is \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt,
- $K_X + B + C$ is lc and nef/ Z for some \mathbb{Q} -divisor $C \geq 0$,
- $C \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -P/Z$ for some $P \geq 0$ with $\text{Supp } P = \text{Supp } \lfloor B \rfloor$,
- A and C are vertical/ Z .

Then, assuming Conjecture 1.1 in dimension $d - 1$, there is an LMMP/ Z on $K_X + B$ with scaling of C which terminates.

Proof. Step 1. Since $C \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -P/Z$, for each rational number $t \in (0, 1]$ we can write

$$K_X + B + tC \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_X + B - \epsilon P + (t - \epsilon)C/Z$$

for some sufficiently small rational number $\epsilon > 0$. Under our assumptions, $(X, B - \epsilon P + (t - \epsilon)C)$ is klt. In particular, by Theorem 3.7, $K_X + B + tC$ is semi-ample/ Z if $K_X + B + tC$ is nef/ Z . We will use this observation on X and on the birational models that will be constructed.

Step 2. Put $Y_1 := X$, $B_1 := B$, and $C_1 := C$. Let $\lambda_1 \geq 0$ be the smallest number such that $K_{Y_1} + B_1 + \lambda_1 C_1$ is nef/ Z (note that λ_1 is rational by [8,

Lemma 3.1]). We may assume that $\lambda_1 > 0$. By Step 1, $K_{Y_1} + B_1 + \lambda_1 C_1$ is semi-ample/ Z ; let $Y_1 \rightarrow V_1/Z$ be the associated contraction. Run the LMMP/ V_1 on $K_{Y_1} + B_1 + tC_1$ with scaling of some ample divisor, for some rational number $t \in (0, \lambda_1)$. This terminates by Step 1 and by Theorem 4.3. The LMMP is also an LMMP/ V_1 on $K_{Y_1} + B_1$ with scaling of $\lambda_1 C_1$ because

$$K_{Y_1} + B_1 + tC_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -(\lambda_1 - t)C_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{(\lambda_1 - t)}{\lambda_1}(K_{Y_1} + B_1)/V_1$$

So, we get a model Y_2 such that $K_{Y_2} + B_2$ is semi-ample/ V_1 where B_2 is the birational transform of B_1 (similar notation will be used for other divisors and models). Now since $K_{Y_2} + B_2 + \lambda_1 C_2$ is the pullback of some ample/ Z divisor on V_1 , $K_{Y_2} + B_2 + \lambda_1 C_2 + \delta(K_{Y_2} + B_2)$ is semi-ample/ Z for some sufficiently small $\delta > 0$. Put it in another way, $K_{Y_2} + B_2 + \tau C_2$ is semi-ample/ Z for some rational number $\tau < \lambda_1$. We can consider $Y_1 \dashrightarrow Y_2$ as a partial LMMP/ Z on $K_{Y_1} + B_1$ with scaling of C_1 . We can continue as before. That is, let $\lambda_2 \geq 0$ be the smallest number such that $K_{Y_2} + B_2 + \lambda_2 C_2$ is nef/ Z , and so on. This process is an LMMP/ Z on $K_X + B$ with scaling of C .

Step 3. In Step 2, we constructed an LMMP/ Z on $K_X + B$ with scaling of C such that the numbers λ_i that appear in the LMMP satisfy $\lambda := \lim \lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ for any j . In this case, actually $\lambda = 0$ otherwise we can consider the LMMP as an LMMP/ Z on $K_X + B + \lambda' C$ with scaling of $(1 - \lambda')C$ for some rational number $\lambda' \in (0, \lambda)$, and Step 1 and Theorem 4.3 give a contradiction. Perhaps after modifying the notation, we may assume that the LMMP consists of only a sequence $X_i \dashrightarrow X_{i+1}/Z_i$ of log flips and that λ_i corresponds to the contraction $X_i \rightarrow Z_i$ as in Definition 2.5; that is, $K_{X_i} + B_i + \lambda_i C_i$ is nef/ Z but numerically trivial/ Z_i , and $X_1 = X$.

Step 4. Since $C \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -P/Z$ for some $P \geq 0$ with $\text{Supp } P = \text{Supp } [B]$, for each i , some component of $[B_i]$ intersects R_i negatively where R_i is the extremal ray defining $X_i \rightarrow Z_i$. Using standard special termination arguments the termination is reduced to termination in lower dimensions. Indeed, Let S be a component of $[B]$ and S_i its birational transform on X_i . It is well-known that the induced map $S_i \dashrightarrow S_{i+1}/T_i$ is an isomorphism in codimension one if $i \gg 0$ where T_i is the normalisation of the image of S_i in Z_i . So, we may assume that these maps are all isomorphisms in codimension one for any i . In general, $S_i \dashrightarrow S_{i+1}/T_i$ is not a $K_{S_i} + B_{S_i}$ -flip where $K_{S_i} + B_{S_i} := (K_{X_i} + B_i)|_{S_i}$. However, (S_1, B_{S_1}) is dlt and we can take a \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt blowup $(S'_1, B_{S'_1})$ of (S_1, B_{S_1}) such that $S'_1 \rightarrow S_1$ is small (this follows from the proof of Corollary 3.5 and definition of dlt pairs). Now, $K_{S'_1} + B_{S'_1} + \lambda_i C_{S'_1} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/T_1$ where $C_{S_1} := C_1|_{S_1}$ and $C_{S'_1}$ is the birational transform of C_{S_1} .

By Conjecture 1.1 in dimension $d - 1$, there is an LMMP/ T_1 on $K_{S'_1} + B_{S'_1}$ with scaling of some ample divisor which terminates. The outcome is a model S'_2 on which $K_{S'_2} + B_{S'_2}$ is semi-ample/ T_1 . Since $K_{S_2} + B_{S_2}$ is ample/ T_1 , S'_2 maps to S_2 and $K_{S'_2} + B_{S'_2}$ is the pullback of $K_{S_2} + B_{S_2}$. Continuing this process, we lift the sequence to an LMMP/ T on $K_{S'_1} + B_{S'_1}$ with scaling of $C_{S'_1}$ where T is the normalisation of the image of S in Z .

Step 5. On the other hand, $K_{S'_1} + B_{S'_1} + A_{S'_1} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/T$ where $A_{S_i} := A_i|_{S_i}$ and $A_{S'_i}$ is the birational transform of A_{S_i} . So, Conjecture 1.1 in dimension $d - 1$ implies that $(S'_1/T, B_{S'_1})$ has a log minimal model because $K_{S'_1} + B_{S'_1}$ is pseudo-effective/ T . Now termination of the LMMP/ T on $K_{S'_1} + B_{S'_1}$ with scaling of $C_{S'_1}$ in Step 3 follows from [7].

Step 6. The LMMP of Step 3 terminates near $\lfloor B \rfloor$ hence it terminates near C which implies that it terminates everywhere. \square

Theorem 5.3. *Conjecture 1.1 in dimension $d - 1$ implies Conjecture 1.1 (1)(3) in dimension d when every lc centre of $(X/Z, B)$ is vertical/ Z .*

Proof. Step 1. After taking a \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt blowup using Corollary 3.5 we may assume that $(X/Z, B)$ is \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt, in particular, $\lfloor B \rfloor$ is vertical/ Z . Run an LMMP/ Z on $K_X + B$ with scaling of an ample/ Z divisor. If $K_X + B$ is not pseudo-effective/ Z , then the LMMP ends up with a Mori fibre space by [9]. So, from now on we assume that $K_X + B$ is pseudo-effective/ Z . Since $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -A/Z$, $A|_F = 0$ where F is the generic fibre of $f: X \rightarrow Z$. Thus, A is vertical/ Z and $(K_X + B)|_F \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. By [7], it is enough to prove that $(X/Z, B)$ has a log minimal model, locally over Z .

Step 2. Let $t_1 > t_2 > \dots$ be a sequence of sufficiently small rational numbers with $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} t_i = 0$. Each $(X/Z, B - t_i \lfloor B \rfloor)$ is klt and $(K_X + B - t_i \lfloor B \rfloor)|_F \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ hence by Theorem 4.3 each $(X/Z, B - t_i \lfloor B \rfloor)$ has a good log minimal model $(Y_i/Z, B_{Y_i} - t_i \lfloor B_{Y_i} \rfloor)$ obtained by running some LMMP/ Z with scaling on $K_X + B - t_i \lfloor B \rfloor$. Thus, $Y_i \dashrightarrow X$ does not contract divisors. Moreover, $\text{Supp} \lfloor B_{Y_i} \rfloor$ contains all the lc centres of $(Y_i/Z, B_{Y_i})$ because $(Y_i/Z, B_{Y_i} - t_i \lfloor B_{Y_i} \rfloor)$ is klt hence $(Y_i/Z, B_{Y_i})$ is klt outside $\text{Supp} \lfloor B_{Y_i} \rfloor$. Now, since A and $\lfloor B \rfloor$ are vertical/ Z , there are vertical/ Z \mathbb{Q} -divisors $M, N \geq 0$ such that $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} M/Z$ and $-\lfloor B \rfloor \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} N/Z$. So, any prime divisor contracted by $X \dashrightarrow Y_i$ is a component of $M + N$ hence after replacing the sequence with a subsequence we can assume that the morphisms $X \dashrightarrow Y_i$ contract the same divisors, i.e. Y_i are isomorphic in codimension one.

