

1. Look at the `cabbages` data in the `library(MASS)` package. Investigate whether the planting date has a significant effect on the weight of the cabbage head. Write out the models you have fit and explain any conclusions you come to.
2. Let  $Y$  have a model function of exponential dispersion family form. Compute the cumulant generating function of  $Y$  and deduce expressions for the mean and variance of  $Y$ .
3. Show that the family of Poisson probability mass functions

$$f(y; \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^y e^{-\lambda}}{y!}, \quad y \in \{0, 1, \dots\}, \lambda \in (0, \infty)$$

is an exponential dispersion family. Specify the mean function  $\mu$ , variance function  $V(\mu)$ , mean space  $\mathcal{M}$ , and the canonical link function (you may take the dispersion parameter to be equal to 1).

4. We say  $Y$  has the inverse Gaussian distribution with parameters  $\phi$  and  $\lambda$ , and write  $Y \sim IG(\phi, \lambda)$  if its density is

$$f_Y(y; \phi, \lambda) = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{2\pi y^{3/2}}} e^{\sqrt{\lambda\phi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\lambda}{y} + \phi y\right)\right\},$$

$y \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $\phi \in (0, \infty)$ . Compute the cumulant generating function of  $Y$ , and hence find its mean and variance.

Show that the family of inverse Gaussian densities above is an exponential dispersion family, specifying the mean function  $\mu$ , variance function  $V(\mu)$ , mean space  $\mathcal{M}$ , the range for the dispersion parameter  $\Phi$  and the canonical link function. *Hint: First find  $\sigma^2$  as a function of  $\phi$  and  $\lambda$  by guessing that  $\sigma^2$  is a function of  $\lambda$  alone.*

5. Let  $Y$  be a random variable with density  $f(y; \theta)$  for  $y \in \mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and some  $\theta \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ , and write  $\ell(\theta; Y)$  and  $U(\theta; Y)$  for the corresponding log-likelihood and score functions. Assume that the order of differentiation with respect to a component of  $\theta$  and integration over  $\mathcal{Y}$  may be interchanged where necessary. Show that, for  $r, s = 1, \dots, d$ ,

$$\text{Cov}_\theta\{U_r(\theta; Y), U_s(\theta; Y)\} = -\mathbb{E}_\theta\left\{\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_r \partial \theta_s} \ell(\theta; Y)\right\}.$$

6. Let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  be independent Poisson random variables with mean  $\theta$ . Compute the maximum likelihood estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n$ . By considering  $n\hat{\theta}_n$ , write down the distribution of  $\hat{\theta}_n$  and deduce its asymptotic distribution directly. Verify that this asymptotic distribution agrees with that predicted by the general asymptotic theory for maximum likelihood estimators.
7. Let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  be independent  $\text{Poisson}(\theta)$  random variables. Show that both  $\bar{Y} = n^{-1} \sum Y_i$  and  $S^2 = (n-1)^{-1} \sum (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2$  are unbiased estimators of  $\theta$ . Without calculating  $\text{Var}_\theta(S^2)$ , argue that  $\bar{Y}$  is at least as good an estimator as  $S^2$ .

8. The asymptotic distribution theory for maximum likelihood estimators was valid under regularity conditions. Here is a situation where those conditions are not met. Let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  be independent  $U[0, \theta]$  random variables, for some  $\theta \in \Theta = (0, \infty)$ . Find the maximum likelihood estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n$ , as well as its distribution function, mean and variance. What is the asymptotic distribution of  $n(\theta - \hat{\theta}_n)/\theta$ ?

9. Find the Fisher information for the parameters  $(\beta, \sigma^2)$  in the normal linear model.

10. Let  $Y$  have the exponential dispersion model function

$$f(y; \mu, \sigma^2) = \exp\left[\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\{y\theta(\mu) - K(\theta(\mu))\}\right]a(\sigma^2, y),$$

$y \in \mathcal{Y}$ ,  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\sigma^2 \in \Phi \subseteq (0, \infty)$ , and variance function  $V(\mu)$ .

(a) Use the identity  $\mu = \mu(\theta(\mu))$  to show that

$$\frac{d\theta}{d\mu} = \frac{1}{V(\mu)}.$$

(b) Show that the maximum likelihood estimator for  $\mu$  is  $Y$ .

11. Consider a generalised linear model for data  $(y_1, x_1^T), \dots, (y_n, x_n^T)$  and let the design matrix  $X$  have  $i^{\text{th}}$  row  $x_i^T$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

(a) Use the chain rule to show that the likelihood equations for  $\beta$  may be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(y_i - \mu_i)X_{ir}}{\sigma_i^2 V(\mu_i)g'(\mu_i)} = 0, \quad r = 1, \dots, p,$$

where  $\mu_i = g^{-1}(x_i^T \beta)$ .

