

STONE DUALITY

Theories dual to Categories of models

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I : T_1 & \longrightarrow & T_2 \quad \text{an interpretation} \\ & \downarrow & \uparrow \text{--- "strong completeness"} \\ \text{Mod}(I) : \text{Mod}(T_2) & \longrightarrow & \text{Mod}(T_1) \end{array}$$

Why?

$$\text{Mod}(T) \text{ " = " } \{ J : T \longrightarrow S \}$$

so that

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Mod}(I) : \text{Mod}(T_2) \longrightarrow \text{Mod}(T_1) \\ : (J : T_2 \longrightarrow S) \longmapsto (J \circ I : T_1 \longrightarrow S). \end{array}$$

STRICT HORN PROPOSITIONAL LOGIC

PROPL SYMBOLS p, q, \dots

"FRAGMENT" closed under \neg, \wedge

"AXIOMS" $\phi \vdash \psi$ (ϕ, ψ from fragment)

(n.b. can assume of form $\phi \vdash p$)

Lindenbaum algebra :

a \wedge -semi lattice

(\sim \vee -semi-lattice of finite elements)

(n.b. $\top = \text{true}$ corresponds to $\perp = \text{bottom}$)

Models ("points") of theory :

filters

(\sim ideals = elements of domain)

Space of models :

an algebraic lattice
(with Scott topology)

Write $\bar{\phi}$ for element of domain corresponding to $\phi \in \lambda$ -semi-lattice and $O_\phi = \{x \mid \bar{\phi} \sqsubseteq x\}$.

Infinitary propositions:

$$\bigvee_i \phi_i \quad (\text{can assume } \downarrow\text{-closed})$$

\sim open sets

$$\bigcup_i O_{\phi_i} \quad (\text{can assume } \uparrow\text{-closed})$$

For x a point $x \models \bigvee_i \phi_i$

$$\sim x \in \bigcup_i O_{\phi_i}$$

(regarding x as filter / ideal this is $x \cap \{\phi_i\}$ non-empty)

$$\phi \vdash \psi \quad (\phi \leq \psi) \quad \sim \quad \bar{\phi} \sqsupseteq \bar{\psi}$$

$$\text{Homomorphism } x \rightarrow y \quad \sim \quad x \sqsubseteq y$$

SPECIAL FEATURE : finite elements
have dual nature -

- ① they are special elements of domain
= points = models of theory
- ② they are special open sets (in fact
a basis of such)
= propositions

(In fact as models have the free
model generated by the data of the
proposition. Whence completeness
theorem trivial - essentially algebraic.)

THE POWER SET OF A SET

A a set

For each $a \in A$ take a proposition $a \in X$.

Theory: (no axioms)

Space of models:

power set of A

Open subsets of Cantor space

For each finite sequence u of
0s and 1s take a proposition

$$u \in O$$

Theory:

$$u*0 \in O \wedge u*1 \in O \vdash u \in O$$

Space of models:

open subsets of
Cantor space

HORN PROPOSITIONAL LOGIC

PROPL SYMBOLS p, q, \dots

"FRAGMENT" closed under \top, \wedge, \perp

"AXIOMS" $\phi \vdash \psi$ (ϕ, ψ from fragment)

(n.b. can assume of form $\phi \vdash p, \phi \vdash \perp$)

"Lindenbaum algebra" (forgetting \perp)

a \wedge - "hemi" lattice (i.e. consistent \wedge s exist)

(\sim "consis- \wedge "-semi-lattice of finite elements of a "consistently complete" domain)

Models ("points") of theory:

filters

(\sim ideals = elements of domain)

Space of models:

directedly complete
& consistently complete
(with \perp)

algebraic
poset

Finite and infinite words

A a set

For each finite sequence u in A take a proposition $u \subset x$.

Theory:

$$u \subset x \leftrightarrow v \subset x \quad (u \subset v)$$

$$u \subset x \wedge v \subset x \vdash \perp \quad (u, v \text{ are "incompatible"})$$

Space of models

domain of words (lists)

For total elements add

$$u \subset x \vdash \bigvee_{a \in A} u * a \subset x$$

9

Approximations in the completion
of a local ring.

R a local ring, \mathfrak{M} the unique
maximal ideal.

For each $a \in R$ $k \in \mathbb{N}$ take a
proposition $x =_k a$ (i.e. $x = a + \mathfrak{M}^k$):

Theory: $x =_k a \vdash x =_k b$ ($a - b \in \mathfrak{M}^k$)

$x =_{k+1} a \vdash x =_k a$

$x =_k a \wedge x =_k b \vdash \perp$ ($a - b \notin \mathfrak{M}^k$)

Space of models:

domain of the completion

For total elements add

$\vdash x =_0 1$

$x =_k a \vdash \bigvee_{a'} x =_{k+1} a'$

"Approximable Relations"

A, B finite elements in domains \hat{A}, \hat{B}

For $a \in A, b \in B$ take a proposition $R(a, b)$ (" $b \in f(a)$ ")

Theory: $R(a, b) \vdash R(a', b')$
 $(a \in a', b \supseteq b')$
 $\vdash R(a, \perp)$

$R(a, b_1) \wedge R(a, b_2) \vdash R(a, b_1 \sqcup b_2)$
(if \nearrow exists)
 $R(a, b_1) \wedge R(a, b_2) \vdash \perp$ (o.w.)

Space of models

function space $[\hat{A} \rightarrow \hat{B}]$

Remark: "profunctor" : $A^{\text{op}} \times B \rightarrow (\mathbb{I})$
"bimodule" (w.r.t. \leq)
which is flat in B.

CLASSICAL PROPOSITIONAL LOGIC

Lindenbaum algebra :

a boolean algebra

Space of models :

the Stone space

POSITIVE PROPOSITIONAL LOGIC

FRAGMENT : closed under $\top, \wedge, \perp, \vee$

Lindenbaum algebra :

a distributive lattice

Space of models :

the coherent space

THE SPECTRUM OF A RING

R a commutative ring (with a 1).

For each $a \in R$ take a proposition

$\text{inv}(a)$

(for a is invertible).

Theory:

$\vdash \text{inv}(1)$

$\text{inv}(a) \wedge \text{inv}(b) \vdash \text{inv}(ab)$

$\text{inv}(0) \vdash \perp$

$\text{inv}(a+b) \vdash \text{inv}(a) \vee \text{inv}(b)$

Space of models:

$\text{Spec}(R)$.