


Lecture 20

Hermitian form / \mathbb{C}

Skew symmetric forms / \mathbb{R}

φ sesquilinear

$$V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V$

linear first variable

$$\varphi(\lambda u, v) = \lambda \varphi(u, v)$$

antilinear second variable

$$\varphi(u, \lambda v) = \overline{\lambda} \varphi(u, v)$$

Def

(Hermitian form)

A sesquilinear form

$\varphi: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called Hermitian

if :

$$\forall (u, v) \in U \times V, \quad \varphi(u, v) = \overline{\varphi(v, u)}$$

Remark φ Hermitian, $\varphi(u, u) = \overline{\varphi(u, u)}$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(u, u) \in \mathbb{R}$$

Lemma: $\varphi(\lambda u, \lambda u) = |\lambda|^2 \varphi(u, u)$

\leadsto We may talk about positive / negative (semi) definite Hermitian form.

Lemma

A sesquilinear form $\varphi: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is Hermitian iff: for **any** basis \mathcal{B} of V ,

$$[\varphi]_{\mathcal{B}} = \overline{[\varphi]_{\mathcal{B}}^T}$$

proof

$$A = [\varphi]_{\mathcal{B}} = (a_{ij})_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}$$

$$a_{ij} = \varphi(e_i, e_j)$$

$$\hookrightarrow \begin{cases} a_{ij} = \varphi(e_i, e_j) \\ a_{ji} = \varphi(e_j, e_i) = \overline{\varphi(e_i, e_j)} = \overline{a_{ij}} \end{cases}$$

↑
Hermitian

$$\Rightarrow [\varphi]_{\mathcal{B}}^T = \overline{[\varphi]_{\mathcal{B}}}$$

Conversely $[\varphi]_{\mathcal{B}} = A, \quad A = \overline{A^T}$

$$\begin{cases} u = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_i \\ v = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i e_i \end{cases} \quad \mathcal{B} = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u, v) &= \varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_i, \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j e_j\right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \lambda_i \overline{\mu_j} \varphi(e_i, e_j) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \lambda_i \overline{\mu_j} a_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

$$\overline{\varphi(v, u)} = \overline{\varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i e_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_i\right)}$$

$$= \sum_{i, j=1}^n \overline{\mu_i \lambda_j} \varphi(e_i, e_j)$$

$$= \sum_{i, j=1}^n \overline{\mu_i} \overline{\lambda_j} a_{ij} = \sum_{i, j=1}^n \overline{\mu_j} \lambda_i \underbrace{a_{ji}}_{= a_{ij}}$$

$$= \sum_{i, j=1}^n \lambda_i \overline{\mu_j} a_{ij} = \varphi(u, v)$$

□

Polarisation identity A Hermitian form φ on a complex vector space V

is entirely determined by :

$$Q: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

via the formula:

$$v \mapsto \varphi(v, v)$$

where p, q depend only on φ .

proof (sketch: nearly identical to the real case)

Existence $\varphi \neq 0$, done. Assume not, then by the polarization identity, there exists $e_1 \neq 0$ such that $\varphi(e_1, e_1) \neq 0$.

$$\text{Rescale to: } \sigma_1 = \frac{e_1}{\sqrt{|\varphi(e_1, e_1)|}}$$

$$\text{so: } \underbrace{\varphi(\sigma_1, \sigma_1)}_{\in \mathbb{R}} = \pm 1.$$

Then we consider the orthogonal:

$$W = \left\{ \omega \in V \mid \varphi(\sigma_1, \omega) = 0 \right\}$$

and we check (verbatim like in the real case) :

$$V = \langle v_1 \rangle \oplus W \quad (\dim W = n - 1)$$

\Rightarrow Now argue by induction on the dimension to diagonalize $\varphi|_W$

• Uniqueness of p : p is the maximal dimension of a subspace on which φ is definite positive ($\varphi(u, u) \in \mathbb{R}$)
(verbatim like in the real case)

• Similarly for q .

□

$$F = \mathbb{R}$$

V v. space over \mathbb{R}

Def

A bilinear form on a real vector space V is skew symmetric if:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varphi(u, v) = -\varphi(v, u) \\ \forall u, v \in V \times V \end{array} \right.$$

RR (i) $\varphi(u, u) = -\varphi(u, u)$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(u, u) = 0$$

(ii) $\forall B$ basis of V ,

$$[\varphi]_B = -[\varphi]_B^T$$

(iii) $\forall A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$

$$A = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}(A + A^T)}_{\text{symmetric}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}(A - A^T)}_{\text{skew symmetric}}$$

proof (sketch) Induction on the dimension of V .

• $\varphi \equiv 0 \rightarrow$ done

• $\varphi \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists v_1, w_1 / \varphi(v_1, w_1) \neq 0$

• $v_1, w_1 \neq 0 \Rightarrow$ after scaling w_1 , we

can assume $\varphi(v_1, w_1) = 1$

$\Rightarrow \varphi(w_1, v_1) = -1$

• v_1, w_1 linearly independent

$$\varphi(v_1, \lambda v_1) = \lambda \varphi(v_1, v_1) = 0$$

$$U = \langle v_1, w_1 \rangle$$

$$W = \left\{ v \in V / \varphi(v_1, v) = \varphi(w_1, v) = 0 \right\}$$

Exercise

$$V = U \oplus W$$

Now apply the induction hypothesis to $\varphi|_W$ \square

Inner product spaces

- definite positive bilinear forms
 - scalar product
 - norms : notion of distance
- ⇒ spectacular infinite dimensional counterpart

HILBERT SPACES

Def (Inner product) Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{R} (resp. \mathbb{C}). An inner product on V is a positive definite symmetric (resp. Hermitian) form φ on V .

Notation $\langle u, v \rangle = \varphi(u, v)$

V is called a real (resp. complex) inner product space.

Examples

(i) \mathbb{R}^n , $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$, $y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}$

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$$

(ii) \mathbb{C}^n , $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \overline{y_i}$

(iii) $V = \mathcal{C}([0,1], \mathbb{C})$,

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 f(t) \overline{g(t)} dt$$

↑
def

"L² scalar product"

(iv) We can fix a weight $\omega: [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ *

and define on $V = \mathcal{C}([a, b], \mathbb{C})$:

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_a^b f(t) \bar{g}(t) \omega(t) dt.$$

\Rightarrow One can check that these are inner products.

$$\left(\langle v, v \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow v = 0 \right) \text{ (definite)}$$

Def

(Norm / length)

$$\|v\| = \left(\langle v, v \rangle \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

norm derives
from a scalar
product

Rk $\langle v, v \rangle \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and

$$\|v\| = 0 \Rightarrow v = 0$$

↑
positive definite

1.1 : This allows us to define a notion of length.