## PART II AUTOMATA AND FORMAL LANGUAGES MICHAELMAS 2021-22 EXAMPLE SHEET 2

Unless explicitly asked to, you need not *prove* that any machine you construct defines the language you say it does.

You may appeal to Church's thesis at any time, provided you clearly say so.

- \* denotes a harder problem.
  - (1) Let A be a recursive set, and define the set

$$B = \{2n \mid n \in A\} \cup \{2n+1 \mid n \in \mathbb{K}\}.$$

- (a) Is B recursive? If not, which of B and  $\mathbb{N} \setminus B$  are r.e. (if any), and why?
- (b) By replacing A in the construction of B with a suitably chosen set, construct a set  $C \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that neither C nor  $\mathbb{N} \setminus C$  are r.e..
- (2) Give examples, with proofs, of infinite collections of recursive sets whose union:
  - (a) Is recursive.
  - (b) Is r.e. but not recursive.
  - (c) Is not r.e., and its complement is not r.e. either.
- (3) Show that there is an indexing set  $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  which is r.e. and such that  $W_n$  is recursive for each  $n \in I$  but  $\bigcap_{n \in I} W_n$  is not r.e..
- (4) Prove that the set  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \text{ codes a program and the set } W_n \text{ has more than 5 elements}\}$  is r.e., but not recursive.
- (5) We define the following sets:

**Tot** :=  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \text{ codes a program and } f_{n,1} \text{ is total}\}$ , the indices of **tot**al P.R. functions.

Inf :=  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \text{ codes a program and } W_n \text{ is infinite}\}\$ , the indices of *inf* inite :e. sets.

 $\mathbf{Fin} := \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \text{ codes a program and } W_n \text{ is finite} \}, \text{ the indices of } \mathbf{fin} \text{ ite r.e. sets.}$ 

- (a) Show that  $\mathbf{Tot} = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \text{ codes a program and } W_n = \mathbb{N} \}.$
- (b) Show that  $\mathbb{K} \leq_m \mathbf{Tot}$ , and that  $\mathbb{K} \leq_m \mathbf{Inf}$ .
- (c) Are any of **Tot**, **Inf**, **Fin** recursive? Give reasons.
- (d) Show that  $\mathbf{Inf} \leq_m \mathbf{Tot}$ .

Hint: Try adapting the proof of Theorem 1.27 from the lectures.

(e\*) Show that  $\mathbb{K} \leq_m \mathbf{Fin}$ .

*Hint:* consider the partial function  $h: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  given by

$$h(n,x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } f_{n,1}(n) \text{ does not halt after } x \text{ steps} \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- (f) Using the above results, show that **Tot** is not r.e., and neither is  $\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbf{Tot}$ .
- (6) Given an explicit code m for a register machine  $P_m$ , consider the set

$$T_m := \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \text{ codes a program and } W_m \subseteq W_n \}$$

Construct two explicit codes m, m' such that  $T_m$  is recursive, and  $T_{m'}$  is not r.e. Hint: Use the results of the previous question.

- (7) Let g be a total recursive function on k variables. Show that, with **Tot** as in (10), we have **Tot**  $\leq_m \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \text{ codes a program and } f_{n,k} = g \text{ as functions } \}$ . Hence show there is no partial algorithm to verify if answers to question (1) of Example Sheet 1 are correct, nor a partial algorithm to verify if they are incorrect. (That is, the set of correct answers is not r.e., and neither is the set of incorrect answers.)
- (8) Construct DFAs, via transition diagrams, which accept the following languages:
  - (a)  $\{w \in \{0,1\}^* \mid |w| > 2\}.$
  - (b)  $\{w \in \{0,1\}^* \mid w \text{ is an alternating sequence of 1's and 0's }\}.$
  - (c)  $\{w \in \{0,1\}^* \mid w \text{ is a multiple of 3 when interpreted in binary }\}.$
  - (d)  $\{w \in \{a, \dots, z\}^* \mid w \text{ contains } ababa \text{ as a substring } \}.$
- (9) Construct NFAs, via transition diagrams, which accept the following languages:
  - (a)  $\{w \in \{a, \dots, z\}^* \mid w \text{ contains } ababa \text{ as a substring } \}$ .
  - (b)  $\{w \in \{a, \dots, z\}^* \mid w \text{ contains } dpmms \text{ and/or } damtp \text{ as a substring } \}.$
  - (c)  $\{w \in \{a, \dots, z\}^* \mid w \in \{what, where, when\} \}$ .

Use the subset construction to convert (9a) to a DFA.

- (10) Construct an  $\epsilon$ -NFA which accepts the *union* of the three languages from question (9), and has only *one* accept state.
- (11) Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite alphabet, and L be a regular language over  $\Sigma$ . Taking the shortlex ordering on  $\Sigma^*$  with word ordering  $\{w_1, w_2, \ldots\}$ , show that  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid w_n \in L\}$  is a recursive set.
  - (a) Fix an ordering of  $\Sigma$ , and use this to describe an ordering (bijection with  $\mathbb{N}$ )  $\{w_1, w_2, \ldots\}$  of  $\Sigma^*$  by extending the idea of the shortlex ordering of  $\mathbb{N}^m$ .
  - (b) Using this ordering of  $\Sigma^*$ , show that  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid w_n \in L\}$  is a recursive set. (So there is no regular language which is r.e. but not recursive: DFAs are weaker than register machines.)