

DIVISORIAL ALGEBRAS AND MODULES ON SCHEMES

CAUCHER BIRKAR

ABSTRACT. We study certain modules over the algebra of a Cartier divisor on a scheme. Using these modules, we present an inductive method for studying finite generation properties of algebras and modules. In the context of the minimal model program, we show that finite generation of log canonical algebras and modules is equivalent to the minimal model and abundance conjectures.

CONTENTS

1. Divisorial algebras and modules	1
2. Basic properties of divisorial modules	3
3. Inductive finite generation of divisorial algebras and modules	6
4. Log canonical modules and minimal models	12
References	18

1. DIVISORIAL ALGEBRAS AND MODULES

Let X be a projective scheme over a (commutative) Noetherian ring A . For any Cartier divisor L on X we have the graded ring

$$R(L) := \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} H^0(X, mL)$$

where m runs through the non-negative integers. This is actually a graded algebra over the ring $R_0 := H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{O}_X(X)$. The structure morphism $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ gives a canonical ring homomorphism $A \rightarrow R_0$ making $R(L)$ an algebra over A . Since R_0 is a finitely generated A -module, $R(L)$ is a finitely generated R_0 -algebra iff it is a finitely generated A -algebra. We refer to $R(L)$ as a *divisorial algebra*.

The problem of finite generation of divisorial algebras is fundamental in algebraic geometry and we will see that divisorial modules naturally appear when one attempts to approach this problem. We study this problem in a very general setting and we are ultimately interested in the birational geometry of schemes. However, our methods are very relevant to the traditional setting of birational geometry of varieties over an algebraically closed field.

Date: May 4, 2011.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14E30, 14E99, 14A99.

For each \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{F} on X and each integer p , we have the graded $R(L)$ -module $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L) = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} M_m$ where $M_m = 0$ if $m < p$ but

$$M_m = H^0(X, \mathcal{F}(mL))$$

if $m \geq p$. Here $\mathcal{F}(mL)$ stands for $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(mL)$ and the module structure is given via the pairing

$$H^0(X, mL) \otimes H^0(X, \mathcal{F}(nL)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{F}((m+n)L))$$

We refer to $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)$ as a *divisorial module*. When $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ for some divisor D we often write $M_D^p(L)$ instead of $M_{\mathcal{O}_X(D)}^p(L)$. When $L = I(K_X + B)$ for a log canonical pair (X, B) and integer $I > 0$, we refer to $R(L)$ as a *log canonical algebra* and refer to the module $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)$ as a *log canonical module*.

The above graded rings and modules are of course nothing new. Grothendieck studied them in some detail (for example see EGA III-1 [7, §2]). Strictly speaking he considers all values $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ but in practice the summands $H^0(X, mL)$ and $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}(mL))$ usually vanish for $m \ll 0$, eg when L is ample. However, we look at divisorial modules in the sense of birational geometry, in particular, their finite generation properties. So, it makes sense to restrict ourselves to non-negative m or at least $m \geq p$ for some fixed p . We should remark that we could define algebras and modules using an invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} in place of a Cartier divisor. Some of the results would still make sense and will be true in this setting (eg, Theorem 1.1).

Results of this paper. In section 2, we prove some of the basic properties of divisorial modules, in particular:

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a projective scheme over a Noetherian ring A and L a Cartier divisor on X such that $R(L)$ is a finitely generated A -algebra. We fix an integer p and an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ very ample over $\text{Spec } A$. Then we have:*

- *Assume that $M_{\mathcal{O}_X(l)}^p(L)$ is a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module for any $l \gg 0$. Then $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)$ is a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module for any reflexive coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} . If X is integral, the same holds for any torsion-free coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} .*

- *Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf and $I > 0$ an integer. For each $0 \leq i < I$, assume that $M_{\mathcal{F}(iL)}^{q_i}(IL)$ is a finitely generated $R(IL)$ -module where $q_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the smallest number satisfying $q_i I + i \geq p$. Then $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)$ is a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module.*

In section 3, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for algebras and modules to be finitely generated in terms of finite generation of restriction of algebras and modules to subschemes:

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a projective scheme over a Noetherian ring A and $L = \sum l_i L_i$ a Cartier divisor where $l_i > 0$ are integers and $L_i \neq 0$ are effective Cartier divisors. Assume that $H^0(X, -L_1) = 0$. Fix an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ very ample over $\text{Spec } A$. Then, the following are equivalent:*

- $R(L)$ is a finitely generated A -algebra and $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)$ is a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module for any reflexive coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} and any p ;
- for each $S = L_j$, the restriction $R(L)|_S$ is a finitely generated A -algebra, and the restriction $M_{\mathcal{O}_X(l)}^0(L)|_S$ is a finitely generated $R(L)|_S$ -module for any $l \gg 0$.

Using this theorem and the available extension theorems (eg, Hacon-M^cKernan) it should not be too difficult (but not simple) to give another proof of finite generation of lc rings of klt pairs even without the minimal model program. We hope that the theorem will be useful for proving finite generation of lc rings of lc pairs.

In section 4, we show that finite generation of log canonical algebras and modules is closely related to the minimal model and abundance conjectures:

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that $(X/Z, B)$ is lc and assume that there is a positive integer I such that $L := I(K_X + B)$ is Cartier and pseudo-effective/ Z where $Z = \text{Spec } A$. Then, $(X/Z, B)$ has a log minimal model $(Y/Z, B_Y)$ on which $K_Y + B_Y$ is semi-ample/ Z iff*

- $R(L)$ is a finitely generated A -algebra, and
- for any very ample/ Z divisor G the module $M_G^0(L)$ is finitely generated over $R(L)$.

Conventions. In this paper, all rings are commutative with identity. A graded ring is of the form $R = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} R_m$, that is, graded by non-negative integers, and a graded module is of the form $M = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} M_m$, that is, it is graded by the integers. For an element (\dots, α, \dots) of degree m we often abuse notation and just write α but keep in mind that α has degree m . A graded ring $R = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} R_m$ is called an A -algebra if there is a homomorphism $A \rightarrow R_0$ and multiplication of elements of A with elements of R is induced by $A \rightarrow R_0$.

2. BASIC PROPERTIES OF DIVISORIAL MODULES

Definition 2.1 If $R = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} R_m$ is a graded ring and I a positive integer, we define the truncated ring $R^{[I]} = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} R'_m$ as $R'_m = R_m$ if $I|m$ and $R'_m = 0$ otherwise. Note that the degree structure is different from the usual definition of truncation. However, it is more convenient for us to define it in this way.

Remark 2.2 (Truncation principle for algebras) Fix a positive integer I . Assume that R is a graded ring, R_0 is a Noetherian ring, and R is an integral domain. Then R is a finitely generated R_0 -algebra iff $R^{[I]}$ is a finitely generated R_0 -algebra.

A similar statement for modules is less straightforward.