Step 3. First assume that $\lfloor B_{Y_1} \rfloor \neq 0$. Then, since $K_{Y_1} + B_{Y_1} - t_1 \lfloor B_{Y_1} \rfloor$ is semi-ample/ Z , and since $K_{Y_1} + B_{Y_1} + A_{Y_1}$ is lc,

$$K_{Y_1} + B_{Y_1} - t_1 \lfloor B_{Y_1} \rfloor \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} D_{Y_1}/Z$$

for some $D_{Y_1} \geq 0$ such that $K_{Y_1} + B_{Y_1} + A_{Y_1} + D_{Y_1}$ is lc. Note that

$$C_{Y_1} := A_{Y_1} + D_{Y_1} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -t_1 \lfloor B_{Y_1} \rfloor /Z$$

which implies that

$$K_{Y_1} + B_{Y_1} + \frac{t_i}{t_1} C_{Y_1} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_{Y_1} + B_{Y_1} - t_i \lfloor B_{Y_1} \rfloor /Z$$

So, $(Y_i/Z, B_{Y_i} + \frac{t_i}{t_1} C_{Y_1})$ is a weak lc model of $(Y_1/Z, B_{Y_1} + \frac{t_i}{t_1} C_{Y_1})$.

Let $(Y'/Z, B_{Y'})$ be a \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt blowup of $(Y_1/Z, B_{Y_1})$, and let $C_{Y'}$ on Y' be the birational transform of C_{Y_1} which is the same as the pullback of C_{Y_1} since C_{Y_1} does not contain any lc centre of $(Y_1/Z, B_{Y_1})$. Then, $K_{Y'} + B_{Y'} + C_{Y'}$ is the pullback of $K_{Y_1} + B_{Y_1} + C_{Y_1}$ hence it is nef/ Z . Since $C_{Y_1} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -t_1 \lfloor B_{Y_1} \rfloor /Z$

and since $[B_{Y_1}]$ contains all the lc centres of $(Y_1/Z, B_{Y_1})$, $C_{Y'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -P'/Z$ for some $P' \geq 0$ with $\text{Supp } P' = \text{Supp } [B_{Y'}]$. Moreover, by construction $[B_{Y_1}]$ is vertical/ Z hence C_{Y_1} is vertical/ Z which in turn implies that $C_{Y'}$ is vertical/ Z . Similarly, $A_{Y'}$, the pullback of A_{Y_1} is vertical/ Z . Now by Lemma 5.2, there is an LMMP/ Z on $K_{Y'} + B_{Y'}$ with scaling of $C_{Y'}$ which terminates on a model Y on which $K_Y + B_Y + \delta C_Y$ is nef/ Z for any sufficiently small $\delta \geq 0$. In particular, $(Y/Z, B_Y + \frac{t_i}{t_1} C_Y)$ is a log minimal model of $(Y_1/Z, B_{Y_1} + \frac{t_i}{t_1} C_{Y_1})$ and $(Y_i/Z, B_{Y_i} + \frac{t_i}{t_1} C_{Y_i})$ for $i \gg 0$.

Step 4. Let $g: W \rightarrow X$, $h: W \rightarrow Y$, and $e: W \rightarrow Y_i$ be a common resolution. Then,

$$g^*(K_X + B - t_i [B]) = e^*(K_{Y_i} + B_{Y_i} - t_i [B_{Y_i}]) + E_i$$

and

$$e^*(K_{Y_i} + B_{Y_i} + \frac{t_i}{t_1} C_{Y_i}) = h^*(K_Y + B_Y + \frac{t_i}{t_1} C_Y)$$

where $E_i \geq 0$ [5, Remark 2.4] (note that we are using the fact that $(Y/Z, B_Y + \frac{t_i}{t_1} C_Y)$ and $(Y_i/Z, B_{Y_i} + \frac{t_i}{t_1} C_{Y_i})$ are both weak lc models of $(Y_1/Z, B_{Y_1} + \frac{t_i}{t_1} C_{Y_1})$). If we put

$$L_i := E_i + g^* t_i [B] + h^* \frac{t_i}{t_1} C_Y - e^* t_i [B_{Y_i}] - e^* \frac{t_i}{t_1} C_{Y_i}$$

then

$$L_i = g^*(K_X + B) - h^*(K_Y + B_Y)$$

which in particular means that $g_* L_i$ is independent of i . Since $C_{Y_1} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -t_1 [B_{Y_1}]/Z$, we can write

$$C_{Y_1} + t_1 [B_{Y_1}] = a(\alpha) + Q_1$$

where (α) is the divisor of some rational function α , $a \in \mathbb{Q}$, and Q_1 is the pullback of a \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor R on Z . In particular,

$$g_* e^*(C_{Y_i} + t_1 [B_{Y_i}]) = g_* e^*(a(\alpha) + Q_i)$$

is independent of i where Q_i is the birational transform of Q_1 and again (α) is the divisor (on Y_i) of the rational function α .

Step 5. Now, since $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} t_i = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_* L_i &= \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} g_* L_i = \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} g_*(E_i + g^* t_i [B] + h^* \frac{t_i}{t_1} C_Y) - \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} g_*(e^* t_i [B_{Y_i}] + e^* \frac{t_i}{t_1} C_{Y_i}) \\ &\geq - \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t_i}{t_1} g_* e^*(a(\alpha) + Q_i) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $-L_i$ is nef/ X . Therefore, by the negativity lemma, $L_i \geq 0$. We compare B_Y and B : let D be a component of B_Y ; if D is not exceptional/ Y_1 , then D is the birational transform of a component of B_{Y_1} hence the birational transform of a component of B having the same coefficient; if D is not exceptional/ X but exceptional/ Y_1 , then D appears in B_Y with coefficient one hence the property $L_i \geq 0$ ensures that D also appears with coefficients one in B ; on the other hand, if D is any prime divisor on Y which is exceptional/ X then it is also exceptional/ Y_1 hence D appears in B_Y with coefficient one.

Thus, B_Y is the birational transform of B plus the reduced exceptional divisor of $Y \dashrightarrow X$. Therefore, $(Y/Z, B_Y)$ is a weak lc model of $(X/Z, B)$ and by Corollary 3.6 we can construct a log minimal model of $(X/Z, B)$.

Step 6. If $\lfloor B_{Y_1} \rfloor = 0$, then by taking $Y = Y_1$, similar but simpler calculations show that $(Y/Z, B_Y)$ is already a good log minimal model of $(X/Z, B)$. \square

Theorem 5.4. *Conjecture 1.1 in dimension $d-1$ and Conjecture 1.2 in dimension d imply Conjecture 1.1 in dimension d when every lc centre of $(X/Z, B)$ is vertical/ Z .*

Proof. By Theorem 5.3, the claims (1) and (3) of Conjecture 1.1 hold for $(X/Z, B)$, in particular, $(X/Z, B)$ has a Mori fibre space or a log minimal model $(Y/Z, B_Y)$. Assume that $(Y/Z, B_Y)$ is a log minimal model. We only need to prove that $K_Y + B_Y$ is semi-ample/ Z . So, by replacing $(X/Z, B)$ with $(Y/Z, B_Y)$ we may assume that $(X/Z, B)$ is \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt and that $K_X + B$ is nef/ Z . Moreover, we could assume that Z is affine.

Run an LMMP/ Z on $K_X + B - \epsilon \lfloor B \rfloor$ with scaling of some ample divisor, for some sufficiently small rational number $\epsilon > 0$. By [6, Proposition 3.2], $K_X + B$ is trivial on each step of the LMMP. By Theorem 4.1, the LMMP terminates on a model X' on which $K_{X'} + B_{X'}$ and $K_{X'} + B_{X'} - \epsilon \lfloor B_{X'} \rfloor$ are both nef/ Z and the latter is semi-ample/ Z . Moreover, $X \dashrightarrow X'$ is an isomorphism over the generic point of Z . The pair $(X'/Z, B_{X'})$ is \mathbb{Q} -factorial and lc and $(X'/Z, B_{X'} - \epsilon \lfloor B_{X'} \rfloor)$ is klt. If $\lfloor B_{X'} \rfloor = 0$ we are done so we can assume that $\lfloor B_{X'} \rfloor \neq 0$. Let $(Y/Z, B_Y)$ be a \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt blowup of $(X'/Z, B_{X'})$.

