

## Probability and Measure 1

*Exercises marked with a star \* are not examinable*

**1.** Let  $E$  be a Lebesgue measurable subset of the real line with positive Lebesgue measure  $m(E)$ . Show that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an open interval  $(a, b)$  such that  $m(E \cap (a, b)) > (1 - \epsilon)|a - b|$ .

**2.** Show that the following sets of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  all generate the same  $\sigma$ -algebra:

$$(a) \{(a, b) : a < b\}, \quad (b) \{(a, b] : a < b\}, \quad (c) \{(-\infty, b] : b \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

**3.** Let  $E$  be a set and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a set of  $\sigma$ -algebras on  $E$ . Define

$$\mathcal{E}^* = \{A \subseteq E : A \in \mathcal{E} \text{ for all } \mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{S}\}.$$

Show that  $\mathcal{E}^*$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $E$ . Show, on the other hand, by example, that the union of two  $\sigma$ -algebras on the same set need not be a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**4.** Let  $E$  be a set and  $\mathcal{B}$  a Boolean algebra of subsets of  $E$ . Let  $m : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  be such that  $m(\emptyset) = 0$ . Show that if  $m$  is countably additive, then  $m$  is monotone and countably subadditive.

**5.** Let  $E$  be a set and  $\mathcal{E}$  a family of subsets of  $E$ , which contains  $E$  and  $\emptyset$ , and is stable under complementation, under countable disjoint unions and under finite intersections. Show that  $\mathcal{E}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**6.** Let  $X$  be a set and  $\mathcal{A}$  a Boolean algebra of subsets of  $X$ . Let  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a finitely additive measure. Show that  $\mu$  is countably additive on  $\mathcal{A}$  (i.e.  $\mu(\bigcup A_n) = \sum_n \mu(A_n)$  provided the  $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$  are disjoint and  $\bigcup A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ ) if and only if the following “continuity condition” holds: for any decreasing sequence  $(A_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  of sets in  $\mathcal{A}$ , with  $\bigcap_n A_n = \emptyset$ , we have  $\mu(A_n) \rightarrow 0$ .

7. Let  $(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$  be a finite measure space. Recall that for any sequence of sets  $(A_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\liminf A_n$  is the subset of those  $x \in E$  such that  $x \in A_m$  for all large enough  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\limsup A_n$  is the subset of those  $x \in E$  such that  $x$  belongs to  $A_m$  for infinitely many  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Show that

$$\mu(\liminf A_n) \leq \liminf \mu(A_n) \leq \limsup \mu(A_n) \leq \mu(\limsup A_n).$$

Show that the first inequality remains true without the assumption that  $\mu(E) < \infty$ , but that the last inequality may then be false.

8. Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space. Suppose that a function  $f$  on  $X$  has two representations

$$f = \sum_{k=1}^m a_k 1_{A_k} = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j 1_{B_j},$$

where each  $A_k$  and  $B_j$  belong to  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $a_k, b_j \in [0, +\infty)$ . Show that, for any measure  $\mu$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^m a_k \mu(A_k) = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \mu(B_j).$$

*hint:* for  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m) \in \{0, 1\}^m$ , define  $A_\varepsilon = A_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cap \dots \cap A_m^{\varepsilon_m}$  where  $A_k^0 = A_k^c$  and  $A_k^1 = A_k$ . Define similarly  $B_\delta$  for  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}^n$ . Then set  $f_{\varepsilon, \delta} = \sum_{k=1}^m \varepsilon_k a_k$  if  $A_\varepsilon \cap B_\delta \neq \emptyset$  and  $f_{\varepsilon, \delta} = 0$  otherwise. Show then that

$$\sum_{k=1}^m a_k \mu(A_k) = \sum_{\varepsilon, \delta} f_{\varepsilon, \delta} \mu(A_\varepsilon \cap B_\delta)$$

9. Recall that the outer measure  $m^*(E)$  of a subset  $E$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is defined as

$$m^*(E) = \inf \sum_n m(B_n)$$

where the infimum is taken over all covers of  $E$  by countable unions  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$  of boxes  $B_n \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , and  $m(B_n)$  is the product of the side lengths of the box  $B_n$ .

Let  $E$  be a subset of  $X := [0, 1]^d$ . In Lebesgue's 1901 original article,  $E$  is defined to be (Lebesgue) measurable if  $m^*(E) + m^*(X \setminus E) = 1$ . Show that this definition equivalent to the one(s) given in class.