Remark 2.3 (Truncation principle for modules) Fix a positive integer I . Let R be a graded ring and let $M = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} M_m$ be a graded R -module. Let

$N_i = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} N_{m,i}$ where $N_{m,i} = M_m$ if $m \equiv i \pmod{I}$ but $N_{m,i} = 0$ otherwise. Then, each N_i is a graded module over $R^{[I]}$ and we have the decomposition

$$M \simeq N_0 \oplus N_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus N_{I-1}$$

as graded $R^{[I]}$ -modules. If the modules N_0, \dots, N_{I-1} are finitely generated over $R^{[I]}$, then M is also a finitely generated $R^{[I]}$ -module hence a finitely generated R -module too.

Lemma 2.4. *Let X be a projective scheme over a Noetherian ring A . Assume that $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)$ is a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module where \mathcal{F} is coherent. Then $M_{\mathcal{F}}^q(L)$ is also a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module for any $q < p$. If in addition $R(L)$ is a finitely generated A -algebra, then $M_{\mathcal{F}}^q(L)$ is a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module for any q .*

Proof. The elements of $M_{\mathcal{F}}^q(L)$ of degree $< p$ are given by

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{F}(qL)) \oplus \cdots \oplus H^0(X, \mathcal{F}((p-1)L))$$

and this is a finitely generated $R_0 = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ -module as \mathcal{F} is coherent. The elements of $M_{\mathcal{F}}^q(L)$ of degree $\geq p$ are given by $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)$. So, the first claim follows. The second statement follows from the fact that if $p \leq q$, then we have an inclusion $M_{\mathcal{F}}^q(L) \subseteq M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)$, and $R(L)$ and $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)$ are Noetherian. \square

Theorem 2.5. *Let X be a projective scheme over a Noetherian ring A and L a Cartier divisor on X such that $R(L)$ is a finitely generated A -algebra. We fix an integer p and an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ very ample over $\text{Spec } A$. Then we have:*

(1) *Assume that $M_{\mathcal{O}_X(l)}^p(L)$ is a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module for any $l \gg 0$. Then $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)$ is a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module for any reflexive coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} . If X is integral, the same holds for any torsion-free coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} .*

(2) *Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf and $I > 0$ an integer. For each $0 \leq i < I$, assume that $M_{\mathcal{F}(iL)}^{q_i}(iL)$ is a finitely generated $R(iL)$ -module where $q_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the smallest number satisfying $q_i I + i \geq p$. Then $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)$ is a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module.*

Proof. (1) The first statement: there is a surjective morphism $\bigoplus_{j=1}^r \mathcal{O}_X(-l_j) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^\vee$ where $l_j \gg 0$ and \vee stands for dual. Taking the dual of this morphism gives an injective morphism

$$\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^r \mathcal{O}_X(l_j)$$

which in turn gives an injective map $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L) \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{E}}^p(L)$. By assumptions, $M_{\mathcal{E}}^p(L)$ is finitely generated over $R(L)$ which in particular means that $M_{\mathcal{E}}^p(L)$ is Noetherian as $R(L)$ is Noetherian. Therefore, each submodule of $M_{\mathcal{E}}^p(L)$ is also finitely generated over $R(L)$, in particular, $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)$.

The second statement: since X is integral, if \mathcal{F} is any torsion-free coherent sheaf, the natural morphism $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee}$ is injective (cf. [8][11, Lemma 2.5]). So, we get an injective map $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L) \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee}}^p(L)$ and the claim follows from the first statement as $\mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee}$ is a reflexive sheaf.

(2) We can write $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L) \simeq N_0 \oplus N_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus N_{I-1}$ as in Remark 2.3. Let N'_i be the module over $R(IL)$ whose n -th degree summand is just $N_{nI+i, i} = M_{nI+i}$. In fact, $N'_i = M_{\mathcal{F}(iL)}^{q_i}(IL)$ where $q_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the smallest number satisfying $q_i I + i \geq p$. By assumptions, N'_i is a finitely generated $R(IL)$ -module. Therefore, N_i is a finitely generated $R(L)^{[I]}$ -module and we can use Remark 2.3. Note that the degree m elements of $R(IL)$ are the same as the degree mI elements of $R(L)^{[I]}$, and the degree n elements of N'_i are the same as the degree $nI + i$ elements of N_i . □

Example 2.6 In this example, we show that Theorem 2.5 (1) does not hold if we drop the reflexive and torsion-free properties. Take a Lefschetz pencil on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ where $n \geq 2$, blow up the base locus to get $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$, and let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ be the corresponding Lefschetz fibration. Let G be a very ample divisor on X and L the effective exceptional/ $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ divisor satisfying $g^*g_*G = G + L$. Since L is exceptional and effective, we have

$$R(L) = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \cdots$$

which is a finitely generated \mathbb{C} -algebra. Moreover, for each $m > 0$,

$$H^0(X, mL + G) = H^0(X, (m-1)L + g^*g_*G) = H^0(X, g^*g_*G)$$

which is independent of m hence $M_G^0(L)$ is a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module.

On the other hand, if F is a general fibre of f , then $g^*g_*F = F + E$ for some effective exceptional divisor E so $E|_F = g^*g_*F|_F$ is a big divisor. The support of L contains the support of E hence $L|_F$ is also a big divisor. Now put $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_F$ which is not reflexive nor torsion-free. Then, for each m we have

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{F}(mL)) = H^0(F, \mathcal{O}_F(mL))$$

and its dimension grows like m^{n-1} as $L|_F$ is big. This implies that $M_{\mathcal{F}}^0(L)$ is not a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module. In fact, fix a positive integer e and let N be the submodule of $M_{\mathcal{F}}^0(L)$ generated by the elements of degree $\leq e$. Then, for any $m \gg 0$, the degree m homogeneous piece of N is a \mathbb{C} -vector space of dimension at most

$$h^0(F, \mathcal{O}_F) + h^0(F, \mathcal{O}_F(L)) + \cdots + h^0(F, \mathcal{O}_F(eL))$$

which cannot grow like m^{n-1} .

Theorem 2.7. *Let X be a projective scheme over a Noetherian ring A and assume that L is a Cartier divisor such that $R(L)$ is a finitely generated A -algebra. Moreover, suppose that $IL \sim E + G$ for some positive integer I where E is effective and G is ample over $\text{Spec } A$. Then, $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)$ is a finitely generated*

$R(L)$ -module for every p and every reflexive coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} . If X is integral, the same holds for any torsion-free coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} .