Let S be a component of $T := \lfloor B_Y \rfloor$. Then, by induction, $K_S + B_S := (K_Y + B_Y)|_S$ is semi-ample/ Z . On the other hand, if $P := h^* \lfloor B_{X'} \rfloor$ and if $\delta > 0$ is any sufficiently small rational number, where h is the constructed morphism $Y \rightarrow X'$, then $K_Y + B_Y - \delta P$ is semi-ample/ Z because $K_{X'} + B_{X'} - \delta \lfloor B_{X'} \rfloor$ is klt and nef/ Z hence semi-ample/ Z . Now, by Conjecture 1.2 in dimension d , $K_Y + B_Y$ is semi-ample/ Z hence $K_X + B$ is also semi-ample/ Z . \square

5.5. The horizontal case. In this subsection, we deal with the horizontal case of Conjecture 1.1, that is, when some lc centre of $(X/Z, B)$ is horizontal/ Z . We need a result from [4] concerning finite generation. For the convenience of the reader we include its proof.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y/Z$ be a surjective morphism of normal varieties, projective over an affine variety $Z = \text{Spec } R$, and L a Cartier divisor on Y . If $R(X/Z, f^*L)$ is a finitely generated R -algebra, then $R(Y/Z, L)$ is also a finitely generated R -algebra.*

Proof. If f is a contraction, the claim is trivial by the projection formula. So, replacing f by the finite part of the Stein factorisation we may assume that f is finite. We have a natural injective \mathcal{O}_Y -morphism $\phi: \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_X$. Moreover, since we work over \mathbb{C} , we also have a \mathcal{O}_Y -morphism $\psi: f_* \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y$ given by $\frac{1}{\deg f} \text{Trace}_{X/Y}$ such that $\psi \phi$ is the identity morphism on \mathcal{O}_Y (cf. [21, Proposition

5.7]). We actually have a splitting $f_*\mathcal{O}_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_Y \oplus \mathcal{F}$ such that ϕ corresponds to the natural injection and ψ to the first projection. So, for each $m > 0$, we have induced maps

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(mL)) &\rightarrow H^0(Y, (f_*\mathcal{O}_X)(mL)) \simeq H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mf^*L)) \\ H^0(Y, (f_*\mathcal{O}_X)(mL)) &\simeq H^0(X, (\mathcal{O}_X(mf^*L)) \rightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(mL)) \end{aligned}$$

where the upper map is injective and the lower map is surjective. Thus, we get an injection $\pi: R(Y/Z, L) \rightarrow R(X/Z, f^*L)$ and a surjection $\mu: R(X/Z, f^*L) \rightarrow R(Y/Z, L)$ whose composition gives the identity map on $R(Y/Z, L)$. Here, π is an $R(Y/Z, L)$ -algebra homomorphism but μ is only an $R(Y/Z, L)$ -module homomorphism. Let I be an ideal of $R(Y/Z, L)$ and let I' be the ideal of $R(X/Z, f^*L)$ generated by $\pi(I)$. We show that $\pi^{-1}I' = I$. Let $c \in \pi^{-1}I'$. We can write $\pi(c) = \sum \pi(a_i)\alpha_i$ where $a_i \in I$ and $\alpha_i \in R(X/Z, f^*L)$. Now,

$$c = \mu(\pi(c)) = \mu\left(\sum \pi(a_i)\alpha_i\right) = \sum \mu(\pi(a_i))\mu(\alpha_i) = \sum a_i\mu(\alpha_i)$$

hence c is in the ideal I .

Assume that $R(X/Z, f^*L)$ is a finitely generated R -algebra. Then, $R(X/Z, f^*L)$ is Noetherian. Let $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots$ be a chain of ideals of $R(Y/Z, L)$ and let $I'_1 \subseteq I'_2 \subseteq \dots$ be the corresponding chain of ideals in $R(X/Z, f^*L)$. Since $R(X/Z, f^*L)$ is Noetherian, the latter chain stabilises which implies that the former sequence also stabilises as $\pi^{-1}I'_j = I_j$. Therefore, $R(Y/Z, L)$ is Noetherian which is equivalent to saying that it is a finitely generated R -algebra because $R(Y/Z, L)$ is a graded R -algebra and the zero-degree piece of $R(Y/Z, L)$ is a finitely generated R -algebra. \square

Lemma 5.7. *Assume Conjecture 1.1 in dimension $d - 1$. Let $(X/Z, B + A)$ be of dimension d as in Conjecture 1.1 such that $(K_X + B)|_F \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ for the generic fibre F of f . Moreover, assume that there is a contraction $g: X \rightarrow T/Z$ such that*

- (1) $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/T$,
- (2) *some lc centre of $(X/Z, B)$ is horizontal over T .*

Then, $(X/Z, B)$ has a good log minimal model.

Proof. By replacing $(X/Z, B)$ with a suitable \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt blowup, we can assume that $(X/Z, B)$ is \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt and that there is a component S of $[B]$ which is horizontal/ T . Run an LMMP/ Z on $K_X + B$ with scaling of some ample divisor. Since termination and semi-ampleness/ Z are local on Z , we can assume that Z is affine, say $\text{Spec } R$. By [7], the LMMP terminates with a good log minimal model if we prove that $(X/Z, B)$ has a good log minimal model.

By adjunction define $K_S + B_S := (K_X + B)|_S$ and let $A_S := A|_S$. Then,

$$K_S + B_S + A_S = (K_X + B + A)|_S \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/Z$$

and $K_S + B_S \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/T$. Moreover, $(K_S + B_S)|_H \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ where H is the generic fibre of the induced morphism $h: S \rightarrow Z$.

By assumptions, $(S/Z, B_S)$ has a good log minimal model. Therefore, if $I(K_S + B_S)$ is Cartier for some $I \in \mathbb{N}$, then $R(S/Z, I(K_S + B_S))$ is a finitely

generated R -algebra (cf. [4]). We can choose I such that $I(K_X + B)$ is Cartier and such that $I(K_X + B) \sim g^*L$ for some Cartier divisor L on T . So, $I(K_S + B_S) \sim e^*L$ where $e: S \rightarrow T$ is the induced morphism. Now, by Theorem 5.6, $R(T/Z, L)$ is a finitely generated R -algebra which in turn implies that $R(X/Z, I(K_X + B))$ is a finitely generated R -algebra since $X \rightarrow T$ is a contraction. Therefore, according to Theorem 3.7, $(X/Z, B)$ has a good log minimal model. \square

Lemma 5.8. *Assume Conjecture 1.1 in dimension d in the vertical case. Let $(X/Z, B+A)$ be of dimension d as in Conjecture 1.1 such that $(K_X + B)|_F \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ for the generic fibre F of f . Moreover, assume that*

- $(X/Z, B)$ is \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt,
- there exist contractions $e: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow Z$,
- e is birational, A is exceptional/ Y , and Y is \mathbb{Q} -factorial,
- $D := K_Y + B_Y - \epsilon \lfloor B_Y \rfloor$ is klt and g is a D -negative extremal contraction for some $\epsilon > 0$ where $B_Y := e_*B$.

Then, any LMMP/ Z on $K_X + B$ with scaling of an ample/ Z divisor terminates with a good log minimal model of $(X/Z, B)$.

Proof. By [7], it is enough to prove that $(X/Z, B)$ has a good log minimal model, locally over Z . By adding a small multiple of A to B we can assume that $\text{Supp } A \subseteq \text{Supp } B$. Since e is birational, by Conjecture 1.1 in dimension d in the vertical case, we can assume that $K_X + B$ is actually semi-ample/ Y . By replacing X with the lc model of $(X/Y, B)$ we can assume that $K_X + B$ is ample/ Y . Since $-A$ is ample/ Y , $\text{Supp } A$ contains all the prime exceptional/ Y divisors on X . In particular, $\text{Supp } e^* \lfloor B_Y \rfloor \subseteq \text{Supp } B$. Replacing $(X/Z, B)$ with a \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt blowup, we can again assume that $(X/Z, B)$ is \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt; note that this preserves the property $\text{Supp } e^* \lfloor B_Y \rfloor \subseteq \text{Supp } B$.

Since A is exceptional/ Y , $K_Y + B_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/Z$. So, $\lfloor B_Y \rfloor$ is ample/ Z hence $e^* \lfloor B_Y \rfloor$ is semi-ample/ Z . Thus, for a small rational number $\tau > 0$ we can write

$$K_X + B = K_X + B - \tau e^* \lfloor B_Y \rfloor + \tau e^* \lfloor B_Y \rfloor \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_X + \Delta/Z$$

where Δ is some rational boundary such that $(X/Z, \Delta)$ is klt. Note that since $(Y/Z, B_Y - \epsilon \lfloor B_Y \rfloor)$ is klt, $\lfloor B_Y \rfloor$ contains all the lc centres of $(Y/Z, B_Y)$, in particular, the image of all the lc centres of $(X/Z, B)$. So, $\text{Supp } e^* \lfloor B_Y \rfloor$ contains all the components of $\lfloor B \rfloor$ hence $(X/Z, B - \tau e^* \lfloor B_Y \rfloor)$ is klt and we can indeed find Δ with the required properties. By Theorem 4.3, we can run an LMMP/ Z on $K_X + \Delta$ which ends up with a good log minimal model of $(X/Z, \Delta)$ hence a good log minimal model of $(X/Z, B)$. \square

Theorem 5.9. *Assume Conjecture 1.1 in dimension $d - 1$, and assume Conjecture 1.1 in dimension d in the vertical case. Then, Conjecture 1.1 holds in dimension d in the horizontal case. i.e. when some lc centre of $(X/Z, B)$ is horizontal over Z .*

Proof. We can assume that $(X/Z, B)$ is \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt and that $f: X \rightarrow Z$ is a contraction. Run an LMMP/ Z on $K_X + B$ with scaling of some ample/ Z

divisor. By [9], this terminates with a Mori fibre space if A is horizontal/ Z . So, we can assume that A is vertical/ Z hence $(K_X + B)|_F \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ where F is the generic fibre of $f: X \rightarrow Z$. By [7], it is enough to prove that $(X/Z, B)$ has a good log minimal model, locally over Z . By assumptions, some component of $\lfloor B \rfloor$ is horizontal/ Z .

