1. Consider a generalised linear model with vector of responses $Y=\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right)^{T}$ and design matrix $X$ with $i^{\text {th }}$ row $x_{i}^{T}$. Show that if the link function $g$ is the canonical link, the dispersion parameter $\sigma^{2}=1$ and the $a_{i}=1$, then writing $\hat{\mu}_{i}=g^{-1}\left(x_{i}^{T} \hat{\beta}\right)$ where $\hat{\beta}$ is the maximum likelihood estimate of the vector of regression coefficients, then we have

$$
X^{T} Y=X^{T} \hat{\mu}
$$

Conclude also that if an intercept term is included in $X$ then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\mu}_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i} .
$$

2. Suppose that for some strictly increasing function $f$, we have

$$
Y_{i}^{*}=f\left(x_{i}^{T} \beta^{*}+\varepsilon_{i}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, n,
$$

where $\varepsilon \sim N_{n}\left(0, \sigma^{2} I\right)$, and the $x_{i}$ are covariates in $\mathbb{R}^{p}$ with first component equal to 1 . Suppose that for some constant $c$, we observe

$$
Y_{i}:=\mathbb{1}_{\left\{Y_{i}^{*}>c\right\}} .
$$

(a) Show that $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ are independent and

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{i}\right)=\Phi\left(x_{i}^{T} \beta\right)
$$

for some $\beta$ that you should specify.
(b) How can we estimate $\beta$ from the data $\left(Y_{i}, x_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ ?
3. Below there are three R commands, and the corresponding output. What is the model that is being fitted? Interpret the output.

```
> n <- c(9, 10, 15, 25, 32, 33, 37, 46, 46)
> i <- 1:9
> glm(n ~ i, family=poisson)$dev
[1] 6.351221
```

4. Consider a two-way contingency table where the row totals are fixed. We model the vectors of the responses in the rows as independent multinomial random variables. More concretely, if $n_{i}, i=1, \ldots, I$ denotes the sum of the $i^{\text {th }}$ row, we model the response $Y_{i}$ in the $i^{\text {th }}$ row as

$$
Y_{i} \sim \operatorname{Multi}\left(n_{i} ; p_{i 1}, \ldots, p_{i J}\right)
$$

with $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{I}$ independent, and

$$
p_{i j}=\frac{\exp \left(x_{i j}^{T} \beta\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{J} \exp \left(x_{i j}^{T} \beta\right)} \in(0,1)
$$

(a) Show that if we instead model $Y_{i j}$, the $j^{\text {th }}$ component of $Y_{i}$, as independent Poisson random variables with $\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{i j}\right)=\mu_{i j}>0$, where

$$
\log \left(\mu_{i j}\right)=\alpha_{i}+x_{i j}^{T} \beta
$$

then the maximum likelihood estimators of $\beta$ under the multinomial model and the Poisson model will coincide, provided they are unique.
(b) Prove that the corresponding estimates for $\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{i j}\right)$ from the two models are the same.
5. You see below the results of using glm to analyse data from Agresti (1996) on tennis matches between 5 top women tennis players (1989-90). We let $Y_{i j}$ be the number of wins of player $i$ against player $j$, and let $n_{i j}$ be the total number of matches of $i$ against $j$, for $1 \leq i<j \leq 5$. Thus we have 10 observations, which we will assume are realisations of independent binomial random variables $Y_{i j}$, with

$$
Y_{i j} \sim \operatorname{Bin}\left(n_{i j}, \mu_{i j}\right)
$$

and

$$
\log \left(\frac{\mu_{i j}}{1-\mu_{i j}}\right)=\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{j}
$$

The parameter $\alpha_{i}$ represents the quality of player $i$. The data are tabulated in R as follows

| wins | tot | sel | graf | saba | navr | sanc |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 5 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| 6 | 9 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -1 | 0 |
| 7 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 |
| 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -1 |
| 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 |

Thus for example, the first row tells us that Seles played Graf five times and won on two occasions. We perform the following R commands (the output has been slightly abbreviated).

```
    fit <- glm(wins/tot ~ sel + graf + saba + navr - 1, binomial, weights=tot)
> summary(fit, correlation=TRUE)
Coefficients:
    Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
sel 1.5331 0.7871 1.948 0.05142 .
graf 1.9328 0.6784 2.849 0.00438 **
saba 0.7309 0.6771 1.079 0.28042
navr 1.0875 0.7237 1.503 0.13289
```

```
    Null deviance: 16.1882 on 10 degrees of freedom
```