Proof. We may assume that G is very ample over $\text{Spec } A$. By Theorem 2.5 (1), it is enough to verify the finite generation of $M_{lG}^p(L)$ where $l \gg 0$. Since $lIL \sim lE + lG$ and lE is effective, there is an injective map

$$M_{lG}^p(L) \rightarrow M_{lE+lG}^p(L) \simeq M_{lIL}^p(L)$$

By Lemma 2.4, it is enough to show that $M_{lIL}^{-lI}(L)$ is a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module. Now the elements of degree $-lI$ are $H^0(X, -lIL + lIL) = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ which contains $1 \in \mathcal{O}_X(X)$. If $\alpha \in M_{lIL}^{-lI}(L)$ is a homogeneous element of degree $m \geq -lI$, that is, an element of $H^0(X, mL + lIL)$, then $\alpha = \alpha \cdot 1$ where we consider the second α as an element of $R(L)$ of degree $m + lI$ and we consider 1 as an element of $M_{lIL}^{-lI}(L)$ of degree $-lI$. So, $M_{lIL}^{-lI}(L)$ is generated over $R(L)$ by the element 1 of degree $-lI$. \square

3. INDUCTIVE FINITE GENERATION OF DIVISORIAL ALGEBRAS AND MODULES

Let X be a projective scheme over a Noetherian ring A , and let L be a Cartier divisor on X . One of the main ideas that one might use to prove that $R(L)$ is a finitely generated A -algebra, is by induction on dimension, that is, restriction to subschemes. For each closed subscheme S of X we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_S(mL) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(mL) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(mL) \rightarrow 0$$

which gives the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{I}_S(mL)) \rightarrow R(L) = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mL)) \xrightarrow{\phi} \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(mL))$$

We can try to use such sequences for the induction process. However, we face two main issues here: we need the finite generation of the image of ϕ and we need to relate the kernel of ϕ to the finite generation of $R(L)$. If we denote the image of ϕ by $R(L)|_S$, then we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{I}_S}^0(L) \rightarrow R(L) \rightarrow R(L)|_S \rightarrow 0$$

In this section, we show that the theory of divisorial modules provides a convenient way for dealing with the kernel issue. The main idea is to consider $M_{\mathcal{I}_S}^0(L)$ as an $R(L)$ -module. Assume that $M_{\mathcal{I}_S}^0(L)$ has no non-trivial elements of degree zero, i.e. $H^0(X, \mathcal{I}_S) = 0$. Then, $R(L)$ is a finitely generated A -algebra iff $R(L)|_S$ is a finitely generated A -algebra and $M_{\mathcal{I}_S}^0(L)$ is a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module (see Lemma 3.1). Needless to say, if we take S to be arbitrary we should not expect to get much information from the above sequences. Instead, one has to choose the S carefully.

Now let \mathcal{F} be an \mathcal{O}_X -module. The exact sequence

$$\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I}_S(mL) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(mL) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(mL) \rightarrow 0$$

induces a map

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(mL)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(mL))$$

hence a map $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L) \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S}^p(L)$ of $R(L)$ -modules. We denote the image of the latter map by $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)|_S$ and call it the restriction of $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)$ to S . Note that $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)|_S$ is a module over $R(L)|_S$. If \mathcal{F} is locally free, then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I}_S}^p(L) \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L) \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)|_S \rightarrow 0$$

Now assume in addition that $S = L$ is an effective Cartier divisor. Then, $\mathcal{O}_X(-L) \simeq \mathcal{I}_L$ hence there is an injective morphism $\mathcal{O}_X(-L) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ whose image is \mathcal{I}_L . We then get an injective morphism $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(L)$. Let $\alpha \in H^0(X, L)$ be the image of $1 \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Then, α is a homogeneous element of $R(L)$ of degree one, and in the above exact sequence we can replace $M_{\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I}_S}^p(L) \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)$ with the map $M_{\mathcal{F}(-L)}^p(L) \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)$ which is given by multiplication with α .

Lemma 3.1. *Let A be a Noetherian ring and let*

$$\phi: R = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} R_m \rightarrow T = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} T_m$$

be a surjective graded homomorphism of graded A -algebras. Assume further that $R_0 \rightarrow T_0$ is an isomorphism. Let $K = \ker \phi$. Then, R is a finitely generated A -algebra iff T is a finitely generated A -algebra and K is a finitely generated R -module.

Proof. If R is a finitely generated A -algebra, then the claim is obvious as R would be Noetherian. Conversely, assume that T is a finitely generated A -algebra and $K = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} K_m$ is a finitely generated R -module. We may assume that $K \neq 0$. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ be homogeneous elements of R mapping to a set of generators of T over A , and let β_1, \dots, β_s be a set of non-zero homogeneous generators of K over R . Since $R_0 \rightarrow T_0$ is an isomorphism, $K_0 = 0$, hence $\deg \beta_i > 0$ for every i . Moreover, $R_0 \simeq T_0$ is a finitely generated A -module hence we could assume that $A = R_0$.

We will prove that R is generated as an A -algebra by the elements α_i and β_j . We prove the claim by induction on degree. Pick $\gamma \in R$ homogeneous of degree m and assume that the claim is true for elements of degree $< m$. Then, $\gamma = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2$ where γ_i are homogeneous of degree m , γ_1 is a polynomial over A in the α_i , and $\gamma_2 \in K$. We can write $\gamma_2 = \sum a_i \beta_i$ where $a_i \in R$ are homogeneous and $\deg a_i \beta_i = m$ for each i . In particular, $\deg a_i < m$ because $\deg \beta_i > 0$. By induction, each a_i is a polynomial over A in the α_i and β_j . Therefore, the same holds for γ .

□

Lemma 3.2. *Let R be a ring and $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ an exact sequence of R -modules. If M' and M'' are finitely generated over R , then M is also finitely generated over R .*

Proof. We can think of M' as a submodule of M . Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ be elements of M whose images generate M'' over R , and let β_1, \dots, β_t be generators of M' . Each $\gamma \in M$ can be written as $\gamma = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2$ where γ_2 belongs to the submodule generated by the α_i and $\gamma_1 \in M'$. But then γ belongs to the submodule generated by the α_i and β_j . □

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a projective scheme over a Noetherian ring A and $L = \sum l_i L_i$ a Cartier divisor where $l_i > 0$ are integers and $L_i \neq 0$ are effective Cartier divisors. Let \mathcal{F} be a locally free coherent sheaf on X . Assume that, for each $S = L_j$, the restriction $M_{\mathcal{F}(-C)}^p(L)|_S$ is a finitely generated $R(L)|_S$ -module for any $C = \sum c_i L_i$ where $c_i \in [0, l_i]$ are integers and $c_j < l_j$. Then, $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)$ is a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module.*

Proof. Let $C = \sum c_i L_i$ where $0 \leq c_i \leq l_i$ are integers. Assume that in the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{F}(-C)}^p(L) \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L) \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)|_C \rightarrow 0$$

the module $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)|_C$ is a finitely generated $R(L)|_C$ -module. The surjection $R(L) \rightarrow R(L)|_C$ makes $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)|_C$ into a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module. We increase C inductively so we could have started with $C = 0$ at the first step. Assume that $C \neq L$ and let $S = L_j$ such that $c_j < l_j$. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{F}(-C-S)}^p(L) \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{F}(-C)}^p(L) \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{F}(-C)}^p(L)|_S \rightarrow 0$$

where by assumptions $M_{\mathcal{F}(-C)}^p(L)|_S$ is a finitely generated $R(L)|_S$ -module hence a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module. By induction and by Lemma 3.2, the module in the middle in the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{M_{\mathcal{F}(-C)}^p(L)}{M_{\mathcal{F}(-C-S)}^p(L)} \rightarrow \frac{M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)}{M_{\mathcal{F}(-C-S)}^p(L)} \rightarrow \frac{M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)}{M_{\mathcal{F}(-C)}^p(L)} \rightarrow 0$$

is finitely generated over $R(L)$ which in turn implies that in the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{F}(-C-S)}^p(L) \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L) \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)|_{C+S} \rightarrow 0$$

the module $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)|_{C+S}$ is a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module. By continuing this process we reach the situation $C + S = L$. In particular, we deduce that in the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{F}(-L)}^p(L) \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L) \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)|_L \rightarrow 0$$

the module $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)|_L$ is a finitely generated $R(L)|_L$ -module hence a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module. Suppose that it is generated by the images of homogeneous elements $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r \in M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)$. Let N be the submodule of $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)$ generated by these elements.