If $\epsilon > 0$ is a sufficiently small rational number, then $(X/Z, B - \epsilon \lfloor B \rfloor)$ is klt and $K_X + B - \epsilon \lfloor B \rfloor$ is not pseudo-effective/ Z hence by [9] there is a Mori fibre space $(Y/Z, B_Y - \epsilon \lfloor B_Y \rfloor)$ for $(X/Z, B - \epsilon \lfloor B \rfloor)$ obtained by running an LMMP. Let $g: Y \rightarrow T/Z$ be the $K_Y + B_Y - \epsilon \lfloor B_Y \rfloor$ -negative extremal contraction which defines the Mori fibre space structure. Since $K_Y + B_Y + A_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/Z$ and since A is vertical/ Z , A_Y is vertical over T and $K_Y + B_Y$ is numerically trivial on the generic fibre of g . But g is an extremal contraction so $K_Y + B_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/T$. Since $K_Y + B_Y - \epsilon \lfloor B_Y \rfloor$ is numerically negative/ T , $\lfloor B_Y \rfloor \neq 0$.

Let $(W/Z, B_W)$ be a log smooth model of $(X/Z, B)$ of type (1) as in Definition 2.3 such that W dominates Y , say by a morphism $h: W \rightarrow Y$. We can write $K_W + B_W = h^*(K_Y + B_Y) + G$ where G is exceptional/ Y . Run the LMMP/ Y on $K_W + B_W$ with scaling of some ample divisor. We reach a model X' on which $K_{X'} + B_{X'}$ is a limit of movable/ Y \mathbb{Q} -divisors. Now by the Negativity Lemma 3.3, $G_{X'} \leq 0$. So, $K_{X'} + B_{X'} - G_{X'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/T$ as it is the pullback of $K_Y + B_Y$.

Since $K_{X'} + B_{X'}$ is pseudo-effective/ T , $G_{X'}$ is vertical/ T hence $K_{X'} + B_{X'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ over the generic point of T . By construction, $\lfloor B_Y \rfloor$ is ample/ T hence in particular horizontal/ T . This implies that $\lfloor B_{X'} \rfloor$ is horizontal/ T because $\lfloor B_Y \rfloor$ is the pushdown of $\lfloor B_{X'} \rfloor$. By Lemma 5.8, we can run an LMMP/ T on $K_{X'} + B_{X'}$ which ends up with a model X'' on which $K_{X''} + B_{X''}$ is semi-ample/ T . Since $K_{X'} + B_{X'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ over the generic point of T , $X' \dashrightarrow X''$ is an isomorphism over the generic point of T . So, if $X'' \rightarrow T''/T$ is the contraction associated to $K_{X''} + B_{X''}$, then $T'' \rightarrow T$ is birational hence in particular $\lfloor B_{X''} \rfloor$ is horizontal/ T'' .

On the other hand, if we denote $X' \rightarrow Y$ by e , then we can write $K_{X'} + B_{X'} + A_{X'} = e^*(K_Y + B_Y + A_Y)$ (which means that $A_{X'} = -G_{X'} + e^*A_Y$), then $K_{X'} + B_{X'} + A_{X'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/Z$ hence $K_{X''} + B_{X''} + A_{X''} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/Z$. Now we can apply Lemma 5.7. Note that since $K_{X''} + B_{X''}$ is pseudo-effective/ Z , $A_{X''}$ is vertical/ Z . □

Proof. (of Theorem 1.3) We argue by induction so in particular we may assume that Conjecture 1.1 holds in dimension $d - 1$. By Theorems 5.4, Conjecture 1.1 holds in dimension d in the vertical case. On the other hand, by Theorem 5.9, Conjecture 1.1 holds in dimension d in the horizontal case. □

6. Proof of Theorem 1.5

We will derive Theorem 1.5 from the following:

Theorem 6.1. *Assume Conjecture 1.4 in dimension d . Let $(X/Z, B)$ be a lc pair of dimension d where B is a \mathbb{Q} -divisor and the given morphism $f: X \rightarrow Z$*

is surjective. Assume further that $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ over some non-empty open subset $U \subseteq Z$, and if η is the generic point of a lc centre of $(X/Z, B)$, then $f(\eta) \in U$. Then,

- (1) $(X/Z, B)$ has a log minimal model $(Y/Z, B_Y)$,
- (2) $K_Y + B_Y$ is semi-ample/ Z ;
- (3) if $(X/Z, B)$ is \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt, then any LMMP/ Z on $K_X + B$ with scaling of an ample/ Z divisor terminates.

Proof. (of Theorem 1.5) We use induction on dimension so we can assume that Conjecture 1.1 holds in dimension $d-1$. Let $(X/Z, B+A)$ be of dimension d as in Conjecture 1.1. We may assume that $f: X \rightarrow Z$ is a contraction. First assume that every lc centre of $(X/Z, B)$ is vertical/ Z . By Theorem 5.3, Conjecture 1.1 (1)(3) holds for $(X/Z, B+A)$. We need to verify part (2) of the Conjecture. In particular, we may assume that $K_X + B$ is nef/ Z hence $-A$ is nef/ Z . Let $U = Z \setminus f(\text{Supp } A)$. Then, $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ over U . Now let η be the generic point of a lc centre of $(X/Z, B)$. Since $(X/Z, B+A)$ is lc, η does not belong to $\text{Supp } A$. On the other hand, since $-A$ is nef/ Z , $\text{Supp } A = f^{-1}f(\text{Supp } A)$; indeed let z be a closed point of $f(\text{Supp } A)$ and let $X_z = f^{-1}\{z\}$; if X_z is not a subset of $\text{Supp } A$, then there is a projective curve C contracted to z such that C intersects $\text{Supp } A$ but C is not inside $\text{Supp } A$; hence $A \cdot C > 0$ which is a contradiction. Thus, η is mapped into U . Therefore, by Theorem 6.1, $K_X + B$ is semi-ample/ Z . So, Conjecture 1.1 in dimension d holds in the vertical case.

Now assume that some lc centre of $(X/Z, B)$ is horizontal/ Z . Then by Theorem 5.9, Conjecture 1.1 holds for $(X/Z, B+A)$. □

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 6.1 which is parallel to the arguments of Section 5 with some small changes.

6.2. The vertical case. In this subsection we deal with Theorem 6.1 in the vertical case.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $(X/Z, B)$ be a lc pair of dimension d as in Theorem 6.1. Assume further that*

- $(X/Z, B)$ is \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt,
 - $K_X + B + C$ is lc and nef/ Z for some \mathbb{Q} -divisor $C \geq 0$,
 - $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} P + cC/Z$ for some \mathbb{Q} -divisor $P \geq 0$ with $\text{Supp } P = \text{Supp } [B]$,
- and some rational number $c > 0$.

Then, assuming Conjecture 1.4 and Theorem 6.1 in dimension $d-1$, there is an LMMP/ Z on $K_X + B$ with scaling of C which terminates.

Proof. *Step 1.* Note that the assumptions imply that P and C are both vertical/ Z . Since $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} P + cC/Z$, for each rational number $t \in (0, 1]$ we can write

$$K_X + B + tC \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (1 + \epsilon)(K_X + B) - \epsilon P - \epsilon cC + tC \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (1 + \epsilon)(K_X + B - \frac{\epsilon}{1 + \epsilon}P + \frac{t - \epsilon c}{1 + \epsilon}C)/Z$$

for some sufficiently small rational number $\epsilon > 0$. Under our assumptions, $(X, B - \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}P + \frac{\epsilon-c\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}C)$ is klt. In particular, by Theorem 3.7, $K_X + B + tC$ is semi-ample/ Z if $K_X + B + tC$ is nef/ Z . We will use this observation on X and on the birational models that will be constructed.

