## MATHEMATICAL TRIPOS PART II (2018–19)

## Coding and Cryptography - Example Sheet 1 of 4

R.D.Camina

- 1) (i) Give an example of a decipherable code which is not prefix-free. (Hint: What happens if you reverse all the codewords in a prefix-free code?)
  - (ii) Give an example of a non-decipherable code which satisfies the Kraft inequality.
  - (iii) Check directly that comma codes satisfy the Kraft inequality.
- 2) For a code  $f: \Sigma_1 \to \Sigma_2^*$  and a code  $f': \Sigma_1' \to \Sigma_2'^*$  the product code is  $g: \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_1' \to (\Sigma_2 \cup \Sigma_2')^*$  given by g(x,y) = f(x)f'(y). Show that the product of two prefix-free codes is prefix-free, but that the product of a decipherable code and a prefix-free code need not even be decipherable.
- 3) Jensen's inequality states that if  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a convex function and  $p_1, \ldots, p_n$  is a probability distribution (i.e.  $0 \le p_i \le 1$  and  $\sum p_i = 1$ ) then  $f(\sum p_i x_i) \le \sum p_i f(x_i)$  for any  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . Deduce Gibbs' inequality from Jensen's inequality applied to the convex function  $f(x) = -\log x$ .
- 4) Show that  $H(p_1, p_2, p_3) \leq H(p_1, 1-p_1) + (1-p_1)$  and determine when equality occurs.
- 5) Use the methods of Shannon-Fano and Huffman to construct prefix-free binary codes for messages  $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_5$  emitted (i) with equal probabilities, or (ii) with probabilities 0.3, 0.3, 0.2, 0.15, 0.05. Compare the expected word lengths in each case.
- 6) Messages  $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_5$  are emitted with probabilities 0.4, 0.2, 0.2, 0.1, 0.1. Determine whether there are optimal binary codings with (i) all but one codeword of the same length, or (ii) each codeword a different length.
- 7) A binary Huffman code is used for encoding symbols  $1, \ldots, m$  occurring with probabilities  $p_1 \geq p_2 \geq \cdots \geq p_m > 0$  where  $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} p_j = 1$ . Let  $s_1$  be the length of the shortest codeword and  $s_m$  the length of the longest codeword. Determine the maximal and minimal values of  $s_1$  and  $s_m$  and find binary trees for which they are attained.
- 8) Show that if an optimal binary code has word lengths  $s_1, \ldots, s_m$  then

$$m \log m \le s_1 + \dots + s_m \le (m^2 + m - 2)/2.$$

- 9) Consider 64 messages  $M_j$  with the following properties:  $M_1$  has probability 1/2,  $M_2$  has probability 1/4 and  $M_j$  has probability 1/248 for  $3 \le j \le 64$ . Explain why, if we use (binary) codewords of equal length, then the length of the codeword must be at least 6. By using the ideas of Huffman's algorithm (you should not need to go through all the steps) obtain a set of codewords such that the *expected* length of a codeword sent is no more than 3.
- 10) Suppose that a gastric infection is known to originate in exactly one of m restaurants, the probability it originates in the  $j^{th}$  being  $p_j$ . A health inspector has samples from all of the m restaurants and by testing the pooled samples from a set A of them can determine with certainty whether the infection originates in A or its complement. Let  $N(p_1, \ldots, p_m)$  denote the minimum expected number of such tests needed to locate the infection. Show that  $H(p_1, \ldots, p_m) \leq N(p_1, \ldots, p_m) < H(p_1, \ldots, p_m) + 1$ , and determine when the lower bound is attained.

rdc26@cam.ac.uk - 1 - Lent 2019

- 11) Extend the definition of entropy to a random variable taking values in the non-negative integers. Compute the expected value E(X) and entropy H(X) of a random variable X with  $P(X = k) = p(1-p)^k$ . Show that among non-negative integer valued random variables with the same expected value, X achieves the maximum possible entropy.
- 12) In a horse race with m horses the probability that the  $i^{th}$  horse wins is  $p_i$ . The odds offered on each horse are  $a_i$ -for-1, i.e. a bet of x pounds on the  $i^{th}$  horse will yield  $a_ix$  pounds if the horse wins, and nothing otherwise. A gambler bets a proportion  $b_i$  of his wealth on horse i, with  $\sum_{i=1}^m b_i = 1$ . He seeks to maximise  $W = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i \log(a_ib_i)$ . Solve to find the  $b_i$  that maximise W. Show that, in the case when all odds are the same, this maximum and the entropy  $H(p_1, \ldots, p_m)$  sum to a constant.
- 13) A source emits messages  $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_m$  with non-zero probabilities  $p_1, \ldots, p_m$ . Let S be the codeword length random variable for a decipherable code  $f: \Sigma_1 \to \Sigma_2^*$  where  $\Sigma_1 = \{\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_m\}$  and  $|\Sigma_2| = a$ . Show that the minimum possible value of  $E(a^S)$  satisfies

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sqrt{p_i}\right)^2 \le E(a^S) < a \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sqrt{p_i}\right)^2.$$

(Hint: The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.)

## Further Problems

- 14) (i) In lectures we only described Huffman coding in the binary case, *i.e.* a=2. In general we add extra messages of probability zero so that the number of messages m satisfies  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{a-1}$ . Then at each stage we group together the a smallest probabilities. Carry this out for a ternary coding of a source with probabilities 0.2, 0.2, 0.15, 0.15, 0.1, 0.1, 0.05, 0.05.
  - (ii) Show that if a ternary decipherable code of size m meets the lower bound in the noiseless coding theorem then m is odd.
- 15) You are given m apparently identical coins, one of which may be a forgery. Forged coins are either too light or too heavy. You are also given a balance, on which you may place any of the coins you like. The coins placed in either pan may be together heavier or lighter than those in the other pan or the pans may balance.

You are allowed at most 3 uses of the balance. Show that if m > 13 then you cannot be sure of detecting the forgery and its nature. [Optional - show that when m = 12 three weighings suffice.]