Pick a homogeneous element $\beta \in M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)$ of degree m . Then, we can write $\beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2$ where β_1 is homogeneous of degree m in N , and β_2 is in the image of the map $M_{\mathcal{F}(-L)}^p(L) \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)$. But this map is nothing but multiplication with α for some $\alpha \in H^0(X, L)$. Thus, $\beta_2 = \alpha \cdot \beta_3$ where β_3 is a homogeneous element of $M_{\mathcal{F}(-L)}^p(L)$ of degree m , that is,

$$\beta_2 \in H^0(X, \mathcal{F}(-L)(mL)) \simeq H^0(X, \mathcal{F}((m-1)L))$$

Since the degree $m-1$ elements of $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)$ are just $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}((m-1)L))$, we can consider β_3 as an element of $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)$ of degree $m-1$. We can repeat this process with β_3 in place of β and at the end write β as $\omega_1 + \omega_2$ where $\omega_1 \in N$ and ω_2 has bounded degree. This implies the claimed finite generation. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let X be a projective scheme over a Noetherian ring A and $L = \sum l_i L_i$ a Cartier divisor where $l_i > 0$ are integers and $L_i \neq 0$ are effective Cartier divisors. Assume further that $H^0(X, -L_1) = 0$. Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $R(L)$ is a finitely generated A -algebra;
- (2) $R(L)|_{L_1}$ is a finitely generated A -algebra, and for each $S = L_j$, the restriction $M_{-C}^0(L)|_S$ is a finitely generated $R(L)|_S$ -module for any $C = \sum c_i L_i$ where $c_i \in [0, l_i]$ are integers and $c_j < l_j$.

Proof. (1) \implies (2): Since $R(L)$ is a finitely generated A -algebra, it is a Noetherian ring. Obviously, each restriction $R(L)|_S$ is a finitely generated A -algebra. On the other hand, for each C as in the theorem, $M_{-C}^0(L)$ is isomorphic to an ideal of $R(L)$ hence it is a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module which in turn implies that $M_{-C}^0(L)|_S$ is a finitely generated $R(L)|_S$ -module.

(2) \implies (1): Let $S = L_1$ and consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_{-S}^0(L) \rightarrow R(L) \rightarrow R(L)|_S \rightarrow 0$$

Since $H^0(X, -L_1) = 0$, $H^0(X, 0) \rightarrow H^0(S, 0)$ is injective hence $R(L) \rightarrow R(L)|_S$ is an isomorphism in degree zero. Thus, by Lemma 3.1, $R(L)$ is a finitely generated A -algebra iff $M_{-S}^0(L)$ is a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module because we have assumed that $R(L)|_S$ is a finitely generated A -algebra. For the rest we argue similar to the proof of Theorem 3.3.

Let $C = \sum c_i L_i$ where $0 \leq c_i \leq l_i$ are integers. Assume that we have already proved that $M_{-L_1}^0(L)$ is a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module iff $M_{-C}^0(L)$ is a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module. We increase C inductively so we could have started with $C = L_1$ at the first step. Assume that $C \neq L$ and let $S = L_j$ such that $c_j < l_j$. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_{-C-S}^0(L) \rightarrow M_{-C}^0(L) \rightarrow M_{-C}^0(L)|_S \rightarrow 0$$

where by assumptions $M_{-C}^0(L)|_S$ is a finitely generated $R(L)|_S$ -module hence a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module. Thus, $M_{-L_1}^0(L)$ is a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module iff $M_{-C}^0(L)$ is a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module iff $M_{-C-S}^0(L)$ is a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module. We continue this until we reach the situation $L =$

$C + S$. In that case, $M_{-L_1}^0(L)$ is a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module iff $M_{-L}^0(L)$ is a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module. But $M_{-L}^0(L)$ is generated by the element $1 \in M_{-L}^0(L)$ of degree one. \square

Theorem 3.5. *Let X be a projective scheme over a Noetherian ring A and $L = \sum l_i L_i$ a Cartier divisor where $l_i > 0$ are integers and $L_i \neq 0$ are effective Cartier divisors. Assume that $H^0(X, -L_1) = 0$. Fix an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ very ample over $\text{Spec } A$. Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $R(L)$ is a finitely generated A -algebra and $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)$ is a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module for any reflexive coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} and any p ;
- (2) for each $S = L_j$, the restriction $R(L)|_S$ is a finitely generated A -algebra, and the restriction $M_{\mathcal{O}_X(l)}^0(L)|_S$ is a finitely generated $R(L)|_S$ -module for any $l \gg 0$.

Proof. (1) \implies (2): Obvious. We prove the converse. Let \mathcal{P} be any coherent locally free sheaf on X . There is a surjective morphism $\pi: \bigoplus_1^n \mathcal{O}_X(-l_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^\vee$ where $l_i \gg 0$. Put $\mathcal{E}_1 = \bigoplus_1^n \mathcal{O}_X(l_i)$. The kernel of π is also locally free as \mathcal{P}^\vee and \mathcal{E}_1^\vee are both locally free. Assume that the kernel is \mathcal{E}_2^\vee . Then, by dualising we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow 0$$

If \mathcal{G} is any coherent sheaf on X , then the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0$$

is again exact (cf. [3, Theorem 3.1.8]).

Now let $S = L_j$ for some j . Then, the horizontal arrows in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}(mL) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}_1(mL) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{P}(mL) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_S & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}_1(mL) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_S \end{array}$$

are injective hence $M_{\mathcal{P}}^0(L)|_S$ is a submodule of $M_{\mathcal{E}_1}^0(L)|_S$. By our assumptions, $M_{\mathcal{E}_1}^0(L)|_S$ is a finitely generated $R(L)|_S$ -module. Therefore, $M_{\mathcal{P}}^0(L)|_S$ is also a finitely generated $R(L)|_S$ -module as $R(L)|_S$ is Noetherian by assumptions. Now simply apply Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.3 to prove that $R(L)$ is a finitely generated A -algebra and to show that $M_{\mathcal{F}}^0(L)$ is a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module for \mathcal{F} locally free, in particular, for \mathcal{F} of the form $\mathcal{O}_X(l)$. Finally, apply Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 2.4 to get the claim for any reflexive coherent \mathcal{F} and any p . \square

Remark 3.6 In Theorem 3.3, we use the successive restrictions to the various L_i in order to prove that in the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{F}(-L)}^p(L) \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L) \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)|_L \rightarrow 0$$

the restriction $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)|_L$ is a finitely generated $R(L)|_L$ -module. In practice, it might be easier to verify finite generation of the restrictions on the L_i rather than directly on L , eg when L_i are prime divisors on a normal variety X over an algebraically closed field. So, it is crucial to allow the extra flexibility. Similarly, in Theorem 3.4, we could use the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_{-L}^0(L) \rightarrow R(L) \rightarrow R(L)|_L \rightarrow 0$$

where if $R(L)|_L$ is a finitely generated A -algebra, then $R(L)$ would also be a finitely generated A -algebra as can be easily checked. But again it is probably easier to verify finite generation on the L_i rather directly on L .