Step 2. Put $Y_1 := X$, $B_1 := B$, and $C_1 := C$. Let $\lambda_1 \geq 0$ be the smallest number such that $K_{Y_1} + B_1 + \lambda_1 C_1$ is nef/ Z (note that λ_1 is rational by [8, Lemma 3.1]). We may assume that $\lambda_1 > 0$. By Step 1, $K_{Y_1} + B_1 + \lambda_1 C_1$ is semi-ample/ Z ; let $Y_1 \rightarrow V_1/Z$ be the associated contraction. Run the LMMP/ V_1 on $K_{Y_1} + B_1 + tC_1$ with scaling of some ample divisor, for some rational number $t \in (0, \lambda_1)$. This terminates by Step 1 and by Theorem 4.3. The LMMP is also an LMMP/ V_1 on $K_{Y_1} + B_1$ with scaling of $\lambda_1 C_1$ because

$$K_{Y_1} + B_1 + tC_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -(\lambda_1 - t)C_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{(\lambda_1 - t)}{\lambda_1}(K_{Y_1} + B_1)/V_1$$

So, we get a model Y_2 such that $K_{Y_2} + B_2$ is semi-ample/ V_1 where B_2 is the birational transform of B_1 (similar notation will be used for other divisors and models). Now since $K_{Y_2} + B_2 + \lambda_1 C_2$ is the pullback of some ample/ Z divisor on V_1 , $K_{Y_2} + B_2 + \lambda_1 C_2 + \delta(K_{Y_2} + B_2)$ is semi-ample/ Z for some sufficiently small $\delta > 0$. Put it in another way, $K_{Y_2} + B_2 + \tau C_2$ is semi-ample/ Z for some rational number $\tau < \lambda_1$. We can consider $Y_1 \dashrightarrow Y_2$ as a partial LMMP/ Z on $K_{Y_1} + B_1$ with scaling of C_1 . We can continue as before. That is, let $\lambda_2 \geq 0$ be the smallest number such that $K_{Y_2} + B_2 + \lambda_2 C_2$ is nef/ Z , and so on. This process is an LMMP/ Z on $K_X + B$ with scaling of C .

Step 3. In Step 2, we constructed an LMMP/ Z on $K_X + B$ with scaling of C such that the numbers λ_i that appear in the LMMP satisfy $\lambda := \lim \lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ for any j . In this case, actually $\lambda = 0$ otherwise we can consider the LMMP as an LMMP/ Z on $K_X + B + \lambda' C$ with scaling of $(1 - \lambda')C$ for some rational number $\lambda' \in (0, \lambda)$, and Step 1 and Theorem 4.3 give a contradiction. Perhaps after modifying the notation, we may assume that the LMMP consists of only a sequence $X_i \dashrightarrow X_{i+1}/Z_i$ of log flips and that λ_i corresponds to the contraction $X_i \rightarrow Z_i$ as in Definition 2.5; that is, $K_{X_i} + B_i + \lambda_i C_i$ is nef/ Z but numerically trivial/ Z_i and $X_1 = X$.

Step 4. Since $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} P + cC/Z$ for some $P \geq 0$ with $\text{Supp } P = \text{Supp } [B]$, for each i , some component of $[B_i]$ intersects R_i negatively where R_i is the extremal ray defining $X_i \rightarrow Z_i$. Using standard special termination arguments the termination is reduced to termination in lower dimensions. Indeed, let S be a component of $[B]$ and S_i its birational transform on X_i . It is well-known that the induced map $S_i \dashrightarrow S_{i+1}/T_i$ is an isomorphism in codimension one if $i \gg 0$ where T_i is the normalisation of the image of S_i in Z_i . So, we may assume that these maps are all isomorphisms in codimension one for any i . In general, $S_i \dashrightarrow S_{i+1}/T_i$ is not a $K_{S_i} + B_{S_i}$ -flip where $K_{S_i} + B_{S_i} := (K_{X_i} + B_i)|_{S_i}$.

By assumptions, $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ over some non-empty open subset $U \subseteq Z$ and if η is the generic point of a lc centre of $(X/Z, B)$, then $f(\eta) \in U$. So, a similar statement holds for $K_{X_i} + B_i$ and this implies that $K_{S_i} + B_{S_i} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ over U_T and if η is the generic point of a lc centre of $(S_i/T, B_{S_i})$, then η is mapped into U_T where T is the normalisation of the image of S in Z and $U_T \subseteq T$ is

the inverse image of U (similar notation will be used for any variety mapping to Z). Similarly, $K_{S_i} + B_{S_i} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ over U_{T_i} and if η is the generic point of a lc centre of $(S_i/T, B_{S_i})$, then η is mapped into U_{T_i} .

Now, $(S_1/T, B_{S_1})$ is dlt and we can take a \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt blowup $(S'_1/T, B_{S'_1})$ of $(S_1/T, B_{S_1})$ such that $S'_1 \rightarrow S_1$ is small (this follows from the proof of Corollary 3.5 and definition of dlt pairs). By construction, $K_{S'_1} + B_{S'_1} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ over U_{T_1} and if η is the generic point of a lc centre of $(S'_1/T, B_{S'_1})$, then η is mapped into U_{T_1} . By Conjecture 1.4 and Theorem 6.1 in dimension $d - 1$, there is an LMMP/ T_1 on $K_{S'_1} + B_{S'_1}$ with scaling of some ample divisor which terminates. The outcome is a model S'_2 on which $K_{S'_2} + B_{S'_2}$ is semi-ample/ T_1 . Since $K_{S_2} + B_{S_2}$ is ample/ T_1 , S'_2 maps to S_2 and $K_{S'_2} + B_{S'_2}$ is the pullback of $K_{S_2} + B_{S_2}$. Continuing this process, we lift the sequence to an LMMP/ T on $K_{S'_1} + B_{S'_1}$ with scaling of $C_{S'_1}$ where $C_{S'_1}$ is the pullback of C_1 .

Step 5. Another appeal to Conjecture 1.4 and Theorem 6.1 in dimension $d - 1$ ensures that $(S'_1/T, B_{S'_1})$ has a log minimal model because $K_{S'_1} + B_{S'_1} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ over U_T and if η is the generic point of a lc centre of $(S'_1/T, B_{S'_1})$, then η is mapped into U_T . Now termination of the LMMP/ T on $K_{S'_1} + B_{S'_1}$ with scaling of $C_{S'_1}$ in Step 4 follows from [7].

Step 6. The LMMP of Step 3 terminates near $[B]$ hence it terminates near P which implies that it terminates everywhere. □

Theorem 6.4. *Theorem 6.1 in dimension $d - 1$ implies Theorem 6.1 (1)(3) in dimension d when every lc centre of $(X/Z, B)$ is vertical/ Z .*

Proof. Step 1. After taking a \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt blowup using Corollary 3.5 we may assume that $(X/Z, B)$ is \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt, in particular, $[B]$ is vertical/ Z . Run an LMMP/ Z on $K_X + B$ with scaling of an ample/ Z divisor. By [7], the LMMP terminates if we prove that $(X/Z, B)$ has a log minimal model. By assumptions, $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ over some non-empty open subset $U \subseteq Z$ and if η is the generic point of any lc centre of $(X/Z, B)$, then $f(\eta) \in U$.

Step 2. Let $t_1 > t_2 > \dots$ be a sequence of sufficiently small rational numbers with $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} t_i = 0$. Each $(X/Z, B - t_i [B])$ is klt and $(K_X + B - t_i [B])|_F \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ where F is the generic fibre of f . Hence by Theorem 3.7 each $(X/Z, B - t_i [B])$ has a good log minimal model $(Y_i/Z, B_{Y_i} - t_i [B_{Y_i}])$ obtained by running some LMMP/ Z with scaling on $K_X + B - t_i [B]$. Thus, $Y_i \dashrightarrow X$ does not contract divisors. By Conjecture 1.4 in dimension d , we can assume that each $(Y_i/Z, B_{Y_i})$ is lc. Moreover, $\text{Supp } [B_{Y_i}]$ contains all the lc centres of $(Y_i/Z, B_{Y_i})$ because $(Y_i/Z, B_{Y_i} - t_i [B_{Y_i}])$ is klt hence $(Y_i/Z, B_{Y_i})$ is klt outside $\text{Supp } [B_{Y_i}]$. There are vertical/ Z \mathbb{Q} -divisors $M, N \geq 0$ such that $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} M/Z$ and $-[B] \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} N/Z$. So, any prime divisor contracted by $X \dashrightarrow Y_i$ is a component of $M + N$ hence after replacing the sequence with a subsequence we can assume that the morphisms $X \dashrightarrow Y_i$ contract the same divisors, i.e. Y_i are isomorphic in codimension one.