10. Let  $(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$  be a measure space. Call a subset  $N \subseteq E$  *null* if  $N \subseteq B$  for some  $B \in \mathcal{E}$  with  $\mu(B) = 0$ . Write  $\mathcal{N}$  for the set of null sets. Prove that the set of subsets  $\mathcal{E}^\mu = \{A \cup N : A \in \mathcal{E}, N \in \mathcal{N}\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra and show that  $\mu$  has a well-defined and countably additive extension to  $\mathcal{E}^\mu$  given by  $\mu(A \cup N) = \mu(A)$ . We call  $\mathcal{E}^\mu$  the *completion of  $\mathcal{E}$  with respect to  $\mu$* . Suppose now that  $E$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and write  $\mu^*$  for the outer measure associated to  $\mu$ , as in the proof of Carathéodory's Extension Theorem. Show that  $\mathcal{E}^\mu$  is exactly the set of  $\mu^*$ -measurable sets.

**11.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^d$  endowed with the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  of Borel sets. A Dirac mass at  $x \in X$  is the measure  $\delta_x$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\delta_x(A) = 1$  or  $0$  according as  $x \in A$  or  $x \notin A$ . Let  $\mu$  be a positive linear combination of a finite number of Dirac masses. What is the completion of  $\mathcal{B}$  with respect to  $\mu$ ?

**12.** Let  $C_n$  denote the  $n$ th approximation to the Cantor set  $C$ : thus  $C_0 = [0, 1]$ ,  $C_1 = [0, \frac{1}{3}] \cup [\frac{2}{3}, 1]$ ,  $C_2 = [0, \frac{1}{9}] \cup [\frac{2}{9}, \frac{1}{3}] \cup [\frac{2}{3}, \frac{7}{9}] \cup [\frac{8}{9}, 1]$ , etc. and  $C_n \downarrow C$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Show that  $C$  is Lebesgue measurable and has measure 0. Note that  $[0, 1] \setminus C_n$  is a union of  $2^n$  open intervals  $I_1, \dots, I_{2^n}$  read from left to right. Let  $F_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be the function equal to the constant  $k/2^n$  on the  $k$ -th open interval, which is defined to be linear in between and continuous on  $[0, 1]$ . Show that  $F_n(x)$  converges uniformly on  $[0, 1]$  to a function  $F(x)$ , which is differentiable with derivative 0 at Lebesgue almost every point in  $[0, 1]$ .

*Hint: express  $F_{n+1}$  recursively in terms of  $F_n$  and use this relation to obtain a uniform estimate on  $F_{n+1} - F_n$ .*

**13\*.** A subset  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  is called Jordan measurable if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there are two finite unions of intervals  $A = \bigcup_1^n I_i$  and  $B = \bigcup_1^m J_j$  such that  $A \subset E \subset B$  and  $m(B \setminus A) < \epsilon$ , where  $m$  is defined on finite disjoint unions of intervals as the total length of the intervals.

Give an example of a compact subset of  $[0, 1]$  that is not Jordan measurable.

**14\*.** Recall that a subset  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is called Jordan measurable if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there are two elementary sets  $A = \bigcup_1^n B_i$  and  $B = \bigcup_1^m B'_j$ , where the  $B_i, B'_j$  are bounded boxes in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , such that  $A \subset E \subset B$  and  $m(B \setminus A) < \epsilon$ , where  $m$  is the elementary measure defined on elementary sets.

Show that a bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is Jordan measurable if and only if it is Lebesgue measurable and its boundary has Lebesgue measure zero.

**15\***. Let  $a < b$  be real numbers and  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a function. We denote by  $\mathcal{P}$  a (marked) subdivision  $a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b$  of the interval  $[a, b]$  together with the choice of a point  $x_i \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . The quantity  $\tau(\mathcal{P}) := \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |t_i - t_{i-1}|$  is called the width of the subdivision. The *Riemann sum*  $S_{\mathcal{P}}(f)$  is defined by:

$$S_{\mathcal{P}}(f) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} f(x_i)(t_i - t_{i-1}).$$

One says that  $f$  is *Riemann integrable* if all Riemann sums, for varying  $\mathcal{P}$ , converge to the same limit as  $\tau(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow 0$ . This limit is called the *Riemann integral* of  $f$  and is denoted by  $\int_{[a,b]} f$ .

Show that a subset  $E \subset [a, b]$  is Jordan measurable if and only if the indicator function  $1_E$  is Riemann integrable. Moreover in this case  $m(E) = \int_{[a,b]} 1_E$ .