Remark 3.7 Let X be a normal variety over an algebraically closed field k . We can interpret sections of divisors on X as rational functions. For example if D is a Weil divisor on X , then we can describe $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ in a canonical way as

$$\mathcal{O}_X(D)(U) = \{f \in K(X) \mid (f) + D|_U \geq 0\}$$

where U is an open subset of X and $K(X)$ is the function field of X . If $D' \sim D$, then of course $\mathcal{O}_X(D') \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ but these sheaves are not identical, i.e. they have different embedding in the constant sheaf associated to $K(X)$. To restrict the rational functions in $H^0(X, D) = \mathcal{O}_X(D)(X)$ to a prime divisor S we usually need to move D to get it right. It is enough to choose $D' \sim D$ so that S is not a component of D' . In this case, the rational functions in $H^0(X, D')$ have no poles along S hence they can be restricted to S . Moreover, if $D'' \sim D$ is another divisor whose support does not contain S , then the restrictions of $H^0(X, D')$ and $H^0(X, D'')$ to S are isomorphic as k -vector spaces.

Example 3.8 In this example, we illustrate Theorem 3.4 in a more familiar setting. Let X be a smooth projective variety over an algebraically closed field k . Assume that $L = L_1 + 2L_2$ where L_1, L_2 are distinct prime divisors. Let $L'_i \sim L_i$ so that L_1, L_2, L'_1, L'_2 are pairwise with no common components. Let $L' = L'_1 + 2L'_2$. Obviously, $R(L)$ is a finitely generated k -algebra iff $R(L')$ is so. Assume that the restriction of $R(L')$ to L_1 is a finitely generated k -algebra. We have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_{-L_1}^0(L') \rightarrow R(L') \rightarrow R(L')|_{L_1} \rightarrow 0$$

According to Lemma 3.1, $R(L')$ is a finitely generated k -algebra iff $M_{-L_1}^0(L')$ is a finitely generated $R(L')$ -module.

Now $M_{-L_1}^0(L') \simeq M_{-L'_1}^0(L')$ and we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_{-L'_1-L_2}^0(L') \rightarrow M_{-L'_1}^0(L') \rightarrow M_{-L'_1}^0(L')|_{L_2} \rightarrow 0$$

So, assuming that $M_{-L'_1}^0(L')|_{L_2}$ is a finitely generated module over the restriction $R(L')|_{L_2}$, $M_{-L'_1}^0(L')$ is a finitely generated $R(L')$ -module iff $M_{-L'_1-L_2}^0(L')$ is a finitely generated $R(L')$ -module. Moreover, $M_{-L'_1-L_2}^0(L') \simeq M_{-L'_1-L'_2}^0(L')$ and we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_{-L'_1-L'_2-L_2}^0(L') \rightarrow M_{-L'_1-L'_2}^0(L') \rightarrow M_{-L'_1-L'_2}^0(L')|_{L_2} \rightarrow 0$$

So, assuming that $M_{-L'_1-L'_2}^0(L')|_{L_2}$ is finitely generated over $R(L')|_{L_2}$, $M_{-L'_1-L'_2}^0(L')$ is a finitely generated $R(L')$ -module iff $M_{-L'_1-L'_2-L_2}^0(L')$ is a finitely generated $R(L')$ -module. Finally, note that

$$M_{-L'_1-L'_2-L_2}^0(L') \simeq M_{-L'_1-2L'_2}^0(L') = M_{-L'}^0(L')$$

and that $M_{-L'}^0(L')$ is finitely generated as an $R(L')$ -module because if $\beta \in M_{-L'}^0(L')$ is homogeneous of degree $m > 0$, then $\beta = \beta \cdot 1$ where the β on the right hand side is considered as an element of $R(L')$ of degree $m - 1$ and the 1 is considered as an element of $M_{-L'}^0(L')$ of degree 1. So, we have the desired finite generation.

Remark 3.9 Let (X, B) be a projective log smooth dlt pair over \mathbb{C} , and B rational. Assume that $I(K_X + B)$ is integral for some positive integer I . Moreover, assume that $I(K_X + B) \sim L = \sum l_i L_i \geq 0$ where L_i are prime divisors. Let $S = L_j$ for some j . To prove that $R(L)|_S$ is a finitely generated algebra, one can hope to somehow relate it to the algebra $R(L|_S)$. This is difficult to achieve for arbitrary S . However, if S is a component of $[B]$, then it is expected that finite generation of $R(L)|_S$ is closely linked with the finite generation of $R(L|_S)$ because $L|_S \sim I(K_S + B_S) = I(K_X + B)|_S$ where (S, B_S) is dlt. The ideal situation is when every component of L is a component of $[B]$ (i.e. $\theta(X, B, L) = 0$ with the notation in [2, Definition 2.1]).

In general, when $\theta(X, B, L) \neq 0$, one can increase B to create $\bar{B} \geq B$ so that

$$\text{Supp}(\bar{B} - B) \subseteq \text{Supp} L \subseteq \text{Supp} [\bar{B}]$$

in particular $\theta(X, \bar{B}, \bar{L}) = 0$ where $\bar{L} \sim I(K_X + \bar{B})$ and $\text{Supp} \bar{L} = \text{Supp} L$. One could first try to prove that $R(\bar{L})$ is finitely generated and then try to chase the finite generation back to $R(L)$. This process is one of the main techniques employed in the papers [5] [4][2][6] in the context of the minimal model program.

4. LOG CANONICAL MODULES AND MINIMAL MODELS

Set up. In this section, we work with quasi-projective varieties over $k = \mathbb{C}$ unless stated otherwise. Throughout the section, we let $X \rightarrow Z = \text{Spec} A$ be a projective morphism of normal varieties over k with Z being *affine*. In some places we take a boundary B on X .

We use the notion and notation of pairs and log minimal models as in [2]. We use the numerical Kodaira dimension κ_σ as introduced by Nakayama [10]. Let D be a Weil divisor on X . If $h^0(X, D) \neq 0$, we let $\text{Fix} D$ to be the largest effective Weil divisor satisfying $\text{Fix} D \leq D'$ for any effective divisor $D' \sim D$. We let the movable part of D to be $\text{Mov} D = D - \text{Fix} D$. In particular, $H^0(X, \text{Mov} D) = H^0(X, D)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that $(X/Z, B)$ is lc and $L := I(K_X + B)$ is Cartier for some integer $I > 0$. Assume further that $(X/Z, B)$ has a log minimal model $(Y/Z, B_Y)$ on which $|I(K_Y + B_Y)|$ is base point free. Then, $R(L)$ is a finitely generated A -algebra, and $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)$ is a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module for any p and any torsion-free coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} .*