    Null deviance: 16.1882 on 10 degrees of freedom
    Residual deviance: 4.6493 on 6 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 4.6493 on 6 degrees of freedom
Correlation of Coefficients:
sel graf saba
graf 0.59
saba 0.46 0.60
navr 0.63 0.54 0.49

```

Note the -1 in the model formula removes the intercept term that would otherwise be included by default.
(a) Why do we not include an intercept when fitting the model in R ?
(b) Why is Sánchez (sanc) not included in the model formula?
(c) If we assume that small dispersion asymptotics are relevant (which to be fair they may not be as the \(n_{i}\) are a little small), should we reject our model in favour of the saturated model?
(d) Formulate a null hypothesis and an alternative hypothesis in terms of model coefficients for testing if Graf is better than Sánchez. Can we reject the null hypothesis at the \(5 \%\) level?
(e) Formulate a null hypothesis and an alternative hypothesis in terms of model coefficients for testing if Graf is better than Selez. Can we reject the null hypothesis at the \(5 \%\) level? Hint: Use the correlation matrix and a calculator, or \(R\) but write out your calculations. Note that \(\mathbb{P}(Z \leq 1.64) \approx 0.95\) when \(Z \sim N(0,1)\).
(f) What is your estimate of the probability that Sabatini (saba) beats Sánchez, in a single match? Give an asymptotic \(95 \%\) confidence interval for this probability. Hint: Use a calculator or \(R\). Note that \(\mathbb{P}(Z \leq 1.96) \approx 0.975\) when \(Z \sim N(0,1)\).
6. (Long Tripos 2005/4/13I)
(a) Suppose that \(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}\) are independent random variables, and that \(Y_{1}\) has probability density function
\[
f\left(y_{i} \mid \beta, \nu\right)=\left(\frac{\nu y_{i}}{\mu_{i}}\right)^{\nu} e^{-y_{i} \nu / \mu_{i}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \frac{1}{y_{i}} \quad \text { for } y_{i}>0
\]
where
\[
1 / \mu_{i}=x_{i}^{T} \beta, \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq n,
\]
and \(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\) are given \(p\)-dimensional vectors, and \(\nu\) is known.
Show that \(\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{i}\right)=\mu_{i}\) and that \(\operatorname{var}\left(Y_{i}\right)=\mu_{i}^{2} / \nu\).
(b) Find the score equation for \(\hat{\beta}\), the maximum likelihood estimator of \(\beta\), and suggest an iterative scheme for its solution.
(c) If \(p=2\), and \(x_{i}=\binom{1}{z_{i}}\), find the large-sample distribution of \(\hat{\beta}_{2}\). Write your answer in terms of \(a, b, c\) and \(\nu\), where \(a, b, c\) are defined by
\[
a=\sum \mu_{i}^{2}, \quad b=\sum z_{i} \mu_{i}^{2}, \quad c=\sum z_{i}^{2} \mu_{i}^{2}
\]
7. We wish to study how various explanatory variables may contribute to the development of asthma in children. One way to do this would be to randomly select \(n\) newborn babies and then study them for the first 5 years, measuring the values of the relevant covariates and noting down whether they develop asthma or not within the study period. However, this sort of experiment may be too expensive to carry out, and instead, we acquire the medical records of some children who developed asthma within the first five years of their life, and some children who did not. Luckily the medical records contain all the covariates we intended to measure.

We can imagine that the records we obtain are a sample from a large collection of data \(\left(y_{1}, x_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(y_{N}, x_{N}\right) \in\{0,1\} \times \mathbb{R}^{p}\), where each \(y_{i}\) indicates the development of asthma and can be considered as a realisation of a Bernoulli random variable \(Y_{i}\) with \(\pi_{i}:=\mathbb{P}\left(Y_{i}=1\right) \in(0,1)\),
\[
\log \left(\frac{\pi_{i}}{1-\pi_{i}}\right)=\alpha+x_{i}^{T} \beta
\]
and all the \(Y_{i}\) are independent. Let \(Z_{i}\) indicate whether \(\left(Y_{i}, x_{i}\right)\) is in our sample: 1 if it is, 0 if not. Suppose that for all \(i=1, \ldots, N\),
\[
\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{i}=1 \mid Y_{i}=1\right)=p_{1}, \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbb{P}\left(Z_{i}=1 \mid Y_{i}=0\right)=p_{0}
\]
where \(p_{1}, p_{0}>0\) are unknown, and further that the \(\left(Y_{i}, Z_{i}\right)\) are all independent.
(a) Show that
\[
\frac{\mathbb{P}\left(Y_{i}=1 \mid Z_{i}=1\right)}{1-\mathbb{P}\left(Y_{i}=1 \mid Z_{i}=1\right)}=\frac{p_{1}}{p_{0}} \exp \left(\alpha+x_{i}^{T} \beta\right)
\]
(b) Show that it is possible to estimate \(\beta\) from our medical records data, but not \(\alpha\).
8. Agresti (1990) gives the table of count data below, relating mothers' education to fathers' education for a sample of eminent black Americans (defined as persons having a biographical sketch in the publication Who's Who Among Black Americans).
\begin{tabular}{c|llll} 
Mother's & \multicolumn{4}{|c}{ Father's education } \\
education & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline 1 & 81 & 3 & 9 & 11 \\
2 & 14 & 8 & 9 & 6 \\
3 & 43 & 7 & 43 & 18 \\
4 & 21 & 6 & 24 & 87
\end{tabular}

The categories 1-4 indicate increasing levels of education. We wish to model the entries \(Y_{i j}\) as components of a multinomial random vector with corresponding probabilities \(p_{i j}\) where
\[
p_{i j}= \begin{cases}\eta \phi_{i}+(1-\eta) a_{i} b_{j}, & \text { for } i=j \\ (1-\eta) a_{i} b_{j}, & \text { for } i \neq j\end{cases}
\]
and
\[
\begin{gathered}
0 \leq \eta<1 \\
a_{i}, b_{j}>0, \phi_{i} \geq 0 \\
\sum_{i} \phi_{i}=\sum_{i} a_{i}=\sum_{j} b_{j}=1
\end{gathered}
\]
(a) Give an interpretation of this model. Why might we expect that \(\eta>0\) for our data?
(b) Now model the \(Y_{i j}\) as independent Poisson random variables with means \(\mu_{i j}=\exp \left(\alpha+x_{i j}^{T} \theta\right)\). We wish to choose the covariates \(x_{i j}\) such that if we maximise the Poisson likelihood, with non-negativity constraints on some components of \(\theta\), we obtain an estimate \(\hat{\theta}\) which yields fitted values \(\hat{\mu}_{i j}=\exp \left(\hat{\alpha}+x_{i j}^{T} \hat{\theta}\right)\) equal to the fitted values from the multinomial model above. Describe how the \(x_{i j}\) can be chosen, and what non-negativity constrains should be applied.```

