PART II AUTOMATA AND FORMAL LANGUAGES
MICHAELMAS 2019-20
EXAMPLE SHEET 1

$*$ denotes a harder problem. By convention, we take $\mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$.

(1) Give an example of a register machine, either via a program diagram or a sequence of instructions, for computing each of the following functions.

(a) $f(m,n) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } m = n \\ 0 \text{ if } m \neq n \end{cases}$

(b) $f(n) = 3n$

(c) $f(m,n) = mn$

(d) $f(m,n) = m \mod (n+1)$

(2) Draw a program diagram for each of the following sequences of instructions, and identify the upper register index of each program. Also, for the specified n, write down the function on n variables that the program computes.

(a) $(1,+,2),(1,+,0)$. $n = 1$.

(b) $(1,-,2,5),(2,+,3),(3,+,4),(4,+,1),(3,-,6,0),(2,-,7,8),(1,+,6),(4,-,9,11),$ $(5,+,10),(2,+,8),(5,-,12,5),(4,+,11)$. $n = 1$.

(c) $(2,+,2),(4,+,3),(3,-,5,7),(1,-,8,6),(5,+,6),(8,+,3),(3,+,0),\ (1,+,8)$. $n = 4$.

(3) Build up each of the following total recursive functions from the basic functions via composition, recursion and minimisation.

(a) $f(a,b,c,x) = ax^2 + bx + c$

(b) $f(m,n) = m^n$

(c*) $f(m,n) = m \mod (n+1)$

(4) Show that, for each $k > 1$, each of the following functions is primitive recursive

(a) The "predecessor function" $p(n) = n - 1$ (and $p(0) = 0$, or whatever you like)

(b) $\text{rem}_k(n) = n \mod k$

(c) $\text{floor}_k(n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor$

(d) $\text{divide}_k(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{k} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \mod k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

(e) $\text{power}_k(n,m) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{k^m} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \mod k^m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Date: October 17, 2019.

For the remainder of this sheet, the phrase *"use Church's thesis"* should be taken to mean *"an informal proof using the conventions of Church's thesis is sufficient, provided it is clearly stated and justified"*.

(5) Use Church's thesis to show there is an algorithm that, on input of a code n for a register machine program P_n, halts iff P_n halts on *some* input in *some* number of variables.

(6) Let E be an infinite subset of $\mathbb{N}$. Use Church's thesis to show that E is recursive iff there is a strictly increasing total recursive function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ whose image is precisely E.

(7) (a) Use Church's thesis to show that the set of prime numbers is recursive.
(b) Use Church's thesis to show there is an algorithm that, on input of an integer $n > 1$, outputs the largest prime p which divides n.
(c) How do you thus respond to a critic who says "given an integer n which is the product of two primes, first you mathematicians claim that the difficulty of finding those primes can be used as a basis for secure encryption, but now you're saying that a straightforward register machine can find these primes".

(8) Let $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be a total bijective function. Use Church's thesis to show that f is total recursive iff f^{-1} is total recursive.

(9) Use Church's thesis to show there is a total computable function $h : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that, for each m which defines a register machine code, the partial computable function $f_{h(m),1}$ satisfies:

$$f_{h(m),1}(x) = f_{m,1}(x) + 1 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{N}$$

(10*) Use Church's thesis to show there is an r.e. set E such that for every $n \in E$, $f_{n,1}$ is *primitive* recursive, and moreover every primitive recursive function on 1 variable occurs as $f_{n,1}$ for *some* $n \in E$.

(11*) We define *Cantor's pairing function* $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{N}^2 \to \mathbb{N}$ by

$$\langle x, y \rangle := \frac{1}{2}(x + y)(x + y + 1) + y$$

and its natural extension, $\langle \cdot, \ldots, \cdot \rangle_k : \mathbb{N}^k \to \mathbb{N}$, inductively via

$$\langle x_1, \ldots, x_k \rangle_k := \left\langle \langle x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1} \rangle_{k-1}, x_k \right\rangle$$

(a) Show that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a total bijection from $\mathbb{N}^2 \to \mathbb{N}$.

(b) Show that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a total computable function.

(c) Show that $\langle \cdot, \ldots, \cdot \rangle_k : \mathbb{N}^k \to \mathbb{N}$ is a total computable bijection.

(d) Use Church's thesis to show that there is a total computable function $h : \mathbb{N}^2 \to \mathbb{N}$ such that, for each m, k, the partial computable function $f_{m,k}$ satisfies:

$$f_{m,k}(x_1, \ldots, x_k) = f_{h(m,k),1}(\langle x_1, \ldots, x_k \rangle_k) \quad \forall (x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$$

(e) Show that with $\langle \cdot, \ldots, \cdot \rangle_k : \mathbb{N}^k \to \mathbb{N}$ we can produce all multi-variable partial computable functions from just the one-variable ones.