Proof. Let $f: W \rightarrow X$ and $g: W \rightarrow Y$ be a common resolution. Then, we can write $f^*I(K_X + B) = g^*I(K_Y + B_Y) + E$ where $E \geq 0$ and exceptional/ Y [2, Remark 2.4]. Then, by letting $L_Y := I(K_Y + B_Y)$ we have $R(L) \simeq R(L_Y)$ as A -algebras and this is a finitely generated A -algebra as $|L_Y|$ is base point free by assumptions. In particular, the above ring is Noetherian. Let \mathcal{G} be any torsion-free coherent sheaf on Y and let $\pi: Y \rightarrow T/Z$ be the contraction defined by $|L_Y|$. There is a very ample/ Z divisor N on T such that $L_Y \sim \pi^*N$. Then, by the projection formula

$$\pi_*(\mathcal{G}(mL_Y)) \simeq (\pi_*\mathcal{G})(mN)$$

hence

$$H^0(Y, \mathcal{G}(mL_Y)) \simeq H^0(T, (\pi_*\mathcal{G})(mN))$$

so $R(L_Y) \simeq R(N)$ as A -algebras and $M_{\mathcal{G}}^p(L_Y) \simeq M_{\pi_*\mathcal{G}}^p(N)$ as modules. By Theorem 2.7, $M_{\pi_*\mathcal{G}}^p(N)$ is a finitely generated $R(N)$ -module hence $M_{\mathcal{G}}^p(L_Y)$ is a finitely generated $R(L_Y)$ -module.

Now we prove the finite generation of $M_{\mathcal{F}}^p(L)$. By Theorem 2.5 (1), we may assume that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_X(G)$ where G is some very ample/ Z divisor. We have isomorphisms

$$H^0(X, mL + G) \simeq H^0(W, f^*mL + f^*G)$$

and this is isomorphic to a subspace of $H^0(Y, mL_Y + g_*f^*G)$. So, $M_G^p(L)$ is isomorphic to a submodule of $M_{g_*f^*G}^p(L_Y)$. Therefore, $M_G^p(L)$ is a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module as $M_{g_*f^*G}^p(L_Y)$ is a finitely generated $R(L_Y)$ -module. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Assume that $Z = \text{pt}$ and L is a Cartier divisor on X . Assume that for any very ample divisor G the module $M_G^0(L)$ is finitely generated over $R(L)$. Then, abundance holds for L , that is, $\kappa(L) = \kappa_\sigma(L)$.*

Proof. The inequality $\kappa(L) \leq \kappa_\sigma(L)$ follows from the fact that $\kappa(L) = \kappa(JL)$ and $\kappa_\sigma(L) = \kappa_\sigma(JL)$ for any positive integer J and the fact that for some J and certain constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ we have

$$c_1 m^{\kappa(L)} \leq h^0(X, mL) \leq c_2 m^{\kappa_\sigma(L)}$$

for any $m \gg 0$.

For the converse $\kappa(L) \geq \kappa_\sigma(L)$, we may assume that $\kappa_\sigma(L) \geq 0$ and we can choose a very ample divisor G so that $\kappa_\sigma(L)$ satisfies

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{h^0(X, mL + G)}{m^{\kappa_\sigma(L)}} > 0$$

By assumptions, $M_G^0(L)$ is a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module. Let $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ be a set of generators of homogeneous elements with $n_i := \deg \alpha_i$. For any $\alpha \in M_G^0(L)$ of degree m , there are homogeneous elements $a_i \in R(L)$ such that $\alpha = \sum_i a_i \alpha_i$. It is clear that $\deg a_i = m - n_i$. Thus,

$$h^0(X, (m - n_1)L) + \dots + h^0(X, (m - n_r)L) \geq h^0(X, mL + G)$$

which implies that

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{h^0(X, (m - n_1)L) + \dots + h^0(X, (m - n_r)L)}{m^{\kappa_\sigma(L)}} > 0$$

hence $\kappa(L) \geq \kappa_\sigma(L)$. □

The following theorem is well-known (cf. [12]).

Theorem 4.3. *Let L be a Cartier divisor on X with $h^0(X, nL) \neq 0$ for some $n > 0$. Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *$R(L)$ is a finitely generated A -algebra;*
- (2) *there exist a projective birational morphism $f: W \rightarrow X$ from a smooth variety, a positive integer J , and Cartier divisors E and F such that $|F|$ is base point free, and*

$$\text{Mov } f^*mJL = mF \text{ and } \text{Fix } f^*mJL = mE$$

for every positive integer m .

Proof. Assume that $R(L)$ is a finitely generated A -algebra. Perhaps after replacing L with JL for some positive integer J , we may assume that the algebra $R(L)$ is generated by elements $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ of degree 1, and that there is a resolution $f: W \rightarrow X$ on which $f^*L = F + E$ where F is free, $\text{Mov } f^*L = F$, and $\text{Fix } f^*L = E$. We could in addition assume that $F \geq 0$ with no common components with E . Obviously, $\text{Fix } mf^*L \leq mE$ for any $m > 0$. Suppose that equality does not hold for some $m > 0$. Take $m > 0$ minimal with this property. There is $\alpha \in H^0(W, mf^*L)$ and a component S of E such that $\mu_S(\alpha) < 0$ where μ stands for multiplicity, that is, the coefficient and (α) is the divisor associated to the rational function α . Since $E = \text{Fix } f^*L$, $m > 1$. By assumptions, $\alpha = \sum a_i \alpha_i$ where a_i are elements of $H^0(W, (m - 1)f^*L)$. Thus,

$$\mu_S(\alpha) \geq \min\{\mu_S(a_i) + \mu_S(\alpha_i)\}$$

Assume that the minimum is equal to $\mu_S(a_j) + \mu_S(\alpha_j)$ for some j . Since $E = \text{Fix } f^*L$, we have $\mu_S(\alpha_j) \geq 0$ hence $0 > \mu_S(a_j) + \mu_S(\alpha_j) \geq \mu_S(\alpha_j)$. This is a contradiction since the minimality of m ensures that $\mu_S(a_j) \geq 0$.

Conversely, assume that there exist $f: W \rightarrow X$, J , E , and F as in the theorem. Then, $R(JL) \simeq R(f^*JL) \simeq R(F)$ is a finitely generated A -algebra as $|F|$ is base point free. This implies that $R(L)$ is a finitely generated A -algebra by the so-called truncation principle [12, Theorem 4.6]. □

Theorem 4.4. *Let L be a Cartier divisor on X with $h^0(X, nL) \neq 0$ for some $n > 0$ and that $R(L)$ is a finitely generated A -algebra. Assume further that $M_{G'}^0(L)$ is a finitely generated $R(L)$ -module for any very ample/ Z divisor G' . Let f, W, F, E, J be as in Theorem 4.3. Fix a nonnegative integer r and a very ample/ Z divisor G on W . Then,*

$$\text{Supp Fix}(m(f^* JL + rF) + G) = \text{Supp } E$$

for every integer $m \gg 0$.