Step 3. First assume that $\lfloor B_{Y_1} \rfloor \neq 0$. Then, since $K_{Y_1} + B_{Y_1} - t_1 \lfloor B_{Y_1} \rfloor$ is semi-ample/ Z , and since $K_{Y_1} + B_{Y_1}$ is lc,

$$K_{Y_1} + B_{Y_1} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} t_1 \lfloor B_{Y_1} \rfloor + C_{Y_1}/Z$$

for some $C_{Y_1} \geq 0$ such that $K_{Y_1} + B_{Y_1} + C_{Y_1}$ is lc. Note that for each rational number t

$$K_{Y_1} + B_{Y_1} + tC_{Y_1} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (1+t)(K_{Y_1} + B_{Y_1} - \frac{tt_1}{1+t} \lfloor B_{Y_1} \rfloor)/Z$$

In particular, if $t'_i = \frac{t_i}{t_1 - t_i}$ and $i \neq 1$, then

$$K_{Y_1} + B_{Y_1} + t'_i C_{Y_1} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (1+t'_i)(K_{Y_1} + B_{Y_1} - t_i \lfloor B_{Y_1} \rfloor)/Z$$

So, $(Y_i/Z, B_{Y_i} + t'_i C_{Y_i})$ is a weak lc model of $(Y_1/Z, B_{Y_1} + t'_i C_{Y_1})$. Note that if $i > 1$, then we can assume that $(Y_1/Z, B_{Y_1} + t'_i C_{Y_1})$ is lc which implies that $(Y_i/Z, B_{Y_i} + t'_i C_{Y_i})$ is lc too.

Fix $j > 1$. The assumptions allow us to run an LMMP/ Z on $K_{Y_j} + B_{Y_j}$ with scaling of $t'_j C_{Y_j}$ similar to Step 2 of the proof of Lemma 6.3 (here we do not need the termination of the LMMP). If λ_k are the numbers appearing in the LMMP, then $\lim \lambda_k = 0$. In particular, by replacing Y_i for each $i \geq j$, we could assume that Y_i occurs in the LMMP, that is, in the process we reach Y_i on which $K_{Y_i} + B_{Y_i} + t'_i C_{Y_i}$ is nef/ Z . By replacing j , we may assume that the LMMP does not contract any lc centre of $(Y_j/Z, B_{Y_j})$. This implies that if η is the generic point of any lc centre of $(Y_j/Z, B_{Y_j})$, then η is mapped into U : assume that this not the case for some η ; if E is a prime divisor over η such that $a(E, Y_j, B_{Y_j}) = 0$, then $a(E, X, B) > 0$; on the other hand, for any $i \geq j$ we have

$$a(E, Y_j, B_{Y_j} - t_i \lfloor B_{Y_j} \rfloor) = a(E, Y_i, B_{Y_i} - t_i \lfloor B_{Y_i} \rfloor) \geq a(E, X, B - t_i \lfloor B \rfloor) \geq a(E, X, B)$$

hence $a(E, Y_j, B_{Y_j}) \geq a(E, X, B) > 0$ which is a contradiction.

Let $(Y'/Z, B_{Y'})$ be a \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt blowup of $(Y_j/Z, B_{Y_j})$, and let $C_{Y'}$ on Y' be the birational transform of C_{Y_j} which is the same as the pullback of C_{Y_j} since C_{Y_j} does not contain any lc centre of $(Y_j/Z, B_{Y_j})$. Then, $K_{Y'} + B_{Y'} + t'_j C_{Y'}$ is the pullback of $K_{Y_j} + B_{Y_j} + t'_j C_{Y_j}$ hence it is nef/ Z . Moreover, since

$$K_{Y_j} + B_{Y_j} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} t_1 \lfloor B_{Y_j} \rfloor + C_{Y_j}/Z$$

we get

$$K_{Y'} + B_{Y'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} P_{Y'} + C_{Y'}/Z$$

where $P_{Y'}$ is the pullback of $t_1 \lfloor B_{Y_j} \rfloor$, in particular, $\text{Supp } P_{Y'} = \text{Supp } \lfloor B_{Y'} \rfloor$. Now by Lemma 6.3, there is an LMMP/ Z on $K_{Y'} + B_{Y'}$ with scaling of $t'_j C_{Y'}$ which terminates on a model Y on which $K_Y + B_Y + \delta C_Y$ is nef/ Z for any sufficiently small $\delta \geq 0$. In particular, $(Y/Z, B_Y + t'_i C_Y)$ is a log minimal model of $(Y_j/Z, B_{Y_j} + t'_i C_{Y_j})$ and of $(Y_i/Z, B_{Y_i} + t'_i C_{Y_i})$ for $i \gg 0$.

Step 4. Let $g: W \rightarrow X$, $h: W \rightarrow Y$, and $e: W \rightarrow Y_i$ be a common resolution. Then,

$$g^*(K_X + B - t_i \lfloor B \rfloor) = e^*(K_{Y_i} + B_{Y_i} - t_i \lfloor B_{Y_i} \rfloor) + E_i$$

and

$$e^*(K_{Y_i} + B_{Y_i} + t'_i C_{Y_i}) = h^*(K_Y + B_Y + t'_i C_Y)$$

where $E_i \geq 0$ [5, Remark 2.4] (note that we are using the fact that $(Y/Z, B_Y + t'_i C_Y)$ is a log minimal model of $(Y_j/Z, B_{Y_j} + t'_i C_{Y_j})$ and of $(Y_i/Z, B_{Y_i} + t'_i C_{Y_i})$). If we put

$$L_i := E_i + g^* t_i \lfloor B \rfloor + h^* t'_i C_Y - e^* t_i \lfloor B_{Y_i} \rfloor - e^* t'_i C_{Y_i}$$

then

$$L_i = g^*(K_X + B) - h^*(K_Y + B_Y)$$

which in particular means that $g_* L_i$ is independent of i . We can write

$$K_{Y_j} + B_{Y_j} - t_1 \lfloor B_{Y_j} \rfloor - C_{Y_j} = a(\alpha) + Q_j$$

where (α) is the divisor of some rational function α , $a \in \mathbb{Q}$, and Q_j is the pullback of a \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor R on Z . In particular,

$$g_* e^*(K_{Y_i} + B_{Y_i} - t_1 \lfloor B_{Y_i} \rfloor - C_{Y_i}) = g_* e^*(a(\alpha) + Q_i)$$

is independent of i where Q_i is the birational transform of Q_j and (α) (on Y_i) is again the divisor of the rational function α .

Step 5. Now, since $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} t_i = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_* L_i &= \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} g_* L_i = \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} g_*(E_i + g^* t_i \lfloor B \rfloor + h^* t'_i C_Y) - \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} g_*(e^* t_i \lfloor B_{Y_i} \rfloor + e^* t'_i C_{Y_i}) \\ &\geq - \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} t'_i g_* e^*(K_{Y_i} + B_{Y_i} - t_i \lfloor B_{Y_i} \rfloor - a(\alpha) - Q_i) \\ &\geq - \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} t'_i (K_X + B - t_i \lfloor B \rfloor) + \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} t'_i g_* e^*(a(\alpha) + Q_i) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $-L_i$ is nef/ X . Therefore, by the negativity lemma, $L_i \geq 0$. We compare B_Y and B : let D be a component of B_Y ; if D is not exceptional/ Y_j , then D is the birational transform of a component of B_{Y_j} hence the birational transform of a component of B having the same coefficient; if D is not exceptional/ X but exceptional/ Y_j , then D appears in B_Y with coefficient one hence the property $L_i \geq 0$ ensures that D also appears with coefficients one in B ; on the other hand, if D is any prime divisor on Y which is exceptional/ X then it is also exceptional/ Y_j hence D appears in B_Y with coefficient one. Thus, B_Y is the birational transform of B plus the reduced exceptional divisor of $Y \dashrightarrow X$. Therefore, $(Y/Z, B_Y)$ is a weak lc model of $(X/Z, B)$ hence by Corollary 3.6 we can construct a log minimal model of $(X/Z, B)$.

Step 6. If $\lfloor B_{Y_1} \rfloor = 0$, then by taking $Y = Y_1$, similar but simpler calculations show that $(Y/Z, B_Y)$ is already a good log minimal model of $(X/Z, B)$. \square

Theorem 6.5. *Theorem 6.1 in dimension $d-1$ implies Theorem 6.1 in dimension d when every lc centre of $(X/Z, B)$ is vertical/ Z .*

Proof. By Theorem 6.4, statements (1) and (3) of Theorem 6.1 hold for $(X/Z, B)$, in particular, $(X/Z, B)$ has a log minimal model $(Y/Z, B_Y)$. By replacing $(X/Z, B)$ with $(Y/Z, B_Y)$ we may assume that $(X/Z, B)$ is \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt and that $K_X + B$ is nef/ Z . We need to prove that $K_X + B$ is semi-ample/ Z . By assumptions, $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ over some non-empty open subset $U \subseteq Z$ and if η is the generic point of any lc centre of $(X/Z, B)$ then $f(\eta) \in U$.

There are normal projective varieties \bar{X} and \bar{Z} together with a morphism $\bar{f}: \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{Z}$ such that X is an open subset of \bar{X} and Z is an open subset of \bar{Z} and \bar{f} restricted to X coincides with f . Let $\bar{g}: \bar{W} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ be a resolution and W the inverse image of X . Perhaps after replacing \bar{g} , we can define $B_{\bar{W}}$ so that its restriction to W , say B_W , makes $(W/Z, B_W)$ into a log smooth model of $(X/Z, B)$ of type (2) as in Definition 2.3, and such that $(\bar{W}/\bar{Z}, B_{\bar{W}})$ is dlt and log smooth. We can assume that if η is the generic point of any lc centre of $(\bar{W}/\bar{Z}, B_{\bar{W}})$ then η is mapped into U (the point is that if η does not map into U , then after some blowups, we can eliminate the lc centre determined by η). Moreover, we can take an ample divisor H on \bar{Z} and some general divisor $D \sim \bar{g}^* \bar{f}^* mH$ where $m \gg 0$, and by adding $\frac{1}{2}D$ to $B_{\bar{W}}$ we could assume that any LMMP on $K_{\bar{W}} + B_{\bar{W}}$ is an LMMP/ \bar{Z} .

