



## EXAMPLE SHEET #4

- (45) Let  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$  and consider the register machine from Example (31) and produce  $\text{code}(M)$  according to the transformations given in the lectures. Assume that

$$a < b < \epsilon < 0 < 1 < + < ? < - < ( < ) < , < \mapsto < \square$$

and describe how you would calculate  $\#(\text{code}(M))$ . [If you wish, you can also calculate the precise numerical value, but a description in words is enough.]

- (46) Let  $f : \mathbb{W}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$  be a partial computable function. Show that the following sets are computably enumerable:
- (a)  $\{w; \text{there are three distinct words } v \text{ such that } f(w, v) \downarrow\}$ ;
  - (b)  $\{w; \text{there is a word } v \text{ of even length such that } f(w, v) \downarrow\}$ ;
  - (c)  $\{w; \text{there is a word } v \text{ such that } f(w, v) = w * v\}$ .

- (47) Let  $f : \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$  be a total bijective function. Show that  $f$  is computable if and only if  $f^{-1}$  is computable.

- (48) Let  $L \subseteq \mathbb{W}$ . Show that  $L$  is computably enumerable if and only if there is a total computable function  $f$  such that  $L = \text{ran}(f)$ .

- (49) Show that a set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{W}^k$  is  $\Pi_1$  if and only if there is a computable set  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{W}^{k+1}$  such that for all  $\vec{w} \in \mathbb{W}^k$ , we have

$$\vec{w} \in X \iff \forall v(\vec{w}, v) \in Y.$$

Use this to show that  $\mathbf{Emp} \equiv_m \mathbb{W} \setminus \mathbf{K}$ .

[Hint. We showed in the lectures that  $\mathbb{W} \setminus \mathbf{K} \leq_m \mathbf{Emp}$ . Furthermore, the set  $\mathbb{W} \setminus \mathbf{K}$  is  $\Pi_1$ -complete. Why?]

- (50) Let  $\varphi$  be a total computable function. A word  $w$  is called a *fixed point* of  $\varphi$  if  $f_{\varphi(w),1} = f_{w,1}$ . Show using the following steps that such a fixed point exists.

- (a) Argue that the function

$$g(u, v) := \begin{cases} f_{f_{u,1}(u)}(v) & \text{if } u \in \mathbf{K} \text{ and} \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is computable.

- (b) By the *s-m-n* theorem, there is a total computable function  $h$  such that  $f_{h(u),1}(v) = g(u, v)$ . Let  $p$  be such that  $f_{p,1} = \varphi \circ h$  and  $w := h(p)$ . Show that  $w$  is a fixed point of  $\varphi$ .

- (51) Show that there is a  $w$  such that  $W_w = \{w\}$  and a  $w$  such that  $|W_w| = |w|$ . Use the first statement to show that  $\mathbf{K}$  is not an index set.

- (52) Suppose  $X$  is computably enumerable. Show that  $\bigcup_{v \in X} W_v$  is computably enumerable. Deduce that the class of computably enumerable sets is closed under finite unions.

- (53) Show that there is a computably enumerable set  $X$  such that for all  $w \in X$ ,  $W_w$  is a computable set, but  $\bigcap_{w \in I} W_w$  is not computably enumerable.

- (54) Assume that  $\leq$  is a partial preorder on  $X$ , i.e., reflexive and transitive, and define  $\equiv$  by  $x \equiv y$  if and only if  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x$ . Show that  $\equiv$  is an equivalence relation and that  $\leq$  respects the equivalence classes, i.e., if  $x \equiv x'$  and  $x \leq y$ , then  $x' \leq y$ , similarly, if  $x \equiv x'$  and  $y \leq x$ , then  $y \leq x'$ .
- Let  $X/\equiv$  be the set of  $\equiv$ -equivalence classes; if  $[x], [y] \in X/\equiv$ , define  $[x] \leq [y]$  if and only if  $x \leq y$  (why is this well defined?). Prove that  $(X/\equiv, \leq)$  is a partially ordered set.
- (55) Show that  $\emptyset$  and  $\mathbb{W}$  are both minimal in the order  $\leq_m$ , incomparable in  $\leq_m$ , and that  $\{\emptyset\}$  and  $\{\mathbb{W}\}$  are  $\equiv_m$ -equivalence classes.
- (56) Assume that  $\{0, 1\} \subseteq \Sigma$  and  $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{W}$ . We defined the *Turing join* of two sets by  $X \oplus Y := 0X \cup 1Y$ . Show that  $X \oplus Y$  is the least upper bound of  $X$  and  $Y$  with respect to  $\leq_m$  (i.e., if  $X, Y \leq_m Z$ , then  $X \oplus Y \leq_m Z$ ).
- (57) Prove that **Inf** and **Tot** are neither  $\Sigma_1$  nor  $\Pi_1$ .
- (58) Let  $g : \mathbb{W}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$  be a total computable function. Consider  $\mathbf{Eq}(g) := \{w; f_{w,k} = g\}$  and show that  $\mathbf{Tot} \leq_m \mathbf{Eq}(g)$ .