Proof. Let G' be a very ample/ Z divisor on X such that $G \leq f^* G'$. By assumptions, $R(L)$ is a Noetherian ring and $M = M_{G'}^0(L)$ is a Noetherian $R(L)$ -module. Moreover, $R(L)$ is integral over the ring $R(L)^{[J]}$ which implies that M is a finitely generated $R(L)^{[J]}$ -module. Put $N_0 = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} N_{m,0}$ where $N_{m,0} = M_m$ if $J|m$ but $N_{m,0} = 0$ otherwise, as in Remark 2.3. Since N_0 is an $R(L)^{[J]}$ -submodule, it is finitely generated over $R(L)^{[J]}$. This corresponds to saying that $M_{G'}^0(JL)$ is a finitely generated $R(JL)$ -module. On the other hand, $M_{G'}^0(f^* JL)$ is a submodule of $M_{f^* G'}^0(f^* JL)$ hence a finitely generated $R(f^* JL)$ -module. Thus, after replacing L with $f^* JL$ and X with W we can assume that $J = 1$ and $W = X$. We may also assume that $F, G \geq 0$ and that $F + G$ has no common component with E .

Obviously,

$$\text{Supp Fix}(m(L + rF) + G) \subseteq \text{Supp } E$$

for every integer $m > 0$. Assume that there is a component S of E which does not belong to $\text{Supp Fix}(m(L + rF) + G)$ for some $m > 0$. Let $\alpha \in H^0(X, m(L + rF) + G)$ so that

$$S \not\subseteq \text{Supp}((\alpha) + m(L + rF) + G)$$

which in particular means that $\mu_S(\alpha) = -m\mu_S E$. Since

$$(m + mr)L + G = m(L + rF) + G + mrE$$

and $mE \geq 0$, there is α' in $M_G^0(L)$ of degree $m + mr$ such that $\alpha' = \alpha$ as rational functions on X .

Assume that $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ is a set of homogeneous generators of $M_G^0(L)$ with $n_i := \deg \alpha_i$. We can write $\alpha' = \sum a_i \alpha_i$ where $a_i \in R(L)$ is homogenous of degree $m + mr - n_i$. Therefore,

$$\mu_S(\alpha') \geq \min\{\mu_S(a_i) + \mu_S(\alpha_i)\}$$

Since

$$\text{Fix}(m + mr - n_i)L = (m + mr - n_i)E$$

we have $\mu_S(a_i) \geq 0$ hence if the above minimum is attained at index j , then

$$-m\mu_S E = \mu_S(\alpha) = \mu_S(\alpha') \geq \mu_S(\alpha_j)$$

from which we get $m\mu_S E \leq -\mu_S(\alpha_j)$. This means that such m cannot be too large so the theorem holds for $m \gg 0$. □

Next, using the results of [5], we can prove the converse of Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 4.5. *Assume that $(X/Z, B)$ is lc and assume that there is a positive integer I such that*

- (1) $L := I(K_X + B)$ is Cartier and pseudo-effective/ Z ,
- (2) $R(L)$ is a finitely generated A -algebra, and
- (3) for any very ample/ Z divisor G the module $M_G^0(L)$ is finitely generated over $R(L)$.

Then, there is a log minimal model $(Y/Z, B_Y)$ for $(X/Z, B)$ on which $K_Y + B_Y$ is semi-ample/ Z .

Proof. We may assume that $X \rightarrow Z$ is surjective. Let V be the generic fibre of $X \rightarrow Z$. As Z is affine, by base change theorems, $R(L|_V) \simeq R(L) \otimes_A K$ is a finitely generated K -algebra, and $M_{G|_V}^0(L|_V) \simeq M_G^0(L) \otimes_A K$ is a finitely generated $R(L|_V)$ -module where K is the function field of Z and G is any very ample/ Z divisor on X . By Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 4.2, $\kappa(L|_V) \geq 0$ which in particular implies that $h^0(X, nL) \neq 0$ for some $n > 0$.

Let f, W, E, F, J be as in Theorem 4.3. We may assume that f gives a log resolution of $(X/Z, B)$. Let B_W be B^\sim plus the reduced exceptional divisor of f where B^\sim is the birational transform of B . We can write

$$JI(K_W + B_W) = JIf^*(K_X + B) + E'$$

where $E' \geq 0$ is exceptional/ X . Pick any $\alpha \in H^0(W, mJI(K_W + B_W))$ and let

$$P := (\alpha) + mJI(K_W + B_W) = (\alpha) + mF + mE + mE'$$

Since $P - mE' \equiv 0/X$, $P - mE' \geq 0$ by the negativity lemma. On the other hand, $\text{Fix}(P - mE') = mE$ hence $P - mE' \geq mE$. This implies that

$$\text{Fix } mJI(K_W + B_W) = mE + mE' \text{ and } \text{Mov } mJI(K_W + B_W) = mF$$

It is enough to construct a log minimal model for $(W/Z, B_W)$ with the required properties. Note that $(W/Z, B_W)$ satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) of the theorem, automatically. Condition (3) is also preserved: if G is a very ample/ Z divisor on W , there is a very ample/ Z divisor G' on X such that $G \leq f^*G'$ hence $M_G^0(I(K_W + B_W))$ is a finitely generated $R(I(K_W + B_W))$ -module as it is a submodule of $M_{f^*G'}^0(I(K_W + B_W)) \simeq M_{G'}^0(I(K_X + B))$. Therefore, by replacing $(X/Z, B)$ with $(W/Z, B_W)$ from now on we can assume that $W = X$, f is the identity and $E' = 0$. Let $g: X \rightarrow T$ be the contraction/ Z defined by $|F|$.

Let F' be a general element of $|F|$. We can choose a very ample/ Z divisor $G \geq 0$ so that $K_X + B + rF' + G$ is nef/ Z and that $(X/Z, B + rF' + G)$ is dlt. Run the LMMP/ Z on $K_X + B + rF'$ with scaling of G . By boundedness of the length of extremal rays due to Kawamata, if r is a sufficiently large integer, then the LMMP is over T , i.e. only extremal rays over T are contracted. Suppose that, perhaps after some log flips and divisorial contractions, we get an infinite sequence of log flips $X_i \dashrightarrow X_i/Z_i$. Let λ_i be the numbers appearing in the LMMP with scaling in the above sequence of log flips, that is, $K_{X_i} + B_i + rF'_i + \lambda_i G_i$ is nef/ Z and numerically trivial over Z_i where B_i, F'_i, G_i are

birational transforms on X_i . By [5], $\lambda := \lim \lambda_i = 0$. Moreover, for each i , $K_{X_i} + B_i + rF'_i + \lambda_i G_i$ is semi-ample/ Z . Thus, if S is a component of E not contracted by the LMMP, then there exist

$$0 \leq N_i \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_X + B + rF' + \lambda_i G$$

not containing S . This contradicts Theorem 4.6 and Theorem 4.4. Therefore, E is contracted by the LMMP and $K_{X_i} + B_i + rF'_i$ is \mathbb{Q} -linearly a multiple of F'_i . But $|F'_i|$ is base point free as the LMMP we ran is over T . Thus, the LMMP terminates with a log minimal model satisfying the desired properties. \square

The following theorem was proved by Nakayama [10, Theorem 6.1.3]. He treated the case $Z = \text{pt}$ but his proof works for general Z . For convenience of the reader we present his proof.