Run an LMMP/ \bar{X} on $K_{\bar{W}} + B_{\bar{W}}$ with scaling of some ample divisor. We reach a model $(\bar{V}/\bar{Z}, B_{\bar{V}})$ such that $K_{\bar{V}} + B_{\bar{V}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ over U . Moreover, if η is the generic point of any lc centre of $(\bar{V}/\bar{Z}, B_{\bar{V}})$ then η is mapped into U . By Theorem 6.4, $(\bar{V}/\bar{Z}, B_{\bar{V}})$ has a log minimal model. By replacing $(X/Z, B)$ with that log minimal model from now on we assume that X, Z are projective, $K_X + B$ is globally nef, and that B contains the pullback of some sufficiently ample divisor on Z .

Run an LMMP on $K_X + B - \epsilon \lfloor B \rfloor$ with scaling of some ample divisor, for some sufficiently small rational number $\epsilon > 0$. By construction, this LMMP is over Z . Moreover, by [6, Proposition 3.2], $K_X + B$ is trivial on each step of the LMMP. By Theorem 4.3, the LMMP terminates on a model X' on which $K_{X'} + B_{X'}$ and $K_{X'} + B_{X'} - \epsilon \lfloor B_{X'} \rfloor$ are both nef and the latter is semi-ample. The pair $(X'/Z, B_{X'})$ is \mathbb{Q} -factorial and lc and $(X'/Z, B_{X'} - \epsilon \lfloor B_{X'} \rfloor)$ is klt. If $\lfloor B_{X'} \rfloor = 0$ we are done so we can assume that $\lfloor B_{X'} \rfloor \neq 0$. Let $(Y/Z, B_Y)$ be a \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt blowup of $(X'/Z, B_{X'})$. By construction, $K_Y + B_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ over U and if η is the generic point of any lc centre of $(Y/Z, B_Y)$ then η is mapped into U .

Let S be a component of $T := \lfloor B_Y \rfloor$. Then, by induction, $K_S + B_S := (K_Y + B_Y)|_S$ is semi-ample/ Z hence by construction it is globally semi-ample. On the other hand, if $m > 0$ is sufficiently divisible, then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} m(K_Y + B_Y) - T &= K_Y + B_Y - T + (m-1)(K_Y + B_Y) = \\ &= K_Y + B_Y - T + h^* \delta \lfloor B_{X'} \rfloor + (m-1)(K_Y + B_Y) - h^* \delta \lfloor B_{X'} \rfloor \end{aligned}$$

where h is the morphism $Y \rightarrow X'$ and $\delta > 0$ is a small rational number. Here, $K_Y + B_Y - T + h^* \delta \lfloor B_{X'} \rfloor$ is klt, and $(m-1)(K_Y + B_Y) - h^* \delta \lfloor B_{X'} \rfloor$ is semi-ample. Therefore, by an injectivity theorem due to Kollár (cf. [19, Lemma 7.3]), the restriction morphism

$$H^0(Y, m(K_Y + B_Y)) \rightarrow H^0(T, m(K_Y + B_Y)|_T)$$

is surjective. By Fujino-Gongyo [15], $m(K_Y + B_Y)|_T$ is base point free hence $\text{Bs} |m(K_Y + B_Y)|$ does not intersect T . On the other hand, since $K_{X'} + B_{X'} - \epsilon \lfloor B_{X'} \rfloor$ is semi-ample, we can assume that $\text{Bs} |m(K_Y + B_Y)| \subseteq T$. Therefore $m(K_Y + B_Y)$ is base point free and we are done. \square

6.6. The horizontal case.

Lemma 6.7. *Assume Conjecture 1.4 and Theorem 6.1 in dimension $d - 1$. Let $(X/Z, B)$ be of dimension d as in Theorem 6.1. Moreover, assume that there is a contraction $g: X \rightarrow T/Z$ such that*

- (1) $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/T$,
- (2) *some lc centre of $(X/Z, B)$ is horizontal over T .*

Then, $(X/Z, B)$ has a good log minimal model.

Proof. By replacing $(X/Z, B)$ with a \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt blowup, we can assume that $(X/Z, B)$ is \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt and that there is a component S of $\lfloor B \rfloor$ which is horizontal/ T . Run an LMMP/ Z on $K_X + B$ with scaling of some ample divisor. Since termination and semi-ampleness/ Z are local on Z , we can assume that Z is affine, say $\text{Spec } R$. By [7], the LMMP terminates with a good log minimal model if we prove that $(X/Z, B)$ has a good log minimal model.

By assumptions, $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ over some non-empty open subset $U \subseteq Z$ and if η is the generic point of any lc centre of $(X/Z, B)$ then $f(\eta) \in U$. By adjunction define $K_S + B_S := (K_X + B)|_S$. Then, $K_S + B_S \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ over U and if η is the generic point of any lc centre of $(S/Z, B_S)$ then $f(\eta) \in U$. Moreover, $K_S + B_S \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/T$.

By assumptions, $(S/Z, B_S)$ has a good log minimal model. Therefore, if $I(K_S + B_S)$ is Cartier for some $I \in \mathbb{N}$, then $R(S/Z, I(K_S + B_S))$ is a finitely generated R -algebra (cf. [4]). We can choose I such that $I(K_X + B)$ is Cartier and such that $I(K_X + B) \sim g^*L$ for some Cartier divisor L on T . So, $I(K_S + B_S) \sim e^*L$ where $e: S \rightarrow T$ is the induced morphism.

Now, by Theorem 5.6, $R(T/Z, L)$ is a finitely generated R -algebra which in turn implies that $R(X/Z, I(K_X + B))$ is a finitely generated R -algebra since $X \rightarrow T$ is a contraction. Therefore, according to Theorem 3.7, $(X/Z, B)$ has a good log minimal model. □

Lemma 6.8. *Assume Conjecture 1.4 in dimension d and Theorem 6.1 in dimension $d - 1$. Let $(X/Z, B)$ be of dimension d as in Theorem 6.1. Moreover, assume that*

- $(X/Z, B)$ is \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt,
- *there exist contractions $e: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow Z$,*
- *e is birational and Y is \mathbb{Q} -factorial,*
- *$K_Y + B_Y$ is lc, $D := K_Y + B_Y - \epsilon \lfloor B_Y \rfloor$ is klt, and g is a D -negative extremal contraction for some $\epsilon > 0$ where $B_Y := e_*B$.*

Then, any LMMP/ Z on $K_X + B$ with scaling of an ample divisor terminates on a good log minimal model of $(X/Z, B)$.

Proof. By [7], it is enough to prove that $(X/Z, B)$ has a good log minimal model, locally over Z . By assumptions, $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ over some non-empty open subset $U \subseteq Z$ and if η is the generic point of any lc centre of $(X/Z, B)$ then $f(\eta) \in U$. Let $U_Y \subseteq Y$ be the inverse image of U . Then, $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ over U_Y and if η is the generic point of any lc centre of $(X/Z, B)$ then $f(\eta) \in U_Y$. Since e is birational, by Theorem 6.5 we can assume that $K_X + B$ is actually

semi-ample/ Y . By replacing X with the lc model of $(X/Y, B)$ we can assume that $K_X + B$ is ample/ Y (we may lose the \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt condition but we will recover it later).

Since g is an extremal contraction and since $K_Y + B_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ over U , $K_Y + B_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/Z$. Moreover, since $K_Y + B_Y$ is lc, there is an e -exceptional \mathbb{Q} -divisor $A \geq 0$ such that $K_X + B + A = e^*(K_Y + B_Y)$, in particular, $K_X + B + A$ is lc and $K_X + B + A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/Z$. Since $-A$ is ample/ Y , $\text{Supp } A$ contains all the prime exceptional/ Y divisors on X . Now we can add a small multiple of A to B and assume that $\text{Supp } B$ contains all the prime exceptional/ Y divisors on X (note that $A \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ over U_Y , the inverse image of U in Y ; hence $A = 0$ over U_Y as A is exceptional/ Y). In particular, $\text{Supp } e^* \lfloor B_Y \rfloor \subseteq \text{Supp } B$. Replacing $(X/Z, B)$ with a \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt blowup, we can again assume that $(X/Z, B)$ is \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt.

