PART II AUTOMATA AND FORMAL LANGUAGES MICHAELMAS 2020-21 EXAMPLE SHEET 2

Unless explicitly asked to, you need not *prove* that any machine you construct defines the language you say it does.

You may appeal to Church's thesis at any time, provided you clearly say so.

- * denotes a harder problem.
 - (1) Let A be a recursive set, and define the set

$$B = \{2n \mid n \in A\} \cup \{2n + 1 \mid n \in \mathbb{K}\}.$$

- (a) Is B recursive? If not, which of B and $\mathbb{N} \setminus B$ are r.e. (if any), and why?
- (b) By replacing A in the construction of B with a suitably chosen set, construct a set $C \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that neither C nor $\mathbb{N} \setminus C$ are r.e..
- (2) Give examples, with proofs, of infinite collections of recursive sets whose union:
 - (a) Is recursive.
 - (b) Is r.e. but not recursive.
 - (c) Is not r.e., and its complement is not r.e. either.
- (3) Show that there is an indexing set $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ which is r.e. and such that W_n is recursive for each $n \in I$ but $\bigcap_{n \in I} W_n$ is not r.e..
- (4) Prove that the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \text{ codes a program and the set } W_n \text{ has more than 5 elements} \}$ is r.e., but not recursive.
- (5) We define the following sets:

 $\mathbf{Tot} := \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \text{ codes a program and } f_{n,1} \text{ is total} \}, \text{ the indices of } \mathbf{tot} \text{ al P.R. functions.}$

Inf := $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \text{ codes a program and } W_n \text{ is infinite}\}\$, the indices of inf inite :e. sets.

 $\mathbf{Fin} := \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \text{ codes a program and } W_n \text{ is finite}\}, \text{ the indices of } \mathbf{fin} \text{ ite r.e. sets.}$

- (a) Show that $\mathbf{Tot} = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \text{ codes a program and } W_n = \mathbb{N} \}.$
- (b) Show that $\mathbb{K} \leq_m \mathbf{Tot}$, and that $\mathbb{K} \leq_m \mathbf{Inf}$.
- (c) Are any of **Tot**, **Inf**, **Fin** recursive? Give reasons.
- (d) Show that $\mathbf{Inf} \leq_m \mathbf{Tot}$.

 Hint: Try adapting the proof of Theorem 1.27 from the lecture notes.

Date: October 26, 2020.

(e*) Show that $\mathbb{K} \leq_m \mathbf{Fin}$.

Hint: consider the partial function $h: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ given by

$$h(n,x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } f_{n,1}(n) \text{ does not halt after } x \text{ steps} \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- (f) Using the above results, show that **Tot** is not r.e., and neither is $\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbf{Tot}$.
- (6) Given an explicit code m for a register machine P_m , consider the set

$$T_m := \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \text{ codes a program and } W_m \subseteq W_n \}$$

Construct two explicit codes m, m' such that T_m is recursive, and $T_{m'}$ is not r.e. Hint: Use the results of the previous question.

- (7) Let g be a total recursive function on k variables. Show that, with **Tot** as in (10), we have **Tot** $\leq_m \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \text{ codes a program and } f_{n,k} = g \text{ as functions } \}$. Hence show there is no partial algorithm to verify if answers to question (1) of Example Sheet 1 are correct, nor a partial algorithm to verify if they are incorrect. (That is, the set of correct answers is not r.e., and neither is the set of incorrect answers.)
- (8) Construct DFAs, via transition diagrams, which accept the following languages:
 - (a) $\{w \in \{0,1\}^* \mid |w| > 2\}.$
 - (b) $\{w \in \{0,1\}^* \mid w \text{ is an alternating sequence of 1's and 0's }\}.$
 - (c) $\{w \in \{0,1\}^* \mid w \text{ is a multiple of 3 when interpreted in binary }\}.$
 - (d) $\{w \in \{a, ..., z\}^* \mid w \text{ contains } ababa \text{ as a substring } \}.$
- (9) Construct NFAs, via transition diagrams, which accept the following languages:
 - (a) $\{w \in \{a, \dots, z\}^* \mid w \text{ contains } ababa \text{ as a substring } \}$.
 - (b) $\{w \in \{a, \dots, z\}^* \mid w \text{ contains } dpmms \text{ and/or } damtp \text{ as a substring } \}.$
 - (c) $\{w \in \{a, \dots, z\}^* \mid w \in \{what, where, when\} \}$.

Use the subset construction to convert (9a) to a DFA.

- (10) Construct an ϵ -NFA which accepts the *union* of the three languages from question (9), and has only *one* accept state.
- (11) Let Σ be a finite alphabet, and L be a regular language over Σ . Taking the shortlex ordering on Σ^* with word ordering $\{w_1, w_2, \ldots\}$, show that $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid w_n \in L\}$ is a recursive set.
 - (a) Fix an ordering of Σ , and use this to describe an ordering (bijection with \mathbb{N}) $\{w_1, w_2, \ldots\}$ of Σ^* by extending the idea of the shortlex ordering of \mathbb{N}^m .
 - (b) Using this ordering of Σ^* , show that $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid w_n \in L\}$ is a recursive set. (So there is no regular language which is r.e. but not recursive: DFAs are weaker than register machines.)