Theorem 4.6. *Assume that $W \rightarrow Z = \text{Spec } A$ is a projective morphism from a smooth variety, $w \in W$ a closed point, and D a Cartier divisor on W . Assume further that for some effective divisor C there exist an infinite sequence of positive rational numbers $t_1 > t_2 > \dots$ with $\lim t_i = 0$, and effective \mathbb{Q} -divisors $N_i \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} D + t_i C$ with $w \notin \text{Supp } N_i$. Then, there is a very ample/ Z divisor G on W such that $w \notin \text{Bs } |mD + G|$ for any $m > 0$.*

Proof. Let $f: W' \rightarrow W$ be the blow up at w with E the exceptional divisor, $D' = f^*D$, $C' = f^*C$, and $N'_i = f^*N_i$. Let G be a very ample/ Z divisor on W such that $H := f^*G - K_{W'} - E$ is ample/ Z and $H - \epsilon C'$ is also ample/ Z for some $\epsilon > 0$. Put $G' = f^*G$. For each $m > 0$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} mD' + G' &= K_{W'} + E + H + mD' = K_{W'} + E + H - mt_i C' + m(t_i C' + D') \\ &\sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_{W'} + E + H - mt_i C' + mN'_i \end{aligned}$$

where we choose t_i so that $mt_i < \epsilon$. By assumptions, E does not intersect N'_i . Thus, the multiplier ideal sheaf \mathcal{I}_i of mN'_i is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{W'}$ near E . In particular, we have the natural exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_i(mD' + G' - E) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_i(mD' + G') \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E(mD' + G') \rightarrow 0$$

from which we derive the exact sequence

$$H^0(W', \mathcal{I}_i(mD' + G')) \rightarrow H^0(E, (mD' + G')|_E) \rightarrow H^1(W', \mathcal{I}_i(mD' + G' - E)) = 0$$

where the last vanishing follows from Nadel vanishing. On the other hand, $(mD' + G')|_E \sim 0$ hence some section of $\mathcal{I}_i(mD' + G')$ does not vanish on E . But

$$\mathcal{I}_i(mD' + G') \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{W'}(mD' + G')$$

so some section of $\mathcal{O}_{W'}(mD' + G')$ does not vanish on E which simply means that w is not in $\text{Bs } |mD + G|$. \square

The next result is useful for inductive treatment of finite generation as illustrated in [1].

Theorem 4.7. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y/Z$ be a surjective morphism of normal varieties, projective over an affine variety $Z = \text{Spec } R$, and L a Cartier divisor on Y . If $R(X/Z, f^*L)$ is a finitely generated R -algebra, then $R(Y/Z, L)$ is also a finitely generated R -algebra.*

Proof. If f is a contraction, the claim is trivial by the projection formula. So, replacing f by the finite part of the Stein factorisation we may assume that f is finite. We have a natural injective \mathcal{O}_Y -morphism $\phi: \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X$. Moreover, since we work over \mathbb{C} , we also have a \mathcal{O}_Y -morphism $\psi: f_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y$ given by $\frac{1}{\deg f} \text{Trace}_{X/Y}$ such that $\psi\phi$ is the identity morphism on \mathcal{O}_Y (cf. [9, Proposition 5.7]). We actually have a splitting $f_*\mathcal{O}_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_Y \oplus \mathcal{F}$ such that ϕ corresponds to the natural injection and ψ to the first projection. So, for each $m > 0$, we have induced maps

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(mL)) &\rightarrow H^0(Y, (f_*\mathcal{O}_X)(mL)) \simeq H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mf^*L)) \\ H^0(Y, (f_*\mathcal{O}_X)(mL)) &\simeq H^0(X, (\mathcal{O}_X(mf^*L)) \rightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(mL)) \end{aligned}$$

where the upper map is injective and the lower map is surjective. Thus, we get an injection $\pi: R(Y/Z, L) \rightarrow R(X/Z, f^*L)$ and a surjection $\mu: R(X/Z, f^*L) \rightarrow R(Y/Z, L)$ whose composition gives the identity map on $R(Y/Z, L)$. Here, π is an $R(Y/Z, L)$ -algebra homomorphism but μ is only an $R(Y/Z, L)$ -module homomorphism. Let I be an ideal of $R(Y/Z, L)$ and let I' be the ideal of $R(X/Z, f^*L)$ generated by $\pi(I)$. We show that $\pi^{-1}I' = I$. Let $c \in \pi^{-1}I'$. We can write $\pi(c) = \sum \pi(a_i)\alpha_i$ where $a_i \in I$ and $\alpha_i \in R(X/Z, f^*L)$. Now,

$$c = \mu(\pi(c)) = \mu\left(\sum \pi(a_i)\alpha_i\right) = \sum \mu(\pi(a_i))\mu(\alpha_i) = \sum a_i\mu(\alpha_i)$$

hence c is in the ideal I .

Assume that $R(X/Z, f^*L)$ is a finitely generated R -algebra. Then, $R(X/Z, f^*L)$ is Noetherian. Let $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots$ be a chain of ideals of $R(Y/Z, L)$ and let $I'_1 \subseteq I'_2 \subseteq \dots$ be the corresponding chain of ideals in $R(X/Z, f^*L)$. Since $R(X/Z, f^*L)$ is Noetherian, the latter chain stabilises which implies that the former sequence also stabilises as $\pi^{-1}I'_j = I_j$. Therefore, $R(Y/Z, L)$ is Noetherian which is equivalent to saying that it is a finitely generated R -algebra because $R(Y/Z, L)$ is a graded R -algebra and the zero-degree piece of $R(Y/Z, L)$ is a finitely generated R -algebra. □

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Birkar; *Existence of log canonical flips and a special LMMP*. arXiv:1104.4981v1.
- [2] C. Birkar; *On existence of log minimal models and weak Zariski decompositions*. arXiv:0907.5182v1. To appear in Math. Annalen.
- [3] C. Birkar; *Topics in algebraic geometry*. Lecture notes of a graduate course. arXiv:1104.5035v1
- [4] C. Birkar; *On existence of log minimal models*. Compositio Math. volume 145 (2009), 1442-1446.
- [5] C. Birkar, P. Cascini, C. Hacon, J. McKernan; *Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 23 (2010), 405-468.

- [6] C. Birkar, M. Păun; *Minimal models, flips and finite generation : a tribute to V.V. SHOKUROV and Y.-T. SIU*. In "Classification of algebraic varieties", European Math Society series of congress reports (2010).
- [7] J. Dieudonné, A. Grothendieck; *Éléments de géométrie algébrique, EGA III-1*. Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS. Available online.
- [8] R. Hartshorne; *Generalized divisors on Gorenstein schemes*. K-theory 8 (1994), 287-339.
- [9] J. Kollár, S. Mori; *Birational Geometry of Algebraic Varieties*. Cambridge University Press (1998).
- [10] N. Nakayama; *Zariski decomposition and abundance*. MSJ Memoirs 14, Tokyo (2004).
- [11] K. Schwede; *Generalized divisors and reflexive sheaves*.
- [12] V.V. Shokurov; *Prelimiting flips*. Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 240 (2003), 75-213.

DPMMS, Centre for Mathematical Sciences,
Cambridge University,
Wilberforce Road,
Cambridge, CB3 0WB,
UK
email: c.birkar@dpmms.cam.ac.uk

Fondation Sciences Mathématiques de Paris,
IHP, 11 rue Pierre et Marie Curie,
75005 Paris,
France