By assumptions, $\lfloor B_Y \rfloor$ is ample/ Z hence $e^* \lfloor B_Y \rfloor$ is semi-ample/ Z . Thus, for a small rational number $\tau > 0$ we can write

$$K_X + B = K_X + B - \tau e^* \lfloor B_Y \rfloor + \tau e^* \lfloor B_Y \rfloor \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_X + \Delta/Z$$

where Δ is some rational boundary such that $(X/Z, \Delta)$ is klt. Note that since $(Y/Z, B_Y - \epsilon \lfloor B_Y \rfloor)$ is klt, $\lfloor B_Y \rfloor$ contains all the lc centres of $(Y/Z, B_Y)$, in particular, the image of all the lc centres of $(X/Z, B)$. So, $\text{Supp } e^* \lfloor B_Y \rfloor$ contains all the components of $\lfloor B \rfloor$ hence $(X/Z, B - \tau e^* \lfloor B_Y \rfloor)$ is klt and we can indeed find Δ with the required properties.

By Theorem 3.7, we can run an LMMP/ Z on $K_X + \Delta$ which ends up with a good log minimal model of $(X/Z, \Delta)$ hence a good log minimal model of $(X/Z, B)$. □

Theorem 6.9. *Assume Theorem 6.1 in dimension $d - 1$. Then, Theorem 6.1 holds in dimension d when some lc centre of $(X/Z, B)$ is horizontal over Z .*

Proof. We can assume that $(X/Z, B)$ is \mathbb{Q} -factorial dlt and that $f: X \rightarrow Z$ is a contraction. By assumptions, $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ over some non-empty open subset $U \subseteq Z$ and if η is the generic point of any lc centre of $(X/Z, B)$ then η is mapped into U . Run an LMMP/ Z on $K_X + B$ with scaling of some ample/ Z divisor. By [7], it is enough to prove that $(X/Z, B)$ has a good log minimal model, locally over Z . By assumptions, some component of $\lfloor B \rfloor$ is horizontal/ Z .

If $\epsilon > 0$ is a sufficiently small rational number, then $(X/Z, B - \epsilon \lfloor B \rfloor)$ is klt and $K_X + B - \epsilon \lfloor B \rfloor$ is not pseudo-effective/ Z hence by [9] there is a Mori fibre space $(Y/Z, B_Y - \epsilon \lfloor B_Y \rfloor)$ for $(X/Z, B - \epsilon \lfloor B \rfloor)$ obtained by running an LMMP. Let $g: Y \rightarrow T/Z$ be the $K_Y + B_Y - \epsilon \lfloor B_Y \rfloor$ -negative extremal contraction which defines the Mori fibre space structure. By construction, $K_Y + B_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/U$. Since g is an extremal contraction, $K_Y + B_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/T$, and since $K_Y + B_Y - \epsilon \lfloor B_Y \rfloor$ is numerically negative/ T , $\lfloor B_Y \rfloor \neq 0$. By Conjecture 1.4 in dimension d , we can assume that $(Y/Z, B_Y)$ is lc.

Let $(W/Z, B_W)$ be a log smooth model of $(X/Z, B)$ of type (2) as in Definition 2.3 such that W dominates Y , say by a morphism $h: W \rightarrow Y$. We can assume that if η is the generic point of any lc centre of $(W/Z, B_W)$ then η is mapped

into U . We can write $K_W + B_W = h^*(K_Y + B_Y) + G$ where G is exceptional/ Y . Run the LMMP/ Y on $K_W + B_W$ with scaling of some ample divisor. We reach a model X' on which $K_{X'} + B_{X'}$ is a limit of movable/ Y \mathbb{Q} -divisors. Now by the Negativity Lemma 3.3, $G_{X'} \leq 0$. So, $K_{X'} + B_{X'} - G_{X'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0/T$ as it is the pullback of $K_Y + B_Y$.

Over U , $(X/Z, B)$ and $(Y/Z, B_Y)$ are both weak lc models of $(W/Z, B_W)$ hence the above LMMP terminates over U and $K_{X'} + B_{X'}$ is the pullback of $K_Y + B_Y$ over U . So, $K_{X'} + B_{X'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ over U and if η is the generic point of any lc centre of $(X'/Z, B_{X'})$ then η is mapped into U . Let $U_T \subseteq T$ be the inverse image of U . Then, $K_{X'} + B_{X'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ over U_T and if η is the generic point of any lc centre of $(X'/Z, B_{X'})$ then η is mapped into U_T .

By construction, $[B_Y]$ is ample/ T hence in particular horizontal/ T . This implies that $[B_{X'}]$ is horizontal/ T because $[B_Y]$ is the pushdown of $[B_{X'}]$. By Lemma 6.8, we can run an LMMP/ T on $K_{X'} + B_{X'}$ which ends up with a model X'' on which $K_{X''} + B_{X''}$ is semi-ample/ T . Over U_T , $X' \dashrightarrow X''$ is an isomorphism. So, if $X'' \rightarrow T''/T$ is the contraction associated to $K_{X''} + B_{X''}$, then $T'' \rightarrow T$ is birational hence in particular $[B_{X''}]$ is horizontal/ T'' . Now we can apply Lemma 6.7. □

Proof. (of Theorem 6.1) This follows from Theorems 6.5 and 6.9. □

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Alexeev, C. Hacon, Y. Kawamata; *Termination of (many) 4-dimensional log flips*. Invent. Math. 168 (2007), no. 2, 433-448.
- [2] F. Ambro; *The moduli b-divisor of an lc-trivial fibration*. Compositio Math. 141 (2005), no. 2, 385-403.
- [3] F. Ambro; *Quasi-log varieties*. Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova 240 (2003), Biratsion. Geom. Linein. Sist. Konechno Porozhden- nye Algebrы, 220-239; translation in Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 2003, no. 1 (240), 214-233.
- [4] C. Birkar; *Divisorial algebras and modules on Schemes*. To appear.
- [5] C. Birkar; *On existence of log minimal models and weak Zariski decompositions*. arXiv:0907.5182v1. To appear in Math. Annalen.
- [6] C. Birkar; *On existence of log minimal models II*. To appear in J. Reine Angew Math. arXiv:0907.4170v1.
- [7] C. Birkar; *Supplement to the paper "On existence of log minimal models II"*.
- [8] C. Birkar; *On existence of log minimal models*. Compositio Math. volume 145 (2009), 1442-1446.
- [9] C. Birkar, P. Cascini, C. Hacon, J. McKernan; *Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 23 (2010), 405-468.
- [10] C. Birkar, M. Păun; *Minimal models, flips and finite generation : a tribute to V.V. SHOKUROV and Y.-T. SIU*. In "Classification of algebraic varieties", European Math Society series of congress reports (2010).
- [11] L. Ein, M. Mustața; *Log canonical thresholds on smooth varieties: the Ascending Chain Condition*. arXiv:0811.4444.
- [12] O. Fujino; *Semi-stable minimal model program for varieties with trivial canonical divisor*. Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. Volume 87, Number 3 (2011), 25-30.
- [13] O. Fujino; *Fundamental theorems for the log minimal model program*. arXiv:0909.4445v2.
- [14] O. Fujino; *Finite generation of the log canonical ring in dimension four*. Kyoto J. Math. Volume 50, Number 4 (2010), 671-684.

- [15] O. Fujino, Y. Gongyo; *Log pluricanonical representations and abundance conjecture*. arXiv:1104.0361v1.
- [16] O. Fujino, Y. Gongyo; *On canonical bundle formulae and subadjunctions*. arXiv:1009.3996v1.
- [17] C. Hacon, J. McKernan; *Extension theorems and the existence of flips*. In *Flips for 3-folds and 4-folds*, Oxford University Press (2007).
- [18] R. Hartshorne; *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag 1977.
- [19] S. Keel, K. Matsuki, J. McKernan; *Log abundance theorem for threefolds*. *Duke Math. J.* Volume 75, Number 1 (1994), 99-119.
- [20] J. Kollár; *Seminormal log centers and deformations of pairs*. arXiv:1103.0528v1.
- [21] J. Kollár, S. Mori; *Birational Geometry of Algebraic Varieties*. Cambridge University Press (1998).
- [22] C-J Lai; *Varieties fibered by good minimal models*. arXiv:0912.3012v2.
- [23] S. Mori; *Flip theorem and the existence of minimal models for 3-folds*. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 1 (1988), no. 1, 117–253.
- [24] Yu. Prokhorov; *On the Zariski decomposition problem*. *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova*, 2003, Volume 240, Pages 4372
- [25] V.V. Shokurov; *Prelimiting flips*. *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* 240 (2003), 75-213.
- [26] V.V. Shokurov; *3-fold log models*. *Algebraic geometry*, 4. *J. Math. Sci.* 81 (1996), no. 3, 2667–2699.
- [27] V.V. Shokurov; *Three-dimensional log flips*. With an appendix in English by Yujiro Kawamata. *Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math.* 40 (1993), no. 1, 95–202.

DPMMS, Centre for Mathematical Sciences,
Cambridge University,
Wilberforce Road,
Cambridge, CB3 0WB,
UK
email: c.birkar@dpmms.cam.ac.uk

Fondation Sciences Mathématiques de Paris,
IHP, 11 rue Pierre et Marie Curie,
75005 Paris,
France