(b) Show that the Fisher information matrix for the parameters  $(\beta, \sigma^2)$  takes the form

$$i(\beta, \sigma^2) = \begin{pmatrix} i(\beta) & 0 \\ 0 & i(\sigma^2) \end{pmatrix},$$

where (with a slight abuse of notation) we have written  $i(\beta)$  as the  $p \times p$  block of the Fisher information matrix corresponding to  $\beta$ . Show that  $i(\beta)$  can be expressed as  $X^T W X$  where  $W$  is a diagonal matrix with

$$W_{ii} = \frac{1}{a_i V(\mu_i) \{g'(\mu_i)\}^2},$$

(you need not specify  $i(\sigma^2)$ ). Hint: Use the definition of the Fisher information in terms of products of first derivatives of the likelihood function.

(c) How do the expressions in (a) and (b) simplify when  $g(\mu_i)$  is the canonical link function?

12. Let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  be independent with  $Y_i \sim N(\mu_i, \sigma^2)$  and  $\mu_i = x_i^T \beta$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Show that the deviance is equal to the residual sum of squares.

13. Let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  be independent with  $Y_i \sim N(\mu_i, \sigma^2)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $\mu_i = \alpha + \beta x_i$ , and assume for simplicity that  $\sigma^2$  is known. Show that only one iteration of the Fisher scoring method is required to attain the maximum likelihood estimator  $(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta})^T$ , regardless of the initial values for the algorithm. What feature of the log-likelihood function ensures that this is the case?

14. Suppose that  $Y = X\beta + \sigma\varepsilon$  where  $X$  is an  $n \times p$  design matrix of full column rank,  $\varepsilon \sim t_\nu$  and  $\nu > 2$ . Assume that  $\nu$  and  $\sigma^2 > 0$  are known and consider estimating  $\beta$ . It can be shown that  $\text{Var}(\varepsilon_i) = \nu/(\nu - 2)$ . Let  $\hat{\beta}_{\text{OLS}} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y$ . Write down  $\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_{\text{OLS}})$ . According to the theory of general maximum likelihood estimators, what is the asymptotic variance of  $\hat{\beta}$ , the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\beta$ ? *Hint: You will need to use the fact that  $\Gamma(t + 1) = t\Gamma(t)$  for  $t > 0$ .*

15\*. (a) A set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  is convex if for all  $x, y \in S$ ,  $tx + (1 - t)y \in S$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  (so  $S$  contains the line segment joining  $x$  and  $y$ ). Show that the set of values  $\mathcal{B}$  that  $\beta$  can take in a generalised linear model with canonical link function is an open convex set. *Hint: Recall that the natural parameter space  $\Theta$  of the underlying exponential dispersion family must be an open interval.*

(b) Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be an open convex set. Show that if  $g : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a twice differentiable function for which the Hessian matrix is negative definite and  $x^* \in S$  satisfies  $\frac{\partial g(x^*)}{\partial x} = 0$ , then  $x^*$  is the unique maximiser of  $g$  on  $S$ .

(c) Conclude that if  $\hat{\beta}$  satisfies  $\frac{\partial \ell(\hat{\beta}, \sigma^2)}{\partial \beta} = 0$  where  $\ell(\beta, \sigma^2)$  is the likelihood of a generalised linear model with canonical link and the matrix of predictors  $X$  with  $i^{\text{th}}$  row  $x_i^T$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  has full column rank, then  $\hat{\beta}$  is the unique maximiser of  $\ell(\beta, \sigma^2)$  over  $\beta$ .

16\*. Suppose that the maximum likelihood estimator  $\hat{\theta}^{(n)}$  when  $n$  observations are available for estimating a vector of parameters  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfies

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}^{(n)} - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N_d(0, I^{-1}(\theta)),$$

where  $I(\theta) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} i^{(n)}(\theta)/n$  is a positive definite matrix. Suppose further that  $i^{(n)}(\hat{\theta}^{(n)})/n \xrightarrow{p} I(\theta)$ .

A more general version of Slutsky's lemma states that if  $Z_1, Z_2, \dots$  is a sequence of random vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^{k_1}$  and  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  is a sequence of random vectors (or matrices) in  $\mathbb{R}^{k_2}$ , if  $Z_n \xrightarrow{d} Z$  and  $Y_n \xrightarrow{p} c$  and  $g : \mathbb{R}^{k_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{k_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k_3}$  is continuous, then  $g(Z_n, Y_n) \xrightarrow{d} g(Z, c)$ .

Prove that under the assumptions above,

$$(\hat{\theta}^{(n)} - \theta)^T i^{(n)}(\theta)(\hat{\theta}^{(n)} - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} \chi_d^2.$$